

# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## REGION IV 611 RYAN PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 400 ARLINGTON, TEXAS 76011-8064

March 31, 2000

Gregory M. Rueger, Senior Vice President and General Manager Nuclear Power Generation Bus. Unit Pacific Gas and Electric Company Nuclear Power Generation, B32 77 Beale Street, 32nd Floor P.O. Box 770000 San Francisco, California 94177

SUBJECT: PLANT PERFORMANCE REVIEW - DIABLO CANYON, UNITS 1 AND 2

Dear Mr. Rueger:

The purpose of this letter is to communicate our assessment of your performance and to inform you of our planned inspections at your facility. On March 2, 2000, we completed a Plant Performance Review (PPR) of the Diablo Canyon, Units 1 and 2, facility. We conduct these reviews to develop an integrated overview of the safety performance of each operating nuclear power plant. We use the results of the PPR in planning and allocating inspection resources and as inputs to our senior management meeting (SMM) process. This PPR evaluated inspection results and safety performance information for the period from January 25, 1999, through February 11, 2000, but emphasized the last 6 months to ensure that our assessment reflected your current performance. Our most recent summary of plant performance at Diablo Canyon was provided to you in a letter dated September 16, 1999.

The NRC has been developing a revised reactor oversight process that will replace our existing inspection and assessment processes, including the PPR, the SMM, and the Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance (SALP). We recently completed a pilot program for the revised reactor oversight process at nine participating sites and are making necessary adjustments based on feedback and lessons learned. We are beginning initial implementation of the revised reactor oversight process industry-wide, including your facility, on April 2, 2000.

This PPR reflects continued process improvements as we make the transition into the revised reactor oversight process. You will notice that the following summary of plant performance is organized differently from our previous performance summaries. Instead of characterizing our assessment results by SALP functional area, we are organizing the results into the strategic performance arenas embodied in the revised reactor oversight process. Additionally, in assessing your performance, we have considered the historical performance indicator data that you submitted in January 2000 in conjunction with the inspection results. The results of this PPR were used to establish the inspection plan in accordance with the new risk-informed inspection program (consisting of baseline and supplemental inspections). Although this letter

incorporates some terms and concepts associated with the new oversight process, it does not reflect the much broader changes in inspection and assessment that will be evident after we have fully implemented our revised reactor oversight process.

During the last 6 months, Unit 1 typically operated at 100 percent power; however, the plant experienced one automatic reactor trip, one unplanned manual reactor shutdown, and two unplanned power reductions. Except for the refueling outage and one unplanned manual reactor shutdown, Unit 2 also operated at 100 percent power. We noted that on three occasions your staff conservatively reduced power: (1) as a part of contingency planning for the Year 2000 transition and (2) twice in response to high swell warnings.

Based on a review of inspection results and the performance indicators, we did not identify any significant performance issues in the reactor safety, radiation safety, or safeguards strategic arenas. We did note numerous challenges to plant operations from external factors, such as kelp intrusion and lightning strikes; however, the plant staff consistently assured the units were operated safely. As a result, only baseline inspections are planned, but we will monitor your continuing efforts to limit trips from external factors.

Enclosure 1 contains a historical listing of plant issues, referred to as the Plant Issues Matrix (PIM), that was used during this PPR process to arrive at our integrated view of your performance trends. The PIM for this assessment is grouped by the prior SALP functional areas of operations, maintenance, engineering, and plant support, although the future PIM will be organized along the cornerstones of safety as described in the revised reactor oversight process. The enclosed PIM includes items summarized from inspection reports or other docketed correspondence regarding Diablo Canyon, Units 1 and 2. We did not document all aspects of licensee programs and performance that may be functioning appropriately. Rather, we only documented issues that we believe warrant management attention or represent noteworthy aspects of performance. In addition, the PPR may also have considered some predecisional and draft material that does not appear in the attached PIM, including observations from events and inspections that had occurred since our last inspection report was issued but had not yet received full review and consideration. We will make this material publically available as part of the normal issuance of our inspection reports and other correspondence.

Enclosure 2 lists our planned inspections for the period April 2000 through March 2001 at Diablo Canyon, Units 1 and 2, to allow you to resolve scheduling conflicts and personnel availability in advance of our inspector arrival onsite. The inspection schedule for the latter half of the period is more tentative and may be adjusted in the future because of emerging performance issues at Diablo Canyon, Units 1 and 2, or other Region IV facilities. Routine resident inspections are not listed because of their ongoing and continuous nature.

We will inform you of any changes to the inspection plan. If you have any questions, please contact me at (817/860-8137).

# Sincerely,

#### /RA/

Linda Joy Smith, Chief Project Branch E Division of Reactor Projects

Docket Nos.: 50-275

50-323

License Nos.: DPR-80

DPR-82

#### Enclosures:

1. Plant Issues Matrix

2. Inspection Plan

#### cc w/enclosures:

Dr. Richard Ferguson Energy Chair Sierra Club California 1100 llth Street, Suite 311 Sacramento, California 95814

Nancy Culver San Luis Obispo Mothers for Peace P.O. Box 164 Pismo Beach, California 93448

## Chairman

San Luis Obispo County Board of Supervisors Room 370 County Government Center San Luis Obispo, California 93408

Truman Burns\Mr. Robert Kinosian California Public Utilities Commission 505 Van Ness, Rm. 4102 San Francisco, California 94102 Robert R. Wellington, Esq. Legal Counsel Diablo Canyon Independent Safety Committee 857 Cass Street, Suite D Monterey, California 93940

Ed Bailey, Radiation Program Director Radiologic Health Branch State Department of Health Services P.O. Box 942732 (MS 178) Sacramento, CA 94327-7320

Steve Hsu Radiologic Health Branch State Department of Health Services P.O. Box 942732 Sacramento, California 94327-7320

Christopher J. Warner, Esq. Pacific Gas and Electric Company P.O. Box 7442 San Francisco, California 94120

David H. Oatley, Vice President
Diablo Canyon Operations and Plant Manager
Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant
P.O. Box 3
Avila Beach, California 93424

Managing Editor Telegram-Tribune 1321 Johnson Avenue P.O. Box 112 San Luis Obispo, California 93406

Robert A. Laurie, Commissioner California Energy Commission 1516 Ninth Street (MS 31) Sacramento, CA 95814

County Administrative Officer
San Luis Obispo County Board of
Supervisors
Room 370
County Government Center
San Luis Obispo, California 93408

Robert A. Laurie, Commissioner California Energy Commission 1516 Ninth Street (MS 31) Sacramento, California 95814

Ed Bailey, Chief Radiologic Health Branch State Department of Health Services P.O. Box 942732 (MS 178) Sacramento, California 94234-7320

## bcc to DCD (IE40)

bcc electronic distribution from ADAMS by RIV:

Regional Administrator (EWM)

DRP Director (KEB)

DRS Director (ATH)

Senior Resident Inspector (DLP)

Branch Chief, DRP/E (LJS)

Senior Project Engineer, DRP/E (GAP)

Branch Chief, DRP/TSS (LAY)

RITS Coordinator (NBH)

B. Henderson, PAO (BWH)

C. A. Hackney, RSLO (CAH)

C. J. Gordon (CJG)

DRS Branch Chiefs (GMG, DAP, JLP)

W. D. Travers, EDO (WDT)

W. M. Dean, Chief, NRR/DIPM/IIPB (WMD)

R. K. Frahm, PPR Program Manager, NRR/ILPB (RKF)

B. A. Boger, Associate Dir. for Inspection and Programs (BAB2)

B. W. Sheron, Associate Dir. for Project Licensing and Technical Analysis (BWS)

G. M. Tracy, Chief, Regional Operations Staff, OEDO (GMT)

S. Richards, NRR Project Director (SAR)

S. Dembek, Chief, Section 2, NRR/DLPM (SXD)

S. Bloom, NRR Project Manager (SDB1)

Hard Copy:

RIV File Room

Records Center, INPO

#### DOCUMENT NAME: S:\PPR 2000-01\PPR Letters\DC.wpd

To receive copy of document, indicate in box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E" = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

		1.7		17
RIV:C:DRP/E	D:DRS	D:DRP	Signature	
LJSmith;df	ATHowell	KEBrockman	LJSmith	
03/23/00 (JFM for)	3/29/00 (ATH)	3/30/00 (EEC for)	3/30/00 (LJS)	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY