estimated that each submission is averaged to be 15 hours per respondent for each program. If the nominator is thoroughly familiar with the scientific background of the nominees, time spent to complete the nomination may be considerably reduced.

Respondents: Individuals, businesses or other for-profit organizations, universities, non-profit institutions, and Federal and State governments.

Estimated Number of Responses per Award: 137 responses, broken down as follows: For the President's National Medal of Science, 55; for the Alan T. Waterman Award, 50; for the Vannevar Bush Award, 12; for the Public Service Award, 20.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 2,280 hours, broken down by 900 hours for the President's National Medal of Science (20 hours per 45 respondents); 900 hours for the Alan T. Waterman Award (20 hours per 60 respondents); 180 hours for the Vannevar Bush Award (15 hours per 12 respondents); and 300 hours for the Public Service Award (15 hours per 20 respondents).

Frequency of Responses: Annually. Comments: Comments are invited on (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Âgency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology; or (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Dated: February 24, 2005.

## Suzanne H. Plimpton,

Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.

[FR Doc. 05–3927 Filed 2–28–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

# NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

### Agenda

TIME AND PLACE: 9:30 a.m., Tuesday, March 8, 2005.

**PLACE:** NTSB Conference Center, 429 L'Enfant Plaza SW., Washington, DC 20594.

**STATUS:** The item is open to the public. **MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** 

7628A Marine Accident Report— Allision of Staten Island Ferry Andrew J. Barberi, St. George, Staten Island, New York, October 15, 2003.

News Media Contact: Telephone: (202) 314–6100.

Individuals requesting specific accommodations should contact Ms. Carolyn Dargan at (202) 314–6305 by Friday, February 25, 2005.

**FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT:** Vicky D'Onofrio, (202) 314–6410.

Dated: February 25, 2005.

#### Vicky D'Onofrio,

Federal Register Liaison Officer. [FR Doc. 05–4023 Filed 2–25–05; 1:34 pm]

BILLING CODE 7533-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## Dominion Nuclear Connecticut; Establishment of Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

[Docket No. 50–336 and 50–423; ASLBP No. 05–837–01–LR]  $\,$ 

Pursuant to delegation by the Commission dated December 29, 1972, published in the **Federal Register**, 37 FR 28,710 (1972), and the Commission's regulations, *see* 10 CFR 2.104, 2.300, 2.303, 2.309, 2.311, 2.318, and 2.321, notice is hereby given that an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board is being established to preside over the following proceeding:

### **Dominion Nuclear Connecticut**

(Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Units 2 and 3)

Pursuant to a March 8, 2004 notice of opportunity for hearing published in the **Federal Register** (69 FR 11,897 (Mar. 12, 2004)), a Licensing Board is being established to conduct a proceeding on the February 1, 2005 petition for late intervention of Suffolk County, New York, regarding the January 22, 2004 Dominion Nuclear Connecticut applications for renewal of the Millstone Units 2 and 3 operating licenses.

The Board is comprised of the following administrative judges:
Michael C. Farrar, Chair, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S.
Nuclear Regulatory Commission,
Washington, DC 20555–0001.
Alan S. Rosenthal, Atomic Safety and
Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear

Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

Dr. Peter S. Lam, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

All correspondence, documents, and other materials shall be filed with the administrative judges in accordance with 10 CFR 2.302.

Issued at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of February 2005.

#### G. Paul Bollwerk, III,

Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel.

[FR Doc. 05–3864 Filed 2–28–05; 8:45 am]

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-272 and 50-311]

PSEG Nuclear LLC; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-70 and DPR-75 issued to the Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 (Salem) for operation in Salem County, New Jersey.

The proposed revision would modify the Technical Specification (TS) definition of OPERABILITY with respect to requirements for availability of normal and emergency power. Additionally, the proposed revision would modify the required actions for shutdown power TSs.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Pursuant to the Commission's regulations in Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR), Section 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a

margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does the proposed change involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

Response: No.

The likelihood of an event is not significantly increased since the proposed changes do not alter the types of equipment required to be OPERABLE to supply the minimum required diversity of AC [alternating current] power. Also, the probability of occurrence or the consequences for an accident is not significantly increased by the proposed changes since the minimum configuration of equipment required by [an] individual TS will remain available. Further, the proposed changes do not alter the way any structure, system or component (SSC) functions, do not significantly modify the manner in which the plant is operated, and do not significantly alter equipment out-of-service time. The change to the difference between 1 hour under LCO [limiting condition for operation] 3.0.3 and 4 hours under LCO 3.8.1.1 Action b is not significant since the likelihood of a Design Basis Event (DBE) combined with a Loss of Offsite Power (LOOP) during the additional 3 hours is so low as to be not significant. The allowance for one EDG [emergency diesel generator] to supply all required features in Modes 5 & 6 is not operationally or safety significant since all required features will continue to have required backup power. Further, no changes to the design of structures, systems, or components (SSC) are made and there are no effects on accident mitigation.

Therefore, the proposed changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does the proposed change create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

Response: No.

The possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident or malfunction in the Salem Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) is not created. The allowable outage time is consistent with requirements of Improved Standard Technical Specifications and does not introduce any new of different failure from any previously evaluated or change the manner in which safety systems are operated. The associated system and equipment configurations are no different from those previously evaluated. Therefore a different accident is not created. In addition, the proposed changes cannot initiate an accident. Further, the proposed changes do not change the design function or operation of any SSCs.

Therefore, the proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

3. Does the proposed change involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

Response: No.

The proposed changes continue to provide assurance that an event coincident with failure of an associated diesel generator or offsite power circuit will not result in complete loss of safety function of critical required redundant systems or equipment. In addition, the proposed changes do not change the margin of safety since no SSCs are changed. The results of accident analysis remain unchanged by the proposed [changes].

Therefore, the proposed changes do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21,

11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

Within 60 days after the date of publication of this notice, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.309, which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, or electronically on the Internet at the NRC Web site http://www.nrc.gov/readingrm/doc-collections/cfr/. If there are problems in accessing the document, contact the Public Document Room Reference staff at 1-800-397-4209, (301) 415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.309, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The name, address, and telephone number of the requestor or petitioner; (2) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made party to the proceeding; (3) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (4) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition must also set forth the specific contentions which the petitioner/requestor seeks to have litigated at the proceeding.

Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing. The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. The petition must include sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed by:

(1) First class mail addressed to the

(1) First-class mail addressed to the Office of the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, Attention: Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff; or (2) courier, express mail, or expedited delivery services: Office of the Secretary, Sixteenth Floor, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland 20852, Attention: Rulemaking

and Adjudications Staff; or (3) e-mail addressed to the Office of the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, HearingDocket@nrc.gov; or (4) facsimile transmission addressed to the Office of the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC, Attention: Rulemaking and Adjudications Staff at (301) 415-1101. the verification number is (301) 415-1966. A copy of the request for hearing should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and it is requested that copies be transmitted either by means of facsimile transmission to (301) 415-3725 or by e-mail to OGCMailCenter@nrc.gov. A copy of the request for hearing and petition for leave to intervene should also be sent to Jeffrie J. Keenan, Esquire, PO Box 236, Hancocks Bridge, NJ 08038, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.309(c)(1)(i)—(viii).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated July 23, 2004, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's PDR, located at One White Flint North, File Public Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible from the Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at the NRC Web site, http:// www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, (301) 415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 23rd day of February 2005.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

## Daniel S. Collins,

Senior Project Manager, Section 2, Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 05–3865 Filed 2–28–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### **Sunshine Act Meeting**

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETINGS:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**DATE:** Weeks of February 28, March 7, 14, 21, 28, April 4, 2005.

**PLACE:** Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

### Week of February 28, 2005

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of February 28, 2005.

#### Week of March 7, 2005—Tentative

Monday, March 7, 2005

9:55 a.m. Affirmation Session (Tentative) (Public Meeting) a. Final Rule: Medical Use of Byproduct Material—Recognition of Specialty Boards (Tentative).

10 a.m. Briefing on Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards Programs, Performance, and Plans— Materials Safety (Public Meeting) (Contact: Shamica Walker, (301) 415–5142).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address *http://www.nrc.gov.* 

## Week of March 14, 2005—Tentative

Wednesday, March 16, 2005

9:30 a.m. Meeting with Advisory Committee on Nuclear Waste (ACNW) (Public Meeting) (Contact: John Larkins, (301) 415–7360).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address *http://www.nrc.gov.* 

## Week of March 21, 2005—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of March 21, 2005.

## Week of March 28, 2005—Tentative

Tuesday, March 29, 2005

9:30 a.m. Briefing on Office of Nuclear Security and Incident Response (NSIR) Programs, Performance, and Plans (Public Meeting) (Contact: Robert Caldwell, (301) 415–1243).

This meeting will be webcast live at the Web address <a href="http://www.nrc.gov">http://www.nrc.gov</a>. 1 p.m. Discussion of Security Issues (Closed—Ex. 1).

### Week of April 4, 2005—Tentative

Tuesday, April 5, 2005

9:30 a.m. Briefing on Office of Research (RES) Programs, Performance, and Plans (Public Meeting) (Contact: Alix Dvorak, (301) 415–6601).