

Appendix C

Wildland Fire Use - Team Selection Rationale

Requesting Unit: _____

Date: _____

Fire Name: _____

Yes No

A. Appropriate Management Response

Wildland fire managed for resource benefits or prescribed fire

Wildland fire managed for protection objectives with low - moderate complexity indicating long-duration actions

B. Objectives

Resource benefits outweigh protection benefits

Mixture of objectives

C. Planning

Operational Plan (Wildland Fire Implementation Plan) is needed

Long-term Risk Assessment is necessary

D. Fire Management

Maximum Manageable Area is established

Project fire size is greater than 500 acres

Values at risk are identified needing protection

Actions are identified that will need to be taken in the next week to protect values at risk

Command and General Staff Positions necessary

Operations personnel will not generally exceed 100 for limited time periods

Total

A majority of "Yes" responses indicates that a FUMT is the logical management organization for this fire, provided the local unit cannot effectively manage with its own resources. A majority of "No" responses indicates that an Incident Management Team may be warranted. The fire complexity analysis provides guidance in the selection of the appropriate IMT.

- Provide the following information when ordering new or extending existing FUMTs:
 - What is the anticipated length of assignment?
 - Is the FUMT needed to write or update a WFIP Stage 3?
 - If so, is there a reason (such as lack of experience or incident complexity) why the local unit can not complete the WFIP?
 - Is the FUMT needed to manage complex holding operations (type 2 complexity)?
 - If so, what is the expected size of the operations group, and what types of resources will they be managing?
 - Are there management options other than utilizing a FUMT?
 - Could single resources (FUMA, LTAN, etc.) be utilized?
 - Could another type of IMT be utilized?
 - Can the incident be complexed with another incident?