U.S. Department of Labor

Assistant Secretary for Employment and Training Washington, D.C. 20210



FEB 4 2002

The Honorable Don Siegelman Governor of Alabama State Capitol 601 Dexter Avenue Montgomery, Alabama 36130

Dear Governor Siegelman:

One of the key reform principles embodied in the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (WIA) is increased flexibility for states and local workforce investment areas (local areas). Under WIA, the Secretary has authority to grant waivers of a variety of statutory and regulatory provisions when requested by a Governor. In addition, all states are eligible to apply for WIA Work-Flex authority under which the Secretary would delegate a portion of her waiver authority to a Governor. By taking full advantage of waiver opportunities, states and local areas can design workforce investment systems that meet their unique labor market needs. The purpose of this letter is to highlight the potential of these mechanisms to increase the agility and responsiveness of the workforce investment system. In addition, a summary of waiver requests that have been approved under WIA to date is enclosed for your information.

General Waiver Authority:

WIA section 189 authorizes the Secretary of Labor to waive for a state, or a local workforce investment area in a state, statutory and regulatory requirements of WIA title I, subtitles B and E. Some of the statutory and regulatory requirements of sections 8 through 10 of the Wagner-Peyser Act are also subject to waivers. While there are several areas that cannot be waived [section 189(i)(4)(A)(i) and (ii)], a great deal of flexibility exists under the statute and the implementing regulations at 20 CFR part 661. While waivers can be requested for all local areas within a state, or just a few areas, waivers must always be requested as part of a waiver plan submitted by a Governor. The specific information that must accompany the waiver plan and the standards for approval are outlined in the WIA regulations at 20 CFR 661.420. Generally, waivers will be approved if the Secretary determines that the provisions requested impede the ability of the state or local area to implement the State's plan to improve the workforce investment system. The Secretary will approve waivers of provisions essential to the key WIA reform principles only in extremely unusual circumstances where the provisions can be demonstrated as impeding reform.

The following are some examples of WIA provisions that are subject to waivers:

More Flexibility in Transferring Funds to Critical Needs: Some states have inquired about the
authority to transfer funds among adult and dislocated worker programs to fit critical local
needs, such as the effects of an economic emergency. Under WIA, a local Workforce
Investment Board may transfer up to 20 percent of a program year allocation for adult



A Proud Member of America's Workforce Network

employment and training activities, and up to 20 percent of a program year allocation for dislocated worker employment and training activities, between the two programs. Before making a transfer, the Board must obtain the Governor's approval. Transfers of funds to or from the youth program are not authorized. States can request a waiver of the statutory provision limiting the transfer amount to 20 percent for the adult and dislocated worker programs in order to permit local areas to transfer amounts greater than 20 percent between these two funding streams. However, there is no authority to expand the transfer authority to include the youth program.

- 2. Remove Disincentives to Eligible Training Providers: Many states have cited difficulty fully implementing the eligible training provider provisions under WIA. While most provisions relating to the eligibility of providers are excluded from waiver authority, we interpret WIA waiver authority to permit the waiver of administrative requirements associated with the eligible training provider determination. For example, we believe authority exists to extend the time limitation on the period of initial eligibility through a waiver of 20 CFR 663.530.
- 3. Remove Barriers to Employer-Sponsored Customized Training: Some states have inquired about the flexibility to relax the 50 percent match requirement for customized training. This flexibility can be achieved through a waiver of part of the statutory definition of "customized training" [WIA section 101(8)(c)]. While employers can undertake some contributions of their own resources for such training before trainees become productive workers, a 50 percent matching minimum may impose a burden upon many small businesses that desire to join together in creating programs designed to provide training tailored to the specific needs of their industries. WIA would authorize approval of a waiver that would require that employers pay a portion of the costs of the customized training, but eliminate the specific percentage requirement of WIA section 101(8)(c) and 20 CFR 663.715(d).

Work-Flex Authority:

WIA section 192 authorizes all Governors to receive Work-Flex authority upon approval of a Work-Flex plan. Under Work-Flex, Governors are granted the authority to approve requests for waivers of certain statutory and regulatory provisions (applicable to local areas) of WIA title I submitted by their local areas. States may also request from the Secretary waivers of certain requirements of the Wagner-Peyser Act and certain provisions of the Older Americans Act applicable to state agencies that administer the Senior Community Service Employment Program (SCSEP). This authority builds upon the six-state demonstration program that was implemented under the Department of Labor's appropriations legislation for funds available in Program Years 1997 and 1998. The demonstration program is continued under WIA, as authorized by the Secretary. The six states that received Work-Flex authority under that demonstration, through a competitive process, were Florida, Iowa, Ohio, Oregon, South Dakota and Texas. Since WIA expands authority for Work-Flex to all states, further competitions are not necessary.

States requesting designation as a Work-Flex state are required to submit a Work-Flex plan. WIA regulations at 20 CFR 661.430 - 661.440 and Training and Employment Guidance Letter (TEGL) 6-99, issued in February 2000, contain specific information on the process for developing and submitting a Work-Flex plan.

I encourage you to take advantage of these key mechanisms as you determine how to adapt your state's workforce system to meet the needs of the rapidly changing economy. Detailed information on the waiver and Work-Flex application process, as well as information on waiver requests approved to date, will be posted on the ETA website (www.doleta.gov) in February 2002. If you have any questions, please contact me or your ETA Regional Administrator.

Sincerely,

Emily Stover DeRocco

Enclosure

Attachment

WIA Waiver Summary Report As of January 17, 2002

Clarks	Waiver Request	Action	Date of Action
State Alabama	Waive 10 percent limitation on local administrative	Request withdrawn by state. DOL acknowledgement letter.	July 24, 2000
	costs. Waive 80 percent obligation requirement for adult and youth funds for PY 2000.	DOL determined waiver was not needed. Notification letter sent to state.	June 8, 2001
Indiana	Continue the Social Security Disability Income exclusion from the definition of "family	DOL determined waiver was not needed under WIA. Notification letter sent to state.	May 25, 2000
	income." Exclude work-based learning wages from the definition of "family	DOL determined waiver was not needed under WIA. Notification letter sent to state.	May 25, 2000
	income." Permit the use of rapid response funds for intensive and training services to dislocated workers.	DOL determined waiver was not needed under WIA. Notification letter sent to state.	May 25, 2000
	Permit state to change definition of "school dropout" for the purposes of determining eligibility for services.	Not approved. Provision not subject to a waiver since it is a participant eligibility issue. Notification letter sent to state.	May 25, 2000
	Waive the prohibition on the use of individual training accounts for youth.	Conditionally approved. Notification letter sent to state.	May 25, 2000
	Waive the 17 core indicators of performance for WIA title I and replace them with state-developed system measures.	Not approved. Inconsistent with WIA key reform principles. Notification letter sent to state.	May 25, 2000

		and to	October 26, 2000
Utah	Cost limitations/cost	Partially approved to	College and
Clair	categories.	permit Governor to waive	
		10 percent local administration limit under	
	1	certain conditions.	
		Notification letter sent to	
		state.	October 26, 2000
	Continue JTPA waiver of	DOL determined waiver	October 20, 2000
	the reallotment provisions.	was not needed under	1
		WIA. Notification letter	
		sent to state.	October 26, 2000
	Continue JTPA waiver	DOL determined waiver	October 20, 2000
	permitting limited	was not needed under	
	internships with for-profit	WIA. Notification letter	
	employers, for non-	sent to state.	
	economically		
	disadvantaged individuals.		26 2000
	Continue JTPA	DOL determined waiver	October 26, 2000
	procurement waiver	was not needed under	
	permitting state to use state	WIA. Notification letter	
	procurement procedures.	sent to state.	06 2000
	Continue JTPA waiver for	DOL determined waiver	October 26, 2000
	simplified definition of	was not needed under	
	simplified definition of	WIA. Notification letter	}
	youth employability	sent to state.	
	enhancements for reporting	_	25.000
	requirements,	DOL determined waiver	October 26, 2000
	Continue JTPA waiver to	was not needed under	
	permit work experience	WIA. Notification letter	
	with for-profit employers,	sent to state.	
	for dislocated workers.	Approved. Notification	January 23, 2002
Vermont	Waiver of the 18-month	letter sent to state.	
	requirement for eligible	letter sent to state.	
	training provider	l .	}
	subsequent eligibility		
	through June 30, 2003.	Approved. Notification	January 23, 2002
	Waiver of WIA section 123	Approved. Notification	
	requirement to	letter sent to state.	
	competitively select		
	providers of three youth	1	
	nmoram elements		
	(supportive services, work	\	1
	experience and follow-up	1	
	services).		