1. B.1 Supplies or Services

Background: Cost and fee on Table B.1-2 requires cost breakdown for CLINs 001, 003 and 005 for PWS 2.8 and 2.9, and Table B.1-1 Contract Value includes cost and fee for all PWS, except 2.5 and 6.0.

Question: Is the cost and fee of PWS 2.8 and 2.9, CLIN 001 to be included or excluded from the total contract value in Table B.1-1?

Response: The cost and fee are to be included.

2. G.5 NFS Clause 1852.245-70 Contractor Requests for Government-Provided Property

L.7 NFS 1852.245-80 Government Property Management Information L.8 NFS 1852.245-81 List of Available Government Property

Background: The title and language of NFS Clause 1852.245-70 and 1852.245-80 provided in full text differ from the clauses in the NASA FAR Supplement. In addition, we are unable to locate a NFS clause numbered 1852.245-81 as referenced in L.7 (c) and (h) and inserted in full text in L.8.

Question: What are the correct clauses?

Response: The RFP is amended to include the property clauses modified by NASA Procurement Information Circular (PIC) 07-09 dated September 11, 2007. The clauses in the amended RFP are the most current and offerors shall prepare their proposal in accordance with them. See Items 2 and 11, of the RFP amendments stated on pages 2 - 3 of 9 of this amendment.

3. H.27 Computing Services, paragraph (b)(2) PWS paragraph 1.3.1 Resource Management and Work Control

Background: The RFP appears to include contradictory statements regarding the provision of MAXIMO licenses.

- Ref 1. RFP Section H, paragraph H.27(b)(2) states: "(2) The contractor shall purchase any required Maximo® licenses, modules, and/or maintenance agreements via SEWP and shall be titled to the Government."
- Ref 2. PWS paragraph 1.3.1 states in part: "The MESC Contractor will be provided six MAXIMO licenses in order to interface with other NASA/KSC contractors and organizations."

Question: Please clarify if the purchase in Ref 1 only refers to requirements beyond the six licenses to be provided by the Government.

Response: The reference to purchasing MAXIMO licenses through SEWP only applies if the offeror proposes more licenses than the six copies provided by the Government. See Item 5, of the RFP amendments stated on page 3 of 9 of this amendment.

4. H.29 OFF-SITE TREATMENT, STORAGE AND DISPOSAL (TSD) FACILITIES and L.17 SPECIFIC PROPOSAL PREPARATION INSTRUCTIONS A.2.d.ii

Background: Section H.29 implies that the permits are to be provided to the Contracting Officer at a later date.

"The MESC Contractor must have prior written concurrence of the Contracting Officer before making a change of hazardous waste transporters and off-site TSD facilities. Copies of the permits and EPA ID numbers for any proposed transporters or TSD facilities must be provided to the Contracting Officer for evaluation."

Section L.17 A.2.d.ii indicates the permits are to be provided with the proposal.

"A list of hazardous waste transporters and off-site TSD facilities that will be used for each type of waste managed at KSC and CCAFS. The list shall include the transporter/facility name, EPA ID number, and copies of all required permits held by the transporters and TSD facilities to be used;"

- a. Question: Are copies of all permits to be provided with the proposal submittal?
- b. Question: If permit copies are to be provided with the proposal, are they excluded from the page limitation requirement?

Response: No, the copies of all permits are to be submitted after contract award and prior to contract start. The RFP is amended to clarify this.

See Item 15, Section L.17 A.2.d.ii, of the RFP amendments stated on page 4 of 9 of this amendment;

5. Attachment J-1 – PWS as a whole, but especially 3.4(v); 3.7(d); and 2.11

Background: The PWS is not very clear regarding the location of certain MESC-related laboratories, particularly those to be used for MESC requirements starting in Year 2.

Question: Please confirm the location of laboratories to be used to meet Year 2 requirements. In particular, will any of the labs in the Space Life Sciences Laboratory (SLSL) be furnished to the MESC contractor to meet requirements such as Environmental Microbiology Testing [PWS 3.4(v)]; Environmental Microbiology Lab Analyses [PWS 3.7(d)]; and Biomedical Studies Support (PWS 2.11)?

Response: The laboratories in the Space Life Sciences Laboratory will not be used under the MESC contract. Attachment J-8 identifies the laboratory locations available for use by the MESC contractor.

Attachment J-1 – PWS subsection 5.3 states in part, "The MESC Contractor shall ...
b. Assist in developing and implementing the NASA-specific occupational health
module for the RPMS;

Background: PWS subsection 5.3 (**Electronic Health Records System**) contains a specific requirement that we believe may no longer be valid:

Question: Please clarify whether or not this is still a valid requirement.

Response: The requirement remains as stated in the RFP.

7. Attachment J-1, PWS subsection 5.6.d

Background: The contractor is to "procure promotion and educational materials for designated topics and other healthcare events..." on a quarterly basis.

Question: Is the contractor responsible for the purchase and dissemination of these materials to all NASA sites?

Response: Yes, the contractor is responsible for the purchase and dissemination of the materials to all NASA sites. Historical cost for this effort is \$50,000 per contract year. The RFP PWS is amended to clarify this requirement. See Item 8, of the RFP amendments stated on page 3 of 9 of this amendment.

8. RFP Attachment J-6 (GFP)

Background: (Note. This question addresses issues not related to the support to be provided by ODIN.) ***** Attachment J-6 lists numerous items of information technology (IT) hardware, but no software. The PWS contains many references to software applications and databases, many of them special-purpose or scientific in nature. The RFP is unclear as to whether use of existing software applications is required or desirable. It is also unclear as to whether non-ODIN software is to be furnished by the Government or the successful offeror.

Ouestions:

- 1. Please indicate whether non-ODIN software is to be supplied by the Government or the Contractor.
- 2. If in essence the answer is "both," please indicate which software items are to be furnished by the Government and which by the Contractor.

Answers:

- 1. Existing non-ODIN software will be provided by the Government.
- 2. The use of existing applications is required. Offeror is advised to refer to RFP L.18 "MESC Reference Documents List", page 119, Supporting Hardware and Software for Earth Systems Modeling.

9. RFP Attachments J-6 (GFP) and J-8 (GFF)

Background:

- Attachment J-6 states in part, "This Attachment lists GFP available on October 1, 2009. Property listed on this attachment is used in the Range Operations Control Center (ROCC) and the Earth Systems Modeling and Data Management Laboratory."
- Attachment J-8 lists Government Furnished <u>Facilities</u> for MESC, but it makes no mention of the ROCC or the Earth Systems Modeling and Data Management Laboratory.

Questions:

- 1. Will the MESC contractor be able or required to use space in the ROCC and the ESDML?
- 2. If so, how much space will be made available and in what rooms?

Answers:

- 1. The MESC contractor operates consoles for launches in the ROCC. They are not assigned space in the ROCC. The ESMDL is identified in Attachment J-8 as the GIS laboratory. Attachment J-8 is modified to reflect the title clarification.
- 2. Attachment J-8 contains information on available Government furnished laboratory space in the ESMDL.

See Item 10, of the RFP amendments stated on page 3 of 9 of this amendment.

10. Attachment J-6 and J-14 list government property that will be available in Year 2.

Question: Can you please provide manufacture dates for each piece of property so we can better determine when the property will need to be replaced? (As is provided for Year 1 property.)

Response: Attachments J-6 and J-14 are amended to include the manufacture date for each piece of property in Year 2.

See Item 9, of the RFP amendments stated on page 3 of 9 of this amendment.

11. Attachment J-7

Background: In the RFP on Attachment J-7, Register of Wage Determination and Fringe Benefits for MESC, it lists the following:

Wage Determination Number: 2005-2118

Revision Number: 5

Date of Last Revision: 07/12/2007

On the D.O.L. web site, there is an updated Wage Determination for Florida counties of Brevard and Indian River listing the following:

Wage Determination Number: 2005-2117

Revision Number: 4

Date of Last Revision: 09/27/2007

There are significant changes in rates from WD 2005-2118 to WD 2005-2117.

Question: Will the Government amend Attachment J-7 to incorporate this new W.D. so that costs can be proposed more accurately?

Response: No, the Department of Labor indicated, Wage Determination Number: 2005-2117, does not apply to this procurement.

12. Attachment J-14 and L-9

Question: Respectfully request files be provided in native file format rather than PDF.

Response: Attachments J-14 and L-9 will be reposted in excel®.

- 13. Regarding available government property, Section L.8 states that "the offeror shall notify the Government, as part of its proposal, of its intention to use or not use the property".
 - 1. Question: Should each piece of property be identified as intended for use or not?
 - Response: The offeror need only list the item(s) not intended for use. If items are not specifically identified the Government will assume they are intended for use.
 - 2. Question: Also, should this list be included in the Cost volume or Technical volume?

Response: This information should be included in the Cost Volume in accordance with Section L, Paragraph L.15 A (1).

14. Section L.14(a)

Background: The note in the table states that Volumes I, II, and IV shall be on one CD-ROM. Volume II is to be submitted 14 days prior to Volumes I and IV.

Question: Is the intent to have the hard copy and electronic copy of Volume II submitted at different times, i.e., the hard copy on November 2 and the electronic copy on November 16 with the rest of the submittal?

Response: Yes Section L, Paragraph L-14 (a) has been modified to clarify the requirement. Past performance data is identified to be submitted prior to proposal submission.

MESC

See Item 13, of the RFP amendments stated on page 4 of 9 of this amendment.

15. Section L.14(b)

Background: The RFP requires page formatting with at least one inch margins on all sides and 12 point type, however, the cost proposal forms are formatted with less than one-inch margins and less than 12 point type.

Question: Does the Government require offerors to submit the cost proposal forms using the margins, font size and page formats presented in the RFP or reformat the forms to one- inch margins and 12-point type, as required in L.14(b)?

Response: For Volume III Cost, the cost proposal forms shall fit on one page, should contain font no smaller than 10 point type, and be printed on pages no larger than 11x17". Section L, Paragraph L-14(b) is amended to clarify the requirement.

See Item 14, of the RFP amendments stated on page 4 of 9 of this amendment.

16. L.15.A.2) Replenishment of supplies/benchstock

Background: The RFP provided the Government's best estimate to restock supplies/ benchstock to appropriate levels for contract start, however, the PWS and the workload indicators do not provide sufficient information for offerors to realistically estimate the annual cost of replenishing these supplies.

Question: We respectfully request that the Government provide standardized proposal values or historical consumption rate information for annual replenishment of supplies/benchstock.

Response: This information will not be provided because it is dependent on the offerors proposal.

17. L.17.A.1(a)iv. For each key person proposed

Attachment L-1 Key/Critical Personnel Resume

Background: As written, the last sentence in paragraphs 1) and 2) can be interpreted to mean the resumes and the signed letters of commitment are included in the 200 page limit. Attachment L-1 states resumes are not included in the 200 page limit.

Question: Are resumes and commitment letters excluded from the page limitation for Volume 1?

Response: Resumes and commitment letters are to be included in the page count. Attachment L-1 is amended to clarify the requirement.

See Item 16, of the RFP amendments stated on page 5 of 9 of this amendment.

18. L.17.A.1.c.i; Phase-In; Page 93 of 135

Question: Will the government provide a list of the automated systems that are currently in place that will be transitioned to MESC systems? Examples include health information management system, electronic medical records, environmental health data systems, etc.

Response: The automated systems that are currently in place that will be transitioned to MESC systems are as follows:

Big Access Database (BAD) – Hazardous Waste Tracking System Health and Environmental Record System (HERS) – Task tracking, scheduling and report management

Material Safety Data Sheet Web System (MSDS)- Web based library and search engine

Asbestos Management Information System (AMIS)- Track asbestos containing building materials (data system to be managed by the facilities contractor) GIS Systems- Management of environmental science data (year two of the contract)

Agency Health Evaluation Database (AHED)- Tracks all findings from the Agency-wide occupational health program audits

Note: The current electronic medical records system is not expected to be available for the MESC contractor. The data (employee electronic medical records) will be made available.

19. Section L.17.B (a) and (b) Format for Relevant Experience/Past Performance; Page 95 of 135

Question: The reference requires the section to be organized in the following manner:

- 1. Cover Page
- 2. Table of Contents
- 3. Relevant Experience/ Past Performance Information Summary
- 4. Relevant Past Performance Narrative
- 5. Supplemental Past Performance Data From Prior Customers
- 6. Copy of first page of each Past Performance Questionnaire sent to former customers, with contact information (Note: The first page of the Past Performance Questionnaire is excluded from the Volume II, Relevant Experience/Past Performance, 45 page limit.)

In which section should we place the individual contract information (required under L.17.B (b))?

Response: Past performance is to be provided in Volume II.

20. L.17.B(a) 3. Relevant Experience/Past Performance Information Summary

L.17.B(e)

Background:

The RFP states "The offeror shall provide the Past Performance Questionnaire (Attachment L-6 to this solicitation) to each past performance Contracting Officer or customer contact equivalent identified in its Relevant Experience/Past Performance Information Summary."

It appears the Past Performance Information Summary affords the opportunity to elaborate on contracts beyond the five (5) Past Performance Questionnaire limit set forth in the RFP

Questions:

- 1. Please define the information required in the Relevant Experience/Past Performance Information summary.
- 2. Can the contractor discuss contract performance in the sections delineated on page 98 L.17B(a)3, 4 and 5 for contracts other than those who are receiving questionnaires in the Past Performance Volume?

Responses:

- 1. The offeror should refer to paragraph two of L.17 (B), when responding to Section L, Paragraphs L.17 (B) (a) through (e).
- 2. The intent of L.17 (B) is for the offeror to provide information only on those contracts for which Past Performance Questionnaires were requested.

21. L-17.C.1 General Cost Proposal Instructions

Background: Cost Forms C and D require offerors to summarize and consolidate the total costs by cost element, by CLIN and by PWS, however, only the data on Forms F-1 and, J can be linked to the data on Forms C and D. The remaining forms are not estimated by CLIN or in accordance with Table L.17.C-3.

Question: Please advise how offerors are to link the cost proposal forms from the lower level detail costs to the summary level forms.

Response: Additional forms, Form G-1, G-2, G-3, I-1, I-2, I-3, and J-1, J-2, and J-3 have been added to clarify data requirement needs. Also, Forms G, I, and J are amended to clarify data requirement needs. The offeror is responsible for the math, linking the cost proposal forms from the lower level detail costs to the summary level forms, explaining the links, providing the formulas, and defining the formulas, as applicable.

MESC

See Item 20, of the RFP amendments stated on pages 5 - 9 of 9 of this amendment.

22. L-17.C.1.f In the preparation of ...

Background: The listing of cost elements not subject to fee differ among Section B.4.H, Section L-17 C.1.c, Section L-17 C.1.f and Section L-17 C.2.e.i.(6).

Question: Please clarify which cost elements are non-fee-bearing.

Response: The sections are amended to provide clarification.

See Item 15, of the RFP amendments stated on page 4 of 9 of this amendment.

23. L-17.C.1.f In the preparation of ...

Background: The MESC contains extensive requirements for liability insurance, equipment capitalization and depreciation, lease, maintenance and other non-labor resources during contract performance.

Question: We respectfully request the fee-based limitation apply only to pass-through costs such as supplies, materials, travel, relocation, and equipment expensed items.

Response: No, the Government has identified those items which are non-fee bearing as part of the solicitation (clause B.4 (h)).

24. L-17.C.2.c. Section 2 – Offeror Fill-In Forms

Background: Table L.17.C-2 requires the fill-in forms to be submitted in Volume III, Cost Volume, Section 2, and instruction L-17.E requires the fill-in forms to be submitted in Volume V, Offer Volume.

Question: In which volume are the forms required to be submitted?

Response: Both volumes III and V. The RFP is amended to clarify this requirement.

See Item 15 of the RFP amendments stated on page 4 of 9 of this amendment.

25. L-17.C.2.e.i.3) Schedule of Rates

Background: The referenced schedule requires a table displaying rates, labor burdens, overhead, material handling, G&A, CERs, allocation bases, and other information requested on Cost Form N

Question: Is the table intended to be submitted as an attachment to Form N., DCAA/DCMA information, or is the correct reference Form O, "Rates and Factors"?

Response: The correct reference is Form O and Section L has been amended accordingly.

See Item 15 of the RFP amendments stated on page 4 of 31 of this amendment 2.

26. L-17.C.2.e.i.4) Standardized Proposal Values

Background: The Standardized Proposal Value of \$25,000/year for office furniture has been pre-entered on Cost Proposal Form G, Supplies and Materials, and Form J, Other Direct Cost.

Questions:

- 1. Is it the Government's intent for \$50,000/year to be estimated for office furniture?
- 2. In which CLIN and PWS are the standardized proposal values to be included on RFP Forms B, C, D and J?

Responses:

- 1. No, it is the Government's intention that the annual value for office furniture be \$25,000 annually. Form J has been amended to clarify the requirements.
- 2. CLINs 1, 3, and 5. The RFP cost forms are amended to provide appropriate clarifications.

See Item 20, of the RFP amendments stated on pages 5 - 9 of 9 of this amendment.

27. Section L.17.C.2. f. Section 5 – Other information; Page 106 of 135

Question: The government states: "clearly label all financial statements as audited or unaudited and the date, if applicable, of any certification of the financial statements by the responsible company official." Please elaborate on what is meant by audited or unaudited. Is the term audited referred to a government audit performed by DCAA; financials reviewed by an independent CPA firm; or does it refer to a company internal audit?

Response: The term "audited" refers to audited by an independent CPA firm. The RFP is amended to clarify the requirement.

See Item 15 of the RFP amendments stated on page 4 of 9 of this amendment.

28. L-17.C.4. Forms

Background: Total Cost Summary, Forms A, B, and C are missing cost elements, Supplies and Materials, and Equipment.

Question: Where are the cost of Supplies and Materials, estimated on Form G, and Equipment, estimated on Form H, to be entered on the Total Cost Summary Forms A, B, and C?

Response: The cost of Supplies and Materials estimated on Form G, and Equipment estimated on Form H are to be entered on Total Cost Summary (Forms A, B, and C as part of ODC).

See Item 20 of the RFP amendments stated on pages 5 - 9 of 9 of this amendment.

29. L-17.C.4.g Form F-1

Background: Instructions to Form F-1 state that a productive work year typically equates to 2,080 hours less non-productive time, and the average productive hours used for proposal preparation have been pre-entered on Form F-1. The maximum available hours per year during the performance period of the MESC contract averages 2,088.

Question: Please advise which amount should be used in the basis of estimate.

Response: The offeror shall propose their productive labor hours per year and provide the details as to how this is derived, based on the offeror's staffing scheme. Form F-1 is amended to clarify the requirements.

See Item 20 of the RFP amendments stated on pages 5 - 9 of 9 of this amendment.

30. Attachment L-7 Cover Page Instructions for Volume III – Cost

Background: The Cover Page, Item (6), instructs offerors to state the total cost and fee for the 7-year period of performance, and assume user fee associated with WBS 2.8 and 2.9.

Question: Are fees assessed for the use of the exercise facility and the rehabilitation program? If so, please provide the fee schedule, historical usage rates, and identify where the amounts are to be reflected in pricing WBS 2.8 and 2.9. If not, please clarify what user fees offerors are to assume.

Response: User fees are not assessed at this time. Attachment L-7 is amended to clarify the requirement.

See Item 19 of the RFP amendments stated on page 5 of 9 of this amendment.

31. Attachment L-8 Cost Forms:

Background: Form H requires offerors to estimate both capitalized and non-capitalized equipment costs by year. Form H-1, Fixed Asset Schedule appears to require depreciation costs for capitalized equipment only. Form J requires equipment costs of

Attachment 1

non-capitalized equipment and depreciation cost of capitalized equipment. On Form D the cost element is labeled "Equipment – Not Capitalized". Total Cost Summary Forms A, B and C do not list "Equipment" as a cost element.

Question: Please state which cost form(s) is to be submitted for the cost of equipment planned to be expensed, and equipment planned to be capitalized and depreciated.

Response: Form D is amended to clarify the requirements. Refer to Form H for proper cost classification of the proposed equipment costs.

See Item 20 of the RFP amendments stated on pages 5 of 9 of this amendment.

32. Attachment L-8 Cost Forms:

MESC

Background: Standardized proposal value for initial benchstock requirements of \$50,000 is required to be included on Form G, Supplies and Materials, per Note 4 on the form, however, the amount has been pre-entered on Form J.

Question: Is it the Government's intent for \$100,000 to be included for benchstock?

Response: No, the Government's intent is to include \$50,000 for initial benchstock costs as identified in L.15 (A) (2). Form J is amended to be a roll-up of Forms of the newly added Forms J-1, J-2, and J-3 per instructions L.17(C)(4)(k).

See Item 20 of the RFP amendments stated on pages 7 - 8 of 9 of this amendment.

33. Attachment L-8 Cost Forms:

Background: Offerors are instructed to estimate the cost of supplies and materials on Form G, however, Form J lists Material and Supplies as Other Direct Costs. Total Cost Summary Forms A, B, C do not list "Supplies and Materials" as a cost element; however it is a cost element on Form D, Total Estimated Cost.

Question: Please clarify the cost element and cost form where the cost of supplies and materials are to be included in the proposal.

Response: The offeror is to include Supplies and Materials on Forms A, B, C, and D as part of Other Direct Costs. Form D is amended to clarify the requirements.

See Item 20 of the RFP amendments stated on pages 5 - 9 of 9 of this amendment.

34. Attachment L-8 Cost Forms:

Background: Form N requests offerors to disclose information for DCAA and DCMA.

MESC

Question: What type of information is expected in response to the entry entitled "Start Agreements"?

Response: The reference to "start agreements" has been deleted.

See Item 20 of the RFP amendments stated on pages 5 - 9 of 9 of this amendment.

35. Attachment L-8 Cost Forms:

Background: Form L-1 requests offerors to disclose the Wage/Salary Compensation Structure.

Questions: What is the minimum and maximum wage/salary range and the average wage/salary to be entered on the Form L-1 for personnel whose wages are specified in a collective bargaining agreement and in the DOL wage determination? What type of information is expected in the column entitled "Exemption Requested", (Note 5). What does Note 4 refer to on the form?

Response: The Government will not provide wage/salary range information.

Cost Form L-1, and the associated instructions in L.17(C)(4)(m)(i) are amended to clarify the requirements and Notes 4 and 5.

See Item 20 of the RFP amendments stated on pages 5 - 9 of 9 of this amendment.

36. Attachment L-8 Cost Forms:

Background: Form J requires the costs of indirect labor in each burden/indirect expense pool to reconcile to the labor hours and costs submitted for indirect labor on Form F. Indirect labor, by its definition, may not be identified with a single cost objective such as an individual contract, and instead must be allocated based on a causal/beneficial relationship to an appropriate cost base, such as the company-wide business base.

Question: Please advise how offeror's are to compute labor hours and costs of indirect personnel for a single contract.

Response: Offerors are expected to follow their accounting and estimating practices. The offeror is responsible for proposing the indirect rates and providing the detail and supporting documentation and rationale for each indirect rate (the pool cost elements, the base cost elements, supporting documentation and rationale).

37. Section PWS 2.1.e, Medical Quality Assurance Program Plan; Page 17 of 60

Question: Is there a Medical Quality Assurance Program Plan currently in place? If so, can the Government please post it to the bidders' library? Please post any other quality assurance procedures or policies relative to the MESC.

Response: Yes, there is currently a Medical Quality Assurance Program Plan in place. However, this plan is proprietary and will not be posted.

- 38. We understand there are two separate occupational medicine clinics at KSC (Building K6-1145 and M6-0495) in addition to the nursing services at the visitor's center.
 - 1. Question: Do the clinics both perform the same services? Response: No, currently K6-1145 only provides walk—in first aid care and nursing services.
 - 2. Question: There is a significant difference in there square footage? Response: Yes, reference attachment J-8.
 - 3. Question: What is the distribution of equipment between these facilities? Response: Reference attachment J-14 for equipment locations (Building M6-0495 is referenced as KS-M6495, and Building K6-1145 is referenced as KS-K61145).
 - 4. Question: Do they serve different constituencies? If so, whom? Response: No
 - 5. Question: Can you provide a breakdown of workload indicators by clinic? Response: No, the Government will not provide a breakdown of workload indicators by clinic. Offerors are expected to propose their best technical and cost solution to meet the RFP requirements.
- 39. Please define "medical clerks" as referenced in the collective bargaining agreement.
 - Question: What job function does this position title perform?
 Response: Yes, the job descriptions will be provided on the MESC bidders library.
 - 2. Question: Where do they work? How many of them are there? Response: The work location and number of medical clerks are to be proposed by the offeror.
- 40. The CBA states that is covers "Environmental Health Specialist".
 - 1. Question: Are these the same as "industrial hygeniests"?
 Response: Environmental Health Specialist is a broad labor classification that may include industrial hygienist, sanitarians, health physicists, and other environmental technical disciplines.
 - Question: Can you provide a job description so we can determine who is covered under that title?
 Response: Yes, the job descriptions will be provided on the MESC bidders library.
- 41. Question and Response: Are the "Lab Technicians" covered by the CBA working in the medical laboratory? The lab technicians covered by the "CBA between SGS and its team member CHS and International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers AFL-CIO effective April 30, 2005" work in the Occupational Health Facility. Some personnel currently classified as "laboratory technicians" are not covered by the CBA and they do not work in the Occupational Health Facility. Can

you provide job descriptions of the Lab Technicians and Medical Clerks that are covered by the CBA?

Response: Yes, the job descriptions will be provided on the MESC bidders library.

42. Question: Is NASA contracting with individuals to provide consulting services? If so, how do you recommend I proceed?

Response: No, the Government will award a single contract to fulfill the requirements of the MESC RFP.

43. Question: Is NAS10-99001 still expected to expire 9/30/08?

Response: Yes

44. Question: Where may I locate the acquisition documents for NNK07204121R?

Response: The documents may be located on the NAIS and Fedbizopps website (http://www.nais.gov and http://www.fedbizopps.gov).

45. Question: Regarding the above referenced solicitation for Medical & Environmental Support for the Kennedy Space Center, I was wondering if it was possible to obtain a current planholders [interested parties] list? Please let me know if you have that information available.

Response: Interested parties list may be obtained at the following websites: http://fedbizopps.gov, http://nais.gov, or http://mesc.ksc.nasa.