

## EVALUATION OF THE INITIAL IMPACTS OF THE NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION'S INTEGRATIVE GRADUATE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH TRAINEESHIP PROGRAM

## Final Report

Prepared for:

The National Science Foundation
Directorate for Education and Human Resources
Division of Research, Evaluation, and Communication
and
Division of Graduate Education

Prepared by:

Abt Associates Inc. 4550 Montgomery Avenue Suite 800 North Bethesda, MD 20814

# EVALUATION OF THE INITIAL IMPACTS OF THE NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION'S INTEGRATIVE GRADUATE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH TRAINEESHIP PROGRAM

### Final Report

Prepared under Contract: REC9912174, B15285X

Jennifer Carney Deepika Chawla Autumn Wiley DeniseYoung



Abt Associates Inc.

#### Prepared for:

The National Science Foundation
Directorate for Education and Human Resources
Division of Research, Evaluation, and Communication
and
Division of Graduate Education

February 2006

Note: Any opinions, conclusions, or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the United States Government.

National Science Foundation, Division of Research, Evaluation, and Communication, Evaluation of the Initial Impacts of the National Science Foundation's Integrative Graduate Education and Research Traineeship Program. (Arlington, VA 20005)

## **Acknowledgements**

This report on the initial impacts of the National Science Foundation's Integrative Graduate Education and Research Traineeship Program is the collaborative effort of numerous individuals. Many colleagues at the National Science Foundation ensured the success of this study. Conrad Katzenmeyer and Deh-I Hsiung in the Division of Research, Evaluation, and Communication served as contract officers of the evaluation and provided expert insight into evaluation design and methods. Mary Sladek and Suzanne Plimpton shepherded the study design and instruments through the OMB clearance process. Wyn Jennings initiated the study and helped clarify its design. We are most grateful for the guidance and support of Bianca Bernstein, Debasish Dutta, Carol Stoel, and Carol Van Hartesveldt, as well as others in the Division of Graduate Education who informed the study and reviewed the report

Of our colleagues at Abt Associates, we must give enormous credit to Don LaLiberty for analyzing the student survey data, and to Reshad Ahsan for analyzing data from the mail and email surveys. We thank Melissa Marsh for her help with analyzing student data, and Alina Martinez for her input to study design, instrument development, and the final report. Our gratitude goes to Anne Chase and Fran O'Reilly for reviewing the draft report and providing editorial review and feedback. We would especially like to thank Denise Young for creating all exhibits and overseeing production of the final report.

Numerous other people contributed to the success of the study. We thank Shirley Panek and her team at Pulse Train Ltd. for programming and hosting the web-based surveys as well as for answering numerous technical questions. At Abt Associates, we are grateful to Tom Logan for coordinating with Pulse Train Ltd, and we greatly appreciate the efforts of Carin Chunga, Katherine Abe and their team for the excellent follow-up with the survey respondents that ensured such high response rates. We would like to thank Dominic Olivastro, Kimberly Hamilton and Peter Kroll from ipIQ (formerly CHI Research) for conducting the bibliometric analysis of faculty publications data.

Finally, and most importantly, we thank all of the IGERT PIs, students, faculty members, department chairs, university administrators, and other individuals who directly participated in the study and completed surveys and interviews to provide us with the rich data from which this study draws its conclusions.

## **Contents**

Chapter 1: IGERT and the Landscape of Interdisciplinary Science and Gradu	ate Education .1
The IGERT Program	3
Recent Changes in the Literature of Reform	6
Summary	8
Chapter 2: Evaluation Methodology	9
Previous Monitoring and Evaluation	9
The IGERT Impacts Evaluation	9
Evaluation Questions	10
Sampling Methods	10
IGERT Sample	10
Comparison Group – Non-IGERT Sample	11
Final Sample Sizes	13
Data Collection Instruments	13
Response Rates and Sample Characteristics	13
Sample Characteristics	14
Prevalence of IGERT Projects in Comparison Institutions	16
Analysis Techniques	17
Organization of This Report	18
Chapter 3: Impacts on Students	19
The IGERT Model of Education	
Interdisciplinary Experiences	20
Interdisciplinary Interactions	
Depth versus Breadth of Knowledge	
Preparation for Diverse Careers	
Exposure to Diverse Careers	
Perceptions of Career Preparation	
Students' Career Goals	
Development of an International Perspective	29
International Experiences	
International Perspective	30
Professional Training	
Preparation to Conduct Research	
Preparation to Work in Teams	32
Communication Skills	
Summary	37
Chapter 4: Impacts on Faculty	39
Faculty Characteristics	
Faculty Participation in IGERT	
Impact on Teaching	
Team Teaching	
$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}$	

Interdisciplinary Teaching	42
Impact on Interdisciplinary Networks	42
Impact on Mentoring Students	43
Impact on Research	43
Interdisciplinary Research	44
Impact on Faculty Productivity	44
Perceived Added Value of Participation in IGERT	46
Summary	50
Chapter 5: Impacts on Institutions	51
Impacts on Institutional Culture	51
Faculty Perceptions of Institutional Support	52
Impact on Institutional Policies and Procedures	56
Impact of IGERT on Tenure Review Policies	56
Policies Governing Interdisciplinary Teaching	58
Impact on Institutional Structures	59
Joint Faculty Appointments	59
New Interdisciplinary Courses/Degrees/Certificates	60
Interdisciplinary Centers and Institutes	61
Leveraging Funds	62
Institutionalization and Spread of IGERT Elements	62
Sustainability	62
Adoption of IGERT Features by Others	63
Summary	63
Chapter 6: Impacts on Recruitment	65
Increasing Access in Higher Education	
Increasing Participation of United States Citizens	
Increasing Participation of Underrepresented Groups (Women and Minorities).	
Increasing Interest in Doctoral Education Among Undergraduates	
Student Characteristics	
Expanding Interdisciplinary Graduate Education Opportunities	
Summary	
Summary	
Chapter 7: Conclusions and Directions for Future Research	
Educating United States Ph.D. Scientists and Engineers	71
Catalyzing a Cultural Change in Graduate Education	72
Facilitating Diversity in Student Participation and Preparation	72
Directions for Future Research	
Assessment of Diversity Enhancement	73
Assessment of IGERT Graduate Career Outcomes	73
Assessment of IGERT Institutional Impacts	73
Assessment of the IGERT Model of Interdisciplinary Graduate Education	74
Appendix A: Supplementary Tables	A-1
Appendix B: Bibliometric Analysis	, B-1

## **List of Exhibits**

Chapter 2	F' 10 10'
Exhibit 2.1	Final Sample Sizes13
Exhibit 2.2	Final Sample Size and Response Rates for Web-Based and Email Surveys14
Exhibit 2.3	Size of IGERT and Non-IGERT Departments
Exhibit 2.4	Discipline Distribution of IGERT and Non-IGERT Department Chairs, Faculty Members, and Students (Completed Surveys)
Exhibit 2.5	Program Status of IGERT and Non-IGERT Students at Time of Survey16
Exhibit 2.6	Prevalence of IGERT Grants Among Institutions in Research and Doctoral Carnegie Classifications
Chapter 3	The limit of the conference of
Exhibit 3.1	Interdisciplinary Educational Experiences of IGERT and Non-IGERT Students 22
Exhibit 3.2	Percent of IGERT and Non-IGERT Students Reporting They Have Worked with Faculty from Their Own or Different Departments on Research Projects During Their Graduate Program
Exhibit 3.3	Percent of IGERT and Non-IGERT Faculty Indicating That Their Students Are Prepared to Know Their Own Discipline in Depth
Exhibit 3.4	Percent of IGERT and Non-IGERT Students Reporting They Have Worked With Various Individuals on Research Projects During Their Graduate Program26
Exhibit 3.5	Percent of IGERT and Non-IGERT Students Reporting Opportunities to Conduct an Off-Campus Internship
Exhibit 3.6	Career Goals of IGERT and Non-IGERT Students
Exhibit 3.7	Percent of IGERT and Non-IGERT Students Reporting Research-Related Training
Exhibit 3.8	How well is your graduate program preparing you to conduct research?  (Percent of Students)
Exhibit 3.9	Teamwork Experiences Reported by IGERT and Non-IGERT Students
Exhibit 3.10	How well is your graduate program preparing you to work in teams?  (IGERT and Non-IGERT students)
Exhibit 3.11	Percent of IGERT and Non-IGERT Students Reporting Having Received Training or Coursework in Communication
Exhibit 3.12	Student Perceptions of How Well Their Program is Preparing Them to Communicate with Various Individuals

Abt Associates Inc. Exhibits iii

Chapter 4	
Exhibit 4.1	Ways in which Faculty Participate in the IGERT Program
Exhibit 4.2	Changes in Time Spent on Departmental Activities as a Result of IGERT Participation
Exhibit 4.3	Percent of IGERT and Non-IGERT Faculty Who Have Team Taught with Faculty Members Outside Their Home Department, or Plan to in the Future
Exhibit 4.4	Percent of IGERT and Non-IGERT Faculty Awarded New Research Grants in the Last Two Years
Exhibit 4.5	Percent of IGERT and Non-IGERT Faculty Engaged in Interdisciplinary  Research Activities
Exhibit 4.6	Interdisciplinary Publications and Presentations by IGERT and Non-IGERT Faculty
Exhibit 4.7	Impact of Participation in the IGERT Program on Faculty Research
Exhibit 4.8	Percent of Department Chairs Reporting Impacts of the IGERT Grant on Their Departments' Faculty
Exhibit 4.9	Interdisciplinary Activities of Non-IGERT Faculty at Institutions With and Without IGERT Projects Compared to IGERT Faculty
Chapter 5	
Exhibit 5.1	Percent of IGERT PIs Reporting Various Central University Administrative Support for Inter/Multidisciplinary Graduate Education
Exhibit 5.2	Faculty Agreement with Statements about Support for Interdisciplinary Activities at Their Institution
Exhibit 5.3	To What Extent are Recent Increases in Your University's Support for Inter/Multidisciplinary Graduate Education the Result of the IGERT Grant?  (Percent of PIs)
Exhibit 5.4	Number of Faculty Members Involved in IGERT Projects Over Time, by Cohort 55
Exhibit 5.5	Tenured vs. Non-Tenured Faculty Engagement in Interdisciplinary Research Activities
Exhibit 5.6	Percent of IGERT PIs Reporting Changes in University Policies Resulting from the IGERT Grant
Exhibit 5.7	Percent of IGERT PIs Reporting Changes in Educational Structures Resulting from the IGERT Grant
Exhibit 5.8	Percent of IGERT Department Chairs Reporting Changes in Educational Structures Resulting from the IGERT Grant
Exhibit 5.9	Percent of PIs Reporting IGERT Benefits They Expect to Maintain (Post Funding) 62
Chapter 6	
Exhibit 6.1	GRE Scores for IGERT Students and U.S. Citizen Non-IGERT Students
Exhibit 6.2	Professional Productivity of IGERT and Non-IGERT Students

iv Exhibits Abt Associates Inc.

Exhibit 6.3	Conference Attendance of IGERT and U.S. Citizen Non-IGERT Students	69
Exhibit 6.4	Programs to which IGERT and Non-IGERT Students also Applied when Applying to Their Current Program	70
Appendix A		
Exhibit A.1	IGERT PI Descriptions of their Projects	A-1
Exhibit A.2	Training and Coursework Received by IGERT and non-IGERT Students	A-2
Exhibit A.3	PI Perceptions of Trainee Preparedness	A-3
Exhibit A.4	Faculty Perceptions of Student Preparedness	A-4
Exhibit A.5	Student Perceptions of How Well Their Program is Preparing Them	A-5
Exhibit A.6	Student Perceptions on Their Program	A-6
Exhibit A.7	Faculty Reported Impacts of Participating in IGERT	A-7
Exhibit A.8	IGERT Department Chair Perceptions of the Impact of IGERT on Departmental Admissions	A-8
Exhibit A.9	PI Reports of Project Impact	A-9
Exhibit A.10	PI Perceptions of Project Impact	A-10
Appendix B		
Figure 1	Number of Authors by the Author's Discipline	B-8
Figure 2	Publication Rates by Year	B-9
Figure 3	Publication Rates by Author's Discipline	B-10
Figure 4	Percent of Publications Outside of Author's Discipline	B-11
Figure 5a	Percent of Authors in the four Discipline Types	B-12
Figure 5b	Percent of Publications by Authors in the four Discipline Types	B-12
Figure 6	Interdisciplinary Spread	B-13
Figure 7	Citation Frequency by Publication Year	B-14
Figure 8	Citation Frequency by Author's Discipline	B-15
Figure 9	Citation Frequency by Field of Publications	B-15
Figure 10	Frequency of Referencing	B-16
Figure 11	Percent of References to Journals outside the Researcher's Field	B-17
Figure 12	Institutions Per Paper	B-18
Figure 13	Percent of Papers at each level of Co-Authorship	B-18
Figure 14	Percent of Papers at each level of Departments	B-19
Table 1	Listing of K-Codes as supplied by the Institute of Scientific Information	B-21
Table 2	Listing of Publication Fields and Author Disciplines	B-21

Abt Associates Inc. Exhibits

Table 3	Number of Authors in each Discipline	B-22
Table 4	Number of Publications by Publication Year (Across all Disciplines)	B-22
Table 5	Number of Publications by Author's Discipline	В-23
Table 6	Number of Publications by Author's Discipline and Publication Field	B-24
Table 7	Number of Publications by Discipline Type	B-25
Table 8	Number of Researchers by Publication Spread	B-26
Table 9	Number of Citations by Publication Year	B-26
Table 10	Number of Citations by Author's Discipline	B-27
Table 11	Number of Citations by Publication Field	B-28
Table 12	Number of References by Author's Discipline	B-29
Table 13	Number of References by Author's Discipline and Publication Field	B-30
Table 14	Number of Institutions on a Publication by Author's Discipline	B-31
Table 15	Number of Institutions on a Publication	B-32
Table 16	Number of Departments on a Publication	B-32

vi Exhibits Abt Associates Inc.