alternative is accordingly not further considered.

## Conclusion

The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is consistent with the NRC's unrestricted release criteria specified in 10 CFR 20.1402. Because the proposed action will not significantly impact the quality of the human environment, the NRC staff concludes that the proposed action is the preferred alternative.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

NRC provided a draft of this Environmental Assessment to the State of New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection (NJDEP) for review on August 29, 2007. On September 4, 2007, NJDEP responded by letter. The State agreed with the conclusions of the EA.

The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action is of a procedural nature, and will not affect listed species or critical habitat. Therefore, no further consultation is required under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. The NRC staff has also determined that the proposed action is not the type of activity that has the potential to cause effects on historic properties. Therefore, no further consultation is required under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

## III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The NRC staff has prepared this EA in support of the proposed action. On the basis of this EA, the NRC finds that there are no significant environmental impacts from the proposed action, and that preparation of an environmental impact statement is not warranted. Accordingly, the NRC has determined that a Finding of No Significant Impact is appropriate.

## IV. Further Information

Documents related to this action, including the application for license amendment and supporting documentation, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at <a href="http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html">http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html</a>. From this site, you can access the NRC's Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. The documents related to this action are listed below, along with their ADAMS accession numbers.

[1.] Letter dated March 26, 2007 with "Derivation of a Site Specific DCGL for the Remediation of TACOM–ARDEC Picatinny Arsenal Building 318 and Evaluation of Final Survey Results, October 2004" (ML070940607)

[2]. NUREG—1757, "Consolidated NMSS Decommissioning Guidance;"

[3]. Title 10 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 20, Subpart E, "Radiological Criteria for License Termination;"

- [4]. Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 51, "Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions;"
- [5]. NUREG-1496, "Generic Environmental Impact Statement in Support of Rulemaking on Radiological Criteria for License Termination of NRC-Licensed Nuclear Facilities."

If you do not have access to ADAMS, or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov. These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's PDR, O 1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee.

Dated at King of Prussia, Pennsylvania this 2nd day of October 2007.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

## James P. Dwyer,

Chief, Commercial and R&D Branch, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region I.

[FR Doc. E7–19948 Filed 10–9–07; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 030-35986]

Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for License Amendment to Byproduct Materials License No. 07–30728–01, for Unrestricted Release of Incyte Corporation's Facility in Newark, DE

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Issuance of Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact for License Amendment.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dennis Lawyer, Health Physicist, Commercial and R&D Branch, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region I, 475 Allendale Road, King of Prussia, Pennsylvania; telephone 610–337–5366; fax number 610–337–5393; or by e-mail: drl1@nrc.gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering the issuance of a license amendment to Byproduct Materials License No. 07-30728-01. This license is held by Incyte Corporation (the Licensee), for its Stine Haskell Research Center, located at 1090 Elkton Road in Newark, Delaware (the Facility). Issuance of the amendment would authorize release of the Facility for unrestricted use. The Licensee requested this action in a letter dated May 29, 2007, and responded to an information request by letter dated July 26, 2007. The NRC has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) in support of this proposed action in accordance with the requirements of Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Part 51 (10 CFR Part 51). Based on the EA, the NRC has concluded that a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is appropriate with respect to the proposed action. The amendment will be issued to the Licensee following the publication of this FONSI and EA in the Federal Register.

## II. Environmental Assessment

Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed action would approve the Licensee's May 29, 2007, license amendment request, resulting in release of the Facility for unrestricted use. License No. 07-30728-01 was issued on May 20, 2002, pursuant to 10 CFR Part 30, and has been amended periodically since that time. This license authorized the Licensee to use unsealed byproduct material for purposes of conducting research and development activities typically performed on laboratory bench tops and in hoods. The proposed action pertains only to the cessation of licensed activities at the Facility. The license authorizes use of licensed material at other locations that will not be affected by this action, and the license will thus not be terminated if the proposed action is approved.

The Facility is situated in Buildings 112 and 115, totaling 32,400 square feet, and consists of general office space and laboratories. The Facility is located in an industrial area. Within the Facility, use of licensed materials was confined to Building 112, Laboratories 2, 28, and 37; and Building 115, Laboratories 83, 84, 85, 86, and 87. These laboratory areas totaled 1,600 square feet.

In August 2003, the Licensee ceased licensed activities at the Facility, and initiated a survey and decontamination of the Facility. Based on the Licensee's historical knowledge of the site and the

conditions of the Facility, the Licensee determined that only routine decontamination activities, in accordance with their NRC-approved, operating radiation safety procedures, were required. The Licensee was not required to submit a decommissioning plan to the NRC because worker cleanup activities and procedures are consistent with those approved for routine operations. The Licensee conducted surveys of the Facility and provided information to the NRC to demonstrate that it meets the criteria in Subpart E of 10 CFR Part 20 for unrestricted release.

## Need for the Proposed Action

The Licensee has ceased conducting licensed activities at the Facility, and seeks the unrestricted use of its Facility.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The historical review of licensed activities conducted at the Facility shows that such activities involved use of the following radionuclides with half-lives greater than 120 days: Hydrogen-3 and carbon-14. Prior to performing the final status survey, the Licensee conducted decontamination activities, as necessary, in the areas of the Facility affected by these radionuclides.

The Licensee conducted a final status survey during August and September 2003 but delayed making a final decision about whether or not to resume licensed activities there. The final status survey report was attached to the Licensee's amendment request dated May 29, 2007. The Licensee elected to demonstrate compliance with the radiological criteria for unrestricted release as specified in 10 CFR 20.1402 by using the screening approach described in NUREG-1757, "Consolidated NMSS Decommissioning Guidance," Volume 2. The Licensee used the radionuclide-specific derived concentration guideline levels (DCGLs). developed there by the NRC, which comply with the dose criterion in 10 CFR 20.1402. These DCGLs define the maximum amount of residual radioactivity on building surfaces, equipment, and materials, that will satisfy the NRC requirements in Subpart E of 10 CFR Part 20 for unrestricted release. The Licensee's final status survey results were below these DCGLs and are in compliance with the As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA) requirement of 10 CFR 20.1402. The NRC thus finds that the Licensee's final status survey results are acceptable.

Based on its review, the staff has determined that the affected environment and any environmental impacts associated with the proposed

action are bounded by the impacts evaluated by the "Generic Environmental Impact Statement in Support of Rulemaking on Radiological Criteria for License Termination of NRC-Licensed Nuclear Facilities" (NUREG-1496) Volumes 1-3 (ML042310492, ML042320379, and ML042330385). The staff finds there were no significant environmental impacts from the use of radioactive material at the Facility. The NRC staff reviewed the docket file records and the final status survey report to identify any non-radiological hazards that may have impacted the environment surrounding the Facility. No such hazards or impacts to the environment were identified. The NRC has identified no other radiological or non-radiological activities in the area that could result in cumulative environmental impacts.

The NRC staff finds that the proposed release of the Facility for unrestricted use is in compliance with 10 CFR 20.1402. Based on its review, the staff considered the impact of the residual radioactivity at the Facility and concluded that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Due to the largely administrative nature of the proposed action, its environmental impacts are small. Therefore, the only alternative the staff considered is the no-action alternative, under which the staff would leave things as they are by simply denying the amendment request. This no-action alternative is not feasible because it conflicts with 10 CFR 30.36(d), requiring that decommissioning of byproduct material facilities be completed and approved by the NRC after licensed activities cease. The NRC's analysis of the Licensee's final status survey data confirmed that the Facility meets the requirements of 10 CFR 20.1402 for unrestricted release. Additionally, denying the amendment request would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the no-action alternative are therefore similar, and the no-action alternative is accordingly not further considered.

### Conclusion

The NRC staff has concluded that the proposed action is consistent with the NRC's unrestricted release criteria specified in 10 CFR 20.1402. Because the proposed action will not significantly impact the quality of the human environment, the NRC staff

concludes that the proposed action is the preferred alternative.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

NRC provided a draft of this Environmental Assessment to the Office of Radiation Control, Division of Delaware Public Health for review on August 27, 2007. On September 17, 2007, the Office of Radiation Control, Division of Delaware Public Health responded by electronic mail. The State agreed with the conclusions of the EA, and otherwise had no comments.

The NRC staff has determined that the proposed action is of a procedural nature, and will not affect listed species or critical habitat. Therefore, no further consultation is required under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act. The NRC staff has also determined that the proposed action is not the type of activity that has the potential to cause effects on historic properties. Therefore, no further consultation is required under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

## III. Finding of No Significant Impact

The NRC staff has prepared this EA in support of the proposed action. On the basis of this EA, the NRC finds that there are no significant environmental impacts from the proposed action, and that preparation of an environmental impact statement is not warranted. Accordingly, the NRC has determined that a Finding of No Significant Impact is appropriate.

# IV. Further Information

Documents related to this action, including the application for license amendment and supporting documentation, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at <a href="http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html">http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html</a>. From this site, you can access the NRC's Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. The documents related to this action are listed below, along with their ADAMS accession numbers.

- 1. NUREG-1757, "Consolidated NMSS Decommissioning Guidance;"
- 2. Title 10 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 20, Subpart E, "Radiological Criteria for License Termination;"
- 3. Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 51, "Environmental Protection Regulations for Domestic Licensing and Related Regulatory Functions;"
- 4. NUREG–1496, "Generic Environmental Impact Statement in Support of Rulemaking on Radiological

Criteria for License Termination of NRC-Licensed Nuclear Facilities"

- 5. Incyte Corporation Amendment Request dated May 29, 2007 [ML071580670;
- 6. Incyte Corporation Deficiency Response Letter dated July 26, 2007 [ML072140797.

If you do not have access to ADAMS, or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov. These documents may also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's PDR, O 1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee.

Dated at Region I, 475 Allendale Road, King of Prussia this 2nd day of October 2007. For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

### James P. Dwyer,

Chief, Commercial and R&D Branch, Division of Nuclear Materials Safety, Region I.

[FR Doc. E7-19957 Filed 10-9-07; 8:45 am]

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## **Sunshine Federal Register Notice**

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETINGS:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**DATE:** Weeks of October 8, 15, 22, 29; November 5, 12, 2007.

**PLACE:** Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and Closed.
MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

## Week of October 8, 2007.

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of October 8, 2007.

## Week of October 15, 2007—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of October 15, 2007.

## Week of October 22, 2007—Tentative

Wednesday, October 24, 2007

9:25 a.m.

Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (Tentative).

- a. Final Rule—Clarification of NRC
  Civil Penalty Authority Over
  Contractors and Subcontractors
  Who Discriminate Against
  Employees for Engaging in
  Protected Activities (RIN 3150—
  AH49) (Tentative).
- b. Pa'ina Hawaii, LLC (Material License Application) (Tentative).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—http://www.nrc.gov. 9:30 a.m.

Periodic Briefing on New Reactor Issues, Part 1 (Public Meeting) (Contact: Roger Rihm, 301–415–7807).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—http://www.nrc.gov.

Periodic Briefing on New Reactor Issues, Part 2 (Public Meeting) (Contact: Roger Rihm, 301–415– 7807).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—http://www.nrc.gov.

## Week of October 29, 2007—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of October 29, 2007.

## Week of November 5, 2007—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of November 5, 2007.

## Week of November 12, 2007—Tentative

Wednesday, November 14, 2007

9:30 a.m.

Meeting with Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste and Materials (ACNW&M) (Public Meeting) (Contact: Antonio Dias, 301–415–6805).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address—http://www.nrc.gov.

\*The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings, call (recording)—(301) 415–1292. Contact person for more information: Michelle Schroll, (301) 415–1662.

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: http://www.nrc.gov/about-nrc/policy-making/schedule.html.

The NRC provides reasonable accommodation to individuals with disabilities where appropriate. If you need a reasonable accommodation to participate in these public meetings, or need this meeting notice or the transcript or other information from the public meetings in another format (e.g., braille, large print), please notify the NRC's Disability Program Coordinator, Rohn Brown, at 301–492–2279, TDD: 301–415–2100, or by e-mail at REB3@nrc.gov. Determinations on requests for reasonable accommodation will be made on a case-by-case basis.

This notice is distributed by mail to several hundred subscribers; if you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to the distribution, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Washington, DC 20555 (301–415–1969). In addition, distribution of this meeting

notice over the Internet system is available. If you are interested in receiving this Commission meeting schedule electronically, please send an electronic message to dkw@nrc.gov.

Dated: October 4, 2007.

## R. Michelle Schroll,

Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 07–5014 Filed 10–5–07; 10:23 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-331]

FPL Energy Duane Arnold, LLC; Biweekly Notice; Application for Amendment to the Facility Operating License Involving Proposed No Significant Hazards Considerations; Correction

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of amendment request; Correction.

**SUMMARY:** This document corrects a notice appearing in the **Federal Register** on September 25, 2007 (72 FR 54472), that incorrectly referenced the licensee as Detroit Edison Company. This action is necessary to correct the erroneous reference.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karl D. Feintuch, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone (301) 415–3079, e-mail: KDF@nrc.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On page 54472, in the second column, in the third complete paragraph, first line, the Notice is corrected to read from "Detroit Edison Company" to "FPL Energy Duane Arnold, LLC."

Dated in Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of October 2007.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

## Karl D. Feintuch,

Project Manager, Plant Licensing Branch III-1, Division of Operating Reactor Licensing. [FR Doc. E7–19946 Filed 10–9–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P