## May 9, 2005

Mike Eng ILS. Institute for Environmental Conflict Resolution

Christopher Moore CDR Associates

Tribal Government Planning Meeting - Spring Rise 2000 Plenary Session

Dear Mr. Eng and Mr. Moore:

Representatives of the 29 Missouri River Basin Tribes met on May 9, 2005, in Hankinson, North Dakota, to discuss their involvement ita the Corps Sprilag Rise Plenary Group, as a follow-up to the meeting held in New Town, North Dakota on April2G, 200\_5, on this same issue. It was the consensus of those Tribes in attendance at those meetings that the Federal Government and its agencies, including but not limited to the U.S. Corps of Engineers, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the Bureau of Reclamation have a trust responsibility to protect the natural and cultural resources of all Missouri Basin Tribes pursuant to and in accordance with Executive Order 13095. This federal trust responsibility toward Missouri Basin Tribes must be first and foremost in any decision made with regard to the operation of the Missouri River water system.

Supporting but not supplanting this federal trust responsibility, the Missouri Basin Tribes who were in attendance at these meetings decided that the following Tribes shall represent Tribal Governments on the Corps Plenary Spring Rise Planning Group:

- 1. Ft. Peck Ft. Peck Dam, Montana
- 2. Three At~iliated Tribes Lake Sakakawea, North Dakota
- 3, Standing Rack Sioux Tribe- Lake Oahe, North and South Dakota
- 4. Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe- Lake Oahe, South Dakota
- S. Lower Brule Sioux Tribe- Big Bend, South Dakota
- 6. Crow Creek Sioux Tribe Big Bend, South Dakota
- 7. Yankton Sioux Tribe Gavins Point, South Dakota
- S. Santee Sioux Tribe Gavins Point, Nebraska
- 9. Omaha Tribe Below Gavins Point, Nebraska
- 10. Sac & Fox Nation, Below Gavins Point, Kansas
- 11. Sisseton Wahpeton Oyate-- Off River Aquifer, North and South Dakota
- i2. Mni Wiconi Water system (Rosebud and Oglala Sioux Tribes), South Dakota

As we understand it, the proposed total number comprising the Plenary C7roup is 40-45. Given this target number, we feel that 12 Tribal members constitutes an appropriate and representative cross-section of the essential Tribal Governmental interests. The tribal governments shall choose both a delegate and an alternate for the Plenary Group. This is consistent with and in accordance with tribal governmental processes. A list of delegates and alternate will be forwarded under separate cover.

Corps Spring Risc Planning Group Page 2 of 3 May 9, 200

In addition, the non-governmental representatives that enct'mpass the variety o(`interests included in the Master Manual must include equa} representation of each of these interests. To that end, the Water Supply category must include two representatives il other categories of interest include two representatives. It is recommended that the Water supply category must include representation of water intakes in the lower basin in addition to upper and middle basin intakes. The reality is that there are at least six water intakes int serious jeopardy at the present time in this e~ctended drought, These intakes are operated by rural water systems and tribal governments. 7`bese interests should be included in the ~Nater Supply category.

Att additional category which has not even been listed in the Core Planning Group, and which must be included as it is a primary responsibility oP the Corps of k;ngineers is Cultural l>reservation. Again, the representation of *tats* category should include at least two members who are representing both the lower and upper Missouri River interests.

In addition, the Technical Working Group must include scientific sta~`trom Tribal programs. 'tribes in the basin have primary responsibility in many areas including lisp and wildlife management, restoration and protection, water quality monitoring, h\_ydrolo~;y, and archaeological assessment and mitigation and stabilization *efforts*. Such technical staff are often the best informed about the impacts of proposals from a technical standpoint, 'Therefore, a, the flcnary Group makes decisions about Technical Working Group StatTtng, this element should not he overlooked.

As a final note, the Mni Sose Intertribe. Water Riglus Coalition bas volunteered to serve in floe role of distribution of information on the Spring Rise Process for all tribal govern.roents in the Missouri River basin. The Tribal representatives in attendance at the meetings in New Town and Hankinson support the designation of Mni Sose Water Rights Coalition in this rote.

Please feel free cvnta.ct the following individuals to discuss these recommendations a.t-d reduests

- "Tex Wail, President NCAT & Chairman of the Three At~iliated 'l"ribes : (701) (701)401-1489
- J.G Crawford, Chairman of Sisseton-Wahpeton Uyate: (<~A.S) 698-3911

Tony I?rovost, President of Mni Sose Water RRights Coalition & 'l.'ribal Council.ma.n, Omaha Tribe (402) 837-53~)l.

Corps Sprung Rise Planning Group Page 3 of 3 May 9, 200

Respectfully Submitted,

ex Hall, President NCAI & Chairman Three Affiliated Tribes

J.C. Crawford, Chairman Sissetori-Wahpeton Oyate

1R Tony Provost

President Nlni Sose t~'ater Rights Coalition Tribal Council, Omaha Tribe

CC: Colonel Beade, Omaha District, COE Tony Provost, Nlni Sose Water Rights Coalition