

U.S. FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

+ + + + +

PEDIATRIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

+ + + + +

MEETING

+ + + + +

TUESDAY,
NOVEMBER 18, 2008

+ + + + +

The meeting was held in the Holiday Inn Gaithersburg, Two Montgomery Village Avenue, Gaithersburg, Maryland, at 8:00 a.m., Marsha D. Rappley, M.D., Chairperson, presiding.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

- MARSHA D. RAPPLEY, M.D., Chairperson
- CARL D'ANGIO, M.D., Member
- AMY J. CELENTO, Patient-Family Representative
- AVITAL CNAAN, Ph.D., M.S., Member
- LEON DURE, M.D., Member
- HENRY FARRAR, M.D., Pediatric Health Organization Representative
- BRAHM GOLDSTEIN, M.D., MCR, FAAP, FCCM, Industry Representative
- MARK HUDAK, M.D., Temporary Voting Member Consultant
- MELISSA MARIA HUDSON, M.D., Member
- KEITH KOCIS, M.D., M.S., Member
- KATHLEEN J. MOTIL, M.D., Ph.D., Member
- DANIEL NOTTERMAN, M.D., Member
- ALEXANDER T. RAKOWSKY, M.D., Member
- GEOFFREY L. ROSENTHAL, M.D., Ph.D., Member
- ELAINE VINING, Consumer Representative

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

FDA PARTICIPANTS PRESENT:

CARLOS PEÑA, Ph.D., M.S., Executive Secretary
OZLEM BELEN M.D., Division of Special
Pathogens and Transplant Drug Products
VICKY BORDERS-HEMPHILL, Pharm.D., Office of
Surveillance and Epidemiology
BILL BOYD, M.D., Division of Anti-Infective
and Ophthalmology Products
PATRICIA BROWN, M.D., Medical Officer,
Division of Dermatology and Dental
Products, Office of New Drugs, CDER
FELICIA COLLINS, M.D., M.P.H., Medical
Officer, Pediatric and Maternal Health
Staff, Office of New Drugs, CDER
JUDITH COPE, MD, MPH, Medical Officer, Office
of Pediatric Therapeutics
SUSAN CUMMINS, M.D., M.P.H., Senior Science
Advisor, Pediatric and Maternal Health
Staff
CAROLE DAVIS, D.O., M.P.H., Division of
Neurology Products
IDA-LINA DIAK, Pharm.D., Office of
Surveillance and Epidemiology
ELIZABETH L. DURMOWICZ, M.D., Medical Officer,
Pediatric and Maternal Health Staff,
Office of New Drugs, CDER
NORMAN HERSHKOWITZ, M.D., Team Leader,
Division of Neurology Products
DEVANAND JILLAPALLI, M.D., Acting Team Leader,
Division of Neurology Products
THOMAS LAUGHREN, M.D., Director, Division of
Psychiatry Products
NAOMI LOWY, M.D., Medical Officer, Division of
Metabolism and Endocrinology Products
LISA MATHIS, MD, Pediatric & Maternal Health
Staff, Office of New Drugs, CDER
MITCHELL MATHIS, M.D., Deputy Director,
Division of Psychiatry Products
ANN McMAHON, M.D., Office of Surveillance and
Epidemiology
DIANNE MURPHY, M.D., Director, Office of
Pediatric Therapeutics, OC

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

FDA PARTICIPANTS PRESENT (Continued):

ROBERT "SKIP" NELSON, M.D., Ph.D., Pediatric
Ethicist, Office of Pediatric
Therapeutics, OC

PHILIP SHERIDAN, M.D., Medical Officer,
Division of Neurology Products

AMY TAYLOR, M.D., M.H.S., Medical Officer,
Pediatric and Maternal Health Staff,
Office of New Drugs, CDER

ALSO PRESENT:

RAMA BHAT, M.D., Professor of Pediatrics,
Director of Neonatology, University of
Illinois at Chicago Medical Center

TODD GRUBER, M.D., M.P.H., Head, U.S. Medical
Function, Novartis

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
Introductions	5
Conflict of Interest Statement	8
Agenda Overview, Dr. Dianne Murphy	11
Specific Drug Reviews:	
Zyvox	26
Betopic S and Timolol	32
Risperdal	44
Zyprexa	112
Levaquin	152
Lamictal	175
Ambien	204
Lamisil	239
Aldara	257
Open Public Hearing	280
Sandostatin Expanded Review of Adverse Events:	
Presentation by Dr. Rama Bhat	281
FDA Presentation, Dr. Amy Taylor ...	323
Sponsor Presentation, Dr. Todd Gruber	334
Ethics Discussion, Dr. Robert Nelson	391

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

P R O C E E D I N G S

(8:03 a.m.)

CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Well, good morning, and thank you to everybody for coming out today.

I think we'll start with introductions. Amy, would you mind if we start on your end?

MS. CELENTO: Amy Celento, patient representative.

DR. CNAAN: Avital Cnaan, statistician, Children's National Medical Center.

DR. D'ANGIO: Carl D'Angio, neonatologist, University of Rochester.

DR. DURE: Leon Dure, child neurologist, University of Alabama at Birmingham.

DR. FARRAR: Hank Farrar. I'm the pediatric health organization representative, and I'm a clinical pharmacologist at Arkansas Children's Hospital.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 DR. GOLDSTEIN: Brahm Goldstein.
2 I'm the pharmaceutical industry
3 representative. I'm a pediatric critical care
4 physician, and I work at Nova Nordisk in
5 Princeton, New Jersey.

6 DR. HUDSON: Melissa Hudson,
7 pediatric oncologist, St. Jude Children's
8 Research Hospital in Memphis.

9 DR. KOCIS: Good morning. Keith
10 Kocis from the University of North Carolina,
11 and I'm a pediatric cardiologist and
12 intensivist.

13 DR. MOTIL: Kathleen Motil from
14 Baylor College of Medicine. I'm a pediatric
15 gastroenterologist.

16 DR. NOTTERMAN: Daniel Notterman
17 from the Department of Molecular Biology at
18 Princeton University, and I'm also a pediatric
19 intensivist.

20 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Marsha
21 Rappley. I'm Chair of the Committee, and my
22 area is developmental and behavioral

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 pediatrics.

2 DR. PENA: Carlos Pena, senior
3 science policy analyst, FDA, and Exec. Sec. to
4 the Pediatric Advisory Committee.

5 DR. ROSENTHAL: good morning. My
6 name is Geoff Rosenthal. I'm a pediatric
7 cardiologist and an epidemiologist from the
8 Cleveland Clinic.

9 DR. RAKOWSKY: Good morning. My
10 name is Alex Rakowsky. I'm the IRB Chair at
11 Nationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus Ohio.

12 MS. VINING: Good morning. I'm
13 Elaine Vining. I'm the consumer
14 representative of the Committee.

15 DR. HUDAK: Hi. I'm Mark Hudak.
16 I'm a neonatologist from the University of
17 Florida, Jacksonville.

18 DR. LISA MATHIS: I'm Lisa Mathis.
19 I'm Associate Director in the Office of New
20 Drugs within CDER at the FDA for the Pediatric
21 and Maternal Health staff, and I'm a general
22 pediatrician.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 DR. MURPHY: I'm Dianne Murphy.
2 I'm the Director of the Office of Pediatric
3 Therapeutics in the Office of the
4 Commissioner, and I'm a pediatric infectious
5 disease specialist or I was about ten years
6 ago before I came to the agency.

7 DR. BOYD: Hi. I'm Bill Boyd. I'm
8 an ophthalmologist in the FDA's Division of
9 Anti-Infective and Ophthalmology Products.

10 DR. COPE: I'm Judy Cope. I'm a
11 pediatrician, adolescent medicine specialist,
12 epidemiologist in the Office of Pediatric
13 Therapeutics.

14 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Dr. Pena has
15 some words for us.

16 DR. PENA: Good morning to members
17 of the Pediatric Advisory Committee, public
18 attendees, and FDA staff. Welcome to this
19 meeting.

20 The following announcement
21 addresses the issue of conflict of interest
22 with regard to today's discussion, reports by

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the agency as mandated in Section 17 of the
2 Best Pharmaceuticals for Children Act on
3 adverse event reports for Betoptic, Aldara,
4 Lamictal, Levaquin, Sandostatin, Zyprexa,
5 Risperdal, Lamisil, Timolol, and Ambien.

6 The Committee will be provided a
7 written follow-up report on Zyvox as requested
8 by the Committee at the November 16th, 2006,
9 Pediatric Advisory Committee meeting.

10 The Committee will also be updated
11 on other activities, including the June 9th
12 and 10th, 2008, Pediatric Ethics Subcommittee
13 meeting.

14 Based on the submitted agenda for
15 the meeting and all financial interest
16 reported by the Committee participants, it has
17 been determined that Committee participants do
18 not have financial interests that present a
19 potential for conflict of interest at this
20 meeting. In general, the Committee
21 participants are aware of the need to exclude
22 themselves from involvement in discussion of

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 topics if their interests would be affected,
2 and their exclusion will be noted for the
3 record.

4 We would like to note that Ms. Amy
5 Celento is participating at the pediatric
6 health care representative. Ms. Elaine Vining
7 is participating as the consumer
8 representative, and Dr. Hudak is participating
9 at a temporary voting member.

10 We would also like to note that Dr.
11 Brahm Goldstein is participating as a non-
12 voting industry representative acting on
13 behalf of the regulated industry.

14 Dr. Henry Farrar is participating
15 as the non-voting pediatric health
16 organization representative, acting on behalf
17 of the American Academy of Pediatrics.

18 With respect to all other
19 participants, we ask in the interest of
20 fairness that they address any current or
21 previous financial involvement with any firm
22 whose product they may wish to comment upon.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 We have one open public comment
2 period scheduled for approximately 1:30 p.m.

3 I would just remind all to turn on
4 your microphones when you speak so that the
5 transcriber can pick up all that you state and
6 turn them off when you're not speaking.

7 I also request that all meeting
8 attendees turn their cell phones and
9 BlackBerries to silent mode.

10 Thank you.

11 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Dr. Murphy.

12 DR. MURPHY: First of all I wanted
13 to again thank everybody -- I'm afraid our IT
14 person is going to have to find my slides on
15 here for me -- for being here this morning and
16 for agreeing to the four set dates that we
17 have for this coming year as far as time
18 commitments on your agenda, in addition to the
19 other meetings that we've also asked this very
20 busy Advisory Committee to participate in.

21 One of the things we're going to do
22 this morning is to look at the agenda from the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 perspective of your new work load, and we're
2 going to do this because we have good news and
3 bad news. The good news is that children are
4 after a decade now of legislation and new
5 legislation that's reinforcing this approach
6 finally getting studied or at least they're
7 getting the products that are being used in
8 the pediatric population, are finally getting
9 studied, and we have a lot of activity going
10 on in the way of pediatric trials.

11 That brings with it, of course, the
12 responsibilities of making sure that these
13 trials are well designed and implemented
14 ethically, and you are involved in a number of
15 those issues, have been in the past, will be
16 in the future, and this Committee also being
17 specifically mandated to look at the safety,
18 post marketing safety of these products after
19 they have been granted their exclusivity under
20 BPCA and now under FDAAA, which gets to your
21 workload issue, for all of the products that
22 are studied under either BPCA or PREA, and the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 products that will be labeled as the new
2 legislation says, because pediatric studies
3 are so limited in number that any study done
4 under these initiatives will have its results
5 commented on in the labeling so that the
6 public will be aware and the practitioners and
7 prescribers that at least some study has been
8 conducted and what the results of that study
9 are.

10 And I comment on that, again,
11 because it is unlike the adult universe at FDA
12 where if you have a negative study, the
13 information doesn't normally go in the label,
14 but for pediatrics, the outcome of a negative
15 or inconclusive study will now be recorded in
16 the label. And the labeling is what's going
17 to trigger your safety review.

18 What the Food and Drug
19 Administration's Amendments Act are so fondly
20 called, FDAAA, has done for you, has expanded
21 your responsibilities to include, as I said,
22 pediatric safety reviews for products studied

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 and labeled under the Pediatric Research
2 Equity Act, and what this slide should say, in
3 addition to your already identified
4 responsibilities to do such under the Best
5 Pharmaceuticals for Children's Act.

6 The requiring labeling about
7 pediatric studies performed under these, as
8 I've said, will be specifically noted
9 irrespective of the outcome or approval
10 status, marketing status for that product, for
11 those studies for that product.

12 This has more than doubled your
13 workload, and just to hammer home this, from
14 June of '03 to March of '08, there have been
15 79 products that have been reviewed at 13
16 sessions. You have basically reviewed two to
17 16 products per session, and the only reason
18 we've limited the number of products to two
19 sometimes is because you've had additional
20 issues to deal with, be it an ethics issue or
21 a science issue or a protocol design issue at
22 a meeting, and so we've only had time for a

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 couple of products.

2 Otherwise, most of the time we're
3 bringing between eight to 11 products to you
4 at each session. We tried to bring you the
5 infamous 16-wheeler or 16 products one time.
6 There was just so much information because
7 each product comes with basically five
8 different documents -- you can do the math --
9 that you had to plow through that you asked us
10 to please not do that again.

11 I told you yesterday that we
12 weren't going to do it again, and then I
13 turned around and said, well, we really are
14 and it's actually going to be 19, but we're
15 going to do it in a different way, and we'll
16 get to that in a minute.

17 So in five years you had 79
18 products that you reviewed. We still have 11
19 products remaining that need to be reviewed
20 from the BPCA. Since FDAAA has been enacted
21 in September of 2007, we have 36 new labels.
22 We have more than that since I prepared this

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 slide, but actually 36 new labels so that you
2 have 47 products that will need to come for
3 review before the end of 2009.

4 We're going to actually do some of
5 those today, but the point being there were
6 almost 80 in five years, and you're now going
7 to have approximately 40 in one year. So it
8 doesn't take very much to figure out you're
9 going to be very busy, and that these product
10 reviews will now include biologics and
11 vaccines as far as the safety, and there are
12 additional responsibilities for devices, which
13 we reviewed in your training session
14 yesterday.

15 We will before the end of 2009 be
16 bringing some biological products to you in
17 vaccines, and yesterday you received some
18 additional information and training on how
19 those safety reviews will be different or the
20 same.

21 We've had this issue of trying to
22 make this process more efficient and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 fundamentally the previous Committees have
2 said don't just give us the top 20 adverse
3 events. Give us the serious and life
4 threatening adverse events and the deaths. We
5 want to see all of those reported to us.

6 And you have struggled with how to
7 put all of this in context when you don't
8 really have a good numerator or denominator,
9 and we reviewed yesterday for you in your
10 training session the agency's approaches to
11 trying to provide that kind of information for
12 you.

13 Some of that comes in the form of
14 trying to put these adverse events in context,
15 and so we provide you a very, very succinct
16 and summary review of what the exclusivity
17 studies were, focusing on the safety
18 component. We will be doing that for the PREA
19 studies also, pediatric studies under PREA,
20 again, focusing on the safety issues that may
21 have arisen during those control trials in
22 addition to the adverse events.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 We also and by law now look at --
2 we have always been mandated to look at all of
3 the adverse events for adults and children,
4 but now the law also says since marketing. So
5 we try to put in context for you the adverse
6 events that are pediatrics in the context of
7 what's been happening with the product both
8 for adults and since marketing. That is a big
9 task, and we try to condense it down for you
10 and pick out, again, those areas that we think
11 need to be focused upon, and that's why you
12 will see sometimes in these reviews the safety
13 reviewer who will say we've been asked to
14 focus on the following. It's because we get
15 together with the divisions and the pediatric
16 staff and the safety reviewers and talk about
17 what are the issues that might be already
18 existing with these products.

19 It doesn't mean that you can't
20 bring up another topic, but that's just the
21 consensus within the agency of where we think
22 the issues might be.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 The other thing that we've done in
2 the past is we've tried to classify the
3 reviews, the presentations -- let me correct
4 that -- the presentations into three
5 categories: either an abbreviated
6 presentation, a standard presentation, or an
7 expanded presentation.

8 The Committee made it very clear to
9 us that they were all right with us having
10 shorter presentations as long as they got all
11 of the materials to review, and that's going
12 to be relevant to the next process that we're
13 trying to implement.

14 So what we had been doing is we've
15 been giving you very brief presentation for
16 the abbreviated products, not going through
17 all of the exclusivity studies, not going
18 through all the background with them, and all
19 I can tell you is maybe it's just human
20 nature. Maybe it's that we always find it
21 interesting. Our brief presentations we're
22 expanding. We found that we really weren't

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 getting a real reduction in time and effort,
2 and we were spending time on products that
3 didn't really have any signals and really
4 didn't have any issues.

5 So what we are now proposing is
6 that if we have identified a product as
7 abbreviated, you will get the full package
8 that you always have, but we are not going to
9 do a presentation. These are products that
10 we've identified as not having any signal at
11 all, not even a question, not a lot of deaths.

12 Sometimes there are hardly any use.

13 So what we will be doing is you'll
14 see today for the ophthalmologic products that
15 we are going to put up a slide and ask you if
16 you have any questions that have resulted from
17 your reading of the materials that we've sent
18 you for those products which have been
19 identified as abbreviated.

20 So because the law wants to make
21 sure that we have public input into this, you
22 will have an opportunity to ask questions, but

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 we aren't going to do a presentation.

2 The other thing that is happening
3 is that follow-up reports that you have asked
4 us for, if they do not have any signal or we
5 have no, you know -- you asked us to monitor
6 to see if there were any continuing deaths or
7 serious adverse events and we really don't
8 have anything that's remarkable that we can
9 report back to you, we are going to do the
10 same thing for those follow-ups.

11 Instead of standing up and going
12 through the whole history of what has
13 happened, we're going to provide you that
14 information in the package, but we are not
15 going to do a presentation. We will put up a
16 slide and ask you if you have any questions,
17 and there will be an opportunity for you to
18 ask questions, and you will see that we've
19 done that for Zyvox today.

20 The standard will be the same.
21 Now, we say standard or expanded. Does that
22 mean we identify the signal? The answer is

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 no. It means that it's a complicated review
2 because either the underlying disease has a
3 lot of deaths or a lot of complications,
4 people are on a lot of concomitant meds, there
5 are a lot of adverse events, there's a lot of
6 use; it's just something we don't feel
7 comfortable saying we don't think it needs a
8 public presentation.

9 Often you'll see the majority of
10 the products that we present to you, over 67
11 percent of them will have a recommendation
12 just to return to routine monitoring, but we
13 feel that because of the complexity of the
14 disease and the adverse event reporting that
15 we need to at least have a public discussion.

16 This is something for you to be
17 thinking about because you're going to see
18 we're going to ask you for feedback in the
19 future. Is there anything that we should be
20 doing with the standard reviews to somehow
21 reduce that type of time utilization?

22 The expanded may be a new product

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that's come or it may be one like we have
2 today for octreotide where the Committee
3 struggled with the issue of does this product
4 have any relationship to these adverse events
5 that we're seeing in the necrotizing
6 enterocolitis, the hypoxia.

7 And they said okay. There was a
8 good discussion. The Committee really could
9 not come to any conclusions and said we have
10 some recommendations about labeling at this
11 point, but if we do that, we want to make sure
12 that it's clear that we're not making any
13 causality statement.

14 And you asked us to continue
15 reviewing and bring it back to you. So in an
16 effort to bring that discussion to some sort
17 of conclusion, we've brought in a
18 neonatologist who is involved with this
19 product to discuss what's going on out there
20 in neonatal medicine and the use of this
21 product, and then we've given you the
22 background information on the discussion

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 before, and we'll be asking you today for your
2 recommendations.

3 So that is how we're approaching
4 the future. The abbreviateds are being even
5 more abbreviated. There will be no
6 presentations. You will be receiving packages
7 for reading only from the follow-ups. There
8 will be opportunities for comment, but we are
9 hoping to reduce the time that we are spending
10 and, therefore, the number of days of meetings
11 that we have to have you here because we know
12 there are other ways that we'd like to use
13 your time.

14 Now, as I said, we've already asked
15 you to hold four dates for this year. We know
16 you have other things to do besides safety
17 review, and the approach that I've just
18 described, however, helps us with some of the
19 time management for scheduling how much time
20 we need you here, but in truth, it does not
21 decrease your work burden. You still have to
22 read all of the background material, you know,

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 look at the five different documents that
2 comes for every single one, and for some of
3 them that are expanded, you'll be getting
4 literature reviews. You may be getting extra
5 safety reviews. You may be getting extra
6 materials. So it really doesn't reduce your
7 time.

8 And so we are going to be asking
9 you after our June meeting, which you are
10 going to receive approximately, we think at
11 this time, around nine products with an
12 abbreviated review, plus the others which will
13 be somewhere between the standard and
14 expanded, where we'll be asking you to be
15 providing us feedback as additional ways to
16 make this process more effective or efficient
17 so that we don't undermine the intent of this,
18 which is that there is a focused pediatric
19 review.

20 Because you saw in your training
21 yesterday that the adverse event reporting for
22 the agency is going up overall, but not for

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 kids, and it's a very little, teeny part of
2 the adverse event reporting, and if you don't
3 go in and retrieve it and pull it out and look
4 at it separately, you're not going to find
5 signals for children.

6 So that's the intent of this
7 process. We don't want to undermine that. We
8 want it to be a robust process, but we have to
9 face the reality that you guys can't have
10 additional housing in Washington so that you
11 can be here all the time to do the safety
12 reviews.

13 So on to today. You're going to
14 get the follow-up report only or you already
15 got it for Zyvox. We'll have an abbreviated
16 presentation for the two ophthalmologic
17 products, Betopic and Timolol, and these, I'm
18 not going to read the list of all the products
19 for a standard review and one expanded update.

20 You're one of the busiest of FDA's
21 Advisory Committees, and as you know, we
22 appreciate your commitment and expertise, and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 we figure that working together we will solve
2 this problem. I know with all of the good
3 minds around this table, we'll figure out a
4 way to make this a robust process that focuses
5 on the things that are really necessary to
6 focus upon.

7 And, again, we look forward to your
8 discussion today, and thank you very much for
9 your time.

10 Now, Judith, do we have the first
11 slide? Do you want to come up and put the
12 slides up?

13 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: While Dr.
14 Cope is getting ready, I just want to make a
15 comment that I will try to keep us on schedule
16 and on time in respect of everybody's time
17 today.

18 Thank you.

19 DR. COPE: Okay. In your package,
20 you should have gotten a follow-up report on
21 Zyvox or linezolid. So as Dr. Murphy said,
22 we're starting the abbreviated review. This

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 was a follow-up from I believe it was November
2 2006.

3 There was a question of
4 cardiotoxicity and overall there wasn't any
5 safety signals or concerns. So we're asking
6 you if you had any questions about the report.

7 Yes.

8 DR. KOCIS: Of course I'm going to
9 extend this from the beginning. So actually I
10 agreed with the conclusions about the review
11 for the peds review and the lack of cardiac
12 toxicity, but then I get to the end and then I
13 see that the FDA is requiring a clinical trial
14 to look at prolonged QT. So there set me back
15 a little bit in examining the cardiac cases
16 that I reviewed and didn't feel there was a
17 signal to now. Is there information that I
18 need to know or will know or other information
19 that could change what I'm going to say?

20 DR. COPE: Okay. We have somebody
21 sitting here from the division. I think that
22 my interpretation was that was all ages, but

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 I'm going to let Dr. Boyd. Would you like to
2 come up?

3 DR. BOYD: Sure. I'm Bill Boyd.
4 I'm an ophthalmologist, but I'm in the same
5 division as the anti-infective folks. They're
6 at a different advisory meeting. Let me try
7 to answer that.

8 I spoke with the Deputy Division
9 Director, and the reason that they requested
10 that study is the explanation was at the time
11 they did the original studies for the approval
12 of the product, they didn't have the
13 methodology in place to do this type of
14 testing. They want to be complete. They're
15 not convinced that because of the severity of
16 illness in the population that they're
17 studying that they're going to be able to
18 determine if there's absolutely no safety
19 signal. It's part of a mechanism they prefer
20 to go ahead and just have the trial performed,
21 but it is going to be all ages.

22 DR. KOCIS: And I just bring that

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 up because any time you're looking at sudden
2 in children and prolonged QT being a rare
3 event, it would be in the same light. So I'm
4 glad they're going to look at that and
5 particularly look at it in children.

6 DR. MURPHY: I thought you all
7 might ask that because again, it is a
8 confirmatory approach. It's trying to be as
9 thorough and gather as much data as they can,
10 but at this time we really couldn't see any
11 signals.

12 Somebody was talking about all of
13 the acronyms yesterday. When I was re-
14 reviewing that last night, you know, all of
15 those acronyms in the data mining are
16 explained in the back. So I do hope you got
17 to the back of that review.

18 Okay. Thank you.

19 So we, therefore, will return this
20 product to the Committee if anything comes
21 from that review when those studies come in,
22 because I think that's what the recommendation

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 from the OSE said, and otherwise we will not
2 be bringing it back to you.

3 Is that acceptable?

4 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Anybody
5 opposed to that?

6 DR. GOLDSTEIN: I have a quick
7 question and follow-up to Dr. Kocis. Given
8 the rarity of these events, is that request
9 feasible?

10 DR. MURPHY: The study you're
11 talking about?

12 DR. GOLDSTEIN: Yes.

13 DR. MURPHY: Do you want to make
14 any comments on that?

15 DR. BOYD: My understanding with
16 our QT study group is that the request is it
17 is possible it will achieve its objective. I
18 know that the protocol has been submitted and
19 is with that group now for review. I actually
20 don't have more information than that, but my
21 understanding is it has the potential to
22 answer the question they're asking.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Thank you.

2 Next.

3 DR. COPE: Okay. As Dr. Murphy
4 talked about, this is another abbreviated
5 slide we have in your package, are two
6 ophthalmologic products, the betaxolol HC
7 ophthalmologic suspension, or Betopic, and the
8 timolol gel forming solution.

9 And with the reviews that you
10 received and all of the work that the team has
11 done, we see that FDA will continue its
12 standard ongoing safety monitoring for these
13 products. That would be the FDA plan, and so
14 I ask you: does the Committee concur?

15 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Question?

16 DR. KOCIS: Again, I just have
17 another process question on both of these
18 drugs, and again, I agree with the safety of
19 them, but I was confused. I remember talking
20 about this the first time we looked at the
21 drugs.

22 When we talk about safety and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 efficacy, you use the phrase that efficacy has
2 been extrapolated from the adult data for both
3 of these drugs, and I'm left in looking at the
4 adult data that's shown in the package insert
5 where the drop in the IOP was much greater
6 than the data that were presented for the drop
7 in the intraocular pressure in children.

8 I'm not an ophthalmologist, and I
9 don't know what to expect for things like
10 that, and while clearly there's a statistical
11 difference in intraocular pressure, in the
12 pediatric trials that looked at this, it
13 wasn't of the same degree as it was at least
14 in the charts in my reading of the adult data.

15 And so I'm confused as to why we're
16 splitting efficacy and safety in children or
17 why we don't report the efficacy findings
18 under the pediatric section along with the
19 safety rather than deferring to the adult data
20 to support efficacy.

21 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Dr. Boyd.

22 DR. BOYD: Let me make sure I

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 understand your question. You are asking
2 about the difference in the IOP lowering
3 effect in children versus adults, and it is
4 difficult to measure IOP in children. It
5 doesn't mean it can't be done and it doesn't
6 mean it's not accurate. There's just a
7 tremendous amount of information on adult IOP
8 lowering versus pediatric patients.

9 We routinely, when we have studies,
10 do not specifically request that children be
11 excluded. So some of the newer trials have
12 far more children than some of the older.

13 As far as why is there a difference
14 in the IOP lowering amount, I don't have a
15 good answer for you, other than I think it's a
16 statistical effect. There's no reason for me
17 to suspect that there's a mechanistic reason
18 for the IOP lowering effect to be different.

19 DR. KOCIS: My only point is that
20 when you look at the adult data, my read --
21 I'm not an ophthalmologist and I don't want to
22 try to interpret these, and I believe efficacy

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 was proven both in adults and in children
2 based on the approval process.

3 What I'm saying though, if you're
4 extrapolating pediatric efficacy based on the
5 adult data, my read on the significance on the
6 drop in IOP and adult data is, you know, a lot
7 different than what numbers we're seeing for
8 the drop in IOP in children, and my only point
9 would be I would say in the pediatric section
10 specifically what the decrease in IOP was from
11 these studies just because we have the data;
12 you know what the numbers are. How you
13 interpret it as an ophthalmologist, I'll leave
14 that to you, but I don't want to mislead
15 pediatric practitioners that you're going to
16 see the same effects in the adult studies in
17 the pediatric studies because at least my read
18 of the data, that's not the case, and again, I
19 think there's lots of reasons to think that
20 increased intraocular pressure in children,
21 neonates, et cetera, can be a very different
22 disease than adults.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 DR. MURPHY: Okay. So I just want
2 to clarify because yesterday during training
3 we talked about extrapolation. So you're not
4 really asking about the extrapolation. You're
5 accepting that the division said they can't
6 extrapolate because the disease is similar and
7 they often expect the same response.

8 Your question is why that response
9 is different.

10 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: No.

11 DR. MURPHY: No?

12 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: I hear Dr.
13 Kocis' question as we have pediatric data. So
14 why don't we comment on that data in the
15 label?

16 DR. MURPHY: Well, that's what I
17 was getting ready to say. Why don't we say
18 something about the difference? It's not
19 whether you can extrapolate. It's that you
20 did extrapolate, but you had data that showed
21 that the response -- remember if you go
22 through extrapolation, you meet those two

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 criteria of the disease and the response or
2 you think it is and you do hypothesis testing
3 and you see that it does, which is sort of the
4 situation which you're describing now, and you
5 have differences. So why not put that in the
6 label?

7 But that's your question. It's not
8 a safety question. It's a labeling question.

9 DR. KOCIS: It's specifically a
10 labeling question, and the consistency of the
11 safety and efficacy from the peds data being
12 in the peds label rather than splitting it and
13 saying, well, we're going to show efficacy
14 from the adult studies, but then safety from
15 the peds studies. It's incongruent in my
16 thinking.

17 DR. LISA MATHIS: I think one thing
18 to be really careful about is when the
19 pediatric studies are intended to support
20 extrapolation, they are not powered to
21 demonstrate the same effect as you're seeing
22 in adults. So it may be misleading to put the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 information in there in a way that seeks to
2 directly compare the efficacy.

3 So I hear what you're saying.
4 Maybe next time we'll look at this and
5 consider putting the data into the label, but
6 we'll have to do it in a way that doesn't
7 mislead clinicians and patients to believe
8 that there perhaps is less efficacy in the
9 pediatric population simply because the
10 studies weren't powered to demonstrate that.

11 DR. KOCIS: I would just go back to
12 we have pediatric data which is rare, and when
13 we have it, we should include it and then
14 clearly we can put all of the caveats that
15 there's power to show this and there was a
16 range of effect and, you know, put it into the
17 clinical context, but we have the data, and it
18 seems less than ideal to not include it in the
19 label.

20 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Dr. Mathis,
21 when would be the next time when you referred
22 to next time?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 DR. LISA MATHIS: Perhaps the next
2 time a product comes in. I'm not sure if
3 going back and changing this label that was
4 actually done a year ago is going to provide
5 any clinical benefit to patients. So I'm
6 saying the next time that a product comes in
7 or the next time perhaps that this product
8 comes in with another application, that might
9 be a time to address it.

10 But from a workload standpoint I'm
11 not sure how much bang we'd get for our buck
12 going back and changing this label. I don't
13 think that that's the intent of this Committee
14 either.

15 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Dr. Kocis, do
16 you feel you've made your point?

17 DR. KOCIS: Yes, I've made my
18 point.

19 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Thank you.

20 DR. KOCIS: You know, the pediatric
21 labeling, I know that that's our focus to
22 strengthen that part, and I think we can

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 strengthen it in these two drugs.

2 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Yes.

3 DR. MURPHY: I guess the message
4 back to the division from the Committee, if I
5 can summarize, is that in light of the intent
6 to get information in the label, even when you
7 are extrapolating, if there's a way when you
8 see differences like that in that part where
9 you're doing, again, I call it hypothesis
10 testing that you can extrapolate and you have
11 the data; if there's a way to put it in the
12 label so that physicians understand because I
13 think Lisa's point is really critical that
14 it's not that it was inferior. It's just that
15 it was limited data, and it had an effect,
16 okay, and this is the range of the effects.

17 That would be the recommendation of
18 the Committee for future approaches to the
19 labeling of these products.

20 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Maybe any
21 time we have pediatric data we would like to
22 be able to refer to it with all of its

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 limitations clearly described.

2 DR. BOYD: For whatever reason when
3 people study IOP lowering drugs, it's very
4 common to see one or two millimeters of
5 decrease even in people who receive the
6 placebo all the time. So that's some of what
7 you're seeing with the pediatric data. There
8 just aren't as many patients, but I understand
9 what you've brought up today, and I'll take
10 that back to the division.

11 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: So the
12 question before us then for these two
13 medications, that is, betaxolol and timolol,
14 the statement is FDA will continue its
15 standard ongoing safety monitoring for these
16 products. Does the Committee concur?

17 Is anyone opposed?

18 So there is consensus on the
19 Committee.

20 DR. COPE: Thank you.

21 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Thank you.

22 Our next is Risperdal and Dr.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Collins.

2 DR. MURPHY: Just before we go
3 forward, Lisa made a point which I think we
4 brought it out yesterday, but let's put it in
5 the public realm since we did mention it
6 yesterday about the opportunity now. We have
7 with FDAAA for reviewing labeling. Do you
8 want to address that, Lisa?

9 DR. LISA MATHIS: We do have the
10 Pediatric Review Committee now. So we do look
11 at labeling prior to approval, and so there
12 will be more opportunity to provide feedback
13 to the divisions before approval occurs, and I
14 think that we actually are trying to make sure
15 that data does get into labeling if we have
16 it.

17 So we'll address that in the
18 future. I just want you to know that we have
19 more opportunity to do that now.

20 DR. MURPHY: And, Marsha, because
21 actually we failed, meaning FDA failed, to ask
22 to do this one time and it resulted in the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Committee not being aware, the people at the
2 table, I wanted to make sure that when we have
3 the different people come up for the different
4 products that we're introducing the speaker,
5 but I'd also like to have the people at the
6 table from the division who are here to please
7 introduce themselves.

8 DR. LAUGHREN: I'm Tom Laughren.
9 I'm the Director at the Psychiatry Products
10 Division.

11 DR. MITCHELL MATHIS: And I'm
12 Mitchell Mathis, the Deputy Director of that
13 same division.

14 DR. MURPHY: Tom, would you just
15 tell them your background?

16 DR. LAUGHREN: I'm a psychiatrist
17 by training, and I've been with FDA roughly 25
18 years.

19 DR. MITCHELL MATHIS: I'm a
20 psychiatrist and family practitioner by
21 training, and I've been with FDA for about
22 eight years.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 DR. MURPHY: Felicia, would you
2 introduce yourself, please?

3 DR. COLLINS: Sure. Good morning,
4 everyone. My name is Dr. Felicia Collins. I
5 am a general pediatrician within the Pediatric
6 and Maternal Health staff with the clinical
7 practice area exclusively in adolescent
8 medicine.

9 And this morning I'm pleased to be
10 able to present to you the one-year, post
11 exclusivity adverse event review for
12 risperidone.

13 Oral Risperdal, or risperidone, is
14 an atypical antipsychotic for which Janssen is
15 the drug sponsor. Original market approval
16 occurred on December 29th, 1993, and pediatric
17 exclusivity was granted on February 28th,
18 2007.

19 Prior to the pediatric exclusivity
20 studies, oral Risperdal was indicated for the
21 treatment of schizophrenia in adults, the
22 short-term treatment of acute manic or mixed

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 episodes associated with Bipolar I Disorder in
2 adults, and the treatment of irritability
3 associated with autistic disorder in children
4 and adolescents.

5 The next two slides provide
6 information about the use of risperidone in
7 out-patient settings. Seven, point, eight
8 million oral risperidone prescriptions were
9 dispensed for all age groups during the 12-
10 month pre and post exclusivity period. Ten
11 percent of these prescriptions were for
12 adolescents, 13 to 17 years old, and 15.5
13 percent were for children zero to 12 years
14 old.

15 There was a two percent increase in
16 prescriptions for all age groups between the
17 12-month pre and post exclusivity period and a
18 ten percent increase for the pediatric
19 population. Psychiatry was the top
20 prescribing specialty during the post
21 exclusivity period. All psychiatrists
22 prescribed 53.4 percent of all oral

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 risperidone prescriptions. Child
2 psychiatrists prescribed 11.4 percent of all
3 prescriptions. Pediatricians prescribed 3.6
4 percent of all prescriptions and child
5 neurologists prescribed one percent of all
6 prescriptions.

7 The top diagnosis codes associated
8 with oral risperidone use by children zero to
9 17 years old were infantile autism and
10 attention deficit disorder.

11 On November 25th, 2002, the FDA
12 issued a written request for studies of oral
13 risperidone in the acute treatment of
14 schizophrenia in pediatric patients 13 to 17
15 years old and in the acute treatment of mania
16 and Bipolar I Disorder in pediatric patients
17 ten to 17 years old.

18 The resulting pediatric exclusivity
19 studies included five studies: one
20 pharmacokinetic study, three efficacy and
21 safety studies, and one safety study.

22 The results of the submitted

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 pediatric exclusivity studies indicated that
2 risperidone is effective and reasonably safe
3 for the studied indications in pediatric
4 patients.

5 The following two slides list all
6 of the labeling sections that were changed
7 based on the results of the pediatric
8 exclusivity studies. Changes were made to the
9 indications and usage section, dosage and
10 administration section, adverse reaction
11 subsection on commonly observed adverse
12 reactions in placebo controlled clinical
13 trials on discontinuations due to adverse
14 reactions and on changes in ECG to the use in
15 the specific population section, pediatric use
16 subsection, and to the clinical study section.

17 The next five slides will provide
18 details of selected labeling changes. The
19 indication and usage section was changed to
20 extend the schizophrenia indication to
21 adolescents 13 to 17 years old, and to extend
22 the bipolar mania indication to children and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 adolescents ten to 17 years old.

2 The dosage and administration
3 section was changed to note that no additional
4 benefit was seen above three milligrams per
5 day in the schizophrenia studies or above 2.5
6 milligrams per day in the bipolar mania
7 studies.

8 In addition, this section notes
9 that for both indications higher doses were
10 associated with more adverse events.

11 The adverse reaction section,
12 discontinuations due to adverse reaction
13 subsection was changed to note that for the
14 schizophrenia studies approximately seven
15 percent of patients discontinued in the
16 risperidone group versus four percent in the
17 placebo group.

18 Adverse reactions associated with
19 study discontinuation in the risperidone group
20 included somnolence, dizziness, anorexia,
21 ataxia, hypotension, and palpitation. This
22 subsection also was changed to note that for

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the bipolar mania studies 12 percent of
2 patients discontinued in the risperidone group
3 versus seven percent in the placebo group.
4 Adverse reactions associated with study
5 discontinuation in the risperidone group
6 included somnolence, nausea, abdominal pain,
7 and vomiting.

8 The use and specific population
9 section, pediatric use subsection was changed
10 to note that for the schizophrenia studies 14
11 percent reported a weight increase and open
12 label studies, and there was a mean weight
13 increase of nine kilograms after eight months
14 of treatment in 103 adolescents.

15 For the bipolar mania studies, it
16 was noted that increased body weight was
17 higher in the risperidone group than the
18 placebo group, although not dose related.

19 This subsection also was changed to
20 note that somnolence was the most commonly
21 observed adverse event in pediatric
22 schizophrenia and bipolar disorder trials. In

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 addition, the subsection notes that in
2 controlled pediatric schizophrenia or bipolar
3 disorder trials, hyperprolactinemia was seen
4 in 82 to 87 percent of children and
5 adolescents in the risperidone group versus
6 three to seven percent in the placebo group.

7 Moving now from the exclusivity
8 studies to post marketing reporting, this
9 table describes the adverse event reports
10 since marketing approval. For pediatric
11 patients there were 1,535 adverse event
12 reports which comprise 7.5 percent of the
13 total reports.

14 Of these reports, there were 48
15 death reports with 33 being U.S. cases. Of
16 the 48 crude count pediatric death reports
17 identified since marketing approval, 17 of
18 these were duplicates. Of the 31 unique
19 pediatric cases, four involved an
20 indeterminate cause of death, and the 27
21 remaining cases involved ten nervous system,
22 nine cardiac system, and eight miscellaneous

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 cases.

2 After reviewing the 31 unique
3 pediatric death cases, the safety reviewer did
4 not identify any new safety concerns. There
5 are multiple sections of the drug labeling
6 that are relevant to the pediatric death
7 cases. The warnings and precautions section
8 of the drug labeling include subsections on
9 seizures, neuroleptic malignant syndrome,
10 hyperglycemia, and diabetes mellitus with
11 worsening glucose control, orthostatic
12 hypertension, and suicide.

13 The adverse reaction section of the
14 drug labeling includes arrhythmia,
15 hypotension, pulmonary embolism, and
16 cardiopulmonary arrest.

17 The next several slides provide
18 more details for the 27 death cases, and you
19 will note that unlabeled events have been
20 underlined. Of the ten nervous system cases,
21 five cases involve adolescents who died after
22 a seizure or related complication while on

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 risperidone.

2 Two cases involve patients with a
3 history of epilepsy and one additional case
4 involved concomitant paroxetine use, which has
5 a labeled seizure association.

6 The sixth case involved a seven
7 year old who experienced encephalitis,
8 hypotension, arrhythmia, and cerebral edema,
9 and died two days after risperidone therapy.

10 There were three cases involving
11 children who died of neuroleptic malignant
12 syndrome, or NMS-like symptoms while on
13 risperidone. Of note, one case involved
14 concomitant medications with a labeled NMS
15 association.

16 And the last nervous system case
17 involved a nine year old who died due to a
18 cavernous angioma 12 days after initiating
19 risperidone therapy.

20 For the cardiac cases, two cases
21 involved children who died from cardiac arrest
22 while on risperidone without concomitant

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 medications, but these case reports lack
2 significant details.

3 And two additional cases involve
4 children with congenital heart disease who
5 died due to cardiac arrhythmia or sudden death
6 while on risperidone.

7 The fifth cardiac case involved an
8 11 year old female who died of myocarditis one
9 month after initiating risperidone therapy.

10 A sixth case involved a seven year
11 old male who experienced QTc prolongation and
12 died due to a heart attack after initiating
13 therapy with risperidone.

14 The seventh case involved a 16 year
15 old male with a family history of Protein S
16 deficiency who experienced an upper
17 respiratory infection and a presumed pulmonary
18 embolism and died three months after
19 initiating therapy with risperidone.

20 And the last two cardiac cases
21 involve an 11 year old and a 16 year old on
22 risperidone who died possibly due to left

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 ventricular hypertrophy.

2 The last eight death cases are
3 summarized on this slide. Six of the eight
4 cases involved a single report for an adverse
5 event and no patterns were identified. The
6 cases include a 14 year old who had a viral
7 infection and cardiorespiratory arrest prior
8 to death and while on risperidone; a 14 and a
9 12 year old who died from suicide which is
10 labeled association; a 13 year old on
11 risperidone who had pneumonia, septicemia,
12 congestive heart failure, and cardiac arrest
13 and died; an eight year old with diabetes who
14 had a hypoglycemic seizure and died while on
15 risperidone; a six year old who died after an
16 accidental ingestion of multiple medications,
17 including risperidone; a five year old who
18 died after a near drowning within three months
19 of initiating risperidone therapy; and a one
20 year old who died of suffocation after
21 receiving her mother's risperidone.

22 Now, going back to the table

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 describing adverse events since marketing
2 approval, for pediatric patients, there were
3 1,207 pediatric serious adverse event reports
4 with 860 of these being U.S. cases. You will
5 note that the definition of a serious adverse
6 event that was used when identifying these
7 cases is provided in the footnote.

8 Now, looking at the post
9 exclusivity period for pediatric patients
10 there were 131 serious adverse event report
11 with 42 of these being U.S. reports.

12 Of the crude count, 131 pediatric
13 serious adverse event reports identified
14 during the post exclusivity period, 15 reports
15 were excluded because they were duplicates.
16 Of the 116 remaining unique pediatric cases,
17 no new safety concerns were identified.

18 The safety reviewer gave particular
19 attention to 35 cases involving labeled
20 metabolic extrapyramidal and gynecomastia and
21 hyperprolactinemia events to see if there was
22 a qualitative or quantitative difference in

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the reports for pediatric patients compared to
2 adults.

3 Again, there are multiple sections
4 in the drug labeling that are relevant to
5 these selected serious adverse events. The
6 warnings and precautions section of the drug
7 labeling include subsections on hyperglycemia
8 and diabetes mellitus, tardive dyskinesia, and
9 hyperprolactinemia.

10 The adverse reaction section of the
11 drug labeling mentions extrapyramidal symptoms
12 and gynecomastia.

13 The 15 metabolic effect cases
14 included cases of increased weight, diabetes
15 mellitus, diabetic ketoacidosis and/or
16 glycosuria. The 14 extrapyramidal cases
17 included three tardive dyskinesia and 11 other
18 extrapyramidal effect cases.

19 Lastly, there are four gynecomastia
20 cases and two cases of hyperprolactinemia.
21 Again, these events are consistent with
22 current labeling.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 This chart describes the various
2 combinations of metabolic serious adverse
3 events that were reported in pediatric
4 patients. You will note that there were three
5 groups of reports for diabetes alone or
6 diabetes combined with another metabolic
7 adverse event.

8 Of the 81 other pediatric serious
9 adverse event cases during the post
10 exclusivity period, the safety reviewer
11 provided case counts according to the
12 categories listed on this slide. There were
13 29 cases with labeled events and 53 cases with
14 unlabeled events.

15 The drug labeling sections relevant
16 to these other serious adverse events are the
17 contraindications section, which includes
18 hypersensitivity reactions, including
19 angioedema, the warnings and precaution
20 section, which includes cerebrovascular
21 events, including stroke and transient
22 ischemic attack, neuroleptic malignant

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 syndrome, tardive dyskinesia, hyperglycemia
2 and diabetes mellitus with worsening glucose
3 control, hyperprolactinemia, orthostatic
4 hypotension, seizures, and suicide.

5 The adverse reaction section
6 controlled clinical trials subsection mentions
7 arrhythmia, bradycardia, and tachycardia,
8 leukopenia, anxiety, tremor, increased SGOT
9 and SGPT, edema, and vomiting.

10 The post marketing experience
11 subsection includes pulmonary embolism,
12 cardiopulmonary arrest, thrombocytopenia,
13 precocious puberty, angioedema, and
14 pancreatitis, and the drug interaction section
15 discusses how risperidone use can result in
16 increased valproate plasma concentrations.

17 Of the 53 unlabeled events, no new
18 safety concerns were identified. There were
19 30 non-therapeutic uses, including accidental
20 exposures, intentional misuse or overdose and
21 poisoning of food, 14 events that involved a
22 single case report, and seven other adverse

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 event types reported in two to four cases.

2 Of note, the four cases of
3 agitation during the switch from risperidone
4 to methylphenidate are suggestive of off-label
5 use for attention deficit hyperactivity
6 disorder in which agitation can be part of
7 that disorder.

8 Lastly, some of the remaining
9 serious adverse events are consistent with
10 schizophrenia or Bipolar I disorder, such as
11 hallucinations, aggression, and self-injurious
12 behavior. However, these events also can be
13 seen in children and adolescents without these
14 psychiatric diagnoses.

15 This completes the one-year post
16 exclusivity adverse event reporting. The
17 safety review did not reveal any new safety
18 concerns for oral risperidone as the
19 identified adverse events were qualitatively
20 similar to those currently found in the
21 product labeling and described in the adult
22 population.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Therefore, FDA will continue its
2 standard ongoing safety monitoring for oral
3 risperidone. And then the question to you is:
4 does the Advisory Committee concur?

5 And in closing I just would like to
6 acknowledge the assistance I received in
7 preparing for this presentation from numerous
8 FDA staff in the Office of Surveillance and
9 Epidemiology, the Division of Psychiatry
10 Products, the Office of Clinical Pharmacology,
11 the Office of Pediatric Therapeutics, and the
12 Pediatric and Maternal Health staff.

13 Thank you.

14 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Thank you.

15 We're open to questions.

16 DR. RAKOWSKY: I have a question
17 for Dr. Laughren, please.

18 We have a very nice report from Dr.
19 Governale looking at the use of Risperdal over
20 the last three years. In looking at the zero
21 to 12 age range there's been basically a
22 stable use in that age range, but the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 percentage of change allowed to have the
2 diagnosis or the coding of infantile autism,
3 is that a code that will be used only for
4 children less than two or is that a diagnosis
5 code that you would use for any pediatric age?

6 In other words, the question is are
7 we seeing more use in off label, in other
8 words, less than five year olds, based on what
9 we're seeing in the use data.

10 DR. LAUGHREN: Yes, I don't have an
11 answer to that question. You know, in the
12 division we're not the ones who collect the
13 data on use. Maybe, Felicia, you could
14 comment on that code infantile autism. Is
15 that ICD-9?

16 DR. COLLINS: Actually I would need
17 to defer to someone in the Office of
18 Surveillance and Epidemiology.

19 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Please use
20 the mic.

21 DR. BORDERS-HEMPHILL: I'm sorry.
22 I'm Vicky Borders-Hemphill.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 That is an ICD-9 code that we use,
2 and we only looked at age groups zero to 12.

3 DR. RAKOWSKY: Would the infantile
4 autism ICD-9 code basically be used for any
5 child with autism less than 12, for example,
6 and still be termed infantile autism, or is
7 that just a subset of younger children of
8 autism that this is being used for?

9 DR. BORDERS-HEMPHILL: Well, we
10 also saw it as an ICD-9 code for 13 to 17 year
11 olds as well.

12 DR. RAKOWSKY: So probably more of
13 a broad range.

14 DR. BORDERS-HEMPHILL: Right.

15 DR. RAKOWSKY: Okay.

16 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Dr. Dure.

17 DR. DURE: Yes. I have a question
18 for the psychiatry products group, too,
19 because I'm a child neurologist, and I have a
20 bias that extrapyramidal syndromes are really
21 under-recognized with the use of these agents,
22 and I would be concerned or my question is:

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 is enough being done because to try to at
2 least educate people or do you have a concern
3 about that on your panel?

4 It didn't take long for me to find
5 out about diabetes mellitus and
6 hyperprolactinemia with these agents a few
7 years ago. I heard about that very quickly,
8 but neuroleptic malignant syndromes, serotonin
9 syndromes and akathisia, things like that.
10 There is a lot of concern in the literature
11 about people's ability to recognize this.

12 Do you feel like, in your
13 Committee, do you feel like enough is being
14 done to keep the public and the practitioners
15 aware?

16 DR. LAUGHREN: Well, we think this
17 drug is reasonably adequately labeled with
18 regard to extrapyramidal side effect. You
19 know, it's not really probably FDA's primary
20 responsibility to go beyond that to educate
21 the community.

22 I think it really falls more to the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 various practice associations to educate their
2 members, but you know, we're open to
3 suggestions about what you think we might be
4 able to do to further educate.

5 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Dr. Farrar.

6 DR. FARRAR: I would like to follow
7 up on that because I agree. I think one of
8 the things that I have seen is a lot of very
9 hard to define movement disorders in kids who
10 are being treated off label with this, and
11 this is just my experience in the clinical
12 setting, and I don't have any hard numbers to
13 really say what that means.

14 And so I thought it was interesting
15 that of the movement disorders, 11 of them
16 were described as other extrapyramidal, and so
17 it sounds like there's kind of this general
18 tendency out there for people to have a hard
19 time deciding what it is. These kids are not
20 fitting really typical patterns it doesn't
21 sound like.

22 Again, I'm not sure what other

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 studies need to be done.

2 One of the other things that I was
3 interested in when I looked through this is
4 that although from looking at the prescribing
5 on page 125, yes, bipolar and schizophrenia
6 are the most common diagnoses for which these
7 drugs are prescribed, but all others is 99,000
8 or almost half of the use of this.

9 Again, you all can't set policy.
10 You all can't tell doctors how to prescribe
11 drugs, and so I think you're caught a little
12 bit here, but these drugs are being used, and
13 plus that's in the zero to 12 year group, and
14 so just the data looks like there's a
15 tremendous amount of off-label use of these
16 drugs going on out there.

17 I'm not sure. I agree there's not
18 much you can do with the label right now
19 because qualitatively what you're seen in your
20 reports and the data you have looks like what
21 you talk about in the label, but I don't know.

22 I'm not sure if we can make a recommendation

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 or what this Committee can do to try to
2 encourage more study of these drugs,
3 especially in children, because I think
4 there's a lot of off label use and I think
5 there are a lot of side effects that are not
6 fitting into the normal categories very well.

7 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Dr.
8 Goldstein.

9 DR. GOLDSTEIN: Again, this is not
10 my area of expertise, but in reading through
11 the data there clearly is a statement that
12 there's a dose response effect regarding
13 safety, and there's also repeatedly in the
14 label that there is no control data to support
15 long-term use either in schizophrenia, bipolar
16 mania, or the irritability associated autistic
17 indications.

18 So given that there are significant
19 metabolic effects, CNS effects and cardiac
20 effects, and especially the metabolic effects
21 which one would assume would accrue over time,
22 my questions are, not being a practicing

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 psychiatrist: what's the typical length of
2 treatment? Do we have any data on the long-
3 term use from the adverse event reporting? Is
4 there any way to ferret that out? Is there a
5 cumulative or is there the possibility that
6 there's a cumulative dose effect?

7 And then my last question is that
8 when you look at the label statements
9 regarding extended periods, the statement
10 under schizophrenia is different than that
11 under bipolar mania and autistic. The
12 statement for schizophrenia just cautions the
13 physician who uses Risperdal for extended
14 periods of time to periodically reevaluate the
15 long-term usefulness, whereas the statements
16 for bipolar mania and irritability associated
17 with autistic disorder caution to reevaluate
18 long-term risk and benefits.

19 DR. LAUGHREN: Well, in terms of
20 the first question about long-term safety,
21 it's very difficult to get good, systematic,
22 long-term safety data in anyone, but in kids

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 in particular. The labeling describes the
2 data that we have, and those are, you know,
3 from open label extensions, and we give some
4 descriptive numbers of what happens. You
5 can't really get long-term control data. In
6 other words, you couldn't do a year long
7 placebo controlled trial and systematically
8 look at the cumulative effects. You can only
9 look at a cohort.

10 And those are suggestive that there
11 are some cumulative effects, and we've
12 reported that in the labeling, but you know,
13 we agree that these drugs, this drug included
14 among the atypicals, have metabolic burden.
15 You know, they increase weight. They alter
16 lipid profiles. They have effects on glucose,
17 and we think that's important for prescribers
18 to know, and we think the labeling, you know,
19 clearly expresses that concern.

20 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Dr.
21 Notterman, then Dr. Kopic, and we have two
22 others in the wings.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 DR. GOLDSTEIN: I'm sorry. Why is
2 there a difference in the recommendations to
3 the physician for schizophrenia as compared to
4 the other two?

5 DR. LAUGHREN: Can you again say
6 exactly what you're referring to?

7 DR. GOLDSTEIN: It's on page 152 of
8 my booklet under schizophrenia, the last
9 statement, the first paragraph at the top of
10 the page. The physician who elects to use
11 Risperdal for extended periods in adolescents
12 with schizophrenia should periodically
13 reevaluate the long-term usefulness of the
14 drug for the individual patient.

15 DR. LAUGHREN: Okay.

16 DR. GOLDSTEIN: But then on page
17 153 and again on 154 under the bipolar and the
18 autistic sections, the last paragraph on page
19 153 -- I'm sorry -- the second paragraph, the
20 last sentence on page 153, it says, The
21 physician who elects to use Risperdal for
22 extended periods should periodically

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 reevaluate the long-term risks and benefits of
2 the drug for the individual patient.

3 And that same sentence is used for
4 the autistic. So my question is that it just
5 looks like efficacy is being recommended for
6 follow-up under schizophrenia, whereas
7 efficacy and safety is being recommended for
8 the other two conditions.

9 It just seems to be inconsistent.

10 DR. LAUGHREN: I'm sure that was
11 inadvertent, you know. It certainly wasn't
12 intended that one wouldn't look at both
13 efficacy and safety long term. So it's
14 something we can consider fixing.

15 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Dr.
16 Notterman.

17 DR. NOTTERMAN: A review of the
18 prescribing indications shows that there's a
19 substantial amount of prescribing for ADD in
20 the under 12 group, 16.8 percent in the latest
21 dates. And I wonder if in light of some of
22 the toxicities and adverse effects that you've

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 acknowledged are significant, the metabolic
2 burden, we have given substantially enough
3 weight to these adverse events in light of the
4 off label indications for which the drug is
5 being prescribed.

6 So by that I mean in balancing the
7 benefit and risk of the drug and the burden of
8 the drug, the balance seems clearly in favor
9 when used for a disorder such as schizophrenia
10 or another psychotic illness.

11 However, it doesn't seem to favor
12 the use of this agent in certain unlabeled
13 indications, in particular for ADD, and so I
14 guess my question is whether some other
15 action, for example, a notice to prescribers
16 regarding the use in ADD is worth considering
17 in the future.

18 DR. LAUGHREN: You know, it's hard
19 to tease out from the data exactly what the
20 drug is being prescribed for in kids with
21 ADHD. I suspect what it is is being used for
22 co-morbid either oppositional defined disorder

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 or conduct disorder since that's in child
2 psychiatry probably the most widely used
3 diagnosis. You can't really tease that out
4 from these data.

5 But to your question about, you
6 know, what can FDA do in terms of off label
7 prescribing, again, you've heard this many
8 times, but we don't regulate the practice of
9 medicine. Once we put a drug out there, we
10 can clearly say in the label what it is
11 indicated for, you know, what the appropriate
12 use is from our standpoint for those approved
13 indications.

14 Again, we're open to suggestions,
15 but it's not clear what you would want FDA to
16 do to try and influence the way the drug is
17 used in the community.

18 DR. NOTTERMAN: Well, I do agree
19 that some of the use at least that I'm aware
20 of is for oppositional defined disorder, but I
21 think there's also substantial use for ADD
22 without those characteristics.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 And as for what I think FDA should
2 consider, it is the evaluation of the adverse
3 effects in light of the actual use of the
4 drug, and in particular, to consider whether
5 -- and it may be that there isn't and it may
6 be that you're right and this is misleading
7 coding, but to consider whether there is
8 substantial use by practitioners for this
9 indication in the context of a significant
10 metabolic burden.

11 I also have one other question
12 related to that, and that is whether or not
13 there's data on QTc prolongation for this
14 agent when used in monotherapy.

15 DR. LAUGHREN: If you look at the
16 labeling under ECG, there were changes made on
17 the basis of the new data that came out of
18 these studies, which basically says that there
19 weren't any important changes noted other than
20 a slight increase in pulse rate.

21 DR. NOTTERMAN: So do you know if
22 QTc was specifically included in that

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 surveillance?

2 DR. LAUGHREN: Well, ECGs were
3 collected, but of course, this is in the
4 context of a typical clinical trial rather
5 than a thorough QT study. So, you know, it's
6 true that you can't take quite as much away
7 from that as you could from a thorough QT
8 study, but this compound risperidone has been
9 looked at a lot for QT, and it doesn't appear
10 to have much of a signal.

11 DR. NOTTERMAN: Thanks.

12 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Dr. Kocis.

13 DR. KOCIS: In looking at this drug
14 compared to many of the drugs that we're going
15 to review or have reviewed over the few years
16 that I've been here, this is somewhat unique
17 in that it's being used in -- 25 percent of
18 its use has been in pediatrics. It's a drug
19 that has many effects, some that are serious,
20 and I would disagree with your assessment that
21 the FDA is passive in this thing and what they
22 can do.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 My sense of reading this, there are
2 some very serious signals and my read on the
3 labeling is that it's inadequate to those
4 signals that you've known about, we've known
5 about, and it doesn't emphasize the life
6 threatening side effects.

7 So for me when I read through this
8 -- and, again, I don't use these drugs myself.

9 So it's simply naive as I read through these
10 things -- that I think it's inadequate in
11 labeling for seizures in the sense that it
12 doesn't include -- there are seizures and then
13 there is -- epileptic that's leading to
14 seizures and death. There's the metabolic
15 effects where we talk about hypoglycemia and
16 diabetes, but there's also diabetic
17 ketoacidosis that's not emphasized. I'm not
18 sure if that led to death.

19 And then the cardiac toxicities
20 were reviewed and apparently they brought in a
21 consultant to review that, and it ties
22 somewhat into the QT studies, and I'm curious

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 about that, if you acquire the EKGs, why QT
2 studies weren't -- or I don't know the
3 results. Maybe they were done. I don't know
4 what that impact was, but I'm curious as to
5 what the consultant found and reviewed to see
6 if there's additional things we need to
7 monitor.

8 And then the final comment is on
9 behalf of the sponsor, in the labeling when
10 they talk about the long-term effects of
11 Risperdal on growth and sexual maturation have
12 not been fully evaluated, I find that lacking
13 in the sense that we know it has profound
14 impact on prolactin and other endocrine things
15 that I believe should require them to study
16 this in children who are undergoing sexual
17 maturation.

18 DR. LAUGHREN: Well, I'm a little
19 puzzled about your statement that labeling is
20 inadequate with regard to some of these
21 serious risks. These are all warning
22 statements, very prominent warning statements.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 You know, the statement on hyperglycemia
2 talks about the possibility of ketoacidosis,
3 although I must say that what you're dealing
4 with are individual reports, spontaneous
5 reports of children developing what in many of
6 these cases of ketoacidosis is Type 1
7 diabetes.

8 The kind of diabetes that we expect
9 to see with a drug like an atypical
10 antipsychotic which induces weight gain and
11 lipid changes and hyperglycemia is Type 2
12 diabetes. The end stage of that would be
13 hyperosmolar coma. You see ketoacidosis with
14 Type 1 diabetes.

15 There's no particular reason to
16 believe that this drug induces Type 1
17 diabetes. More likely what you're seeing are,
18 you know, the natural occurrence in this age
19 group where it's the peak onset of Type 1
20 diabetes.

21 So again, I'm puzzled by --

22 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Excuse me.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 To that point, I believe I've read in the
2 material that you've compiled for us that
3 there have been spontaneous reports of
4 hyperosmolar ketoacidosis, and that, in fact,
5 people do recognize and accept the risk of
6 Type 2 diabetes with the metabolic syndrome,
7 have been part of the metabolic syndrome.

8 So I wouldn't want to diminish that
9 as a risk factor because children are also
10 developing Type 1.

11 DR. LAUGHREN: I totally agree, but
12 again, I'm anxious to hear suggestions about,
13 you know, what more we can do in labeling.
14 It's already very prominently labeled. The
15 same with seizures.

16 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: I'd like to
17 allow Dr. Rosenthal, Dr. Cnaan and Ms. Celento
18 to speak. Dr. Rosenthal.

19 DR. ROSENTHAL: Thank you.

20 I actually am just reflecting on
21 the very high incidence of hyperprolactinemia
22 in the pediatric population. I'm sitting here

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 wondering what is the effect of that over the
2 years in which these medications are going to
3 be used.

4 I think the label effectively calls
5 out that high occurrence, but I think my
6 question may relate somewhat to Dr. Kocis'
7 question, and that is if these medications are
8 used to a significant degree in the pediatric
9 population, and there is information regarding
10 the effects of the medication on the neural
11 endocrine axis. Is it reasonable to ask the
12 question of what is the long-term effect on
13 growth and development in these areas.

14 DR. LAUGHREN: That's always a good
15 question to ask. The difficulty, of course,
16 is in trying to figure out how you're going to
17 get an answer to that question. How are you
18 going to mount a trial that allows you to
19 follow a cohort for the years and years that
20 you would need to to gather that information,
21 especially if you wanted to have some kind of
22 a control? It's a challenge.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 DR. ROSENTHAL: So I guess I'm not
2 asking the agency to design the study, but I'm
3 wondering whether there aren't some mechanisms
4 even through the labeling process where
5 particular attention can be drawn to this
6 point, which might then stimulate research in
7 this area.

8 You know, the we don't think of the
9 label as being used in this way, but I'm
10 thinking outside the box, and maybe if
11 particular attention is drawn to the very high
12 occurrence of hyperprolactinemia in the label,
13 that will raise enough eyebrows that the
14 studies will get done.

15 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Dr. Cnaan.

16 DR. CNAAN: In the interest of
17 time, my question mostly mimics Dr.
18 Notterman's question. I am very concerned
19 when I look at the second most prescribed
20 indication being ADHD, as was pointed out in
21 Slide No. 5, and the cumulative effect of
22 everything that everybody has said here. It

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 is not about the labeling, but if there is
2 anything that the agency can do to decrease,
3 at least, off label use for more mild
4 indication, I think I would greatly appreciate
5 it.

6 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Ms. Celento.

7 MS. CELENTO: I second Dr. Cnaan's
8 comments, and really the comments of everyone
9 else. And I will say that, you know, maybe
10 it's the Google generation and people stopped
11 reading at page one. I don't feel that the
12 metabolic indications or the metabolic effects
13 are highlighted in the label, and I realize
14 there's a standard format for the label, but I
15 don't think those concerns are really broadly
16 raised here for the parent of a pediatric
17 patient.

18 And, again, some of these drugs are
19 being -- this drug is being used maybe for
20 indications that are off label, and there
21 might be other options.

22 DR. LAUGHREN: Yes, with regard to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the metabolic issue, I can say that there's a
2 review ongoing within the agency right now
3 looking extensively at the metabolic effects
4 for all of the atypicals. We've pretty much
5 completed our review for the other drug that
6 you're going to talk about here this morning,
7 Zyprexa, and the labeling for that drug, I
8 think, better reflects the metabolic risks.

9 You know, we expect over the next
10 couple of years to improve the highlighting of
11 the metabolic profile for this drug and the
12 other atypicals, but that review is ongoing.

13 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: I'd like to
14 make an observation that of the 31 deaths that
15 were described here by my reckoning, 11 of
16 those were associated with off label use.
17 Eleven of those had no diagnosis clearly
18 associated with use, at least in the
19 information available, and six were associated
20 with on label use.

21 It's also an observation, and I
22 know there's not a really rigorous -- there's

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 no evidence to be gleaned, but just a signal
2 perhaps. Nine of these deaths were associated
3 with SSRI concomitant use, and 12, including
4 that nine, were associated with
5 antidepressants.

6 So I wonder if there isn't
7 something that we should be looking at there.

8 I do think we have an avenue
9 perhaps around our shared concern about off
10 label use and the rapid increase in use. You
11 described to us a ten percent increase in use
12 for children zero to 17 within the last year.

13 What was presented to the Best
14 Pharmaceuticals Committee -- am I saying that
15 right? What's the name of that group that we
16 did in June? No, no, the Best Pharmaceuticals
17 Act for Children -- the Best Pharmaceuticals
18 Children's Act. That committee met in June
19 and risperidone was one of their items of
20 concern, was one of their medications that
21 they asked to be reviewed, and I was assigned
22 to review that as a participant in that

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 committee.

2 There was information presented
3 there that based on data in seven states in
4 both Medicaid utilization and commercial
5 insurance utilization, that risperidone, in
6 particular, was used by more than 16 or had a
7 prevalence of more than 16 among Medicaid
8 youth and a prevalence of approximately four
9 among those in commercial insurance.

10 Now, that data comes from 2001 and
11 2004. So we all have a sense that this
12 increase that you describe over the last year
13 has actually been cumulative since 2000, those
14 of us in practice.

15 So I think we share a concern about
16 off label use and a very rapid increase in use
17 of this medication. I say this with the
18 caveat that I think it's a very effective
19 medication, and it is a very powerful
20 medication. I use the word powerful because
21 it has brought an improved quality of life to
22 many, many children who could not experience

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that previously.

2 But because of that, it lends
3 itself to off label use, and I think that
4 perhaps we've not in the past viewed the label
5 or the agency as a tool to influence practice,
6 but we do have a request from the Best
7 Pharmaceuticals for Children's Act to
8 recommend --

9 DR. MURPHY: This is an NIH
10 committee.

11 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Yes.

12 DR. MURPHY: This is the NIH
13 committee, just so everybody is on the same
14 page as Marsha, that looks at the off -- well,
15 actually they're not just looking at --

16 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: They're
17 asking what should be future research.

18 DR. MURPHY: Not looking just off
19 patent, right.

20 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Where should
21 research for children and pharmaceuticals
22 focus?

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 DR. MURPHY: Right.

2 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: And I think
3 we could take the concern of this Committee to
4 them. We could convey to them that we have a
5 concern about off label use; that we have a
6 concern about long-term effects; and that we
7 have a concern about extrapyramidal effects in
8 this very widely used and increasingly used
9 medication.

10 And that could then be added to the
11 many people who spoke about the importance of
12 studying this particular medication and this
13 particular class of medications in children.

14 DR. MURPHY: And I think in that
15 situation you might want to articulate at the
16 end here what are the groups that you think,
17 because I've heard a number, you know, of the
18 proactinemia, the endocrine effects, the, you
19 know, long-term effects, maybe the differences
20 in the metabolic effects going through
21 puberty.

22 I mean, those are some of the

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 things that I've heard you say, and, Tom, I
2 think what they're saying is they recognize
3 the agency doesn't really have a mechanism to
4 get those things done unless, you know, this
5 probably came in with a supplement for
6 something that would somehow avail itself to
7 that, but otherwise they're trying to search
8 for other ways to get this done.

9 I think though the one other thing
10 that we need to make sure, and people have
11 been careful about this, is that your concern
12 -- and we've seen this before with other
13 products -- is that the large off label use in
14 a population that has not been documented to
15 receive any benefit from this product is the
16 concern fundamentally I think I'm hearing
17 expressed.

18 And I don't know if there's a way.

19 Let me just put it this way. We would not go
20 and put in a label, Don't use this for ADHD.
21 I mean, we can't start doing that. It's not
22 what we would do.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 If there were some way of
2 enhancing, you know, the do not use any other
3 way -- I can't think of, Tom -- then you
4 already put in here. You've said if you're
5 going to use it long term, you really need to
6 reassess it and they'll fix the difference
7 that was brought up for that, but don't use
8 it.

9 I guess the question I'm hearing is
10 is there a way to say if you're using it for
11 anything other than the indications, you need
12 to somehow reassess what you're doing. You
13 know, I don't know if --

14 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Can I suggest
15 a sentence and then you tell me if it would be
16 reasonable or not? You know, I'm not asking
17 the agency to step outside its bounds.

18 But would it be reasonable to say
19 caution should be taken and careful
20 consideration of risk of known side effects
21 with perceived benefit in any off label use?
22 Something like that on that first page where

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 it's --

2 DR. MURPHY: Well, I'm sure I can
3 tell you right now --

4 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: That won't
5 work?

6 DR. MURPHY: -- the lawyers would
7 not let us do that, and they always get upset
8 when we physicians start to practice law.
9 But, I mean, there's no way they would allow
10 us to put something about off label use.

11 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Well, I guess
12 we do have other ways that we can bring to
13 light concerns about off label use of any
14 medication and the kind of increasing
15 prevalence that we see with this one.

16 We do have other people who would
17 like to comment on this. Are these new
18 comments or are they reinforcing?

19 DR. DURE: Well, I was asked for
20 any suggestions, and that was a while ago, but
21 I mean, under the use in special populations,
22 the only movement disorder you mentioned is

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 tardive dyskinesia, which almost never gets
2 described, yet 20 percent of children in the
3 pediatric studies have some combination of a
4 movement disorder, distonia, akethisia, et
5 cetera.

6 I mean, I would echo that that's
7 inadequate because they can be serious side
8 effects, and I would also take issue. I mean,
9 again, I've heard this, that the FDA does not
10 regulate the practice of medicine, and I'm not
11 suggesting a black box warning, but that is
12 what is done.

13 And so I think this Committee is a
14 little frustrated because we are trying to
15 figure out a way that we can accommodate this
16 concern of ours, and it's a well founded
17 concern that we have.

18 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: We do need to
19 take a vote on this question. Can you put the
20 question back up on the screen?

21 DR. MURPHY: And, Marsha, at the
22 end would you summarize the recommendations of

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 the Committee because that's the thing we're
2 supposed to get from this Committee.

3 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Yes, I will
4 try to do so, and you all can monitor that.

5 Dr. Notterman is very much wanting
6 to make another comment. So one last comment.

7 DR. NOTTERMAN: I just wanted to
8 ask a process question. It seems to me that
9 part of the concern is that what actually is
10 subsumed under or within the penumbrae of
11 attentional deficit disorder and other
12 emotional diseases of childhood and all
13 others, what's subsumed under that makes many
14 of us uncomfortable. It may be that there's a
15 large nucleus of labeled indications or at
16 least serious illness that's subsumed there,
17 and that would at least make me more
18 comfortable in evaluating the serious nature
19 of these side effects, particularly the
20 extrapyramidal reactions and metabolic burden
21 and perhaps the cardiac toxicity.

22 So is it possible for the agency to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 learn more about the actual prescribing
2 practices over the next year or so and then
3 report back to us and other committees?

4 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: So you would
5 be considering followup information would be
6 important to the Committee.

7 DR. NOTTERMAN: On the actual
8 indications with more precision perhaps in a
9 prospective way.

10 DR. LAUGHREN: We can go back to
11 our colleagues in Office of Surveillance and
12 Epidemiology, the people who collect data on
13 use, and see if they can get more precise
14 about the uses and the numbers and so forth.

15 DR. MURPHY: I think that's
16 actually a very helpful way to try to move
17 forward, is to better understand that
18 population, and you heard yesterday about the
19 new databases. Some of them they really have
20 not delved into to understand their
21 functionality as well, and so we can give them
22 an opportunity, as they like to say here, to

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 maybe try out some of these new systems and
2 databases.

3 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: So the
4 Committee then needs to vote on the question
5 that one year post exclusivity was completed,
6 and the safety review did not reveal any new
7 safety concerns; that the FDA will continue
8 its standard ongoing safety monitoring for
9 oral risperidone.

10 So we need to vote on that
11 question, and then I will summarize
12 recommendations from the Committee and you can
13 edit my summary.

14 So the vote will be the FDA will
15 continue its standard ongoing safety
16 monitoring for oral risperidone. How many on
17 the Committee support that?

18 (No response.)

19 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: So I am not
20 seeing any hands raised.

21 Yes.

22 MS. CELENTO: I think the challenge

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 is that, you know, there are some of us that
2 are thinking, and more, and so how do you
3 answer yes to this question?

4 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: So would you
5 like me to summarize our recommendations first
6 before we vote? Okay.

7 So a summary then of the
8 recommendations that have arisen from our
9 discussion today is that, one, the Committee
10 would like followup information regarding
11 actual use in light of concern for extensive
12 and rapidly increasing off label use of
13 risperidone.

14 Number two, that we would express
15 concern and like to see further information
16 and further encouragement of investigation of
17 long-term effects of this medication,
18 including the metabolic syndrome, the other
19 endocrine effects, in particular,
20 hyperprolactinemia, effects on growth and
21 sexual maturation;

22 That we would also like to see

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 encouragement of further investigation and
2 whatever followup information can be gleaned
3 over the next period of time about
4 extrapyramidal side effects.

5 Additions to that summary?

6 DR. MURPHY: I just want to make
7 sure that when you said the followup for the
8 actual use, you want more than a -- I think we
9 need a little more specificity on that because
10 I want to make sure that it is addressing the
11 issue that Dr. Notterman is definite the ADHD
12 population, having more information about that
13 population.

14 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: So we would
15 like more information about how the medication
16 is actually used and for what indications it
17 is prescribed in as great detail or
18 specificity as you're able to glean from your
19 data sets.

20 DR. FARRAR: I would like to add
21 that, you know, we're going to have this same
22 discussion in just a couple of minutes.

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Well, that's
2 correct.

3 DR. FARRAR: And we'll have it
4 probably every time, and there's a bunch of
5 these drugs, and they're starting to come out.

6 Is there a mechanism to do a class of drugs
7 study where you would look at this whole class
8 of drugs with these questions in mind?

9 Because we're going to be asking
10 this question over and over again. Movement
11 disorders, metabolic diseases have all been
12 identified with, I think, all of these drugs.

13 We're seeing it a lot with risperidone now
14 just because it was the first to market and we
15 have the most data on it, but as time goes on
16 you're going to see it over and over again
17 with a lot of other drugs, and I don't know if
18 there's a mechanism for doing that or if that
19 needs to be considered as part of the
20 recommendation.

21 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: So correct me
22 if I'm wrong, but I think that would be a

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 recommendation that could go to the Best
2 Pharmaceuticals for Children's Act Committee
3 at NIH to look at investigating a class of
4 medications as a priority for the nation.

5 But for us at the FDA, we have to
6 go product by product; is that correct?

7 DR. MURPHY: Well, you know, I
8 think that's an efficient way to approach it
9 because you do know you're right, Marsha, that
10 we do have to go product by product. But when
11 you do that, you can say we're concerned about
12 the class, and that Lisa and Dr. Rodriguez who
13 works with the Committee also will make sure
14 that we bring back this as an issue to that
15 group, the NIH group, yes.

16 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Okay. So
17 then I will ask Dr. Pena to read the summary
18 that I just gave and so that we can think
19 about it again before we vote.

20 DR. PENA: Okay. So PAC would like
21 followup on extensive off label use. It would
22 like further information on long-term effects

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 for this medication on metabolic syndrome
2 growth, sexual maturation; would like a
3 followup report on extrapyramidal side
4 effects; would like more information on its
5 use in prescribing information; and recommends
6 potentially a class of medications review at a
7 followup meeting.

8 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: And I would
9 add specifically hypoprolactinemia under the
10 area where you say sexual maturation and
11 growth.

12 Yes.

13 DR. KOCIS: One other thing.
14 Yesterday we learned about some of the new
15 databases that allow for looking not only at
16 single drug use but combination drug use. I
17 don't know if those databases are up and
18 running in such a fashion that we can also
19 glean some look at concomitant multiples.
20 You've heard SSRIs, antidepressives, even some
21 of the hyperglycemic agents and stuff.

22 But I think that would also be an

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 interesting question.

2 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Dr. Pena just
3 added that. So thank you.

4 So given that that will be
5 recommendations of this Committee to the
6 agency, we now also need to vote on the
7 question of FDA. So the statement is FDA will
8 continue its standard ongoing safety
9 monitoring for oral risperidone.

10 I'm sorry?

11 And the additional items that we
12 described in that summary, yes. Discussion?

13 DR. NOTTERMAN: I'm not sure.
14 Perhaps you can enlighten me. The continuing
15 of standard ongoing safety and taking under
16 consideration these extensive recommendations
17 are compatible statements

18 DR. MURPHY: I guess I'm sitting
19 here thinking I think you said no. I think
20 you've said we think there are additional
21 pieces of information that we would like to
22 have, and what we have to --

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Excuse me.
2 How about in addition to standard ongoing
3 safety monitoring?

4 DR. GOLDSTEIN: Or you could just
5 say you expand its standard ongoing safety
6 monitoring for oral risperidone and then to
7 include the following.

8 DR. MURPHY: Well, what this is
9 saying is that there's really nothing more
10 that you want. Okay. That's what this is
11 saying.

12 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: And we don't
13 agree with that. That's correct.

14 DR. MURPHY: I know you're not
15 agreeing with that statement.

16 CHAIRPERSON RAPPLEY: Yes.

17 DR. MURPHY: Okay. You're saying
18 that we're not finished with looking at the
19 adverse effects of these products,
20 particularly this product, in the pediatric
21 population. We have additional concerns. We
22 understand the agency can't require some of

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701