December 19, 2007

NOTICE TO ALL OFFERORS

REFERENCE: RFP DOL081RP20139

Amendment No. 1

The Division of Contract Services is amending the above referenced RFP for clarification to various sections of the RFP released on November 16, 2007, and it has been determined by the Contracting Officer that this information is made available to all offerors. The closing date and time for the receipt of proposals has been extended to January 4, 2008, by 2:00 p.m. local time.

Keith A. Bond

KEITH A. BOND Contracting Officer

Attachments

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFIC	CATION OF CONTRAC	CT BPA NO.	BPA NO. 1. CONTRACT ID CODE PAGE 7 1 7			
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 12-19-2008	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. 5. PROJECT NO.(If applicated application)		licable)		
6.ISSUED BY U.S. Department of Labor, ETA/OGCM Division of Contract Services 200 Constitution Avenue, NW Room N-4655 Washington DC 20210	ETA	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other the U.S. Department Division of Cont 200 Constitution Room N-4655 Washington, DC 2	of Labor, ETA cract Services n Avenue, NW			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State To all Offerors/Bidders	and ZIP Code)		(X) 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITA DOLO 8 1RP 2 0 1 3 9 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) X 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONT 10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)			
CODE	FACILITY CODE	AMENDMENTO OF O	IENTS OF SOLICITATIONS			
	copies of the amendmich includes a reference to the DESIGNATED FOR THE Re of this amendment you de takes reference to the solicital and th	nent; (b) By acknowledging reme solicitation and amendment RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOI sire to change an offer alread ation and this amendment, are 2:00pm E.S. IFICATIONS OF CONTINUES NO. AS DESCRIB HIN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTINUES AND THE CONT	ceipt of this amendment on ea to numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR TO THE HOUR AND DATE by submitted, such change may not is received prior to the open T. local time 01-04-2	och copy of the JR AC- SPECIFIED MAY be made ing hour		
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)						
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor x is not, is	required to sign this docum	ent and return 0	copies to the issuing office.			
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UC (See Attachment) Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document reference (Type or print)		anged, remains unchanged and in full for 16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTR. KEITH A. BOND Contracting Offi	orce and effect. ACTING OFFICER (Type or print)			
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNE	D	

(Signature of person authorized to sign)

(Signature of Contracting Officer)

14. RESPONSES TO TECHNICAL QUESTIONS

1. Has DOL previously engaged a contractor to do similar customer satisfaction survey work? If so, can you tell us who that contractor is and if that contractor is eligible to bid on this contract? Was DOL satisfied with the work of that contractor?

Answer: The 1998 Workforce Investment Act specified a need to measure customer satisfaction. To meet this need, DOL contracted with the University of Michigan's National Quality Research Center to use the Customer Service Satisfaction Index (ACSI) and its accompanying survey, methodology and label. The incumbent is eligible to apply for this award and if they do they would be considered with all other proposers. Yes, DOL was satisfied with the work of the incumbent contractor.

2. It is not clear from the brief description whether DOL has in mind surveying customer satisfaction in separate states (or even within individual locations) versus a national survey of customer satisfaction. Can you clarify?

Answer: DOL's requirement of states is to capture information at the state level. It should be noted that in the past, many states have purchased licenses directly from the vendor to measure customer satisfaction at the sub-state level.

3. Does DOL expect the survey methodology might include personal/direct survey, telephone survey, focus group participation, mailed questionnaires or other techniques – or is DOL leaving this up to the contractor to determine.

Answer: DOL is not advocating one methodology over another. This is for the contractor to propose. The strength of the proposal will be weighed against the stated criteria.

4. Is there an expectation that only those who have previously used employment assistance services offered through the workforce investment system will be surveyed or is there a desire to also survey those who "might" use the system?

Answer: The Law requires that customer satisfaction be measured "after the conclusion of participation in workforce investment activities." See the 1998 Workforce Investment Act (WIA). CHAPTER 6 SEC. 136 (b)(2)(B). PERFORMANCE ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM.

5. Can you provide DOL's anticipated level of effort and/or budget parameters for this project?

Answer: The level of effort for this project is estimated at between 2.23 and 2.25 professional person years. A professional year is estimated to be between \$90,000 and \$100,000. This includes all costs. The Government estimates are provided for contractor planning guidance only and do not bind the Government to a specific level of effort nor are they guaranteed or warranted by the Government in any manner. The contractor must use its best judgment to determine an appropriate level of effort for the contract work regardless of the accuracy of these estimates. There are 2,080 hours in a work year, this includes hours for vacation, sick leave and holidays. Offerors should use their best business judgment when proposing the number of hours for this project.

BIDDERS LIST

SIVAD Concepts, LLC 3863 Strattonburn Court White Plans, MD 20695 202-360-8892

Insight Policy Research, Inc. 1655 N. Fort Myer Drive, Suite 680 Arlington, VA 22310 703-373-6644

Marty Ford MAF ASSOCIATES 73 Hampshire Drive Mendham, NJ 07945 973-590-8079

MACROSYS RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY 888 17th Street NW, Suite 312 Washington, DC 20006 202-607-9483

ACS Government Solutions Group 1800 M Street NW, Suite #800 Washington, DC 20036 202-378-1804

The Cloudburst Group 8100 Corporate Drive, Suite 320 Landover, MD 20785-2231 301-918-4400

Pacific Consulting Group 399 Sherman Ave., Suite One Palo Alto, CA 94306 703-237-4939

ICF International 9300 Lee Highway Fairfax, VA 22031 703-934-3446 Project Community, Inc. P.O. Box 25212 Richmond, Virginia 23260-5212 804-767-6148

Boyd Intellectual Properties 9191 Wood Ln Soddy Daisy, TN 37379-3159 423-843-1331

Del Rey Systems & Technology, Inc. 7844 Convoy Court San Diego, CA 92111 858-874-8992

Behavior Research Center, Inc. 45 East Monterey Way Phoenix, AZ 85012 602-258-4554

Trim & Associates 2914 Grand Mesa Pass Missouri City, TX 77459 713-291-3705

CR Dynamics & Associates, Inc. 7 Redwood Street, 6th Floor Baltimore, MD 21202 301-775-1036

Office Remedies, Inc. 171 Elden Street Suite 160 Herndon, VA 20170 703-478-0910

Boone DeLeon Communications, Inc. 3100 South Gessner, Suite 110 Houston, TX 77063 713-952-9600

REV CHLOEF GUNDST, P.H.D 4600 Kietzke Lane Reno, NV 89502 775-842-8502

Business Development Manager Communications Center, Inc. 1350 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 1102 Washington, DC 20036 202-223-4747 ext. 18

National Quality Research Center Stephen M. Ross School of Business 1000 Oakbrook Dr. Suite 240 Ann Arbor, MI 48104 734-763-9061

FOI Application Research & Development Corp 7255 Leetsdale Drive, Suite H-15 Denver, Colorado 80225 720-333-4197

TechTeam Government Solutions, Inc. 3863 Centerview Drive Suite 150 Chantilly, VA 20151 703-956-8228

Systems Integration & Development, Inc. 9900 Belward Campus Dr Suite 200 Rockville, MD 20850 301-840-2120

CDeem Consulting, LLC 2661 Avalon CT, STE 201 Alexandria, VA 22314 571-970-6815

J KRISTENSEN ASSOCIATES, LLC 101 West Main Street Meriden, CT 06451 203-537-2035