following the publication of the proposed rule.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Arnaldo Vaquer, Senior Staff Veterinarian, National Center for Import and Export, Technical Trade Services Team, VS, APHIS, 4700 River Road Unit 43, Riverdale, MD 20737–1231; (301) 734–8364.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The regulations in 9 CFR part 93 prohibit or restrict the importation of certain animals, birds, and poultry into the United States to prevent the introduction of communicable diseases of livestock and poultry. In section 93.426, paragraph (a) states that all ruminants offered for entry into the United States from Mexico must be inspected at the port of entry and found to be free from communicable diseases and fever tick infestation and to not have been exposed to communicable diseases and fever tick infestation. Under section 93.427(b)(2), cattle that have been exposed to splenetic, southern, or tick fever, or that have been infested with or exposed to fever ticks, may be imported from Mexico for admission into the State of Texas, except that portion of the State quarantined because of fever ticks, either at one of the land border ports in Texas listed in section 93.403(c) of the regulations, or at the port of Santa Teresa, NM, provided that certain conditions are met.

On November 9, 2005, we published in the Federal Register (70 FR 67933-67935, Docket No. 05-041-1) a proposed rule to amend the regulations in 9 CFR part 93 to: (1) Add San Luis, AZ, as a port through which cattle that have been infested with fever ticks or exposed to fever ticks or tick-borne diseases may be imported into the United States; (2) remove provisions that limit the admission of cattle that have been infested with fever ticks or exposed to fever ticks or tick-borne diseases to the State of Texas; and (3) remove provisions that prohibit the movement of such cattle into areas of Texas quarantined because of fever ticks.

We solicited comments concerning our proposal for 60 days ending on January 9, 2005. We received a total of 11 comments by that date. They were from representatives of the cattle industry, State agriculture and animal health departments, and private citizens. Three of the commenters supported the proposed rule. The remaining commenters were opposed to the proposed rule, citing concerns about importing Mexican cattle, maintaining and staffing the new port, or increasing the risk of spreading bovine piroplasmosis (another name for splenetic, southern, or tick fever) to domestic cattle within Texas or California.

APHIS is further analyzing the animal health risks associated with the changes we proposed and is therefore withdrawing the November 9, 2005, proposed rule referenced above. The concerns and recommendations of all the commenters will be considered if any new proposed regulations regarding changes to the fever tick regulations are developed.

**Authority:** 7 U.S.C. 1622 and 8301–8317; 21 U.S.C. 136 and 136a; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.4.

Done in Washington, DC, this 7th day of April 2006.

#### Kevin Shea,

Acting Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service. [FR Doc. E6–5509 Filed 4–12–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–34–P

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### 10 CFR Part 110

RIN 3150-AH89

### Proposed Rule; Revision of NRC Form 7, Application for NRC Export/Import License, Amendment, or Renewal

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is proposing to amend its regulations that govern export and import of nuclear material and equipment concerning the use of NRC Form 7, "Application for NRC Export/ Import License, Amendment, or Renewal." Recently, the Commission revised NRC Form 7 to consolidate all license requests (*i.e.*, applications for export, import, combined export/ import, amendments and renewals) in one application form. Previously, NRC Form 7 was used only for applications for export of nuclear material and equipment. Import license applications, production or utilization facility export applications, and license amendment and renewal applications were filed by letter. As a result of the revision, these requests, previously made by letter, now would be made using NRC Form 7. The purpose of this proposed change is to amend the regulations that govern export and import of nuclear material and equipment to reflect that all license requests are to be made using NRC Form 7, as revised.

**DATES:** The comment period for this proposed rule ends on May 15, 2006. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the NRC is only able to ensure that comments received on or before this date will be considered.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments by any one of the following methods. Please include the following number RIN 3150–AH89 in the subject line of your comments. Comments on rulemaking submitted in writing or in electronic form will be made available for public inspection. Because your comments will not be edited to remove identifying or contact information, the NRC cautions you against including personal information such as social security numbers and birth dates in your submission.

Mail comments to: Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, ATTN: Rulemakings and Adjudications Staff.

E-mail comments to: *SECY@nrc.gov*. If you do not receive a reply confirming that we have received your comments, contact us directly at (301) 415–1966. You may also submit comments via the NRC's rulemaking Web site at *http:// ruleforum.llnl.gov*. Address questions about our rulemaking Web site to Carol Gallagher (301) 415–5905; e-mail *CAG@nrc.gov*. Comments also can be submitted via the Federal eRulemaking Portal at *http://www.regulations.gov*.

Hand deliver comments to: 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852, between 7:30 a.m. and 4:15 p.m. on Federal workdays.

Fax comments to: Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission at (301) 415–1101.

Publicly available documents related to this rulemaking may be viewed electronically on the public computers at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), Public File Area O1 F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville Maryland. Selected documents, including comments, may be viewed and downloaded electronically via the NRC rulemaking Web site at http://ruleforum.llnl.gov.

Publicly available documents created or received at the NRC after November 1, 1999, are available electronically at the NRC's Electronic Reading Room at *http://www.gov/NRC/reading-rm/ adams.html*. From this site, the public can gain entry into the NRC's Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737 or by e-mail to *PDR@nrc.gov*.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brooke G. Smith, International Policy Analyst, Office of International Programs, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555– 0001, telephone (301) 415–2347, e-mail bgs@nrc.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule of the same title published in the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**.

Because the NRC believes that this action is not controversial, the NRC is using the direct final rule process for this rule. The direct final rule will become effective on June 27, 2006. However, if the NRC receives significant adverse comments on this direct final rule by May 15, 2006, the NRC will publish a document that withdraws this action. In that event, the comments received in response to these amendments would then be considered as comments on the companion proposed rule published elsewhere in this Federal Register, and the comments will be addressed in a later final rule based on that proposed rule. Unless the modifications to the proposed rule are significant enough to require that it be republished as a proposed rule, the NRC will not initiate a second comment period on this action.

A significant adverse comment is a comment where the commenter explains why the rule would be inappropriate, including challenges to the rule's underlying premise or approach, or would be ineffective or unacceptable without a change. A comment is adverse and significant if:

(1) The comment opposes the rule and provides a reason sufficient to require a substantive response in a notice-andcomment process. For example, a substantive response is required when:

(a) The comment causes the NRC staff to reevaluate (or reconsider) its position or conduct additional analysis;

(b) The comment raises an issue serious enough to warrant a substantive response to clarify or complete the record; or

(c) The comment raises a relevant issue that was not previously addressed or considered by the NRC staff.

(2) The comment proposes a change or an addition to the rule, and it is apparent that the rule would be ineffective or unacceptable without incorporation of the change or addition.

(3) The comment causes the staff to make a change (other than editorial) to the rule.

### List of Subjects in 10 CFR Part 110

Administrative practice and procedure, Classified information, Criminal penalties, Export, Import, Intergovernmental relations, Nuclear materials, Nuclear power plants and reactors, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Scientific equipment.

For the reasons set out in the preamble and under the authority of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended; the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended; and 5 U.S.C. 553; the NRC is proposing to adopt the following amendments to 10 CFR part 110.

### PART 110—EXPORT AND IMPORT OF NUCLEAR EQUIPMENT AND MATERIAL

1. The authority citation for part 110 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 51, 53, 54, 57, 63, 64, 65, 81, 82, 103, 104, 109, 111, 126, 127, 128, 129, 134, 161, 170H., 181, 182, 187, 189, 68 Stat. 929, 930, 931, 932, 933, 936, 937, 948, 953, 954, 955, 956, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2071, 2073, 2074, 2077, 2092–2095, 2111, 2112, 2133, 2134, 2139, 2139a, 2141, 2154–2158, 2160d., 2201, 2210h., 2231–2233, 2237, 2239); sec. 201, 88 Stat. 1242, as amended (42 U.S.C. 5841); sec. 5, Pub. L. 101–575, 104 Stat. 2835 (42 U.S.C. 2243); sec. 1704, 112 Stat. 2750 (44 U.S.C. 3504 note).

Sections 110.1(b)(2) and 110.1(b)(3) also issued under Pub. L. 96-92, 93 Stat. 710 (22 U.S.C. 2403). Section 110.11 also issued under sec. 122, 68 Stat. 939 (42 U.S.C. 2152) and secs. 54c and 57d, 88 Stat. 473, 475 (42 U.S.C. 2074). Section 110.27 also issued under sec. 309(a), Pub. L. 99-440. Section 110.50(b)(3) also issued under sec. 123, 92 Stat. 142 (42 U.S.C. 2153). Section 110.51 also issued under sec. 184, 68 Stat. 954, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2234). Section 110.52 also issued under sec. 186, 68 Stat. 955 (42 U.S.C. 2236). Sections 110.80-110.113 also issued under 5 U.S.C. 552, 554. Sections 110.30-110.135 also issued under 5 U.S.C. 553. Sections 110.2 and 110.42(a)(9) also issued under sec. 903, Pub. L. 102-496 (42 U.S.C. 2151 et seq.).

2. In 110.7, paragraphs (b) and (c)(1) are revised to read as follows:

### §110.7 Information collection requirements: OMB approval.

\* \* \* \* \* \* (b) The approved information collection requirements contained in this part appear in §§ 110.7a, 110.23, 110.26, 110.27, 110.32, 110.50, 110.52, and 110.53.

(c) \* \* \* (1) In §§ 110.19, 110.20, 110.21, 110.22, 110.23, 110.31,110.32, and 110.51, NRC Form 7 is approved under control number 3150–0027.

3. In § 110.31, paragraph (c) is revised to read as follows:

### §110.31 Application for a specific license.

(c) Applications for an export, import, combined export/import, amendment or renewal licenses under 10 CFR part 110 shall be filed on NRC Form 7.

4. In § 110.51, paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

## §110.51 Amendment and renewal of licenses.

(a) A licensee shall submit an application to renew a license or to amend a license on a completed NRC Form 7.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 7th day of March, 2006.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Luis Reves.

Executive Director for Operations. [FR Doc. E6–5497 Filed 4–12–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### **Federal Aviation Administration**

### 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. FAA-2006-24431; Directorate Identifier 2006-NM-011-AD]

### RIN 2120-AA64

# Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A319, A320, and A321 Airplanes

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation (DOT). **ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

**SUMMARY:** The FAA proposes to adopt a new airworthiness directive (AD) for certain Airbus Model A319, A320, and A321 airplanes. This proposed AD would require a detailed inspection for cracks and marks on the carbon blades of the ram air turbine (RAT), and replacement of the RAT with a new or serviceable RAT if necessary. This proposed AD results from a report of three chord-wise cracks on the aft side of one carbon blade of a certain RAT. We are proposing this AD to detect and correct cracks and/or marks on the RAT carbon blades, which could result in reduced structural integrity of the carbon blade, and consequent loss of the RAT as a source of hydraulic and electrical power in an emergency. DATES: We must receive comments on this proposed AD by May 15, 2006. **ADDRESSES:** Use one of the following addresses to submit comments on this proposed AD.