be in control of her own situation.

when she used to go to play dates, even where the people were trained to read the labels, she would say can you read it to me so I can hear it because there are some words I need to know, that I know to look for. It's also important in terms of not the people who are only food allergic and their caretakers, but all of her friends who want to be able to sit with her or provide her snacks, and it's very difficult when they're trying to make the effort to be nice and to be inclusive to say, well, you don't really understand. There are ten scientific terms you have to look for. So don't bother, we'll take care of it.

And here's Becky.

MS. REBECCA DUGAL: Hi. I'm Rebecca

Dugal. I live in Allendale, New Jersey. I'm

entering fourth grade at nine and a half. I have

anaphylaxis to peanuts, peas and lentils. I'm also

allergic to wheat, eggs, apples and tomatoes. I

believe that people need to be able to read labels

very easily.

Living with food allergies and labels is hard. It's difficult in general to go places and do things where food is involved. Most people

can't read the labels for peanut allergens unless my parents have trained them. All the different names for peanuts make it confusing. Ingredient labels are hard to read since they are small and have many scientific names such as hydrolyzed vegetable protein.

People for whom English is not a first language and children have a particularly hard time. Even if my parents read the labels, they are never sure whether there will be cross-contamination since only some manufacturers use "may contain traces of."

Most adults I am with prefer just not to give me food. I cannot eat at play dates because of the labels. Snack times at school and camps are very stressful since we can't trust the labels. It's scary to try new food since we never know if cross-contamination is involved.

Since the labels aren't clear, I don't eat many foods I may be able to eat. Babysitters are difficult to trust with reading labels. My peanut allergic friends and I have reacted to seemingly non-allergic foods where the labels have not been clear cross-contamination exists.

Breads, candies, baked goods, other nuts

and snack foods are some of the foods I have just described. As a result, I don't eat many manufactured products in these categories since I don't want to take the chance of having a reaction.

Food labels should be made readable.

Mistakes can have serious outcomes. There needs to be a consistency in the use of "may contain" and "manufactured in a faculty containing."

Lettering should be large enough to read easily. Highly allergic foods should be listed separately and not included in flavorings, spices, colorings.

Symbols should be used for the eight most commonly allergic foods in addition to the actual ingredients. Symbols would be easy to implement and make labels more understandable. Foods would be easily identified as containing one of the eight allergens. Symbols would inform the consumer to read the detailed ingredient list more carefully.

Non-English speakers and children would be able to use the symbols easily. Training of non-allergic adults would be simple and they would have confidence in knowing whether a food is dangerous. Standardized symbols would reduce the risk of mistakes and horrible reactions.

8:

Simple symbols will be the easiest to recognize and take up less space than words. Here is an example of the peanut symbol and wheat symbol.

AND THE STANDARD OF THE STANDARD STANDA

The next steps you should take: you should aggressively pursue the use of standard symbols; make font symbol size large enough to read easily; agree on a standard use of "may contain" statements always with the eight most commonly allergic foods separately; educate the manufacturers and consumers; and review labeling of cosmetics, medicines and in restaurants, including food service packages.

You can help make my allergies easier to cope with. Thank you.

[Applause.]

DR. LEWIS: Thank you very much, Rebecca, and to her mom as well. Next is Anne Whelan, who would be followed by Colleen Parr, if she's here. If not, Leila Leoncavallo.

MS. WHELAN: Good afternoon. My name is

Anne Whelan and for the last six years I have

published Gluten-Free Living, a national newsletter

for people who like myself are gluten sensitive.

Without going into the details of the

2.4

disease, as you know, it has a cure, the gluten free diet, using cure in the sense of remission as long as there is no gluten in the diet. There is no true for celiac disease in the sense of a complete resolution. Once a celiac, always a celiac.

At diagnosis, we are advised to follow a life long gluten-free diet. Gluten is found in wheat. In fact, wheat is probably the main source of gluten for American celiacs. It's also found in rye, barley and derivatives of these grains. Wheat is far and away the most problematic because wheat seems to be everywhere in this country and to be found in the vast majority of American foods.

For the record, barley, too, can be difficult. Rye is relatively easy to avoid. We have to become proficient at reading labels and as we've all learned this morning, that is not easy. The best case example where wheat is concerned is modified food starch. We would like to see modified wheat starch, modified corn starch.

In this area, another issue that comes up for celiacs is spelt. Spelt, kamut, and triticale, or triticale, are three less common grains that celiacs have to avoid. Only spelt is problematic.

2.5

In plain language, spelt is a form of wheat. It may not bother some of those who are allergic to wheat, but it does bother those who are sensitive to wheat.

Some food processors now label foods that contain spelt as wheat free, and sometimes even as gluten free. Neither term is correct and it should not be permitted as it is misleading and dangerous. It relates here because of the inclusion of wheat on the list of allergens, and the understanding, which may not be universal, that spelt does not have the allergenic potential that wheat does. So spelt should be labeled as spelt, and a product is not wheat free or gluten free if it contains spelt.

I think specific source or plain English terms should be mandatory, not voluntary, for all the good reasons that we've heard this morning.

The phrases "made on shared equipment" or "made in a dedicated facility" are a little different. To a celiac, "dedicated" would mean gluten containing products are not processed in the same facility. And "made on shared" would mean shared referring to gluten-containing products. To a certain extent, those are helpful to us. They don't tell us about gluten content, but they do

tell us about contamination, and celiacs are particularly interested in contamination because the effects of gluten can be cumulative.

Helpful those these phrases may be, they should not substitute for disclosure. Celiacs have long hoped for source declarations of the contents of flavoring, spice or color. They're three of the main ingredients that make us uneasy. I think there should be a generally applicable policy in this area. I know with flavorings that the problem of trade secrets is sticky. Processors should be able to keep their secrets secret. That's what celiacs hear when they call a food processor to find out what's in it.

At the same time, we should be able to know what's in our foods and to fill comfortable about the foods that we eat.

In conclusion, the celiac community is thankful for the opportunity to speak here and looking forward to working with the FDA and with the other groups on better labeling for people who are celiac. I would like to thank the FDA, the CPSI, and particularly the FAAN for all they have done in the wheat area which helps us enormously. Thank you very much.

2.3

[Applause.]

peanuts and shellfish.

DR. LEWIS: Thank you. I believe Colleen

Parr is no longer with us and will not be speaking.

You are here? Is this Colleen Parr?

MS. LEONCAVALLO: No, I'm Leila Leoncavallo.

DR. LEWIS: You're Leila Leoncavallo.

MS. LEONCAVALLO: Leila Leoncavallo.

Before I begin, I just want to disclose that I am a former employee of CSPI, but today I'm here solely representing myself and my daughter who is three years old and suffers severe allergies to both

I would just like to focus my remarks on the issue of precautionary labeling. And I certainly support good manufacturing practices to clean up the factories as much as possible to ensure that allergens are eliminated or reduced, but at the same time I think that it is still imperative that labeling occur even in instances where the factory is cleaned, because I want to make those decisions for my daughter.

We just heard Ms. Katic state earlier that cleaning will not succeed in removing all allergens. We've also seen numerous instances of

2.0

food recalls where presumably large companies or companies that presumably would be cleaning up the allergens from their machines shouldn't be having to recall their foods after the contamination takes place.

For example, Kellogg's--this is a company that does not label foods on shared equipment and presumably employs good manufacturing practices--they had two major recalls in the past year. And I don't want my daughter to be a guinea pig to find out when food is safe and when it's not safe. I want to see the label in place in addition to the good manufacturing practices by all these companies.

I know a lot of people have expressed concerns with the issue of reducing food choices.

My daughter's food choices are already reduced. I have to call all of these companies to find out whether this is on dedicated equipment or not. Her choices are reduced. I just want her to have safe food choices. I don't think that having voluntary guidelines is going to make a bit of difference.

It has to be regulated.

The label must be readable and it must be reliable. As many people have mentioned today,

3.

children are having to read the label, caretakers are having to read the label, family members are having to read the label, and it's impossible to fully educate people as to what terms to look for or where to look for on the label unless it is regulated and reliable and easy to read.

2000年中央 - 中国 1985年 - 李连 1986年 - 李子节

Labeling must be mandatory or the situation will simply be no different than the status quo. We will still need to call companies to get this information. This method is not only time consuming and frustrating, but it's often unreliable, and I just want to share a couple of examples from my experiences in talking to companies, and these are not just small companies. These are major companies that you think would know better.

I have a letter from April 2001 from

Quaker responding to whether or not their Quaker

Life cereal is safe for my daughter to consume,

whether it's made on dedicated lines, and they say,

and I quote: "Although we would like to help you

find products that meet your special dietary needs,

we are not able to provide the information you

requested. Unfortunately, the federal labeling

laws are not designed to indicate the presence of

2.0

2.3

peanuts."

Then I have this. These are notes from my conversation with Kellogg's when I inquired about their labeling policies. They say for their cereals, you must look under the "best before date" for these letters, B, L or S. If it says K, it's not a nut-free plant.

Their regular and Double Chocolate Rice

Krispie Treats are on dedicated lines but not in

nut free plants. If I could just continue.

Scotchie and Caramel Rice Krispie Treats are on the

same line as peanut butter. Pop Tarts could be

made on the same line. Nutragrain Bars and Twists

are made in a nut-free plant. Nutragrain Squares

are on dedicated lines, but not in nut-free plant.

Pancakes are in a nut-free plant, but waffles are

not in a nut-free plant, and you must call every

six weeks to verify.

[Laughter.]

MS. LEONCAVALLO: Given this, I just don't know how we can rely upon any type of voluntary system, and I think if we have voluntary guidelines in place, great, you know, that's one step forward, but I'm still going to have to call the companies, and I'm still going to get the same responses.

23

24

25

212 1 Thank you. 2 [Applause.] Thank you. The next on our DR. LEWIS: 3 4 list is Andrew Finkeistein. And following Andrew Finkeistein would be Daniel DuBravec. 5 6 Are either of those prepared to present? 7 Is this Daniel DuBravec? 8 MR. DuBRAVEC: Dan DuBravec. 9 DR. LEWIS: Please go ahead. Following 10 Daniel DuBravec will be Lise Borel. 11 MR. DuBRAVEC: Hi. I'm Dan DuBravec. 12 I've been a chairperson for the CSA USA, the Celiac 13 Sprue Association, for about six years in Boston 14 and now in Northern Virginia. 15 And again I appreciate you forming this 16 panel and allowing us to speak and I think it's also great that there are so many people, you know, 17 representing the Celiac Sprue and bringing up our 18 cause. I've been taking notes kind of through the 19 session here, and these are some of the statements 20 21 I've heard today:

"Is looking into," "starting baseline surveys, " "suggesting guidelines, " "encouraging members to declare, " "devoting energy to, " "needs to look into further, " "contemplating issue, "

"looking at practices," "area that needs attention," "struggling with for decades."

1万字的李建成。1995年,李美子。

My main point I want to get across is that I think the time is now for the mandatory food labeling. I think the opportunity for voluntary labeling has been there and there has been some effort, but as you've heard from so many people, it's just not there. And I think the time to act for is now.

And as a chairperson, I speak to people all the time. I've spoken to hundreds that are on the wheat-free/gluten-free diet, and, you know, they're always asking me what I can eat, and we try to, you know, we contact the manufacturers and we also get information from them. You know it's information that they often do have and one area and concerning cross-contamination, and I'm not sure was addressed, but it probably included, was the part concerning packaging.

You know we thoroughly look at labels, and we go in the frozen food department and we pick up a package of frozen broccoli, let's say. Now, it could say it contains broccoli, right, and water. You would never assume or even think that in packaging that it may be dusted with flour, but

1.2

22.

2.5

that does happen, and in terms of -- I mean I have a sheet right here telling me that. You know I came in. I was just so shocked, and I had been with CSA for a long time, and this was even surprising to me that, you know, just buying frozen vegetables that I have to be cautious about that.

So I, you know, mandatory labeling, please. And, you know, "may contain," even though it may eliminate many products that may or may not contain it is very helpful for people who are on such a sensitive gluten-free diet. Thank you.

[Applause.]

DR. LEWIS: Thank you. Next would be Lise Borel. And if Lise Borel is not here, I understand Wendy Reinhardt will be substituting for Dave Schmidt.

MS. REINHARDT: Hi. My name is Wendy
Reinhardt. I'm with the International Food
Information Council Foundation, and just a quick
note, as we consider many ways to try to make food
allergy more understandable for consumers. The
International Food Information Council would like
to make everyone aware of our foundation resources
which are informational resources on food allergy.
Particularly outside you can get a copy of our

latest IFIC Review: Understanding Food Allergy, which is a reference white paper for opinion leaders.

And you can also get a copy of our consumer brochure, Understanding Food Allergy, outside. These resources as well as many other resources related to food allergy are available outside and then also on our website, ific.org. Thanks.

[Applause.]

DR. LEWIS: Thank you. Next on our list is Jerry Shier. And following Jerry Shier would be Kimberly Scott.

DR. SHIER: Good afternoon. I'm Jerry
Shier. I'm a board certified allergist
immunologist in private practice in Rockville and
Silver Spring, Maryland, as well as an Assistant
Clinical Professor at George Washington University
School of Medicine.

Today, I'm representing the American

Academy of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology. This is
the largest academic organization in the United

States representing physicians who care for
individuals with allergic diseases. Food allergy
is of great concern to the Academy, so much so

there's a special adademic section within the organization to further its members' education, monitor research, and create treatment guidelines.

There are approximately six to seven million Americans with true food allergy, with children being the largest group. Food allergy is the leading cause of anaphylaxis outside the hospital. Anaphylaxis is a full-bodied allergic reaction that can occur in minutes. Symptoms including hives, welts on the skin, asthma like symptoms, gastrointestinal symptoms, cramping, diarrhea, bloody stools, swelling of the lips, eyes and tongue.

An estimated 200 deaths occur each year 'from anaphylaxis from foods. There are approximately 30,000 emergency room visits from food allergic reactions. In my practice, I hear about food allergic reactions on a daily basis. Since there is no cure, the physician's goal is to teach the patient how to recognize and manage an allergic reaction.

But more important is preaching strict food avoidance. Part of the avoidance is vigilant label reading. The subject of label reading is why we're all here today. I have no other treatment

recommendations other than avoidance. In the case of asthma, another common allergic disease, I can preach avoidance of airborne allergens, but I also have numerous medicines to prevent the symptoms, medicines to treat active symptoms, and a method to desensitize patients to allergens that precipitate their symptoms.

It's clear from this comparison to other allergic diseases that the consumer's ability to identify food allergens is their only treatment because the use of medicine is not the treatment of food allergy, it is the treatment of either an accidental or unknowing exposure that led to an acute, potentially life threatening allergic reaction.

Our goal should be to prevent this from occurring. The American Academy of Allergy, Asthma, Immunology is in full support of the easy identification of the most common food allergens on all labels. These include milk, egg, wheat, soy, tree nuts, peanuts, fish and shellfish.

What do I mean by easy identification?

Let consumers know that these allergens are in the products they are purchasing by using the real name of the food: milk, egg or wheat, not casein,

ovalbumin or farina. This should not be Russian Roulette. The FDA must make it mandatory that major food allergens appear on labels if they are used as an ingredient in a way that our food allergic patients can easily identify the allergen.

What does "ingredient exempted from declaration" mean? If the food allergen is in a processed food, what's labeled as such. Major allergens are found in ingredients labeled as flavoring or spices or colors. Low levels of allergens can be responsible for an allergic reaction which sometimes can be life threatening.

Please just let me finish. The FDA needs to require food manufacturers to place the names of major food allergens on labels regardless of the amount.

Finally, I applaud the companies that have voluntarily instituted labeling that a major food allergen may be present, even though it's not a known ingredient. This is usually due to the use of shared equipment to produce a food that does and one that does not have a food allergen.

Unfortunately, there are companies now that have been begun labeling foods as having major allergens, purely on a liability basis, versus a

10 %

true risk. In addition, there is no uniformity on how the information is presented.

The American Academy looks to the FDA to create standards for the food industry's proper use of these statements and consistent verbiage of these statements so that we can educate our patients.

In conclusion, although I sit here today representing a large body of health care providers, I can tell you first hand that labeling systems need fixing, and that there are many Americans, especially parents of children, that have a fear that is indescribable, a fear of food that can be paralyzing.

The solution appears simple. If the major food allergen is present, label it so we can all understand it. If there is a real contamination, then label it in a uniform way. The food allergic individual is already restricted from eating outside the home because it's so difficult to identify all the ingredients and the potential for cross-contamination in restaurant foods. So let's make eating at home safe for those with potentially life threatening conditions. Thank you.

[Applause.]

DR. LEWIS: Next is Kimberly Scott, to be followed by Sarah Gitlin.

MS. SCOTT: Good afternoon. My name is
Kimberly Johnson Scott, and I appreciate this
opportunity to provide oral comments on allergen
related labeling issues. Today I am speaking both
as a mother of Sidney Scott, our energetic 21 month
old, and as the co-founder of the SOS Foundation.

On March 27 of this year, my husband and I were shocked to learn that our daughter Sidney had a life-threatening allergy to peanuts, tree nuts and eggs. In a moment, the security we had previously felt in selecting food for our family evaporated into thin air.

The exact same labels upon which we had previously relied and which as parents we found reassuring suddenly took on a potentially life or death prominence in our household. Questions replaced confidence.

What does it mean when "contains peanuts" isn't on the label, but "natural flavorings" is?

Did I read over those ingredients with 15 syllables in it and did not recognize that it was an egg protein because I didn't see the word "egg"? Why would the label say "may contain nuts" when I read

the label ten times and I didn't see nuts anywhere?

While devoting time to reading food labels clearly and deliberately is a small sacrifice to make for those we care about, we need the FDA to help empower parents by requiring clear and comprehensive labeling of products. Through our desire to help our daughter and other similarly situated families, my husband Stuart and I have co-founded the SOS Foundation, a not-for-profit organization which has pledged financial, emotional and practical support to those who struggle to meet the challenges of living with a chronic condition.

In order to help improve the lives of individuals with food allergies, SOS will serve as an additional vehicle to-advocate for accurate food labeling, practical food labeling legislation, effective research and increased awareness. In the coming year, the SOS Foundation will join forces with organizations and individuals who have long dedicated themselves to this effort. We hope to work in partnership with the FDA in bringing together industry, consumer, medical and scientific groups for the purpose of better educating the food industry and enhancing the level of public awareness of the public health risk of incomplete,

inaccurate, inconsistent, and incomprehensible labeling of food products.

I would like to briefly comment on the specific matters under consideration at this meeting. A condition such as anaphylaxis is unpredictable enough without the added stress of trying to decipher food labels. Plain English in the labeling of food ingredients is critical to empowering individuals to take control of their condition, restoring a certain amount of independence and equally as important is the power and freedom it gives to friends and relatives of non-food allergic individuals to make responsible selection of food items to share with or entertain their food allergic friends and relatives as will responsible use of advisory labeling.

When a food company manufactures five products without nuts but also manufactures one with nuts, and places advisory labels on all six products, this greatly reduces the already limited choices of those who are searching for a list of can haves in a world of cannot haves.

Finally, we must realize that listing the major food allergens must not be limited to those found in significant amounts, but also extend to

those found in trace amounts. This boils down to an issue of trust. Can I trust what is on the food label is actually what's in the product?

With that trust comes the opportunity to regain the power to monitor and maintain one's health to the best of their ability until the day a cure is found. This is a chance to be free of the agonizing choice of whether to risk an anaphylactic reaction every time you take a bite.

With the FDA's help, we have before us the opportunity to release those who suffer from this condition from a food prison so that they may not just eat to live, but that they may also eat and live. I thank you for this opportunity.

[Applause.]

DR. LEWIS: Next will have Carol Schrager.

Sarah Gitlin, first. Sarah Gitlin is here.

MS. GITLIN: Good afternoon. My name is Sarah Gitlin. I am ten years old. I am deathly allergic to peanuts, tree nuts and fish. When I learned to read, five years ago, in kindergarten, I started with Dr. Seuss, Mother Goose, and ingredients labels.

[Laughter.]

MS. GITLIN: I knew that Dr. Seuss and

2.4

Mother Goose wouldn't lie to me, but ingredients labels, I couldn't be so sure. I have to be able to trust these labels. For if I eat something I'm allergic to, even a tiny trace of it, and couldn't get proper treatment, I could die within minutes.

I try very hard only to eat what I know is safe, not to risk it if the food might contain anything I'm allergic to. But who would guess that a common popcorn brand would use the words "natural flavors" to mean peanuts? And who would guess that the words "vegetable protein" and "plant protein" would be food companies' code words for tree nuts.

These words as well as incomplete ingredients labels are life threatening for food allergic children. That is why I am here today to urge the FDA to enact regulations that require ingredients labels with an accurate list of every ingredient in the product.

Some food companies already do this voluntarily. And that's great. But I need 100 percent to do it. My life depends upon it. I'm not asking you to tell food manufacturers to change their recipes. I'm not asking you to tell them what they can or can't add to their products. I'm not asking you to tell them that they have to

24.

change their manufacturing processes.

All I'm asking you to do is to make them tell me what recipes they're using, tell me what they already add to their products, tell me whether they're manufacturing processes result in adding even traces of the food I am so deathly allergic to.

Reading ingredients is a large part of food allergic children's lives. So large that the Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis Network published this story:

A mother was trying to teach her food allergic child not to talk to strangers. So, she asked her daughter, if a stranger in a car pulled up and offered you a candy bar, what would you do? Without missing a beat, the little girl responded I would ask them to read me the ingredients.

[Laughter.]

MS. GITLIN: That girl knew what was really dangerous. Because food allergies are so dangerous, food allergic kids and their families around the country urge you to protect our lives by requiring ingredients labels to be complete, accurate and in plain English so that we can really know what's in the food we are eating. Thank you.

[Applause.]

DR. LEWIS: Thank you, Sarah. I now believe it's Carol Schrager.

MS. SCHRAGER: Good afternoon. I'm

Sarah's Mom, and I'm a member of the Food Allergy

Initiative and the Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis

Network.

Raising a food allergic child without complete and accurate ingredients labeling is like walking through a mine field. No matter how carefully you watch your step, you never know when there will be an unexpected explosion. It's hard enough to avoid the dangers that we know about, but it's impossible to rest easy when we know that there are dangers hidden in foods that Sarah might eat, but we don't know which foods and we don't know which dangers.

So we turn to you, the Food and Drug

Administration, the agency charged with protecting

American lives by regulating the practices of food

and drug manufacturers.

We need you to help us protect the lives and health of the seven million Americans with food allergies by enacting regulations that are stunningly simple, the kind of regulations that

most Americans assume already exist, the kind of regulations that most people are shocked to find out do not already exist.

· 是有是多种的

What we urge you to require is merely this: that every packaged food has a label that states in plain English every ingredient that is in the product, both ingredients that are part of the recipe, including spices and natural flavors, and ingredients that are unavoidably present because of cross-contamination with other foods.

These regulations would save lives with virtually no downside for food manufacturers. Yes, it may cost the manufacturers a little bit more to assure that their labeling is accurate, but such costs are trivial when balanced against the precious irreplaceable lives of our children. And remember, the number of Americans with food allergies is growing exponentially. So manufacturers actually have a lot to gain from these regulations because the market for their products will expand.

As food allergic consumers and their families and friends who now will not buy products that are said to contain, for example, natural flavors will feel safe consuming them once they are

assured that the allergens are not present.

· 自己各家家家基本家。

Under the regulations we support, food manufacturers would not have to change a single thing that they do. They could include any or all allergens in any or all of their products. They could process foods on shared equipment without cleaning the production line in between runs. They could do anything they want to do, anyway they want to do it. All that we ask you to require them to do is tell us what they're doing and tell us how they're doing it, so that we can make intelligent choices to protect our children's lives, so that we can walk through a field with our children without fear of an explosion.

Thank you very much for listening to our concerns and to giving my daughter a close-up and personal lesson in participatory democracy. We appreciate it very much.

[Applause.]

DR. LEWIS: Thank you. Next we have listed Barbara Solan. And following Barbara Solan, we have Ben Wilson.

MS. SOLAN: Thank you for the opportunity to speak. I don't usually do podiums. I think democracy is a wonderful opportunity and to stand

12.

2.0

2.5

find them confusing. And I think they will only muddle the issue.

I like the General Motors--General

Motors--I come from the Detroit area--General Mills

model that says food allergy consumer or allergy

information: contains nuts, soy. That helps me.

And I'll go very quickly on this. I think we need

to set priorities. There's a lot of issues about

food allergies. I've lived with them for 11 years.

Some are bigger than others. And we should grab

the big ones. We should think out of the box and

we should be creative in our problem solving.

Thank you.

[Applause.]

DR. LEWIS: Thank you. Next we have listed Ben Wilson, who will be followed by C. Gordon Brown.

MR. WILSON: I'm Ben Wilson and I'm

Director of Regulatory Compliance for Sensient

Flavors, a food flavor company. I'm feeling a

little at risk on this side of the audience this

afternoon.

[Laughter.]

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  WILSON: But we need some help from FDA as well. I answer the questions from our

MILLER REPORTING COMPANY, INC.
735 8th Street, S.E.
Washington, D.C. 20003-2802
(202) 546-6666

2.5

customers and from their customers, the consumers, about allergens and what's contained in a food, but the questions I get go much beyond the simple allergens. Today people want to know about GMO, they want to know about organic, they want to know about consumer interests in different things, they want to know about specific issue products.

They're very important to these people that ask them. In some cases, they're significantly life threatening like allergens, but the responses that we give and when trying to provide the information seem to confuse the food companies. They will ask us does this product contain soy? And we will answer yes, but the soy that's in it may be a partially hydrogenated soybean oil, has no protein, has no allergen issue. It's been highly refined, it's been modified, the proteins are gone.

That may be of interest to a specific few who have a different type of soy reaction. It may be of interest to our customer because he's looking at a GMO issue for soy. He may be looking for Europe. We need some clarification from FDA as they look into these products and allergen and either guidelines or rules or whatever, but what

2.1

are the allergens, what are the things that we need to talk about?

Are we going to talk about the big eight in general as allergens, not the big eight of soy, which contains a lot of things that aren't allergens, or of corn, which is an issue, but for most people not an allergen? Wheat--is that an allergen issue to wheat or is that an issue of sprue celiac where it includes the glutens, the spelt, the rye, the barley?

Does this include different extracts which may or may not have allergen potential? We need to make that clear. That needs to be very clear in what we're doing of whether we're addressing allergens, whether we're addressing big groups, and that's it. And that's what I want to say for today. Help us help the food manufacturer put the correct information on the label by giving us some clear guidelines of what we're talking about and what the things are of concern. Thank you.

[Applause.]

DR. LEWIS: Thank you. Next, we have C. Gordon Brown, who would be followed by Carolyn Garrett.

MR. BROWN: Thank you very much. I'm

(202) 546-6666

MILLER REPORTING COMPANY, INC. 735 8th Street, S.E. Washington, D.C. 20003-2802

2.3

2.5

Gordon Brown, Senior Vice President of Scientific and Regulatory Affairs for the International Dairy Foods Association. We thank you very much for providing this forum and for providing an opportunity for us to get our positions out there.

The following comments are made on behalf of the International Dairy Foods Association. IDFA is the nation's leading trade association representing the dairy industry. Our member companies manufacture the entire range of dairy products and include processors, manufacturers, marketers, distributors and suppliers.

IDFA consists of three constituent organizations: the Milk Industry Foundation, the International Ice Cream Association, and the National Cheese Institute.

Member companies in these groups account for 85 percent of the dairy products consumed in the United States. IDFA is a member of the Allergy Issues Alliance, the coalition of food trade associations and a leading food allergy consumer group. IDFA helped develop new guidelines for clear labeling of allergenic compounds.

IDFA strongly supports implementation of these guidelines, encourages disclosure of

allergenic ingredients in clear and simple language and is dedicated to assisting dairy processors to prevent cross-contamination.

Our commitment to the allergen initiative is demonstrated through our member outreach. Although dairy processors are conscientious about compliance with labeling requirements for allergenic ingredients, IDFA still urges all members to review their policies and verify that they are operating within the new allergen guidelines and we provide a whole lot of one on one contact and information to our members who request information.

Further, we recommend that member companies follow the following recommendations:

- (1) Review formulations to identify the presence if any of the eight major allergens;
- (2) Contact ingredient suppliers to determine if ingredients they supply contain any allergens including components of flavors, colors, incidental additives and processing aids that may not be required to be labeled.

We also suggest they review their current labels to ensure that if any allergens are present, they are included in the ingredient declaration in

2.2

2.5

terms that are easily understood by consumers.

Number four, advisory statements should not be used as a substitute for good manufacturing practices. Only use advisory label statements such as "may contain" blank when all of the criteria established in the allergen guidelines are met, and I won't go into those now, but they are available.

The dairy industry has a good track record on the allergen issue. Recalls for undeclared allergens and dairy products are rare. However, we are committed to continuous improvement and this is demonstrated by our efforts to educate our members on the important issues through a variety of outreach programs.

These programs include (1) providing publications to member companies on implementation of the voluntary food allergen labeling guidelines; (2) instructions for labeling manuals for milk, cheese and ice cream; (3) we provide workshops on allergens and proper food labeling on a periodic base. These are open to the entire dairy industry as well as ingredient suppliers. (4) We provide education for ice cream manufacturers through a workshop entitled "Ice Cream Best Practices" to explain the allergen issues and industry's labeling

requirements.

In summary, the dairy industry is committed to maintaining the safety of its products and is currently engaged in this effort to make sure that we protect the health of allergenic consumers. Thank you.

[Applause.]

DR. LEWIS: We now have Carolyn Garrett on our list. Carolyn Garrett is not here. That completes my list of registered speakers. So I believe that the meeting is now coming to an end.

My closing comments are quite succinct. I think this was an extremely useful meeting for the agency. I felt a lot of important information was obtained by us.

Again, the docket is open so comments are still possible to this particular series of questions by the agency. I do want to thank all the members of the panel who provided some very useful discussion points for us, as well as the FDA support staff who made this meeting possible.

So thank you very much. The transcript will be available in about a month and information on obtaining that is in your Federal Register documents. Thank you.

MILLER REPORTING COMPANY, INC. 735 8th Street, S.E. Washington, D.C. 20003-2802 (202) 546-6666

[Applause.]

2 [Whereupon, at 3:20 p.m., the meeting was

3 adjourned.]

MILLER REPORTING COMPANY, INC. 735 8th Street, S.E. Washington, D.C. 20003-2802 (202) 546-6666

## CERTIFICATE

I, VICTORIA S. McLAUGHLIN, the Official Court Reporter for Miller Reporting Company, Inc., hereby certify that I recorded the foregoing proceedings; that the proceedings have been reduced to typewriting by me, or under my direction and that the foregoing transcript is a correct and accurate record of the proceedings to the best of my knowledge, ability and belief.

VICTORIA S. McLAUGHLIN

Victoria S. M. Langhli