

Montana Part B FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma compared to percent of all youth in the State graduating with a regular diploma.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP. OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 68.9%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 70.2%.</p> <p>The State reported that by using a 95% confidence interval it met its FFY 2006 target of 69.9%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>2. Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school compared to the percent of all youth in the State dropping out of high school.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP. OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 5.6%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 5.9%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 5.8%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>3. Participation and performance of children with disabilities on statewide assessments:</p> <p>A. Percent of districts that have a disability subgroup that meets the State's minimum "n" size meeting the State's AYP objectives for progress for disability subgroup.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the baseline, targets, and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP. OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 50%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 40.4%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 40.4%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>3. Participation and performance of children with disabilities on statewide assessments:</p> <p>B. Participation rate for children with IEPs in a regular assessment with no accommodations; regular assessment with accommodations; alternate assessment against grade level standards; alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the baseline, targets, and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP. OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 97.43%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 95%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>

Montana Part B FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps								
<p>3. Participation and performance of children with disabilities on statewide assessments:</p> <p>C. Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level standards and alternate achievement standards.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP. OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 33.3%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 32%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 32%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>								
<p>4. Rates of suspension and expulsion:</p> <p>A. Percent of districts identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with disabilities for greater than 10 days in a school year; and</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the targets and the definition of significant discrepancy for this indicator in its SPP. OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State recalculated its FFY 2005 data based on its revised definition. The State's FFY 2005 reported data are 0%. The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 0%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 0%.</p>	<p>OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, information demonstrating that it has adopted and used an appropriate method of identifying significant discrepancies either in or among LEAs based on both the FFY 2005 and FFY 2006 data. The State provided the required information.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>								
<p>4. Rates of suspension and expulsion:</p> <p>B. Percent of districts identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year of children with disabilities by race and ethnicity.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Reporting on Indicator 4B was not required for the FFY 2006 APR.</p>									
<p>5. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21:</p> <p>A. Removed from regular class less than 21% of the day;</p> <p>B. Removed from regular class greater than 60% of the day; or</p> <p>C. Served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="682 1247 1276 1521"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2005 Data</th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2006 Target</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Removed from regular class less than 21% of the day</td> <td>50.7%</td> <td>49.0%</td> <td>48.5%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target	A. Removed from regular class less than 21% of the day	50.7%	49.0%	48.5%	<p>OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, information that clarifies its baseline. The State provided the required information.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
	FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target							
A. Removed from regular class less than 21% of the day	50.7%	49.0%	48.5%							

Montana Part B FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps								
hospital placements. [Results Indicator]	B. Removed from regular class greater than 60% of the day	11.1%	12.2%	12.5%									
	C. Served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements.	2.0%	1.3%	1.8%									
	These data represent progress for 5C and slippage for 5A and 5B from the FFY 2005 data. The State met its FFY 2006 targets.												
6. Percent of preschool children with IEPs who received special education and related services in settings with typically developing peers (i.e., early childhood settings, home, and part-time early childhood/part-time early childhood special education settings). [Results Indicator]	Reporting on Indicator 6 was not required for the FFY 2006 APR.												
7. Percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication and early literacy); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator; New]	1. The State’s FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are: <table border="1" data-bbox="680 1144 1276 1453"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="680 1144 926 1317">06-07 Preschool Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="932 1144 1035 1317">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1041 1144 1150 1317">Knowledge & Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1157 1144 1276 1317">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="680 1321 926 1453">a. % of preschoolers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td data-bbox="932 1321 1035 1453">9.1%</td> <td data-bbox="1041 1321 1150 1453">26%</td> <td data-bbox="1157 1321 1276 1453">8.9%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				06-07 Preschool Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of preschoolers who did not improve functioning.	9.1%	26%	8.9%	OSEP’s June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, its definition and criteria for defining “comparable to same age peers” in the February 1, 2008 APR. The State provided the required information. The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
06-07 Preschool Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior										
a. % of preschoolers who did not improve functioning.	9.1%	26%	8.9%										

Montana Part B FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	b. % of preschoolers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	28.6%	46.9%	27.1%	
	c. % of preschoolers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	0%	0%	0%	
	d. % of preschoolers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	31.9%	20.2%	33.6%	
	e. % of preschoolers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	30.4%	6.9%	30.4%	
	The State provided improvement activities for this indicator covering the remaining years of the SPP.				
8. Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities. [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 68.9%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 65.5%. The State met its FFY 2006 target.				OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.

Montana Part B FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>9. Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the targets and the definition of disproportionate representation for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 0%. These data are unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 0%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts regarding this indicator.</p>
<p>10. Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the targets and the definition of disproportionate representation for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 0%. These data are unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 0%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 0%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts regarding this indicator.</p>
<p>11. Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated within 60 days (or State established timeline).</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the language in its target for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts this revision.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 85.4%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 93%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>OSEP could not determine if the State timely corrected prior findings of noncompliance related to this indicator (identified in FFY 2005).</p>	<p>OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, a more detailed explanation of any delays in completing evaluations. The State provided the required information.</p> <p>Because the State reported 93% compliance with this indicator for its FFY 2005 APR, it was also required to provide information regarding the timely correction of noncompliance in its FFY 2006 APR. The State reported on page 63 of its FFY 2006 APR that "there were no findings of noncompliance related to this indicator." The State reported similar data for Indicator 11 in Indicator 15. The State must review its data for this indicator and either explain the inconsistency, or report on the timely correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2005 APR in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in</p>

Montana Part B FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		compliance with the timely evaluations requirements in 34 CFR §300.301(c)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
<p>12. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the baseline year to FFY 2006 for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts this revision.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 61.4%. OSEP was unable to determine whether there was progress or slippage because the State indicated that it did not have data available prior to FFY 2006.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP's June 15, 2007 FFY 2005 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, baseline data from 2004-2005, and progress data from 2005-2006 and 2006-2007. The State reported that it is unable to collect data for 2004-2005 and 2005-2006 and will use FFY 2006 (2006-2007) data as its baseline.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the early childhood transition requirements in 34 CFR §300.124(b), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>13. Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 63.6%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 51%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 14 of 14 findings of noncompliance were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the secondary transition requirements in 34 CFR §300.320(b) was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the secondary transition requirements in 34 CFR §300.320(b), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>14. Percent of youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and who have been competitively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both, within one</p>	<p>The State provided baseline data, targets and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts the improvement activities and targets.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>

Montana Part B FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>year of leaving high school. [Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State's reported baseline data for this indicator are 80.1%.</p>	
<p>15. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised its improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 96.7%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 100%. The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 118 of 122 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner and that all noncompliance was corrected shortly after the one year time period.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance under this indicator in accordance with the requirements in 20 U.S.C. 1232d(b)(3)(E) and 34 CFR §§300.149 and 300.600, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 11, 12, and 13, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>16. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>17. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the 45-day timeline or a timeline that is properly extended by the hearing officer at the request of either party. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not have any fully adjudicated due process hearings during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>18. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that 1 of 1 resolution sessions resulted in settlement agreements.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten resolution sessions held in FFY 2006. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>

Montana Part B FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	resolution sessions were held.	
<p>19. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that 2 of 3 mediations held resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2006. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>20. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with IDEA sections 616 and 618 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 300.601(b).</p>