United States Department of Health and Human Services

DEPARTMENTAL APPEALS BOARD

Civil Remedies Division

In the Case of:)	
)	Date:
Petitioner,)	
- V)	Docket No. C
The Inspector General.)	
INFORMAL	BRIEF (OF PETITIONER
otherwise lost for a reason describ Act. The length of the exclusion i	id, and oth provide hed at sections for a per	
The issues in this case are whether	r:	
1. The I.G. is authorized to	exclude	you; and
2. The length of the exclus reasonable.	sion that th	ne I.G. determined to impose is
I. Was your license revoked, sursurrender your license, under the 1128(b)(4)?	_	or otherwise lost, or did you stances that are described at section
Yes No		

B. Do you agree that your license to provide health care was revoked, suspended, or otherwise lost for reasons bearing on your professional competence, professional performance, or financial integrity? Yes No If you disagree, explain why you disagree. State which exhibits support your argument and explain why they do.	Yes	No
suspended, or otherwise lost for reasons bearing on your professional competence, professional performance, or financial integrity? Yes No If you disagree , explain why you disagree. State which exhibits support	•	
	D. D.	
	suspended, or o competence, pr	therwise lost for reasons bearing on your professional ofessional performance, or financial integrity? No
	suspended, or o competence, pro- Yes If you disagree	therwise lost for reasons bearing on your professional ofessional performance, or financial integrity? No explain why you disagree. State which exhibits support
C. (Answer the following question only if your license to provide health care was lost, suspended, or otherwise revoked based on an agreement reached between you and a State licensing authority). Do you agree that you surrendered your license to provide health care while a	suspended, or o competence, pro- YesYes If you disagree your argument and argument argument argument argument argument argument rea	therwise lost for reasons bearing on your professional ofessional performance, or financial integrity? No e, explain why you disagree. State which exhibits support and explain why they do. defollowing question only if your license to provide the solution of the s
health care was lost, suspended, or otherwise revoked based on an	Yes Yes Yes C. (Answer the health care was agreement rea you agree that y State formal distance of the competence, provided the competence, provided the competence, provided the competence of th	therwise lost for reasons bearing on your professional ofessional performance, or financial integrity? No explain why you disagree. State which exhibits supportant explain why they do. e following question only if your license to provide and explain why they do. e following question only if your license to provide solost, suspended, or otherwise revoked based on an ched between you and a State licensing authority). Do you surrendered your license to provide health care while sciplinary proceeding was pending against you and the

If you **disagree**, explain why you disagree. State which exhibits support your argument and explain why they do.

II. Is the length of your exclusion unreasonable? The I.G. argues that the length of the exclusion that he determined to impose is reasonable. He argues that there is evidence relating to certain aggravating factors that he says supports the length of the exclusion.

A.	Do you disagree with the I.G.'s identification	of aggravating f	factors
in	your case?		

If you disagree, state which of the aggravating factors cited by the I.G. you believe are not present. Explain why you disagree. State which exhibits support your argument(s) and explain why they do.

B. If you agree that there is/are an aggravating factor or factors present in your case but believe that the exclusion is nonetheless not justified by the presence of that factor or factors, explain your reasons for your argument. State which exhibits support your arguments and explain why they do.

at 42 C.F.R. § 1001.501(b)(3))? Yes No
If you believe that a mitigating factor or factors exist(s), state what it is/they are and explain why the presence of the factor or factors should support reducing the length of your exclusion. State which exhibits support your argument(s) and explain why they do.
Do you have any other arguments you wish to make? If so, please state here. State which exhibits support your argument(s) and explain why they
Petitioner or Petitioner's Representative