

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION IV 611 RYAN PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 400 ARLINGTON, TEXAS 76011-8064

March 31, 2000

Mr. J. V. Parrish (Mail Drop 1023) Chief Executive Officer Energy Northwest P.O. Box 968 Richland, Washington 99352-0968

SUBJECT: PLANT PERFORMANCE REVIEW - WNP-2

Dear Mr. Parrish:

The purpose of this letter is to communicate our assessment of your performance and to inform you of our planned inspections at your facility. On March 2, 2000, we completed a Plant Performance Review (PPR) of the WNP-2 facility. We conduct these reviews to develop an integrated overview of the safety performance of each operating nuclear power plant. We use the results of the PPR in planning and allocating inspection resources and as inputs to our senior management meeting (SMM) process. This PPR evaluated inspection results and safety performance information for the period from January 25, 1999, through February 11, 2000, but emphasized the last 6 months to ensure that our assessment reflected your current performance. Our most recent summary of plant performance at WNP-2 was provided to you in a letter dated September 16, 1999.

The NRC has been developing a revised reactor oversight process that will replace our existing inspection and assessment processes, including the PPR, the SMM, and the Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance (SALP). We recently completed a pilot program for the revised reactor oversight process at nine participating sites and are making necessary adjustments based on feedback and lessons learned. We are beginning initial implementation of the revised reactor oversight process industry-wide, including your facility, on April 2, 2000.

This PPR reflects continued process improvements as we make the transition into the revised reactor oversight process. You will notice that the following summary of plant performance is organized differently from our previous performance summaries. Instead of characterizing our assessment results by SALP functional area, we are organizing the results into the strategic performance arenas embodied in the revised reactor oversight process. Additionally, in assessing your performance, we have considered the historical performance indicator data that you submitted in January 2000 in conjunction with the inspection results. The results of this PPR were used to establish the inspection plan in accordance with the new risk-informed inspection program (consisting of baseline and supplemental inspections). Although this letter incorporates some terms and concepts associated with the new oversight process, it does not reflect the much broader changes in inspection and assessment that will be evident after we have fully implemented our revised reactor oversight process.

During the last 6 months, WNP-2 completed a refueling outage and otherwise typically operated at or near full power. We noted that you conservatively reduced power to 80 percent during the Year 2000 transition.

Based on a review of inspection results and the performance indicators, we did not identify any significant performance issues in the reactor safety, radiation safety, or safeguards strategic performance arenas.

As a result, only baseline inspections are planned. However, during the assessment period we noted numerous instances of minor inaccuracies in your Technical Specifications. We plan to monitor your development of an electronic design basis as it relates to this issue.

Enclosure 1 contains a historical listing of plant issues, referred to as the Plant Issues Matrix (PIM), that was used during this PPR process to arrive at our integrated view of your performance trends. The PIM for this assessment is grouped by the prior SALP functional areas of operations, maintenance, engineering, and plant support, although the future PIM will be organized along the cornerstones of safety as described in the revised reactor oversight process. The enclosed PIM includes items summarized from inspection reports or other docketed correspondence regarding WNP-2. We did not document all aspects of licensee programs and performance that may be functioning appropriately. Rather, we only documented issues that we believe warrant management attention or represent noteworthy aspects of performance. In addition, the PPR may also have considered some predecisional and draft material that does not appear in the attached PIM, including observations from events and inspections that had occurred since our last inspection report was issued but had not yet received full review and consideration. We will make this material publically available as part of the normal issuance of our inspection reports and other correspondence.

Enclosure 2 lists our planned inspections for the period April 2000 through March 2001 at WNP-2 to allow you to resolve scheduling conflicts and personnel availability in advance of our inspector arrival onsite. The inspection schedule for the latter half of the period is more tentative and may be adjusted in the future because of emerging performance issues at WNP-2 or other Region IV facilities. Routine resident inspections are not listed because of their ongoing and continuous nature.

We will inform you of any changes to the inspection plan. If you have any questions, please contact me at 817/860-8137.

Sincerely,

/RA/

Linda Joy Smith, Chief Project Branch E Division of Reactor Projects

Docket No.: 50-397 License No.: NPF-21 Enclosures:

- 1. Plant Issues Matrix
- 2. Inspection Plan

cc w/enclosures: Chairman Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council P.O. Box 43172 Olympia, Washington 98504-3172

Rodney L. Webring (Mail Drop PE08) Vice President, Operations Support/PIO Energy Northwest P.O. Box 968 Richland, Washington 99352-0968

Greg O. Smith (Mail Drop 927M) Vice President, Generation Energy Northwest P.O. Box 968 Richland, Washington 99352-0968

D. W. Coleman (Mail Drop PE20) Manager, Regulatory Affairs Energy Northwest P.O. Box 968 Richland, Washington 99352-0968

Albert E. Mouncer (Mail Drop 1396) General Counsel Energy Northwest P.O. Box 968 Richland, Washington 99352-0968

Paul Inserra (Mail Drop PE20) Manager, Licensing Energy Northwest P.O. Box 968 Richland, Washington 99352-0968

Thomas C. Poindexter, Esq. Winston & Strawn 1400 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3502

Energy Northwest

Bob Nichols State Liaison Officer Executive Policy Division Office of the Governor P.O. Box 43113 Olympia, Washington 98504-3113

John L. Erickson, Director Division of Radiation Protection Department of Health Airdustrial Center Building #5 P.O. Box 47827 Olympia, Washington 98504-7827

Max E. Benitz, Jr., Chairman Board of Benton County Commissioners P.O. Box 190 Prosser, Washington 99350

Sue Miller, Chair Board of Franklin County Commissioners 1016 North 4th Street Pasco, Washington 99301 **Energy Northwest**

-5-

bcc to DCD (IE40)

bcc electronic distribution from ADAMS by RIV: Regional Administrator (EWM) DRP Director (KEB) DRS Director (ATH) Senior Resident Inspector (GDR) Branch Chief, DRP/E (LJS) Senior Project Engineer, DRP/E (GAP) Branch Chief, DRP/TSS (LAY) RITS Coordinator (NBH) B. Henderson, PAO (BWH) C. A. Hackney, RSLO (CAH) C. J. Gordon (CJG) DRS Branch Chiefs (GMG, DAP, JLP) W. D. Travers, EDO (WDT) W. M. Dean, Chief, NRR/DIPM/IIPB (WMD) R. K. Frahm, PPR Program Manager, NRR/ILPB (RKF) B. A. Boger, Associate Dir. for Inspection and Programs (BAB2) B. W. Sheron, Associate Dir. for Project Licensing and Technical Analysis (BWS) G. M. Tracy, Chief, Regional Operations Staff, OEDO (GMT) S. Richards, NRR Project Director (SAR) S. Dembek, Chief, Section 2, NRR/DLPM (SXD) J. Cushing, NRR Project Manager (JXC9)

Hard Copy: RIV File Room Records Center, INPO

RIV:C:DRP/E	D:DRS	D:DRP	Signature	
LJSmith;df	ATHowell	KEBrockman	LJSmith	
3/23/00 (JFM for)	3/29/00 (ATH)	3/30/00 (EEC for)	3/30/00 (LJS)	

DOCUMENT NAME: S:\PPR 2000-01\PPR Letters\WNP.wpd To receive copy of document, indicate in box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E" = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY