Signed in Washington, DC this 16th day of October, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. 02–28036 Filed 11–4–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-41,385]

Peck Manufacturing Company of North Carolina, Inc., Warrenton, Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on April 22, 2002 in response to petition filed by the company on behalf of workers at Peck Manufacturing Company of North Carolina, Inc., Warrenton, North Carolina.

The Department of Labor was unable to locate an official of the company to obtain the information necessary to render a decision. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 18th day of October, 2002.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance. [FR Doc. 02–28030 Filed 11–4–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

Date: Weeks of November 4, 11, 18, 25, December 2, 9, 2002.

Place: Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

Status: Public and closed.

Matters To Be Considered:

Week of November 4, 2002

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of November 4, 2002.

Week of November 11, 2002—Tentative

Thursday, November 14, 2002

2 p.m.

Discussion of Management Issues (Closed—Ex.2)

Week of November 18, 2002-Tentative

Thursday, November 21, 2002

10 a.m.

Briefing on Proposed Rulemaking to Add New Section 10 CFR 50.69, "Risk-Informed Categorization and Treatment of Structures, Systems, and Components for Nuclear Power Reactors" (Public Meeting) (Contact: Eileen McKenna, 301– 415–2189, or Timothy Reed, 301– 415–1462)

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—*www.nrc.gov.*

2 p.m.

Discussion of Security Issues (Closed—Ex. 1)

Week of November 25, 2002-Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of November 25, 2002.

Week of December 2, 2002—Tentative

Wednesday, December 4, 2002

10 a.m.

Briefing on Decommissioning Bankruptcy Issues (Closed—Ex. 4 &9)

Week of December 9, 2002—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of December 9, 2002.

*The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings call (recording)—(301) 415–1292. Contact person for more information: R. Michelle Schroll (301) 415–1662.

Additional Information:By a vote of 5–0 on October 29, the Commission determined pursuant to u.S.C. 552b(e) and § 9.107(a) of the Commission's rules that "Discussion of Intergovernmental Issues (Closed—Ex. 9)," be held on October 31, 2002, and on less than one week's notice to the public.

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: www.nrc.gov/what-we-do/policymaking/schedule.html.

This notice is distributed by mail to several hundred subscribers; if you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555 (301–415–1969). In addition, distribution of this meeting notice over the Internet system is available. If you are interested in receiving this Commission meeting schedule electronically, please send an electronic message to *dkw@nrc.gov*.

Dated: October 31, 2002.

R. Michelle Schroll,

Acting Technical Coordinator; Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–28158 Filed 11–1–02; 10:35 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–M

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Privacy Act of 1974: Computer Matching Programs—OPM/Social Security Administration

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Publication of notice of computer matching to comply with Public Law 100–503, the Computer Matching and Privacy Protection Act of 1988.

SUMMARY: OPM is publishing notice of its computer matching program with the Social Security Administration (SSA) to meet the reporting requirements of Pub. L. 100–503. The purpose of this match is for SSA to disclose benefit information to OPM to offset specific benefits.

DATES: The matching program will begin in December 2002, or 40 days after agreements by the parties participating in the match have been submitted to Congress and the Office of Management and Budget, whichever is later. Any public comment on this matching program must be submitted within the 30 day public notice period, which begins on the publication date of this notice. The matching program will continue for 18 months from the beginning date and may be extended an additional 12 months thereafter. The data exchange will begin at a date mutually agreeable between OPM and SSA on or after December 1, 2002, unless comments are received which will result in a contrary determination. Subsequent matches will take place on a recurring basis until one of the parties advises the other, in writing, of its intention to reevaluate, modify and/or terminate the agreement.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to William J. Washington, Assistant Director for Systems, Finance and Administration, 1900 E. Street NW., Room 4312, Washington, DC 20415.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marc Flaster, (202) 606–2115.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: OPM and SSA intend to conduct a computer matching program. The purpose of this agreement is to establish the conditions under which SSA agrees to the disclosure of benefit information to OPM. The SSA records will be used in a matching program with OPM's records on surviving spouses who may be eligible to receive a Supplementary Annuity, disability retirees, and child survivor annuitants, under the Federal Employees' Retirement System (FERS). The benefits payable to these recipients are offset if paid while also in receipt of SSA benefits.

Office of Personnel Management. **Kay Coles James,** *Director.*

Report of Computer Matching Program Between the Office of Personnel Management and Social Security Administration

A. Participating Agencies OPM and SSA.

B. Purpose of the Matching Program

Chapter 84 of title 5, United States Code (U.S.C.), requires OPM to offset specific benefits by a percentage of benefits payable under Title II of the Social Security Act. The matching will enable OPM to compute benefits at the correct rate and determine eligibility for benefits.

C. Authority for Conducting the Matching Program

Chapter 84, title 5, United States Code.

D. Categories of Records and Individuals Covered by the Match

The two SSA records systems involved in the match are (1) Master Files of Social Security Number (SSN) Holders and SSN Applications, 60–0058 (SSA/OSR) last published on March 24, 1998 at 63 FR14165 and (2) the Master Beneficiary Record, 60–0090 (SSA/OSR) last published February 21, 2001 at 66 FR 11079. The OPM records consist of annuity data from its system of records entitled OPM/Central 1-Civil Service Retirement and Insurance Records, last published on October 8, 1999 at 64 FR 54930, and as amended at 65 FR 25775, May 3, 2000.

E. Description of Matching Program

As frequently as daily, OPM will provide SSA with an extract from the annuity master file and from pending claims snapshot records via the File Transfer Management System (FTMS). The extracted file will contain identifying information concerning the disability annuitant, child survivor, or surviving spouse who may be eligible for an annuity under FERS. Each record will be matched to SSA's records and requested information transmitted back to OPM.

F. Privacy Safeguards and Security

The personal privacy of the individuals whose names are included in the files transmitted are protected by strict adherence to the provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974 and OMB's "Guidance Interpreting the Provisions of Public Law 100-503, the Computer Matching and Privacy Protection Act of 1988". Access to the records used in the data exchange is restricted to only those authorized employees and officials who need it to perform their official duties. Records matched or created will be stored in an area that is physically safe. Records used during this exchange and any records created by this exchange will be processed under the immediate supervision and control of authorized personnel in a manner which will protect the confidentiality of the records. The records matched and records created by the match will be transported under appropriate safeguards. Both SSA and OPM have the right to make onsite inspection or make other provisions to ensure that adequate safeguards are being maintained by the other agency.

G. Inclusive Dates of the Matching Program

This computer matching program is subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget and the Congress. OPM's report to these parties must be received at least 40 days prior to the initiation of any matching activity. If no objections are raised by either, and the mandatory 30-day public notice period for comments has expired for this Federal Register notice with no significant adverse public comments in receipt resulting in a contrary determination, then this computer matching program becomes effective on the date specified above. By agreement between OPM and SSA, the matching program will be in effect and continue for 18 months with an option to renew for 12 additional months under the terms set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552a(o)(2)(D).

[FR Doc. 02–28105 Filed 10–31–02; 4:35 pm] BILLING CODE 6325–50–P

POSTAL SERVICE

Postage Evidencing Product Submission Procedures

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Final notice of procedures.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service is implementing product submission procedures for postage meters and other postage evidencing systems.

DATES: The procedures are effective November 5, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wayne Wilkerson, manager, Postage Technology Management, by fax at 703– 292–4050.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: With the expansion of postage application methods and technologies, it is essential that the product submission procedures for all postage evidencing products be clearly stated and defined while remaining flexible enough to accommodate evolving technologies. The Postal Service evaluation process can be effective and efficient if all suppliers follow these procedures. In this way, secure and convenient technology will be made available to the mailing public with minimal delay and with the complete assurance that all Postal Service technical, quality, and security requirements are met. These procedures apply to all proposed postage evidencing products and systems, whether the provider is new or is currently authorized by the Postal Service.

Title 39, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) section 501.9, Security Testing, states: "The Postal Service reserves the right to require or conduct additional examination and testing at any time, without cause, of any meter submitted to the Postal Service for approval or approved by the Postal Service for manufacture and distribution." For products meeting the performance criteria for postage evidencing systems that generate an information-based indicia (IBI), including PC Postage® products, the equivalent section is 39 CFR section 502.10, Security Testing, published as a proposed rule in the Federal Register on October 2, 2000. When the Postal Service elects to retest a previously approved product, the provider will be required to resubmit the product for evaluation according to part or all of the proposed procedures. The Postal Service will determine full or partial compliance with the procedures prior to resubmission by the provider.

The procedures were published as proposed procedures in the Federal **Register** on May 1, 2002 [Vol. 67, No. 84, pages 21780-21785] with a request for submission of comments by May 31, 2002. We received three submissions in response to the solicitation of public comments. These comments were carefully considered, as explained in the discussion of comments. No changes were made to the procedures as a result of these comments. However, a few changes were made to clarify the meaning of the procedures, as explained following the discussion of comments. The procedures, as revised, follow these explanations.

Discussion of Comments

1. One commenter asked the Postal Service to add maximum time frames for responding to product submissions