

A STUDY OF COMPENSATION PAYMENTS FOR SERVICE-CONNECTED DISABILITIES

Volume III

Earnings and Quality of Life Loss Analysis



September 2008



Falls Church, VA



A Study of Compensation Payments for Service-Connected Disabilities

Volume III Earnings and Quality of Life Analysis



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Numerous organizations, government agencies, subject matter experts, and consultants contributed greatly to this study. The Office of Policy and Planning in the Department of Veterans Affairs was the sponsoring agency and provided overall direction and support. The VA project manager and contracting officer's technical representative for this study was Dr. Marcelle Habibion; she provided critical guidance throughout the study and was instrumental in securing the data used in the study. Alexandra Wile supported Dr. Habibion during the study and provided valuable insight into the Social Security Disability Insurance program. Key members of Veterans Benefit Administration's (VBA's) Compensation and Pension (C&P) Program, including Bradley Mayes, Thomas Pamperin, Stephen Simmons, and Bradley Flohr, engaged in stakeholder consultations with the Economic Systems Inc. (EconSys) Study Team and provided program information and data for study analysis. Key members of VBA's Vocational Rehabilitation and Employment (VR&E) Program, Ruth Fanning, Dorothy Williams, and Bill Borom, also engaged in stakeholder consultations with the study team and provided program information and data for study analysis. Dr. Steven Brown educated us on the C&P Examination Program. Kathy Strickland and her staff informed us on the efforts of the C&P Program Review. We had the opportunity to meet with several C&P rating specialists in the Nashville Regional Office and gain their insights into the C&P rating system. Hari Sastry and other staff at the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provided questions and issues for consideration in the study.

Several experts with knowledge of VA programs and disability programs in general made key contributions to the study. Most of our study experts along with some of the study team members gave presentations at the study's Disability Forum held on May 30, 2008. Dr. John Burton provided a cogent review of workers' compensation programs, gave us methodological advice and review on the earnings analysis, and provided extensive review and editorial support for the study interim and final reports. Dr. David Dean provided review of vocational rehabilitation programs and contributed to the study team's cohort analysis of VR&E participation and receipt of subsistence allowance. Dr. Emile Tompa served as an expert on Canadian disability programs including the quality of life aspect of these programs. Dr. Lynn Gerber provided a review of definitions and models of disability and vocational rehabilitation. Supporting Dr. Gerber's efforts were her colleagues Dr. Ali Weinstein and Sydney Johnson.

Presenters at the study's Disability Forum were Denis Anson, MS; Susan Azrin, PhD; Martha Bernad, DSc; John Burton, PhD, LLB; David Dean, PhD; DeAnn Farr, PhD, CDR, USN; Lynn Gerber, MD; Howard Goldman, MD, PhD; Sigrid Gustafson, PhD; Stephen Haley, PT, PhD; George Kettner, PhD; JoAnn Kuchak; Sidney Johnson, MS, COTA; Patricia Keenan, PhD; Ted Miller, PhD; Ken Reynolds, PhD; Ali Sayer, MS; John W. Sharpe MBA, MS, PT; Emile Tompa, MBA, PhD; Ali A. Weinstein, PhD; Ray Wilburn; and Edward Yelin, PhD.

Economic Systems Inc. (EconSys), the prime contractor, received significant support from several subcontractors. Macro International conducted the quality of life analysis for the study under the direction of JoAnn Kuchak, Senior Vice President. Key Macro analysts included Dr. Pedro Saavedra and Dr. Andrey Vinekurov who conducted the psychometric analysis, along with Dr. Gary Huang who performed much of the statistical analysis. Dr. Sheila Wise and Marcia Harrington investigated QOL in foreign and domestic programs. Dr. Sophia Zanakos and Karen Smith focused on the assessment of QOL measures. Dr. Ted Miller of Pacific Institute for Research and Evaluation (PIRE) provided advice and review of the quality of life analysis. Dr. Bruce Lawrence of PIRE mapped the VBA disability diagnostic codes to the ICD-9-CM codes. SRA International analyzed cost of living expenses for the transition benefit study, analyzed VR&E population characteristics, and provided cost estimates for the transition benefit study. Dr. Ken Reynolds led SRA's contribution, supported by Michael Lepore, Lance Gordon, and Alena Nikitsina. Robert Epley, consultant, provided subject matter expertise on the C&P disability program. Dr. Patricia Keenan of HumRRO facilitated the study team's understanding of the C&P rating process and possible effects of program changes on the raters and the rating system. Denis Anson of Assistive Technology Research Institute provided expertise in the field of assistive technology and provided assessment of possible measures of the effect of assistive technology on the functional independence of a person with disability for selected VBA diagnostic codes. Thomas Wildsmith and Kathleen Gentile of the Hay Group provided a review of disability insurance programs in the private sector.

Dr. George Kettner (Project Director) of EconSys provided overall technical direction to the team, orchestrated the study's Forum, and led the earnings analysis. Ali Sayer (Project Manager) provided operational direction and econometric support. Ray Wilburn (Senior Advisor) provided program knowledge, policy guidance, and review. Dr. Herb Tyson (Senior Analyst) conducted the earnings loss analysis. Other EconSys analysts contributing to the study were: Jacob Denne (Analyst), Steve Cotter (Analyst), and William Sarosi (Analyst). Leonor Yaskulka provided administrative support. Jillen Jobe provided research assistance and contributed to the editorial review of the reports.

The EconSys Study Team was a committed group of researchers who had only seven months to conduct the study and produce the five-volume Final Report. The study team took full advantage of data and information from the recent Veterans' Disability Benefits Commission (VDBC) and the Dole-Shalala Commission. Dr. Eric Christensen, Dr. Joyce McMahon, and Rick Berens of the CNA Corporation were instrumental in facilitating use of data produced for the VDBC. Mr. Ron Winter, Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Air Force for Force Management Integration, facilitated coordination with the Department of Defense (DoD)/VA Senior Oversight Committee's initiative on care giver support. The recent Institute of Medicine (IOM) report, A 21st Century System for Evaluating Veterans for Disability Benefits, and Michael McGeary of IOM also provided a wealth of information that enabled this study to start quickly. Mary Virginia Parker, LTC, M.D., Medical Director, Warriors in Transition, Brooke Army Medical Center and her staff provided invaluable insight into the needs of severely injured service members.

Table of Contents

Volume III

I. SUMMARY	1
Methodology	1
VA Rating System.....	2
Profile of Beneficiaries.....	3
Models of Disability	4
Definitions of Disability.....	5
Features of Models of Disabilities	6
VA Compensation for Work Disability	6
Regular Schedule Benefits.....	6
Individual Unemployability Benefits	17
Benefits for Loss of Quality of Life	17
Special Monthly Compensation Benefits	17
Measuring Losses of QOL	18
Is Loss of QOL Different than Work Disability?	20
Loss of QOL Among Veterans Receiving VA Disability Compensation	21
Loss of QOL Among Veterans with IU Awards	24
Loss of QOL Among Veterans with SMC Benefits	24
Designing a Comprehensive QOL Benefit	24
Assistive Technology.....	27
Benefits of Assistive Technology	28
Issues with Assistive Technology.....	28
Policy Options	29
Rehabilitation Benefits (VR).....	29
Policy Options for the VA Disability Compensation Program	31
Policy Options for Improving the VASRD	31
Policy Options for IU Benefits	35
Policy Options for QOL Benefits	36
Potential Effects of Options on Rating Process and Costs.....	38
II. INTRODUCTION	41
III. DEFINITIONS, MODELS, AND MEASURES OF DISABILITY	43
Key Definitions.....	43
Models of Disability	46
Measures of Work-Related Disability	52
Implications for Decisionmakers' Consideration	53
IV. VA RATING SYSTEM.....	56
Overview	56
Current Payments Based on VA's Schedule for Rating Disabilities.....	58

Current Rating Process	59
Preparing a Case to be Rated	59
The Examination Process	61
Reviewing Evidence.....	63
Using the Rating Schedule.....	64
Computing Combined Degree of Disability	65
The Rating Decision.....	67
Presumptive Conditions	68
Mapping the VASRD to ICD-9-CM Codes.....	70
Adding Service-Connected Disabilities to the VASRD.....	77
Individual Unemployability.....	82
IU Rating Evaluation	84
Issues Related to IU.....	85
Comparison of VA’s IU Benefit to the Social Security Disability Program	88
IU Policy Options	91
Special Monthly Compensation.....	92
Special Monthly Compensation Payment Amounts	93
SMC and Assistance	95
Background and Justification for Special Monthly Compensation.....	97
V. PROFILE OF VA DISABILITY COMPENSATION RECIPIENTS	99
Characteristics of the Beneficiaries	99
Comparison of Service-Connected Disabled Veterans to Non-Service-Connected Veterans.....	109
VI. LOSS OF EARNINGS METHODOLOGY.....	116
Overview of the Analysis and Description of the Data	116
Cell-Based Variables.....	117
Basic Dimensions of the Population.....	118
Analytical Framework and Overview.....	121
Method Used	122
Explanatory or Control Variables	122
Pre-1980 Compared to Post-1980 Data	132
Unreliability of Self-Reported Earnings	132
Unreliability of Self-Reported Service-Connected Disability Status	133
Combined Effect of Unreliability of Self-Reported Earnings and Self-Reported Service-Connected Status.....	134
NSV Indicators of Greater Earnings Capacity	136
Selection of Comparison Groups	137
What Is the Correct Dependent Variable?	139
VII. ANALYSIS OF LOSS OF EARNINGS RESULTS	144
Combined Degree of Disability Problem	144
Employment Rate and Earnings	147

Non-IU Versus IU Comparisons — Why They Are Not Comparable	149
Expected Earnings Analysis.....	150
<i>Overall Rating Equity</i>	150
<i>Earnings by CDD, IU Status, and SMC (K) Status</i>	155
Rating and Compensation Equity	157
<i>Rating Equity</i>	158
<i>Compensation Equity</i>	165
<i>Medical Impairment Compared to Economic Impairment</i>	169
The Permutations Problem	171
Policy Options for Loss of Earnings Compensation.....	173
<i>VA Compensation-Based Benchmark for Adjusting Ratings</i>	175
<i>Adjustments Based on One Diagnostic Condition</i>	176
<i>Adjustments Based on Two Diagnostic Conditions Combined</i>	180
<i>Evaluative Criteria for Rating Adjustment Decisions</i>	187
<i>Combining Multiple Disabilities to Create One Rating</i>	194
<i>Lessons from QOL Studies on Effect of Multiple Disabilities</i>	197
<i>Summary Remarks</i>	198
VIII. QUALITY OF LIFE ANALYSIS	199
Summary	199
In-Depth Analysis of 2007 QOL Survey	200
Enhanced QOL Measure	200
Overview of Quality of Life Loss	206
Quality of Life Loss by Severity of Disability	208
Quality of Life Loss by Individual Unemployability.....	211
QOL Loss by Disability Rating Level and Special Monthly Compensation	212
QOL Impact by Body System and Aggregated Diagnostic Codes	213
QOL Loss by Individual Diagnostic Codes	218
QOL Impact of Multiple Disabilities.....	220
QOL Impact of Ratings and Body Systems Combined.....	221
QOL Loss and Employment	222
Quality of Life Loss and Age	223
Quality of Life Loss Related to Benefit Amount and Veterans' Perceived Fairness	225
Quality of Life Loss and Health Condition	226
Diagnostic Codes with No Measurable QOL Impact (Candidates for Deletion)	227
IX. COMPENSATION FOR QOL LOSS.....	228
Overview	228
Standards for QOL Payments	229
1. VA Precedent-Based Approaches.....	229
2. External Benchmarks	229
Basis for Relative QOL Payments Associated with the Current VASRD	230
Two Approaches for Calculating QOL Loss.....	231
Calculation of Payment Schedules	233

QOL Loss Descriptive Results.....	234
QOL Loss Descriptive Results for Payments Associated with VASRD	236
QOL Loss Payments Associated with the VASRD.....	237
Summary of QOL Loss Payments Linked to the VASRD	241
QOL Loss Payment Schedule Associated with QOL Scores.....	242
QOL Loss Payments Based on QOL Payment Schedule Including Negative QOL Loss	244
QOL Loss Payments Based on QOL Payment Schedule Excluding Negative QOL Loss....	246
Summary of QOL Loss Payment Schedule Linked to QOL Scores	247
Foreign Government and Other Payment Schedules.....	247
Lessons from Foreign Governments	247
Insurance and U.S. Judicial System Payments.....	250
Combining Empirically-Based Payments	251
Considerations for SMC Payments	255
Considerations in QOL Payments	256
X. ALTERNATIVE QOL MEASUREMENT	257
What Is Quality of Life?.....	257
Defining Quality of Life.....	257
Integrated Approach to Definition of QOL.....	261
Review of QOL Assessment Tools.....	263
Criteria for Evaluating QOL Assessment Tools/Instruments	264
Brief Summary of QOL Assessment Tools	267
QOL Domain Coverage of Each QOL Instrument	269
Comparison of QOL Instrument Coverage by Domain.....	271
Additional Aspects of QOL Found in the Reviewed QOL Instruments	271
Types of Question Response Formats.....	272
QOL Instrument Profiles.....	272
Specific Issues Concerning QOL Measurement	274
Scaling and Scoring Methods Most Appropriate for Veterans.....	274
Variability of Instruments Results since the Onset of the SCD	275
Validity Relative to Physical and Mental Conditions	276
Advantages and Disadvantages of Measurement Tools for QOL Payments	277
Data Collection Considerations	277
Utility Scoring	278
Summary and Conclusions	278
Important Considerations in Selecting Measurement Instruments.....	279
Build on Existing Systems	280
Timing of QOL Measurement.....	280
QOL Measurement for Compensation Purposes	280
Objective and Subjective Items.....	281
Norm Reference Group.....	281
Summary of key points regarding important considerations in QOL assessment:	282
Long Range Alternative Options for Measuring QOL for Compensating Service-Connected Disabled Veterans.....	282

Option A – CLAMES	284
Option B – WHOQOL BREF	287
Option C – VR-36	289
Option D – New QOL Assessment Tool for Veterans	290
XI. POTENTIAL EFFECTS OF OPTIONS FOR CHANGE ON THE RATING PROCESS	294
Options for a New QOL Benefit	294
1. Statutory Rates by Combined Degree of Disability	294
2. Separate Empirically-Based Normative Rates for Earnings and QOL Loss	295
3. Individual Clinical and Rater Assessments and Separate Empirically-Based Rates for Earnings and QOL Loss	295
Analysis of Options	296
Administrative/Operational Costs of Options	296
Cost Effects of Changes Resulting from the Earnings Loss Analysis	298
Changes in the Calculation of CDD	298
Cost Changes Based on Changes to Selected Diagnostic Code Ratings	301
Cost of Increasing 100% CDD Compensation by 9 Percent	302
Cost Effects of Changes Resulting from the QOL Loss Analysis	303
XII. CONSIDERATION OF ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY	304
Introduction	304
Disability as a Feature of One’s Identity	305
What is Assistive Technology?	306
The Potential Benefits of Assistive Technology	308
Improving Quality of Life	309
Impact on Earnings Capacity and Re-entering Daily Living – Success Stories	310
Employment Initiatives for Disabled Veterans	311
Foreign Assistive Technology Programs & Developments	312
Social Aspects of Disability and Assistive Technology	317
Lifelong Disability Compared to Acquired Disability	317
Psychological Impact	317
Social Perception of People with Disabilities	318
Approaches to Rehabilitation	318
Issues in Assistive Technology Studies	320
User Dissatisfaction	321
Reasons for Device Abandonment	321
Cost/Benefits in Assistive Technology Acceptance	323
Improving User Satisfaction	324
Inefficient Use of Funds	325
Lack of Literature on Veteran-Specific Disabilities and on Outcomes Research	326
Assistive Technology Outcomes Research	327
Assistive Technology Outcomes Research Measurement Tools	327
Assistive Technology Selection and Training	332
Needed Assistive Technology Outcomes Research	334

Policy Options for Decisionmakers: Applying AT to VA Disability Ratings	335
Assistive Technology as Part of the Overall Assessment of Degree of Disability	336
Regular Assessment of Assistive Technology Needs and Function	336
Objective Determination of the Benefits Obtained Using Assistive Technology	337
XIII. CONSIDERATION OF REHABILITATION	339
Evaluate Which Functional Losses or Disabilities are Responsive to Vocational Rehabilitation.....	339
Definition of Successful VR.....	339
Conditions Most Amenable to Successful Vocational Outcomes	343
Personal Characteristics Associated with Successful VR	346
Potential Policy Options:	348
Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder and Vocational Rehabilitation.....	349
Impact of PTSD on Work Function	351
VR Outcome Measures with PTSD population.....	352
Options.....	353
Summary	353
 APPENDICES	
A. CFR 38 SECTION 4.25	357
B. MAPPING VASRD CODES TO ICD-9-CM	361
C. SPECIAL MONTHLY COMPENSATION CODES.....	387
D. PROFILE OF 2001 NATIONAL SURVEY OF VETERANS RESPONDENTS– SERVICE-CONNECTED DISABLED VETERANS VERSUS NON SERVICE-CONNECTED VETERANS	389
E. DIAGNOSTIC CODE GROUPINGS.....	410
F. QOL LITERATURE REVIEW.....	428
G. METHODOLOGICAL APPENDIX SUPPORTING DEVELOPMENT OF THE ENHANCED QUALITY OF LIFE MEASURE AND QUALITY OF LIFE LOSS SCORES	525
H. PREFERENCE-BASED QOL LOSS PAYMENTS	560
I. QOL LOSS PAYMENTS BASED ON THE ENHANCED QOL MEASURE	584
J. QUESTION RESPONSE FORMATS ACROSS QOL MEASURES	596
K. MEASURE PROFILES	600
L. DIAGNOSTIC GROUPS BY LIFE ACTIVITIES ANALYSIS	613
M. IMPACT OF ASSISTIVE TECHNOLOGY ON FUNCTIONAL INDEPENDENCE	724
N. SUMMARY OF LITERATURE REVIEW OF VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION	731
 BIBLIOGRAPHY	 739
ABBREVIATIONS	761

LIST OF TABLES

Table I-1. Overall Rating Equity for Veterans without IU or SMC Status (All Ages Included)	9
Table I-2. Average 2006 Earnings by CDD and Average Number of Service-Connected Disabilities, Veterans of All Ages	10
Table I-3. Percent Earnings Losses by CDD by Major Body System of Primary Diagnosis	12
Table I-4. Annual VA Compensation by CDD Rating Level	14
Table I-5. Average Annual VA Compensation and Earnings for SCD Veterans for 2006	15
Table I-6. Rate of Earnings Losses (or Gains) After Compensation by Major Body System of Primary Diagnosis	16
Table I-7. Average Loss of Quality of Life Payments Based on 5 Benchmarks for Enhanced Measure and Preference-Based Measure	25
Table I-8. Loss of QOL Payment Based on Payment Options Using QOL Schedule, Including Negative QOL Scores	26
Table I-9. QOL Payment Options Based on Hybrid Schedule for Veterans Over the Age of 65 Receiving SMC	27
Table I-10. Range of Annual Costs by Option	39
Table III-1. The Revised World Health Organization Model: Items within Identified Components of the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health	50
Table IV-1. 2007 Monthly Compensation Rates for Veterans	58
Table IV-2. The 20 Most Prevalent Service-Connected Disability Diagnostic Codes with ICD-9-CM and BLS Mapping	72
Table IV-3. Codes for Traumatic Brain Injury	76
Table IV-4. Diagnoses with at Least Five Cases Using a Specific Analogous Code	80
Table IV-5. Amount of SMC Increase for Schedule Ratings	82
Table IV-6. Service-Connected Disabled Veterans also Receiving Social Security Disability Insurance	83
Table IV-7. Special Monthly Compensation Rates	94
Table IV-8. Special Monthly Compensation Rates Compared with Schedules 100% Rating	95
Table IV-9. Aid and Attendance or Housebound Eligibility Criteria	96
Table V-1. SCD Veterans by CDD, as of September 2001 and September 2007	100
Table V-2. New SCD Enrollees Receiving VA Disability Compensation between 2001 and 2007	100
Table V-3. Age Profile of SCD Veterans, as of September 2001 and September 2007	102
Table V-4. Age Profile of New SCD Enrollees Between 2001 and 2007	103
Table V-5. Years of Service Profile of SCD Veterans, as of September 2001 and September 2007	103
Table V-6. Years of Service Profile of New SCD Enrollees Between 2001 and 2007	104
Table V-7. Gender Profile of SCD Veterans, as of September 2001 and September 2007	104
Table V-8. Gender Profile of New SCD Enrollees between 2001 and 2007	104

Table V-9. Profile of SCD Veterans, as of September 2001 and September 2007 by Body System of Primary Diagnostic Code – *Veterans not Receiving SMC and not with an IU Rating*.....105

Table V-10. Top 20 Conditions106

Table V-11. Profile of SCD Veterans, as of September 2001 and September 2007 by Body System – *Veterans with an IU Rating*.....107

Table V-12. Profile of SMC Recipients by Primary Body System, as of September 2001 and September 2007.....108

Table V-13. Profile of SMC Recipients by Type, as of September 2001 and September 2007.....108

Table V-14. Profile of SMC (K) Recipients by Combined Degree of Disability, as of September 2001 and September 2007109

Table V-15. Selected Characteristics of the 2001 National Survey of Veterans Respondents110

Table V-16. Veterans by Disability Status, NSV 2001111

Table VI-1. Age Distribution of Veterans with and without Service-Connected Disabilities (Released from Active Duty in 1980 or later)118

Table VI-2. Selected Means for Key Factors by SCD Status and Combined Degree of Disability, Excluding IU and SMC119

Table VI-3. Earnings, Estimated Benefits, and VA Compensation by SCD Status and CDD Rating, Excluding IU and SMC121

Table VI-4. Mean Education by SCD Status and Age123

Table VI-5. Married Rates by SCD Status and Age.....124

Table VI-6. Percent Male by SCD Status and Age125

Table VI-7. Percent Former Officers by SCD Status and Age125

Table VI-8. Earnings, Estimated Benefits, and VA Compensation--Percent of Veterans who were Officers by Combined Degree of Disability126

Table VI-9. Average Years in Service by SCD Status and CDD Rating128

Table VI-10. Average Years in Service and Percent of Adult Life in Military for SCD Veterans not Rated IU or Receiving SMC.....131

Table VI-11. Age Distribution in the 2001 National Survey of Veterans Data137

Table VI-12. Health and Disability Status of Veterans with Service-Connected Disabilities from the National Survey of Veterans137

Table VII-1. Average 2006 Earnings by CDD and Number of Service-Connected Disabilities, Veterans Under Age 65 without IU or SMC144

Table VII-2. Average 2006 Earnings by CDD and Number of Service-Connected Disabilities-- Physical Disabilities Only, Veterans Under Age 65 without IU or SMC145

Table VII-3. Average 2006 Earnings by CDD and Number of Service-Connected Disabilities-- Mental Disabilities Only, Veterans Under Age 65 without IU or SMC146

Table VII-4. 2006 Employment Rates by CDD and Number of Service-Connected Disabilities146

Table VII-5. Relative Changes in Employment Rate, Earnings Among Earners, and Average Earnings (Includes Veterans of all Ages)148

Table VII-6. Comparison of IU and Non IU 2006 Earnings Plus Benefits (Veterans under Age 65)	149
Table VII-7. Mean Earnings, Expected Earnings, Gender, Former Officer Status, Education, Age, and Employment Rate by SCD Status and CDD Level.....	152
Table VII-8. Average VA Compensation Paid in 2006, by CDD	153
Table VII-9. Overall Rating Equity for Veterans Without IU or SMC Status.....	158
Table VII-10. Percent Earnings Losses by CDD and Major Body System	164
Table VII-11. Overall Compensation Equity for Veterans without IU or SMC Status	167
Table VII-12. Rate of Earnings Loss (or Gain) After Compensation by Major Body System of Primary Diagnosis	169
Table VII-13. VA Compensation-based Earnings Loss and Parity Ratio by Degree of Disability.....	175
Table VII-14. Rating Equity Ratios for Top 20 Diagnostic Codes Based on Analysis of Cells with an Average of One Service-connected Disability	177
Table VII-15. The 20 Most Prevalent SCD Diagnostic Codes that are Candidates for Adjustment Based Upon Analysis of Cells with An Average of Only One Service-Connected Disability	179
Table VII-16. Rating Equity Ratios for Top 20 Diagnostic Codes Based on Analysis of Cells with an Average of Two Service-Connected Disabilities	181
Table VII-17. The 20 Most Prevalent SCD Diagnostic Codes that are Candidates for Adjustment Based Upon Analysis of Cells with an Average of Two Service-Connected Disabilities	185
Table VII-18. Analysis of Earnings Patterns for Veterans with a Primary Diagnosis of Sleep Apnea	188
Table VII-19. Expected Earnings Ratios Based on 2006 VA Compensation.....	189
Table VII-20. Analysis of Earnings Patterns for Veterans with a Primary Diagnosis of PTSD..	190
Table VII-21. Analysis of Earnings Patterns for Veterans with a Primary Diagnosis of Brain Disease due to Trauma (Disability Code 8045)	193
Table VII-22. Apparent Earnings Increase Associated with Multiple Disabilities	194
Table VII-23. Average 2006 Earnings by CDD and by Number of Service-Connected Disabilities	195
Table VII-24. Expected Earnings Losses by CDD and by Number of Service-Connected Disabilities for Veterans with Multiple Diagnoses.....	196
Table VII-25. CDD Adjustment Needed based on Number of Service-Connected Disabilities	196
Table VIII-1. QOL Items Available from the 2007 Survey of Disabled Veterans	203
Table VIII-2. Important Correlates of QOL Available from the 2007 Survey of Disabled Veterans.....	207
Table VIII-3. QOL Loss for 28 Body Subsystems for Veterans without IU and without SMC..	216
Table VIII-4. Results for QOL Loss by Frequent and Selected Primary Diagnostic Codes (SCD Veterans without IU and without SMC)	219
Table IX-1. Quality of Life Loss Scores for Enhanced Quality of Life Measure by Body System and Combined Degree of Disability	235

Table IX-2. Quality of Life Loss Scores for Preference-Based Quality of Life Measure by Body System and Combined Degree of Disability	235
Table IX-3. Descriptive Results for Quality of Life Loss Payments Based on Enhanced Quality of Life Measure	236
Table IX-4. Descriptive Results for Quality of Life Loss Payments Based on U.S. Preference-Based Quality of Life Measure	237
Table IX-5. Quality of Life Loss Payment Based on Payment for Veterans Over Age 65, Enhanced Quality of Life Measure	238
Table IX-6. Quality of Life Loss Payment Based on Payment for Veterans Over Age 65, U.S. Preference-Based Measure.....	239
Table IX-7. Quality of Life Loss Payment Based on Average SMC Amount (Excluding SMC (K, S)), U.S. Preference-Based Measure	240
Table IX-8. Quality of Life Loss Payment Based on VA Death Benefit Payment, Enhanced Quality of Life Measure	240
Table IX-9. QOL Loss Payment Based on VA Death Benefit Payment, U.S. Preference-Based Measure.....	241
Table IX-10. Distribution of Quality of Life Ratings (where 1 = low and 10 = high)	243
Table IX-11. Quality of Life Loss Schedule Based on Average Special Monthly Compensation Amount (Includes Negative Quality of Life Loss Scores)	244
Table IX-12. Quality of Life Loss Schedule Derived from VA Death Benefit Payments (Includes Negative Quality of Life Loss Scores)	245
Table IX-13. Quality of Life Payment Schedule Based on Net Award Payment for Veterans Over Age 65 (Includes Negative Quality of Life Loss Scores)	245
Table IX-14. Quality of Life Payment Schedule Derived From Average Special Monthly Compensation Amount (Negative Quality of Life Loss Set to 0)	246
Table IX-15. Quality of Life Payment Schedule Derived from VA Death Benefit (Negative Quality of Life Loss Set to 0)	246
Table IX-16. Payment Schedule Derived from Payment for Veterans Over Age 65 (Negative Quality of Life Loss Set to 0).....	247
Table IX-17. Lump Sum Quality of Life Payments for Veterans with Service-Connected Disabilities in Canada in 2008.....	248
Table IX-18. Lump Sum Pain and Suffering Payments to Veterans with Service-Connected Disabilities in the United Kingdom in 2008	249
Table IX-19. Pain and Suffering Payment Factors Applied to VASRD Ratings	251
Table IX-20. Hybrid Payment Schedule with 15% Factor Based on Age Greater than 65 Years and SMC Benchmarks and QOL Loss Distribution	252
Table IX-21. Hybrid Payment Schedule QOL Loss Distribution with 25% Factor (Based on Age Greater than 65 Years and SMC Benchmarks)	253
Table IX-22. Hybrid Payment Schedule QOL Loss Distribution with 33% Factor (Based on Age Greater than 65 Years and SMC Benchmarks)	253
Table IX-23. Hybrid Payment Schedule Net Award Distribution with 15% Factor (Based on Age Greater than 65 Years and SMC Benchmarks)	254
Table IX-24. Hybrid Payment Schedule Net Award Distribution with 25% Factor (Based on Age Greater than 65 Years and SMC Benchmarks)	254

Table IX-25. Hybrid Payment Schedule Net Award Distribution with 33% Factor (Based on Age Greater than 65 Years and SMC Benchmarks)	255
Table X-1. Quality of Life Defined by Authoritative Organizations.....	258
Table X-2. Major Domains of QOL.....	259
Table X-3. QOL Definitions of Foreign Veterans Disability Programs Relative to Broad Definitions of QOL by Authoritative Organizations and the Literature	262
Table X-4. WHO QOL Domains and Facets for QOL Measurement Instruments	270
Table X-5. Advantages of QOL Measurement Instruments for VA Applicability	279
Table X-6. CLAMES: Classification and Measurement System of Functional Health Attributes and their Original Sources	285
Table X-7. WHOQOL-BREF Domains and Facets of Quality of Life	288
Table X-8. Summary of Options for QOL Measurement (4 = Highest)	292
Table X-9. Estimated Cost of Long-Term Options for QOL Measurement (in thousands of dollars)	293
Table XI-1. Estimated Costs of Option 3 including Estimated Additional Time	297
Table XI-2. Rating Adjustment Matrix	299
Table XI-3. CDD Levels after Adjustment	299
Table XI-4. Average Annual VA Compensation by Combined Degree of Disability Level.....	300
Table XI-5. Projected VA Compensation Payment Reduction If New CDD Calculations are Implemented in 2010 (in 2006 Dollars)	301
Table XI-6. Estimated Disability Compensation Program Cost Changes Associated with Changes to Top Four Diagnoses (2001-2007)—Single Year Cost Changes in 2006 Dollars	302
Table XI-7. Range of Annual Costs for QOL Benefit Payment by Option.....	303

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure I-1. Revised Verbrugge and Jette Model of Disability	5
Figure I-2. Percent Earnings Loss by Major Body System.....	13
Figure I-3: Loss of Quality of Life by Disability Ratings for SCD Veterans without IU and without SMC	21
Figure I-4 : Quality of Life Loss by Body System for SCD Veterans without IU and without SMC.....	22
Figure I-5. Loss of Mental and Physical Quality of Life by Body System for SCD Veterans without IU and without SMC	23
Figure I-6. QOL Payments for Veterans in UK and Canada Compared to Proposed QOL Payments in U.S. and CDD Payments (converted to monthly payment amounts)	38
Figure III-1. Revised Verbrugge and Jette Model of Disability.....	43
Figure III-2. The Original World Health Organization (International Classification of Impairment, Disability and Handicap) and Nagi Models.....	47
Figure III-3. Brandt and Pope View of Disability	48

Figure III-4. The Revised World Health Organization Model: International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health.....	49
Figure III-5. Verbrugge and Jette: Enablement/Disablement Model	51
Figure V-1. Non-SMC and non-IU SCD Veterans by Combined Degree of Disability, as of September 2001 and September 2007, and New Enrollees Between 2001 and 2007 ...	101
Figure V-2. SCD Veterans Receiving SMC by Combined Degree of Disability, as of September 2001 and September 2007, and New Enrollees Between 2001 and 2007 ...	101
Figure V-3. SCD Veterans with IU Rating, by Combined Degree of Disability, as of September 2001 and September 2007, and New SCD Enrollees Between 2001 and 2007	102
Figure V-4. “Do you receive income from wages, salaries, or other employment income?” by Veteran Disability Status and Release from Active Duty Date – 2001 NSV Data	112
Figure V-5. “What was your total family income for 2000, before taxes and deductions” by Veteran Disability Status and Release from Active Duty Date – 2001 NSV data	113
Figure V-6. Length of Years of Service in Military by Veteran Disability Status and Release from Active Duty Date – 2001 NSV data	114
Figure V-7. Age Distribution by Veteran Disability Status and Release from Active Duty Date – 2001 NSV data	115
Figure VI-1. Length of Military Service by Age Group: Released from Active Duty (Pre-1980 and 1980 or Later)	129
Figure VI-2. Length of Military Service by Service Era, SCD Status, and Age Group	130
Figure VI-3. SSA Compared to CPS Veterans’ Earnings by Age.....	133
Figure VI-4. Proportion of Veterans Receiving VA Disability Compensation as reported by VA and CPS	133
Figure VI-5. Comparison of CPS and SSA Earnings Data for Veterans Based on Official SSA/DMDC Data Compared to Self-Reported SCD Status.....	134
Figure VI-6. 2006 Earnings and Estimated Benefits by Age for All Veterans in Study	141
Figure VII-1. Comparison of Tax-Adjusted VA Compensation and Two Measures of Earnings Losses (Veterans without IU or SMC)	154
Figure VII-2. Average Earnings for Employed Veterans with Service-Connected Disabilities by CDD Level	156
Figure VII-3. Actual and Expected Earnings by IU and SMC (K) Status and CDD	156
Figure VII-4. Overall Earnings Loss Deviation from Linearity.....	159
Figure VII-5. Earnings Losses by Age	160
Figure VII-6. Employment Rates by Age and CDD	162
Figure VII-7. Percent Earnings Loss by Major Body System	163
Figure VII-8. Average Earnings Losses for Veterans with Physical Versus Mental Service-Connected Disabilities.....	165
Figure VII-9. Compensation Equity for Major Body System of Primary Diagnosis	168
Figure VII-10. Average Earnings Losses for Veterans with Physical and Mental Primary Service-Connected Disabilities, for Veterans under Age 65.....	170

Figure VII-11. Percent Earnings Losses by Number of Service-Connected Disabilities and Combined Degree of Disability (Excluding Veterans with IU or Receiving SMC and Veterans 65 and Older)	172
Figure VII-12. Overall Earnings Loss and Compensation	174
Figure VIII-1. Physical and Mental QOL Score Comparisons Using VR-12 Scores, SCD Veterans, Non-Disabled Veterans, and Non-SCD Veterans	206
Figure VIII-2. QOL Loss, SCD Veterans.....	208
Figure VIII-3. QOL Loss by CDD Rating Level, SCD Veterans without IU and without SMC	209
Figure VIII-4. Comparison of Percentages of Primary Physical with Primary Mental Diagnoses at Each Disability Rating Level, SCD Veterans without IU and without SMC	210
Figure VIII-5. QOL Loss by Selected CDD Rating Levels, SCD Veterans with IU and without SMC	212
Figure VIII-6. QOL Loss at Each Disability Rating Level, Veterans with SMCs.....	213
Figure VIII-7. Overall QOL Loss by Body System of Primary Diagnosis, Veterans without IU and without SMC	214
Figure VIII-8. Physical and Mental QOL Loss by Body System of Primary Diagnosis, Veterans without IU and without SMC	215
Figure VIII-9. QOL Loss by Number of SCDs, Veterans without IU and without SMC	220
Figure VIII-10. Overall QOL Loss by Number of non-Zero Rated SCDs and Combined Degree of Disability, Veterans without IU and without SMC	221
Figure VIII-11. Comparison of QOL Loss for PTSD and Eye Injuries, Veterans without IU and without SMC	222
Figure VIII-12. QOL Loss Results for Veterans Rated Individually Unemployability and Veterans Who Reported They Are Working	223
Figure VIII-13. QOL Loss by Age, SCD Veterans without IU and without SMC	224
Figure VIII-14. QOL Loss Associated with Benefit Amount, Veterans' Perceived Fairness Regarding Their Benefit Amount, Veterans without IU and without SMC	226
Figure VIII-15. QOL Loss Associated with Intermittent Symptoms, Medical Treatment, and Assistance, SCD Veterans without IU and without SMC	227
Figure X-1. EconSys Study Team's Proposed QOL Domains for Veterans.....	263

