

# BOVINE CORNEAL OPACITY AND PERMEABILITY (BCOP) ASSAY - SOP OF MICROBIOLOGICAL ASSOCIATES LTD., UK

The effects of a test compound on the opacity and permeability of a freshly collected bovine cornea can be used as a measure of eye irritancy potential.

---

## Background

This protocol is based on the SOP developed by Gautheron (*INVITTOX N° 98*), which participated in the EC/HO Validation Study and did not meet the criteria set by the management team of this study for its use as a replacement of the Draize rabbit eye irritation test (Balls *et al.*, 1995). A subsequent study (BCOP assay Prevalidation Process; 1997-1998) has been carried out to overcome the previously encountered shortcomings. The new and optimised protocol version is herewith included. The Microbiological Associates Ltd., in collaboration with other laboratories, has refined and optimised the original protocol developed by Gautheron with the aim to assess the effects of some of the variables in the assay in order to eliminate sources of variation, optimise the methodology and reduce inter and intralaboratory variation.

---

## Experimental Description

### Endpoint and

**Endpoint Detection** : - Corneal opacity measured using an opacitometer.  
- Corneal permeability determined using sodium fluorescein and measured spectrophotometrically (increase in OD).

**Test System** : Freshly isolated bovine cornea (intact, epithelium-removed, Descemet's membrane and endothelium-removed; stroma)

Bovine eyes recovered from a slaughterhouse are inspected and undamaged corneas are dissected and mounted in specially constructed holders.

After a 1 hour incubation in media, the basal opacity of each cornea is recorded using an opacitometer.

Two methodologies have been developed to adapt the protocol to the physico-chemical nature of the test compound. The first method (A) is used to test non-surfactant liquids and surfactants. Liquids are tested neat and surfactants, liquid and solid, are diluted at 10%. Both are applied for 10 minutes.

Before reading the final opacity, the corneas are rinsed and incubated for 2 hours in refilled media to equilibrate.

The second method (B) is used with solids, tested at 20% (w/w) solution or suspension in 0.9% NaCl. After 4 hours incubation, the corneas are rinsed and the final opacity measured.

Then the permeability of each cornea is determined with a fluorescein solution after an incubation of 90 minutes. Method A uses a fluorescein concentration of 4 mg/ml and method B uses 5 mg/ml.

---

## Test Compounds

Ten chemicals were selected for use in Phase III of the BCOP prevalidation process: 3 surfactants (anionic and non-ionic), 1 aromatic amine, 1 alcohol, 1 ester, 1 ether, 1 ketone, 1 inorganic chemical and 1 aldehyde.

---

## Prediction Model

The two endpoints, corneal opacity and permeability, are combined to give a final in vitro score and related to the five categories of irritancy: non irritant, mild, moderate, severe, very severe (see section "Evaluation of Test Results" of the present SOP). These in vitro index scores were then compared with in vivo scores (Modified Maximum Average Scores) obtained in the Draize eye test and assigned to appropriate categories.

---

## Modifications of the Method

With respect to the original protocol developed by Gautheron the protocol refinements, carried out during the recent prevalidation study, refer to reagents and procedure adopted; the way of measuring permeability, calculation of the results, the treatment and dilution of test compounds and the kind of positive controls used.

---

## Status

This protocol has successfully been tested in the "BCOP assay Prevalidation Process (1997-1998)". The participating laboratories concluded that the process was effective in improving the reproducibility of the assay.

The refinements introduced into the protocol contributed to an

improvement in the intralaboratory variability of the assay. However, the assay was found to overestimate the irritancy of two chemicals and to underpredict the irritancy of the others of the 10 chemicals tested.

---

NOTE: General comments of the BCOP Method Summary apply. It can be obtained from [ecvam.sis@jrc.it](mailto:ecvam.sis@jrc.it)

**Last update:** August 1999

---

## **Procedure Details, April 1997\***

### **BOVINE CORNEAL OPACITY AND PERMEABILITY (BCOP) ASSAY - SOP OF MICROBIOLOGICAL ASSOCIATES LTD., UK**

*Note: This protocol presents the standard operating procedure used in the study "BCOP assay prevalidation project" (1997). It should be noted that this protocol might need to be modified in light of experience gained in the study.*

## **Contact Person**

Dr. Philip Williamson  
Hill Top-Globe Crown International  
Derby Technical Centre  
13 Charnwood Street  
Derby DE1 2GT

□ The herewith included SOP has been sent to the person responsible for the method to update or confirm it. As soon as new information will become available this version will be updated.

---

## 1. Procedure

### 1.1 SUMMARY

Bovine eyes obtained from the local slaughterhouse are inspected for scratches and defects etc. Undamaged corneas are dissected and mounted in specially constructed holders. After a 1 hour incubation in media, the basal opacity of each cornea is recorded using an opacitometer.

Two methodologies have been developed and are used depending on the physical / chemical nature of the test article. The nature of the test article to be tested will therefore determine the methodology employed.

Method A is used to test non surfactant liquids and surfactants. Liquids are tested neat and surfactants, both liquid and solid, are tested at a 10% dilution and applied to the cornea for 10 minutes. After the 10 minute incubation the corneas are rinsed, the holders refilled with media and the corneas incubated for a further 2 hours in media to equilibrate. The final opacity reading is taken.

Method B is used for the testing of solids which are tested as a 20% slurry for 4 hours. After a 4 hour incubation the corneas are rinsed and the final opacity measurement recorded.

The corneas are then exposed to a fluorescein solution, and the permeability of each cornea determined after an incubation of 90 minutes. Method A uses a fluorescein concentration of 4 mg/ml and Method B uses 5 mg/ml. An aliquot of the media from below the cornea is read in a spectrophotometer to determine the permeability of the cornea to the fluorescein solution. The opacity and permeability values are combined to obtain an in vitro score.

## 1.2 EQUIPMENT

- Opacitometer (see Appendix A)
- Cornea holders ~25
- Spectrophotometer (see Appendix B)
- Water bath 32°C
- Vacuum pump
- Scalpel
- Scissors
- Forceps
- Electric Screwdriver
- Mortar & Pestle
- Positive displacement pipette
- Micro pipettes
- 5ml Syringes
- 30ml Syringes
- Needles (19G11/21,1 x 40)
- Cuvettes

## 1.3 MEDIA AND REAGENTS:

**Media: Clear media without phenol red is to be used throughout the study**

MEM without Phenol Red [Life Technologies; Cat No.51200  
]

or

Powdered MEM dissolved in sterile deionised H<sub>2</sub>O

[Sigma; Cat No. M-3024]

with added sodium bicarbonate [Sigma; Cat No. S-5761]

L-glutamine [Gibco; Cat No.043-05030]

Foetal Bovine Serum (FBS) [PAA; Cat No.A15-652]

Preparation of complete MEM (cMEM):

To MEM add 1% L-glutamine and 1% FBS (To be freshly prepared at the beginning of each assay)

Hank's Balanced Salt Solution W/O Phenol Red (HBSS)

[Life Technologies; Cat No. 14025-050]

or

Powdered HBSS dissolved in sterile deionised H<sub>2</sub>O

[Sigma; Cat No.H-1387]

Penicillin-Streptomycin (10000 IU/ml-10000 IU/ml) solution

[Life technologies; Cat No. 15140-114]

0.9% NaCl Solution [Sigma; Cat No. S-8776]  
or  
Deionised H<sub>2</sub>O plus 0.9% NaCl (0.9g / 100 ml)  
[Sigma; Cat No. S 7653]

Preparation of Stock Fluorescein solution; (see Appendix C)  
cMEM plus Sodium Fluorescein [Sigma; Cat No. F-6377]

Ethanol [Sigma-Aldrich; Cat No. 27,074-1]

Benzalkonium Chloride [Sigma; Cat No. B1383]

Imidazole [Sigma-Aldrich; Cat No. I,20-2]

All chemicals and solutions to be disposed after 1 year of purchase or preparation unless an expiry date is stipulated on the original packaging.

## 2. Methodology

### 2.1 pH

An estimate of pH for each neat (liquid) test article or diluted test article (if diluted/suspended in 0.9% NaCl) will be determined and recorded using universal pH paper.

### 2.2 BOVINE EYES

Bovine eyes, excised by an abattoir employee, will be collected as soon after slaughter as possible. Care should be taken to avoid damaging the cornea during excision. Excised eyes will be contained and transported to the laboratory in HBSS containing 1% (v/v) Penicillin/Streptomycin Solution (enough to cover all eyes in the receptacle) at room temperature. The eyes will generally be used within 3 hours ( $\pm 1$  hour) after slaughter.

### 2.3 PREPARATION OF CORNEAS

All eyes will be carefully examined macroscopically for defects (opacity, scratches, pigmentation, etc) and those exhibiting defects will be discarded. The tissue surrounding the eyeball will be carefully pulled away and the cornea will be dissected such that approximately 2 to 3mm of sclera is present around the cornea. The isolated corneas will be stored in a petri dish containing HBSS plus 1% Penicillin/streptomycin Solution until all corneas are dissected.

The corneas are mounted immediately in the corneal holders with the endothelial side against the O-ring of the posterior half of the holder. The cornea should be gently flattened over the O-ring and holder surface with a wetted, gloved finger to expel any air. The anterior half of the holder will then be positioned on top of the cornea and fixed in place with screws. Both compartments of the corneal holder will be filled with cMEM, using a 30ml syringe. The posterior compartment will always be filled first to return the cornea to its natural concave position. Care should be taken to make sure no air bubbles are present within the holders. The holders will be plugged and incubated for 1 hour $\pm$ 5 min at 32°C $\pm$ 2°C in a water bath.

## 2.4 TREATMENT GROUPS

Three corneas will be treated with each test article solution/suspension. Three corneas per assay will be treated with the positive control and three corneas with 0.9% NaCl as the negative control group.

One of two treatment methods (Method A or B) will be used depending on the physical nature and chemical characteristics (liquid or surfactant versus non-surfactant solid) of the test article. The controls used will depend on the method being used.

## 2.5 CONTROLS

Test Article Positive Control

Method A Liquid test articles ethanol

Surfactant test articles benzalkonium chloride (10%)

Method B Solid test articles imidazole (20%)

Negative Control 0.9% saline

## 2.6 TREATMENT OF CORNEAS

At the end of the one hour incubation period, the medium will be removed from both compartments using a suitable pipette tip or flat ended needle attached to a vacuum pump to ensure complete evacuation, and replaced with fresh cMEM. Again, care should be taken to make sure no air bubbles are present within the holders. The posterior compartment will be plugged and the anterior left unplugged for opacity determination.

## 2.7 OPACITY MEASUREMENT

The opacitometer will determine the light transmission through the centre of each mounted cornea. A numerical opacity value (arbitrary unit) will be displayed and recorded. The opacitometer will be calibrated

at the start of each experiment in each assay (see Appendix A) and the opacity of each of the corneas will be determined by reading each holder in the right hand chamber of a calibrated opacitometer.

Once the basal opacity of all corneas has been recorded, the mean value of all corneas can be taken and any corneas deviating from this by more than 3 units will be discarded. Sets of three corneas can be selected randomly for treatment with each test article, positive control compound and negative control.

Immediately prior to treatment the medium will be removed from the anterior compartment of the holder using a suitable pipette tip or flat ended needle attached to a vacuum pump, taking extra care to make sure all excess liquid has been removed. This will be replaced with the test article, positive control compound or negative control

### **2.7.1 Method A:**

Non surfactant liquids and the positive control compound (ethanol) will be tested neat (100%). Known surfactants (either solids or liquids) and positive control (Benzalkonium Chloride) will be tested at a 10% (w/w) concentration in 0.9% NaCl.

Seven hundred and fifty  $\mu$ l of a test substance will be introduced into the anterior part of the holder using a suitable micro pipette, or if the test article is viscous, a suitable positive displacement pipette will be used. Control corneas will also be treated with 750 $\mu$ l of the negative control (0.9% NaCl ) and with the positive control.

The anterior compartment will be plugged. The holder will be turned to a horizontal position and slightly rotated to ensure uniform covering of the test substance over the cornea, and will be incubated in a horizontal position at  $32\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 10 minutes ( $\pm 30$  seconds) in a water bath.

The test substance will then be removed and the epithelium will be washed at least 3 times (or until the wash medium is clear) with approximately 3 ml of cMEM using a syringe to add media. After each wash the medium will be removed using a pipette tip or flat ended needle attached to a vacuum pump. If the test article proves difficult to remove by this method, the front cover may be removed and the cornea carefully washed using a gentle stream of cMEM from a wash bottle.

The anterior compartment will then be refilled with cMEM using a syringe. Care should be taken to ensure that there are no air bubbles in the compartment. Once all air bubbles have been removed the anterior compartment is re-plugged, the corneas will then be incubated for 2 hours  $\pm 10$  minutes at  $32\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$  in the water bath.



At the completion of the 2 hrs incubation period, the media will be removed from the anterior and the posterior compartments using a pipette tip or flat ended needle attached to a vacuum pump and replaced with fresh cMEM, again making sure no air bubbles are present. The posterior compartment will be re-plugged, and the opacity of each cornea will be recorded. The values obtained at this measurement will be recorded and used in calculating the corneal opacity.

The corneas will be observed for opaque spots or other irregularities and these will be noted on the workbook and raw data forms.

### **2.7.2 Method B:**

Solid materials and the positive control compound (imidazole) will be tested at 20% (w/w) solution or suspension in 0.9% NaCl. Homogeneous preparations can be prepared in a mortar and pestle by grinding the test article with a small amount of 0.9% NaCl and slowly adding the remaining amount.

Seven hundred and fifty  $\mu$ l of the test substance, negative control (0.9% NaCl) or positive control will be introduced into the anterior part of the holder using a suitable positive displacement pipette. The front cover may be removed to obtain even coverage of viscous solutions or pastes. The holder will be slightly rotated (with the corneas maintained in a horizontal position) to ensure uniform covering of the test substance over the cornea. Both compartments will be plugged and the corneas incubated in a horizontal position at  $32\pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 4 hours  $\pm$  5 minutes in a water bath.

After incubation, the test substance, negative control or positive control compound will be removed and the epithelium washed at least 3 times (or until the cornea is free of particles) with approximately 3 ml of cMEM each time using a syringe to add media and a vacuum to remove it. If the test article proves difficult to remove by this method, the front cover may be removed and the cornea gently washed with cMEM using a wash bottle.

The media in the anterior and the posterior compartments will then be removed and replaced with fresh cMEM, again making sure no air bubbles are present in the holder. The posterior compartment will be plugged and an opacity measurement performed immediately without any further incubation.

The corneas will be observed for opaque spots or other irregularities and these noted on the workbook and raw data forms.

## 2.8 PERMEABILITY DETERMINATIONS

When carrying out this assay for the first time, a calibration curve for the spectrophotometer to be used must be carried out. (see Appendix B).

Each assay also requires the preparation and reading of two samples of quality control solution (see Appendix C).

### 2.8.1 Method A:

After the final opacity measurement is performed, the medium will be removed from the anterior compartment using a suitable pipette tip or flat ended needle attached to a vacuum pump. One ml of a 4 mg/ml fluorescein solution (see Appendix C) will be added to the anterior compartment using a micro pipette.

### 2.8.2 Method B:

After the opacity measurement is performed, the medium will be removed from the anterior compartment using a suitable pipette tip or flat ended needle attached to a vacuum pump and replaced with 1ml of a 5 mg/ml fluorescein solution (see Appendix C).

### 2.8.3 Method A and B:

After the addition of the fluorescein solution to the anterior side of the holder, the compartment will be plugged and the corneas will be incubated in a horizontal position for 90 minutes  $\pm$  5 minutes at  $32\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$  in a water bath.

After incubation the medium in the posterior chamber will be mixed by drawing ~2.5ml gently up and down a 5ml syringe with a needle attached 3 times. An aliquot of the mixed medium from the posterior chamber will be removed using the syringe and needle, and transferred to a cuvette with a 1cm path length.

The spectrophotometer will be adjusted to read at OD<sub>490</sub> and a sample of cMEM read. The spectrophotometer will be blanked on this solution prior to reading the transferred solutions. Any solutions giving an OD<sub>490</sub> beyond the range of the spectrophotometer (see Appendix B) will be diluted 1:4 in cMEM.

## 2.9 HOLDER CLEANING

All holders should be stripped at the end of the assay by removing the screws, glass holder rings, glass and the centre O-ring. The separate parts should be washed, and preferably steeped in hot water containing a suitable detergent. Care should be taken to ensure all traces of Na-fluorescein are removed. All parts should then be rinsed in water to remove all detergent and allowed to dry.

### 3. Criteria for Determination of a Valid Test

The test will be accepted if the positive control causes an *In Vitro* Score that falls within two standard deviations of the current historical mean.

Ethanol: 36.0 to 56.0

Benzalkonium chloride: 98.8 to 209.2

Imidazole: 111.2 to 164.0

### 4. Evaluation of Test Results

The *In Vitro* Score is generated from the opacity and permeability measurements as described below. A suitable computer spreadsheet can be used to make the following calculations (See Appendix D).

#### 4.1 OPACITY

The change in opacity value of each treated cornea or positive control and negative control corneas will be calculated by subtracting the initial basal opacity from the post treatment opacity reading, for each individual cornea.

The average change in opacity for the negative control corneas will be calculated and this value subtracted from the change in opacity of each treated cornea or positive control to obtain a corrected opacity.

The mean corrected opacity value of each treatment group will be calculated from the individual corrected opacity values of the treated corneas for each treatment condition.

#### 4.2 PERMEABILITY

The corrected OD<sub>490</sub> value (permeability) of each treated or positive control cornea will be calculated by subtracting the average negative control cornea value from the original permeability value for each

cornea.

The mean corrected permeability values of each treatment group will be calculated from the individual corrected permeability values of the treated corneas for each treatment condition.

### 4.3 IN VITRO SCORE CALCULATION

The following formula is used to determine the *In Vitro* Score:

***In Vitro Score = Corrected Opacity Value + (15 x Corrected OD490 Value)***

***The In Vitro Score will be calculated for each individual treatment and positive control cornea. The mean In Vitro Score value for each treatment group will be calculated from the individual In Vitro Score values.***

### 4.4 DATA INTERPRETATION

The following classification system was established by Gautheron et al (1992) and refined by Vanparys et al 1994 for materials tested under standard conditions. Results from test situations should be compared to known materials tested under similar conditions.

#### Proposed Prediction Model

Draize <i>in vivo</i> Score	Draize Irritation Scale	<i>In Vitro</i> Score	Proposed <i>In Vitro</i> Irritation Scale
0 - 0.9	minimal	0 - 3	non eye irritant
1 - 25	minimal/slight	3.1-25	mild eye irritant
26 - 56	moderate	25.1-55	moderate eye irritant
57 - 84	marked	55.1-80	severe eye irritant
85 - 110	extreme	>80.1	very severe eye irritant

## 5. Regulatory Requirements/Good Laboratory Practice

This assay will be performed in compliance with the provisions of the

## Good Laboratory Practice Regulations for Non clinical Laboratory Studies.

### Appendix A

#### Calibration of Opacitometer

An opacitometer (formerly from Electro Design) can be obtained from STAG BIO at the following address:

STAG BIO  
Rond Point La Pardieu 6  
av. Michel Ange  
BP 09F 63063  
CLERMONT FD Cedex 01  
FRANCE

The opacitometer will be calibrated at the beginning of every experiment on ever test day as follows:

- The unit will be switched on and allowed to warm up for at least 10 minutes prior to calibration.
- With both calibration blocks inserted into the reading chambers, the balance knob will be adjusted to give a reading of zero. Calibrator number 1 will be inserted into the right hand calibration block and a reading taken. Calibrator number 1 should be adjusted to read 75 with the calibration knob on the opacitometer.
- The other two calibrators can be checked in the right hand calibration block and should fall into the range of 145-155 (calibrator 2), 218-232 (calibrator 3).

Once calibrated, the unit should be left on for the duration of the test.

If the opacitometer does not read within these ranges, the unit should be recalibrated by the manufacturer, STAG BIO.

Protocol of BCOP only requires the use of the right hand chamber of the opacitometer for reading the opacity. A calibration block should be left in the left hand reading chamber of the opacitometer for the duration of the assay and the opacity of the treated corneas will be read in the right hand chamber only.

## Appendix B

### Spectrophotometer linearity

The linearity of the spectrophotometer to be used in these studies and its ability to replicate the readings obtained by other users of the BCOP must be determined. The following process is intended to identify any difference in individual spectrophotometers used in different laboratories.

The optical density (OD) of a series of dilutions of Na-fluorescein (NaF) solutions in cMEM should be recorded.

A (100X) stock solution of Na-fluorescein (NaF) is made by dissolving 0.2g NaF in 100ml cMEM; a second stock solution (1X) is then prepared by diluting 1ml of the first stock (100X) in 100ml of cMEM in a standard flask; a concentration of 20 $\mu$ g/ml is achieved.


A series of 22 cuvettes will be prepared as described in Table 1. The OD determination is performed at 490 nm and results should closely follow those displayed in Figure 1.

Table 1: Preparation of the Standard Dilution Series of Na-fluorescein (NaF) in cMEM.

Cuvette No.	# $\mu$ l cMEM	# $\mu$ l stock 1x	Concentration ( $\mu$ g/ml)
1	0	2,000	20
2	100	1,900	19
3	200	1,800	18
4	300	1,700	17
5	400	1,600	16
6	500	1,500	15
7	600	1,400	14
8	700	1,300	13
9	800	1,200	12
10	900	1,100	11
11	1,000	1,000	10
12	1,100	900	9

13	1,200	800	8
14	1,300	700	7
15	1,400	600	6
16	1,500	500	5
17	1,600	400	4
18	1,700	300	3
19	1,800	200	2
20	1,900	100	1
blank 21&22	2,000	0	0

**Figure 1: Example of a Calibration curve of a Spectrophotometer using a serial dilution of Na-F Solution in cMEM**



A graph similar to that shown in Figure 1 should be prepared and used to determine the linear range of each spectrophotometer and thus determine the upper limit of absorbance. Solutions recording absorbance above the linear portion should be diluted further.

**Figure 1 demonstrates spectrophotometer linearity below an OD490 of 1.80, hence if the OD490 > 1.80, a dilution factor of 1:4 will be required.**

## Appendix C

### Preparation & Quality Control of Na-fluorescein Solution for use in the BCOP Assay

#### Method A;

Liquid/surfactant test compounds

A stock solution of Na-fluorescein (1g dissolved in cMEM 250ml) is prepared.

This is diluted 1/400 in cMEM in two steps;

Step 1: 950  $\mu$ l cMEM + 50  $\mu$ l Na-F stock;

Step 2: 50  $\mu$ l of Step 1 solution + 950  $\mu$ l cMEM dilution is performed.

The same process should be repeated to obtain two separate solutions for testing. The final solution from Step 2 is measured on the spectrophotometer after blanking on 1 ml of cMEM. The two values obtained are averaged and this reading must be between 1.71 and 1.91.

If the final dilution is within the specified range, the stock solution can be aliquoted into suitable vials and stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 5^{\circ}\text{C}$  in the dark until required for use. To improve the consistency between assays, vials can be thawed and diluted for use on the day of assay. Any prepared solution not required should be discarded.

#### Method B;

Solid test compounds

A stock solution of Na-fluorescein (1.25g dissolved in cMEM 250ml) is prepared.

This is diluted 1/500 in cMEM in two steps.

Step1: 950  $\mu$ l cMEM + 50  $\mu$ l Na-F stock;

Step2: 40  $\mu$ l of Step 1 solution + 960  $\mu$ l cMEM dilution is performed.



The same dilution sequence should be repeated to obtain two separate solutions for testing. The final solution from Step 2 is measured on the spectrophotometer after blanking on 1 ml of cMEM. The two values obtained are averaged and this reading must be between 1.71 and 1.91.

If the final dilution is within the specified range, the stock solution can be aliquoted into suitable vials and stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 5^{\circ}\text{C}$  in the dark until required for use. To improve the consistency between assays, vials can be thawed and diluted for use on the day of assay. Any prepared solution not required should be discarded..

---

## Bibliographic References

- Anon. (1998)  
The Bovine Corneal Opacity and Permeability (BCOP) assay. Final report of the "Evaluation of the Prevalidation Process", pp. 68. Microbiological Associates Bioservices, Scotland.
- Balls, M., Botham, P., Bruner, L. & Spielmann, H. (1995).  
The EC/Home Office international validations study on alternatives to the Draize eye irritation test for the classification and labelling of chemicals.  
*Toxicology In Vitro* **9**, 871-929.
- Casterton, P.L., Potts, L.F. & Klein, B.D. (1996).  
A novel approach to assessing eye irritation potential using the bovine corneal opacity and permeability assay.  
*Journal of Toxicology-Cutaneous and Ocular Toxicology* **15(2)**, 147-163.
- Chamberlain, M., Gad, S.C., Gautheron, P. & Prinsen, M.K. (1997).  
IRAG Working Group 1. Organotypic models for the assessment/prediction of ocular irritation.  
*Food and Chemical Toxicology* **35**, 23-37.
- Cottin, M., Baudoin, J.E., Mery, L., Rougier, A. & Dossou, K.G. (1991).  
Conservation of the isolated cornea: Application study of surfactant-based formulations. Poster presented at the SPTC meeting on Draize alternatives, Paris, October 1991.
- Doughty, M.J. (1997).  
Changes in lactate dehydrogenase activity in bovine corneal stroma and epithelium in response to in vitro toxic challenges in

the enucleated eye test.  
*Optometry and Vision Science*, **74(4)**, 198-206.

- Doyle, J.M., Dressler, W.E., Spence, E.T. & Rathui, S. (1994). Utility of two in vitro ocular irritation models the bovine corneal opacity and permeability assay BCOP and the SKIN2TM model ZK1200 in categorizing and ranking the relative ocular irritancy potential of chemicals and personal care products.  
*In Vitro Toxicology* **7(2)**, 191.
- Draize, J.H., Woodard, G. and Calvery, H.O. (1944) Methods for the study of irritation and toxicity of substances applied topically to the skin and mucous membranes,  
*J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* **82**,377-390.
- Galer, D.M., Curren, R., Gad, S.C., Gautheron, P., Leong, B., Miller, K., Sargent, E., Shah, P.V., Sina., J. & Sussman, R.G. (1992).  
An 11-company collaborative evaluation of alternatives to the eye irritation test using chemical intermediates. Poster presented at the 10th Anniversary Symposium of the Centre for Alternatives to Animal Testing at Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, April 1992.
- Gautheron, P., Dukic, M., Alix, D. and J. F. Sina. (1992) Bovine Corneal Opacity and Permeability Test: An In Vitro Assay of Ocular Irritancy.  
*Fundamental and Applied Toxicology* **18**, 442-449.
- Gautheron, P., et al (1994) Interlaboratory assessment of the bovine cornea opacity and permeability (BCOP) assay,  
*Toxicol. in Vitro* **8**,381-392.
- Gautheron, P. (1991). Opacity and corneal permeability: An in vitro ocular irritation test. Poster presented at the SPTC meeting on Draize alternatives, Paris, October 1991.
- Gautheron, P., Giroux, J., Cottin, M., Audegond, L., Morilla, A., Mayordomo-Blanco, L., Tortjada, A., Haynes, G., Vericat, J.A., Piovano, R., Gillio Tos, E., Hagemann, C., Vanpary, S., Deknudt, G., Jacobs, G., Prinsen, M., Kalweit, S. & Spilemann, H. (1994). Interlaboratory assessment of the bovine corneal opacity and permeability (BCOP) assay.  
*Toxicology In Vitro* **8(3)**, 381-392.
- Gettings, S.D., Lordo, R.A., Hintze, K.L., Bagley, D.M., Casterton, P.L., Chudkowski, M. Curren, R.D., Demetrulias, J.L., Dispasquale, L.C., Earl, L.K., Feder, P.I., Galli, C.L., Glaza, S.M.,

- Gordon, V.C., Janus, J., Kurtz, P.J., Marenus, K.D., Moral, J., Pape, W.J., Renskers, K.J., Rheins, L.A., Roddy, M.T., Rozen, M.G., Tedeschi, J.P. & Zyracki, J. (1996).  
The CTFA Evaluation of Alternatives Program: An evaluation of in vitro alternatives to the Draize primary eye irritation test. (Phase III). Surfactant-based formulations.  
*Food and Chemical Toxicology* **34(1)**, 79-117.
- Griffith, J.F., Nixon, G.A., Bruce, R.D., Reer, P.J. & Bannan, E.A. (1980).  
Dose-response studies with chemical irritants in the albino rabbits eye as a basis for selecting optimum testing conditions for predicting hazard to the human eye.  
*Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology* **55**, 501-513.
  - Kennah, H.E., Hignet, S., Laux, P.E., Dorko, J.D. & Barrow, C.S. (1989).  
An objective procedure for quantitating eye irritation based upon changes of corneal thickness.  
*Fundamental and Applied Toxicology* **12**, 258-268.
  - Muir, C.K. (1987).  
Surfactant-induced opacity of bovine isolated cornea: an epithelial phenomenon?  
*Toxicology Letters* **38**, 51-54.
  - Muir, C.K. (1987).  
Qualitative differences in opacification and thickness of bovine cornea in vitro induced by acid, alkali, surfactant or methanol. *Alternatives to Laboratory Animals* **14**, 279-287.
  - Rachui, S.R., Robertson, W.D., Duke, M.A., Paller, B.S. & Ziets, G.A. (1994).  
Predicting the ocular irritation potential of cosmetics and personal care products using two in vitro models.  
*In Vitro Toxicology* **7**, 45-52.
  - Romero, A., Grau, M.T., Villamayor, F., Zapatero, J., Mayordomo, L., Tortajada, A., Sacristan, A. & Ortiz, J.A. (1996).  
Ocular tolerance of sertaconazole gel.  
*Mycoses* **39(1-2)**, 57-60.
  - Rougier, A., Cottin, M., de Silva, O., Roguet, R., Catroux, P., Toufic, A. & Dossou, K.-G. (1992).  
*In vitro* methods: Their relevance and complementarity in ocular safety assessment.  
*Lens and Eye Toxicity Research* **9**, 229-245.
  - Sina, J.F. (1994).  
Validation of the bovine corneal opacity-permeability assay as a

predictor of ocular irritation potential.  
*In Vitro Toxicology* **7(3)**, 283-290.

- Sina, J.F., Galer, D.M., Sussman, R.G., Gautheron, P.D, Sargent, E.V., Leong, B., Shah, P.V., Curren, R.D. & Miller, K. (1995).  
A collaborative evaluation of seven alternatives to the Draize eye irritation test using pharmaceutical intermediates.  
*Fundamental and Applied Toxicology*, **26(1)**, 20-31.
- Swanson, J.E., Lake, L.K., Donnelly, T.A., Harbell, J.W. & Huggins, J. (1995).  
Prediction of ocular irritancy of full-strength cleaners and strippers by tissue-equivalent and bovine corneal assays.  
*Journal of Toxicology-Cutaneous and Ocular Toxicology*, **14(3)**, 179-195.
- Vanparys, Ph., Deknudt, Gh., Sysmans, M., Teuns, G., Coussement, W. and Van Caueren, H. (1994)  
Evaluation of the bovine opacity-permeability assay as an in vitro alternative to the Draize eye irritation test,  
*Toxicol. in Vitro* **7**, 471-476.
- Tchao, R. (1988). Trans-epithelial permeability of fluorescein in vitro as an assay to determine eye irritants. In *Alternative Methods in Toxicology*, Volume 6, Progress in In Vitro Toxicology, (ed A.M. Goldberg), pp. 271-283. New York: Mary Ann Liebert, Inc.