deliverable outcomes as well as the anticipated impact of these outcomes on the community college, industry partners, and the broader community; and dissemination activities and events for grant deliverables;

4. Highlights of PromisingApproaches and Success Stories; and5. Description of Technical Assistance

Needs.

Final Report. A draft final report must be submitted no later than 60 days prior to the expiration date of the grant. This report must summarize project activities, employment outcomes, and related results of the training project, and should thoroughly document capacity building and training approaches. The final report should also include copies of all deliverables, e.g. curricula and competency models. After responding to DOL questions and comments on the draft report, three copies of the final report must be submitted no later than the grant expiration date. Grantees must agree to use a designated format specified by DOL for preparing the final report.

VII. Agency Contacts

For further information regarding this SGA, please contact Kevin Brumback, Grants Management Specialist, Division of Federal Assistance, at (202) 693-3381 (Please note this is not a toll-free number). Applicants should fax all technical questions to (202) 693-2705 and must specifically address the fax to the attention of Kevin Brumback and should include SGA/DFA PY 05-11, a contact name, fax and phone number, and e-mail address. This announcement is being made available on the ETA Web site at http://www.doleta.gov/sga/ sga.cfm, at http://www.grants.gov, as well as the Federal Register.

VIII. Other Information

Resources for the Applicant

DOL maintains a number of Webbased resources that may be of assistance to applicants.

- The Web site for the Employment and Training Administration (http://www.doleta.gov) is a valuable source for background information on the President's High Growth Job Training Initiative.
- Short descriptions of previously funded Community-Based Job Training Grants can be found at http://www.doleta.gov/BRG/CBJTGrants/.
- The Workforce³ One Web site, http://www.workforce3one.org, is a valuable resource for information about demand-driven projects of the workforce investment system, educators, employers, and economic

development representatives. Additionally, current High Growth and Community-Based Job Training Grantees are posting their deliverables on this Web site.

- America's Service Locator (http://www.servicelocator.org) provides a directory of the nation's One-Stop Career Centers.
- Career Voyages (http:// www.careervoyages), a Web site targeted at youth, parents, counselors, and career changers, provides information about career opportunities in high-growth/ high-demand industries.
- Applicants are encouraged to review "Help with Solicitation for Grant Applications" (http://www.dol.gov/cfbci/sgabrochure.htm).
- For a basic understanding of the grants process and basic responsibilities of receiving Federal grant support, please see "Guidance for Faith-Based and Community Organizations on Partnering with the Federal Government" (http://www.whitehouse.gov/government/fbci/guidance/index.html).

Signed at Washington, DC, this 23rd day of June, 2006.

Eric D. Luetkenhaus.

Employment and Training Administration, Grant Officer.

[FR Doc. 06–5951 Filed 6–30–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Solicitation for Grant Applications (SGA); High Growth Job Training Initiative Grants for the Advanced Manufacturing Industry Correction

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration (ETA), Labor.

ACTION: Notice; correction.

SUMMARY: The Employment and Training Administration published a document in the Federal Register on June 6, concerning the availability of grant funds for new and innovative approaches to meeting the workforce challenges of the advanced manufacturing industry under the President's High Growth Job Training Initiative. This correction is to give notice of an upcoming webinar, to correct the Catalog of Federal Assistance number and to extend the closing date to August 1, 2006.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Melissa Abdullah, Grants Management Specialist, Division of Federal Assistance, (202) 693–3346.

Notice

There will be a webinar held on June 29, 2006 at 2 p.m. Eastern Time titled ETA Competency Initiative: A Competency Model Framework for Advanced Manufacturing. Go to http://www.workforce3one.org/public/skillbuilding/webinar_info.cfm?id=102 to register for this event. A recorded version of the webinar will be posted on this site one day after the event. Questions related to the information presented in the webinar should be directed to Melissa Abdullah, Grants Management Specialist, Division of Federal Assistance, 202–693–3346.

Corrections

In the **Federal Register** of June 6, 2006, in FR Volume 71, Number 108:

—On page 32559, in the second column, is corrected to read:

Catalog of Federal Assistance number: 17.268.

—On page 32566, in the third column, is corrected to read:

Submission Date, Times and Addresses: The closing date for receipt of applications under this announcement is August 1, 2006.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 23rd day of June, 2006.

Eric D. Luetkenhaus,

Grant Officer.

[FR Doc. E6–10362 Filed 6–30–06; 8:45 am]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review: Comment Request

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

summary: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

- 1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Revision.
- 2. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR Part 60—"Disposal of

High-Level Radioactive Wastes in Geologic Repositories."

3. *The form number if applicable:* Not applicable.

4. How often the collection is required: The information need only be submitted one time.

- 5. Who will be required or asked to report: State or Indian Tribes, or their representatives, requesting consultation with the NRC staff regarding review of a potential high-level radioactive waste geologic repository site, or wishing to participate in a license application review for a potential geologic repository (other than a potential geologic repository site at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, currently under investigation by the U.S. Department of Energy, which is now regulated under 10 CFR part 63).
- 6. An estimate of the number of annual responses: 1; however none are expected in the next three years.

7. The number of annual respondents: 1; however none are expected in the next three years.

- 8. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 1; however, none are expected in the next three years.
- 9. An indication of whether section 3507(d), Public Law 104–13 applies: Not applicable.

10. Abstract: Part 60 requires States and Indian Tribes to submit certain information to the NRC if they request consultation with the NRC staff concerning the review of a potential repository site, or wish to participate in a license application review for a potential repository (other than the Yucca Mountain, Nevada site proposed by the U.S. Department of Energy). Representatives of States or Indian Tribes must submit a statement of their authority to act in such a representative capacity. The information submitted by the States and Indian Tribes is used by the Director of the Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards as a basis for decisions about the commitment of NRC staff resources to the consultation and participation efforts. As provided in § 60.1, the regulations in 10 CFR. Part 60 no longer apply to the licensing of a geologic repository at Yucca Mountain. All of the information collection requirements pertaining to Yucca Mountain were included in 10 CFR part 63, and were approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 3150-0199. The Yucca Mountain site is regulated under 10 CFR part 63 (66 FR 55792, November 2, 2001).

A copy of the final supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O–1 F21, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide Web site: http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer listed below by August 2, 2006. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given to comments received after this date.

John A. Asalone, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0127), NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503. Comments can also be e-mailed to John_A._Asalone@omb.eop.gov or submitted by telephone at (202) 395– 4650.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301–415–7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of June, 2006.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Brenda Jo. Shelton,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.

[FR Doc. E6–10350 Filed 6–30–06; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-133]

Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact Related to Issuance of Technical Specification Amendment for the Humboldt Bay Power Plant Unit 3 License DPR-007, Humboldt, CA

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John

Hickman, Division of Waste
Management and Environmental
Protection, Office of Nuclear Material
Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear
Regulatory Commission, Mail Stop:
T7E18, Washington, DC 20555–00001.
Telephone: (301) 415–3017; e-mail:
jbh@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff is considering a

request dated January 19, 2006, by the Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PGE or the Licensee), to approve an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-7 that would revise Technical Specification (TS) 3.1.2 to correct an editorial error, and TS 5.2.2 to allow leaving the Unit 3 control room temporarily unmanned during emergency conditions requiring personnel to evacuate occupied buildings for their safety.

II. Environmental Assessment

Background

Humboldt Bay Power Plant (HBPP) was permanently shut down in July 1976, and until recently was in safe storage condition (SAFSTOR). SAFSTOR is defined as a method of decommissioning in which the nuclear facility is placed and maintained in safe condition for an extended period of time to permit radioactive material to decay to levels that ease subsequent decontamination and decommissioning of the facility. A Decommissioning Plan was approved in July 1988. Subsequent to the 1996 decommissioning rule, the licensee converted its decommissioning plan into its Defueled Safety Analysis Report which is updated every two years. A Post Shutdown Decommissioning Activities Report was issued by the licensee in February 1998. The licensee is now engaged in some incremental decommissioning activities. In December 2003, PG&E formally submitted a license application to the NRC for approval of a dry-cask Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) at the Humboldt Bay site. A license and safety evaluation report for the Humboldt Bay ISFSI were issued on November 17, 2005. PG&E should begin active decommissioning of the facility in 2007.

On June 14, 2005, a magnitude 7.2 earthquake occurred 97 miles WNW of the Humboldt Bay site and was felt onsite. Subsequently a tsunami warning was issued for an area that included the plant site. In accordance with plant emergency procedures, site personnel evacuated the facility to the high ground evacuation site within the owner controlled area of the site. Since TS 5.2.2.c requires continuous staffing of the Unit 3 control room, or alternatively of the Units 1 and 2 control station, this necessitated that the licensee invoke the provisions of 10 CFR 50.54(x) for noncompliance with the TS. Based on this experience, and that the site will continue to be subject to potential earthquakes and tsunamis, the licensee requested the change to the TS to allow temporarily not manning the Unit 3