

---

# **Guidance for Industry**

## **Clinical Lactation Studies – Study Design, Data Analysis, and Recommendations for Labeling**

### ***DRAFT GUIDANCE***

**This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.**

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 60 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit comments to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document contact (CDER) Kathleen Uhl 301-443-5157, or (CBER) Toni M. Stifano at 301-827-6190.

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  
Food and Drug Administration  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)  
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)**

**February 2005  
Clinical Pharmacology**

---

# Guidance for Industry

## Clinical Lactation Studies – Study Design, Data Analysis, and Recommendations for Labeling

*Additional copies are available from:*

*Office of Training and Communications  
Division of Drug Information, HFD-240  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research  
Food and Drug Administration  
5600 Fishers Lane  
Rockville, MD 20857  
(Tel) 301-827-4573  
<http://www.fda.gov/cder/guidance/index.htm>*

*or*

*Office of Communication, Training and  
Manufacturers Assistance, HFM-40  
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research  
Food and Drug Administration  
1401 Rockville Pike  
Rockville, MD 20852-1448  
(Tel) 800-835-4709 or 301-827-1800  
<http://www.fda.gov/cber/guidelines.htm>*

**U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  
Food and Drug Administration  
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)  
Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER)**

**February 2005  
Clinical Pharmacology**

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>I.</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II.</b>	<b>BACKGROUND .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>III.</b>	<b>CONSIDERATIONS FOR WHEN TO CONDUCT A CLINICAL LACTATION STUDY .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>IV.</b>	<b>STUDY DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>A.</b>	<b>Mother-Infant Pair Design.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>B.</b>	<b>Lactating Women Only Designs .....</b>	<b>6</b>
1.	<i>Lactating Women (Plasma and Milk) .....</i>	<i>6</i>
2.	<i>Lactating Women (Milk Only) .....</i>	<i>7</i>
<b>C.</b>	<b>Other Design Considerations .....</b>	<b>7</b>
1.	<i>Longitudinal Design .....</i>	<i>7</i>
2.	<i>Multiple Arm Design.....</i>	<i>8</i>
3.	<i>Study Participants.....</i>	<i>8</i>
4.	<i>Controls .....</i>	<i>8</i>
5.	<i>Sample Size .....</i>	<i>9</i>
6.	<i>Sample Collection and Analysis.....</i>	<i>9</i>
7.	<i>Population PK Studies .....</i>	<i>10</i>
8.	<i>Pharmacodynamic Assessments.....</i>	<i>10</i>
<b>V.</b>	<b>DATA ANALYSIS .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>A.</b>	<b>Parameter Estimation.....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>B.</b>	<b>Development of Dosing Recommendations for Lactating Women.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>C.</b>	<b>Development of Recommendations to Minimize Infant Drug Exposure from Breast Milk</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>VI.</b>	<b>LABELING .....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>A.</b>	<b>Clinical Pharmacology.....</b>	<b>15</b>
1.	<i>Pharmacokinetics Subsection .....</i>	<i>15</i>
2.	<i>Special Populations Subsection .....</i>	<i>15</i>
<b>B.</b>	<b>Precautions/Nursing Mothers .....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>C.</b>	<b>Dosage and Administration.....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>VII.</b>	<b>CONSIDERATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>17</b>
	<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY.....</b>	<b>18</b>



## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

35 This guidance will be most helpful when used in conjunction with other pharmacological and  
36 clinical literature on the design, conduct, and interpretation of PK studies. Because studies in  
37 lactating patients and their breast-fed children require specialized knowledge in a variety of  
38 areas, the investigators preparing to design and conduct such studies are encouraged to obtain  
39 advice from experts in specific fields (e.g., pediatrics, obstetrics, pharmacology, clinical  
40 pharmacology, pharmacometrics, statistics).

41  
42 FDA's guidance documents, including this guidance, do not establish legally enforceable  
43 responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should  
44 be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are  
45 cited. The use of the word *should* in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or  
46 recommended, but not required.

47  
48

## 49 **II. BACKGROUND**

50

51 Breast milk is widely acknowledged to be the most complete form of nutrition for infants and to  
52 include a range of health benefits for breast-feeding women and infants. Accumulated data  
53 support the benefits of breast milk for infants including growth, immunity, and development.  
54 Specific data show decreased incidence and severity of diarrhea, respiratory infections, and ear  
55 infections. Maternal benefits of breast-feeding include reduction in postpartum bleeding, earlier  
56 return to prepregnancy weight, reduced risk of premenopausal breast cancer, and reduced risk of  
57 osteoporosis (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) 2000). The DHHS  
58 sponsored Healthy People 2010 Initiative targets to increase the percentage of mothers who  
59 breast-feed from the current rate to 75 percent in the early postpartum period, 50 percent at 6  
60 months, and 15 percent at 1 year (DHHS Services 2000). The American Academy of Pediatrics  
61 (AAP) recommends that all new mothers who are able should breast-feed until the child reaches  
62 1 year of age. A recent survey reports that 69.5 percent of women in the United States initiate  
63 breast-feeding and 32.5 percent continue to breast-feed their infants to 6 months of age,  
64 reflecting the highest percentage in recent history of women in the United States choosing to  
65 breast-feed (Ryan 2002). The AAP considers breast-feeding to be the ideal method of feeding  
66 and nurturing infants (AAP Work Group on Breastfeeding 1997).

67

68 It is highly likely that a woman will need and take medications while she is breast-feeding,  
69 potentially exposing her child to the effects of these medications. Surveys in various countries  
70 indicate that 90-99 percent of nursing mothers receive a medication during the first week  
71 postpartum, 17-25 percent of nursing mothers will take medication by 4 months postpartum and  
72 5 percent of nursing mothers receive long-term drug therapy (Bennett 1988).

73

74 The presence of a drug in breast milk does not necessarily indicate a health risk for the breast-fed  
75 child. Detecting the presence or absence of the drug in milk is only the first step in determining  
76 risk. For most drugs, little scientific information is available about the extent of their passage  
77 into breast milk, their effects on milk production, their effects on the breast-fed infant, or  
78 whether a dose adjustment is needed to treat a lactating woman. Therefore, breast-feeding  
79 women and their health care providers must make decisions regarding treatment of maternal

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

80 medical conditions in the absence of data. In some cases, this can result in a decision to stop  
81 breast-feeding to take needed drug therapy, unnecessarily eliminating the benefits of breast-  
82 feeding for mothers and their infants. The AAP has tried to fill the information void regarding  
83 infant safety by issuing consensus documents on the use of drugs in lactation or breast-feeding  
84 women (AAP 1989, 1994; AAP Committee on Drugs 2001), but data upon which to make these  
85 assessments is sparse. Clinical lactation studies would provide much needed additional data on  
86 which to base treatment decisions.

87  
88 Since data on dosing lactating women are rarely available, most clinicians treat lactating women  
89 with the dose studied in and recommended for nonpregnant adults. This practice disregards the  
90 impact of the physiologic changes that occur during lactation and the effects of additional breast  
91 and milk compartments. A variety of potential differences in PK might be important in the  
92 postpartum and lactating periods, including differences caused by endogenous hormonal  
93 changes, altered body fat proportion, and changes in weight or muscle mass.

94  
95 Most studies of drugs and breast-feeding focus on health risks for the nursing infant, not the  
96 mother. Even when studies collect drug concentrations in maternal serum and breast milk,  
97 individual PK is not often characterized, and customary PK parameters (e.g., clearance, half-life)  
98 are not reported. Some studies focus on the detection of drug in infant serum compared with  
99 maternal serum or milk at a single point in time, but they rarely include comparisons to the non-  
100 lactating state or control groups. Most studies do not account for changes in serum protein  
101 concentrations and unbound drug in serum, as well as other physiological changes in the early  
102 postpartum period, that can affect maternal PK and contribute to variability among data from  
103 lactating women (Fleishaker 1989).

104  
105 Many studies of drugs in breast milk are performed only during the first few postnatal days, or  
106 they fail to define when samples were obtained or whether milk samples were drawn from  
107 *foremilk*, milk obtained at the onset of feeding or manual expression, or *hindmilk*, milk obtained  
108 at the end of feeding or expression. Human milk fat and protein content change dramatically in  
109 the first several weeks postpartum (Hibberd 1982). Breast milk is high in lipid and has a pH that  
110 is more acidic than plasma and varies in content by stage of lactation, the time of expression, and  
111 diurnally (Neville 2001). Colostrum has a lower fat content and smaller volume relative to  
112 mature milk. Foremilk is more aqueous with a lower fat content relative to hindmilk. Because  
113 of these variations and their potential to alter the effects of drugs during lactation, it is  
114 recommended that studies be designed and conducted to capture data that takes these variations  
115 into account.

116  
117 Experts in environmental health have substantial experience in assessing chemical exposures  
118 through breast milk. The World Health Organization (WHO) European Centre for Environment  
119 and Health has been involved with monitoring environmental exposures via studies on levels of  
120 chemicals in human milk, particularly polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), polychlorinated  
121 dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs), and polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs) (WHO 1989). A  
122 WHO Working Group has also published guidelines for studies on the passage of drugs into  
123 breast milk (Bennett 1988, 1996). A 2001 Expert Panel Workshop on Breast Milk Monitoring  
124 for Environmental Chemicals in the United States sponsored by the Milton S. Hershey Medical

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft — Not for Implementation*

125 Center made recommendations on the methods for obtaining human milk, detecting chemicals in  
126 those samples, and interpreting and communicating the results of such surveillance and research  
127 (Berlin 2002). Some of these methodologies used by the environmental health community are  
128 applicable to assessing exposures to pharmaceuticals in breast milk. The environmental health  
129 model can also be useful when designing clinical lactation studies.

130

131 The consistent application of adequate study designs as described in this guidance would  
132 improve the quality and quantity of data available regarding lactation and assist patients and  
133 health care providers in making decisions about the use of drugs in lactating women.

134

135

### 136 **III. CONSIDERATIONS FOR WHEN TO CONDUCT A CLINICAL LACTATION** 137 **STUDY**

138

139 Circumstances for which the Agency recommends clinical studies in lactating women be done  
140 include:

141

- 142 • A drug under review for approval is expected to be used by women of reproductive age
- 143 • After approval, use of a drug in lactating women becomes evident (e.g., via reports in the  
144 medical literature or lay press)
- 145 • A new indication is being sought for an approved drug and there is evidence of use or  
146 anticipated use of the drug by lactating women
- 147 • Marketed medications that are commonly used by women of reproductive age (e.g.,  
148 antidepressants, antihypertensives, anti-infectives, diabetic and pain medications)

149

150 If a drug is not used in lactating women or women of reproductive age, then clinical studies in  
151 lactation are usually not needed.

152

153 Information on experiences and exposure in lactating women will emerge after approval during  
154 marketing for virtually all drug products, and sponsors should send information about such  
155 experience to the FDA on a routine basis. The International Conference on Harmonisation  
156 guidance for industry *E2C Clinical Safety Data Management: Periodic Safety Update Reports*  
157 *for Marketed Drugs* lists “positive or negative experiences during pregnancy or lactation” as one  
158 safety issue to be explicitly addressed in the Overall Safety Evaluation section of the Periodic  
159 Safety Update Report.

160

161 Other sources of information that can help determine whether to conduct clinical lactation  
162 studies or which study design to use include (1) publications of safety or efficacy data in  
163 lactating women or safety in breast-fed children via exposure to drugs in breast milk, including  
164 case reports describing use of a drug in this population, (2) publications on the effects in breast-  
165 fed children of maternal ingestion of a drug, and (3) information from medical specialty groups  
166 (e.g., consensus documents or opinion papers). Even when use is expected to be rare (e.g., with  
167 rare diseases such as multiple sclerosis or infrequent use such as vaccines or radioimaging  
168 agents), it is advisable to conduct lactation studies if there is concern that the consequences of  
169 uninformed dosages are potentially great.

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

170

171 The applicability and predictability of nonclinical models (e.g., predictions of drug transfer or  
172 milk/plasma (M/P) ratios using physicochemical properties of the drug) are still under  
173 consideration, but these models do not help in deciding whether to conduct a study in lactating  
174 women.

175

176

### 177 **IV. STUDY DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS**

178

179 The clinical question at hand will determine whether a study of breast milk only, breast milk and  
180 maternal PK, or these components plus the infant are warranted. The latter, mother-infant pair  
181 studies (1) characterize the PK of the drug in lactating women, (2) measure the amount of parent  
182 compound and metabolites transferred into breast milk over the dosing interval, and (3) assess  
183 drug exposure in the breast-fed child via breast milk. In addition, depending on the study's  
184 primary objective:

185

186 • A study of lactating women (plasma and milk) or lactating women (milk only) would be  
187 performed before a mother-infant pair study.

188 • Data from studies in lactating women coupled with what is known about a drug in the  
189 pediatric population can supplant the need for further lactation studies in the breast-fed  
190 child.

191 • Any of these strategies could potentially provide data on the extent of drug transfer into  
192 breast milk, effect on milk production, and milk composition (e.g., volume, fat, protein,  
193 immunologic characteristics).

194

195 Regardless of the design chosen, for drugs that are used chronically, the Agency recommends  
196 that subjects be studied at steady state. However, for drugs that do not accumulate with chronic  
197 dosing, a single-dose study might be sufficient. For drugs that are used to treat acute medical  
198 conditions, a single-dose study might be sufficient.

199

200 It is possible to nest clinical lactation studies within a larger clinical study on safety or efficacy  
201 outcomes or in combination with the postpartum assessment of the effects of pregnancy on the  
202 PK and/or PD of a drug. Data obtained from single-dose studies are useful and might be  
203 considered more acceptable to volunteers and aid in recruitment. Ultimately, standard  
204 therapeutic practice (e.g., dose, frequency, and route of administration) is an important  
205 consideration in deciding which study design is rational for the drug in question.

206

#### 207 **A. Mother-Infant Pair Design**

208

209 The mother-infant pair design allows for data collection in one study to potentially:

210

211 • Determine the PK of the drug in lactating women

212 • Determine the amount of drug transferred into breast milk

213 • Show effects of drug on milk production and composition

214 • Assess drug exposure and PD in the breast-fed child



## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

215  
216 Such a study usually enrolls mother-infant pairs who are planning to or are currently receiving  
217 study medication. Its hallmark is the frequent collection of corresponding maternal blood and  
218 milk samples as well as sampling of infant blood and/or urine. Infant sampling provides  
219 information regarding the fraction of drug that is systemically available to the breast-fed child.  
220 Total clearance of the drug or metabolite by the breast-fed child can be estimated as well.

221  
222 If possible, the Agency encourages PD endpoints for the breast-fed child to be incorporated into  
223 the study. PD effects would be directly related to the drug, including extension of the  
224 pharmacologic effect or known adverse effects, and be measured objectively (e.g., blood  
225 glucose, platelet viscosity).<sup>4</sup> Data collected in mother-infant pair studies allow for determination  
226 of the concentration-time profiles and subsequent PK estimates from maternal blood and/or  
227 plasma, breast milk, and infant samples.

228  
229 This design can be considered if information is already known about the extent of drug transfer  
230 into breast milk, but the amount absorbed by the breast-fed child is not known. Other drugs that  
231 can be considered for a mother-infant pair design include drugs already approved and known to  
232 be used by lactating women who continue to breast-feed and drugs used to treat chronic maternal  
233 conditions. Drug or metabolite characteristics that favor selection of this study design include:

- 234  
235
- High lipophilicity (weak bases)
  - Potential for accumulation in breast milk
  - Likelihood of being well absorbed by the breast-fed child
  - Wide distribution to multiple organs
  - Long half-life
- 236  
237  
238  
239

### **B. Lactating Women Only Designs**

240  
241

#### *1. Lactating Women (Plasma and Milk)*

242  
243  
244

245 The *lactating women (plasma and milk)* study design provides data on the PK of a drug in  
246 lactating women, the amount of drug transferred into breast milk, and effects of a drug on milk  
247 production and composition. Infant sampling is not performed in this type of study; therefore,  
248 the systemic exposure of the infant cannot be measured (although *total dose* can be estimated).  
249 Data allow for determination of the concentration-time profiles and subsequent PK calculations  
250 from maternal blood and milk. This design enrolls lactating women and includes frequent  
251 collection of corresponding maternal blood and milk samples. Study subjects include lactating  
252 women who are planning to receive or are currently receiving study medication, lactating women  
253 who need the study medication and will interrupt breast-feeding their infant, and/or healthy  
254 lactating volunteers.  
255

---

<sup>4</sup> Guidance for Industry *Exposure-Response Relationships: Study Design, Data Analysis, and Regulatory Applications*.

## ***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

256 In a sequential or step-wise approach to lactation studies, the *lactating women (plasma and milk)*  
257 study design might be considered before the infant is exposed to drug via breast milk in a more  
258 complex study. Situations that might favor use of this design include newly approved drugs  
259 (especially for drugs with no pediatric data), short-term or acute maternal dosing, and unknown  
260 risk of exposure to the breast-fed child. Drug and metabolite characteristics that favor selection  
261 of this study design include:

262

- 263 • High lipophilicity (weak bases)
- 264 • Presence in milk
- 265 • Predictions that drug is present in milk
- 266 • Knowledge of a class effect

267

### 268 2. *Lactating Women (Milk Only)*

269

270 The *lactating women (milk only)* study design enrolls lactating women and includes frequent  
271 maternal milk samples throughout the dosing interval, a specific time period (e.g., a 24-hour  
272 period), or the entire time course of lactating (e.g., months). This study design allows the  
273 detection of the presence of a drug in milk. It can also be useful to estimate ways to assess  
274 strategies to minimize exposure of the breast-fed child to a drug. Such data can be especially  
275 useful for drugs with short half-lives or those associated with sporadic or intermittent use (e.g.,  
276 migraine therapy). For example:

277

- 278 • *Milk only* studies can provide information regarding timing of maternal dose relative to  
279 breast-feeding, the duration recommended to discard milk relative to maternal dose, and  
280 when to resume breast-feeding relative to maternal dose or drug exposure.
- 281 • A finding that showed the amount of drug in breast milk to be exceedingly low could  
282 preclude the need for further studies depending on the drug and its clinical use and  
283 toxicity.
- 284 • This study design could examine the effect of drug on milk production and composition.

285

286 Adequately designed studies would address baseline characteristics and diurnal variation  
287 including control group and run-in or lead-in periods prior to drug administration.

288

## 289 **C. Other Design Considerations**

290

### 291 1. *Longitudinal Design*

292

293 For drugs that are administered chronically or given for several treatment cycles, a longitudinal  
294 study design can be considered. Such a study would focus on comparing samples obtained from  
295 lactating patients at one postpartum time (e.g., 2-3 months postpartum) to samples obtained from  
296 the same patients at a different postpartum time (e.g., 5-6 months) and/or after weaning is  
297 complete. Each woman serves as her own control. The post-weaning sampling determines  
298 maternal PK and/or PD characteristics from serum sampling only and can capture information at  
299 similar times after weaning in all study subjects (e.g., 1 month post-weaning). This longitudinal  
300 design would minimize inter-individual variability across the postpartum period. Infant

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft — Not for Implementation*

301 sampling might also be included in a longitudinal study design (e.g., infant sampling in a  
302 longitudinal design might assess infant exposure to drug via breast milk over time in drugs  
303 chronically taken by lactating women). Longitudinal infant sampling could assess changes in  
304 drug absorption and clearance as well as PD effects at different stages of pediatric development.  
305 The Agency encourages that an analytical plan of the study take into consideration the repeat  
306 measures characteristics of a longitudinal design.

307

#### 308 2. *Multiple Arm Design*

309

310 For drugs that are given acutely (e.g., single dose or short course of therapy), it is generally  
311 difficult to perform a longitudinal design using the same patient throughout lactation. One  
312 alternative is to conduct a multiple arm study designed to compare different lactating patients at  
313 different postpartum times (e.g., a sample of women each at 2-3 months and 5-6 months  
314 postpartum). Each woman serves as her own control and has PK and/or PD determinations  
315 performed after weaning is complete.

316

317 In certain circumstances drug therapy is no longer clinically indicated later in the postpartum  
318 period or when weaning is complete. If possible a single-dose PK/PD study can be performed to  
319 allow each woman to serve as her own control. This applies to drugs that possess linear PK. If it  
320 is impossible to administer drug in the same women (study population), then an additional arm  
321 of the study using a different population of postpartum women (appropriately matched healthy  
322 female volunteers, as a last resort) would be included.

323

#### 324 3. *Study Participants*

325

326 Optimally, study participants represent a typical patient population, including race and ethnicity,  
327 for the drug to be studied. Maternal factors with significant potential to affect lactation (e.g.,  
328 weight, gravity, parity, stage of lactation, postpartum status, and episodes and duration of  
329 previous lactation) and the PK of a drug to be studied (e.g., diet, smoking, alcohol intake,  
330 concomitant medications, ethnicity, other medical conditions) are important considerations.  
331 Infant factors (e.g., age, term versus preterm neonates, extent of breast-feeding, and age-related  
332 changes in absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion) also might warrant special  
333 consideration, depending on the drug. It is important to apply uniform diagnostic factors to all  
334 patients enrolled in the study to ensure uniformity of diagnosis of the condition for which  
335 treatment is being given and to reduce disease-specific variability in PK.

336

337 For drugs that are hepatically metabolized and known to exhibit genetic polymorphism (e.g.,  
338 CYP2D6 or CYP2C19), the metabolic status of the enrolled subjects (maternal and infant) can be  
339 important factors when analyzing the results of the study.

340

#### 341 4. *Controls*

342

343 Ideally the lactating woman would serve as her own control, for example, by undergoing PK  
344 assessment(s) during lactation and again after weaning is complete. For PK/PD studies,  
345 potential control groups include healthy non-lactating female volunteers or non-lactating female

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft — Not for Implementation*

346 volunteers with the medical condition of interest. Studies that evaluate the effect of drug on milk  
347 production and composition could include lactating female volunteers who are not using the test  
348 drug or, preferably, lactating female volunteers who have the medical condition of interest. If  
349 female volunteers are used as controls, the Agency recommends matching them to study subjects  
350 (e.g., postpartum status, age) and identifying time windows (e.g., 3-4 months postpartum) to  
351 account for variability in physiologic postpartum changes. The Agency recommends that the  
352 study protocol provide the rationale for the make-up of the control group selected.

#### 353 354 5. *Sample Size* 355

356 Determination of an adequate sample size depends on the objective and design of a study. For a  
357 study that examines plasma PK in the mother or lactating woman, the Agency recommends that  
358 the number of patients enrolled in the study be sufficient to detect clinically significant  
359 differences (e.g., PK differences large enough to warrant dosage adjustments). The PK  
360 variability of the drug as well as the PK and PD relationships for both therapeutic and adverse  
361 responses (therapeutic range) would inform this decision. Sample size considerations include  
362 PK and PD variability for the drug being studied, the study design (i.e., single-dose vs. multiple-  
363 dose), and the variability in lactation physiology. Inter- and intra-subject variability for mother  
364 and breast-fed child can be considered depending on the design and primary objective of the  
365 study. For a population PK approach, sparse sampling with a larger number of subjects might be  
366 considered if patients sufficiently span the postpartum time periods of interest.<sup>5</sup>  
367

368 The final number of patients enrolled would likely be in excess of the number originally  
369 calculated by standard sample size calculations to take into account dropouts and subsequent  
370 exclusion from the study, especially for longitudinal study designs. The institution conducting  
371 the study can rely on past experience to aid in understanding the usual number of patients who  
372 complete a clinical lactation study, given dropouts or other issues.

373  
374 Since *milk only* studies are more exploratory in nature, a minimum of 6-8 subjects can be  
375 sufficient.

#### 376 377 6. *Sample Collection and Analysis* 378

379 The Agency recommends that the frequency and duration of sampling be sufficient to accurately  
380 detect the outcome selected (e.g., estimate the relevant PK parameters for the parent drug and  
381 metabolites — see Section V., Data Analysis). It is important to collect samples to characterize  
382 the complete dosing interval; each breast would be completely emptied and the volume of milk  
383 recorded. An electric milk pump is recommended since milk composition can vary with the  
384 method used. Separate collection containers would be used for each milk collection. Separate  
385 milk samples obtained within each collection interval might be pooled (e.g., 4-8 hour post-  
386 dosing) and an aliquot removed for analysis. Multiple collection time intervals of milk would  
387 permit the full milk concentration-time profile and subsequent estimation of PK parameters in

---

<sup>5</sup> Guidance for Industry *Population Pharmacokinetics*.

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft — Not for Implementation*

388 milk. It is recommended that the protocol specify instructions for sample handling, especially  
389 for milk samples (e.g., methods to minimize contamination).

390  
391 The Agency recommends that milk be completely expressed from each breast, mixed, and a  
392 sample removed for analysis. For *mother-infant pair* studies, the infant can be bottle-fed  
393 expressed milk. Infant milk consumption can be determined by measuring the volume of  
394 expressed breast milk consumed or, alternatively, by weighing the infant before and after  
395 feeding. Weighing the infant before and after feeding can be a more accurate method of  
396 determining milk consumption because it accounts for any milk volume lost via dribbling,  
397 drooling, and burping the breast-fed infant. This post-feeding weight accounts for any infant  
398 voiding (e.g., urine, stool) that occurred during feeding. For characterization of the terminal  
399 elimination phase of the drug in the breast-fed child, previously collected drug-free breast milk  
400 or formula can be substituted at subsequent feedings.

401  
402 The Agency recommends that total and unbound concentrations of drug and metabolites in  
403 plasma be determined; for other biological matrices (e.g., breast milk) total concentrations of  
404 drug and metabolites are likely sufficient. It is important that method validation address  
405 accuracy, precision, selectivity, sensitivity, reproducibility, and stability.<sup>6</sup> Because of varying  
406 lipophilicity among drugs, it is also important to assay milk samples for milk fat.

407  
408 Alternative, noninvasive pediatric sampling strategies (e.g., saliva, tears) might also be used to  
409 estimate drug levels in infants. However, drug concentrations obtained from alternative fluids  
410 (e.g., saliva, tears) might not be equivalent to those obtained from plasma. Sponsors are,  
411 therefore, encouraged to demonstrate the relationship of the drug concentration between plasma  
412 and alternative fluids in adults. Estimating infant drug exposure via breast milk solely from  
413 excretion of unchanged drug in infant urine can be of limited utility because of the difficulty  
414 with urine collection and the variability of renal clearance and urine production in infants.

#### 415 416 7. *Population PK Studies*

417  
418 A population PK approach is a possible alternative way to enroll lactating women (and breast-  
419 fed children) in PK studies and minimize the number of blood draws and PD assessments. The  
420 population PK approach assesses the impact of various covariates on the PK of a drug. Practical  
421 difficulties in conducting a population study can limit the value of such a study. Validated  
422 sparse sampling methods based on optimal sampling theory and limited sampling methods are  
423 useful in determining the optimal sampling times to best estimate PK parameters. Further  
424 information on this approach is available in Agency guidance.<sup>7</sup>

#### 425 426 8. *Pharmacodynamic Assessments*

427  
428 Whenever appropriate, the Agency encourages PD assessment to be included in clinical lactation  
429 studies and discussed with the appropriate FDA review staff. Given the assumption of an

---

<sup>6</sup> Guidance for Industry *Bioanalytical Method Validation*.

<sup>7</sup> Guidance for Industry *Population Pharmacokinetics*.

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

430 unaltered PK/PD relationship, PK measurements alone would generally be recommended for  
431 lactation studies, although PD studies might sometimes provide additional useful information. If  
432 studied, the PD endpoints chosen can be based on the pharmacological characteristics of the  
433 parent drug and metabolites (e.g., extent of protein binding, therapeutic range, and the behavior  
434 of other drugs in the same class in lactating patients). Similarly, biomarkers might be used to  
435 measure PD endpoints of interest. PD assessments in the breast-fed child can also be considered  
436 (e.g., heart rate and rhythm response to maternal administration of drug).

437

438

### 439 **V. DATA ANALYSIS**

440

441 The primary intent of the data analysis is to estimate or assess the clinical impact of drug use by  
442 lactating women. The categorization of stage of lactation (or weeks postpartum) might direct the  
443 type of analysis performed. Special analytical considerations are important for longitudinal  
444 study designs and the baseline comparisons; however, data analysis typically consists of the  
445 following steps:

446

- 447 • Estimation of PK parameters in maternal serum/plasma, breast milk, and the breast-fed  
448 child
- 449 • Comparison of PK parameters in the lactating women to those in non-lactating women
- 450 • Estimation or measures of exposure of the drug in the breast-fed child
- 451 • Estimation of alterations of breast milk (e.g., production and composition) and the  
452 resulting impact on the breast-fed child
- 453 • Development of dosing recommendations including an assessment of whether dosage  
454 adjustment is warranted in lactating patients
- 455 • Estimation of ways to minimize exposure of the breast-fed child to drug via breast milk  
456 (e.g., timing of maternal dose relative to breast-feeding, recommended duration to discard  
457 milk relative to maternal dose, resumption of breast-feeding relative to maternal dose or  
458 drug exposure)

459

#### 460 **A. Parameter Estimation**

461

462 The Agency recommends that total and unbound plasma and milk concentration data (and  
463 urinary excretion data, if collected) be used to estimate PK parameters of the parent drug and  
464 metabolites. Maternal plasma PK parameter estimates might include: the area under the plasma  
465 concentration curve (AUC<sub>p</sub>; AUC<sub>0-t</sub> or AUC<sub>0-∞</sub> in single-dose studies and AUC<sub>0-τ</sub> at steady state),  
466 peak plasma concentration (C<sub>max</sub>), time to peak plasma concentration (t<sub>max</sub>), plasma clearance  
467 (CL<sub>T</sub>) or apparent oral clearance (CL/F), apparent volume of distribution (V<sub>Z</sub>/F or V<sub>ss</sub>/F), and  
468 terminal half-life (t<sub>1/2</sub>). The Agency recommends that the area under the milk concentration-time  
469 curve over 24 hours (AUC<sub>m</sub>; AUC<sub>0-24</sub>) be calculated. PK parameters would be expressed in  
470 terms of total and unbound concentrations. For drugs and metabolites with a relatively low  
471 extent of plasma protein binding (e.g., extent of binding less than 80 percent), description and  
472 analysis of the PK in terms of total concentrations is recommended. Infant PK parameter  
473 estimates can be obtained, as appropriate. The PK parameters of metabolites in maternal plasma,  
474 in breast milk, and ingested by the breast-fed child can be estimated. If the samples (e.g.,

## Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

475 number, volume) obtained from the breast-fed child do not permit determination of total and  
476 unbound concentrations, the average fraction of drug bound would then be determined.  
477 Noncompartmental and/or compartmental modeling approaches to parameter estimation are  
478 recommended.

479  
480 The amount of drug or metabolite consumed in a day by the breast-fed infant, the infant dosage,  
481 can be determined:

482  
483 Daily Infant Dosage (mg/day) = total drug excreted in milk and consumed by the infant  
484 per day.

485  
486 Theoretically, any time frame could be chosen (e.g., dosing interval); however, it is likely easier  
487 to interpret daily dosage information.

488  
489 The Agency recommends that the infant dosage be calculated by summing the product of drug  
490 concentration times the volume of milk for each sample time:

491  
492 Daily Infant Dosage (mg/day) =  $\Sigma$  (total drug concentration in each milk collection  $\times$   
493 expressed milk volume in each milk collection)

494  
495 **EXAMPLE: Daily infant dosage**  
496 The data in the table below reflect milk collected for 24 hours with the following drug  
497 concentrations and volumes for each sampling interval.

Sample Collection Interval (hrs)	Milk Drug	Milk Volume	Drug in Milk ( $\mu\text{g}$ )
	Concentration ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ )	Expressed (mL)	
0-4	0.27	98	26.46
4-8	0.24	146	35.04
8-12	0.16	125	20.0
12-16	0.022	110	2.42
16-24	0.008	245	1.96

498  
499 In the example above, the Daily Infant Dosage is equal to 85.88 $\mu\text{g}$  or 0.086 mg/day

500  
501 Alternatively, the infant daily dose might be estimated with the following equation:

502  
503 Estimated Daily Infant Dosage (mg/kg/day) = M/P  $\times$  average maternal serum  
504 concentration  $\times$  150 mL/kg/day

505  
506 In this case M/P (milk-to-plasma ratio) is the ratio of  $\text{AUC}_{\text{milk}}$  to  $\text{AUC}_{\text{plasma}}$ . The average  
507 maternal serum concentration refers to  $\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}/\text{dosing interval}$  after maternal ingestion of a  
508 single dose of drug or  $\text{AUC}_{0-\tau}/\text{dosing interval}$  at steady state during chronic maternal dosing  
509 (Bennett 1988, 1996). When using this approach to estimate daily infant dosage, the AUC is  
510 either the AUC from time zero to infinity ( $\text{AUC}_{0-\infty}$ ) after maternal ingestion of a single dose of

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

### *Draft — Not for Implementation*

511 drug or the AUC within a dosing interval ( $AUC_{0-\tau}$ ) at steady state during chronic maternal  
512 dosing.

513  
514 Calculation of the M/P ratio from single paired maternal milk and plasma concentrations  
515 obtained at one sampling time is not recommended. The M/P ratio using AUCs has been shown  
516 to provide a more accurate estimate of breast milk content of drug compared to the milk to  
517 plasma ratio from isolated samples (Begg 1999; Wojhar-Horton 1996; Wilson 1985). The  
518 standardized milk consumption of 150 mL/kg/day, the mean milk intake of a fully breast-fed 2-  
519 month old infant (Begg 1999; Bennett 1988; Hagg 2000; Kristensen 1999) is used.

520  
521 The Agency recommends that the percent of the weight-adjusted maternal dose consumed in  
522 breast milk over 24 hours be calculated:

523  
524 
$$\% \text{ Maternal Dosage} = (\text{Infant Dosage (mg/kg/day)}/\text{Maternal dosage (mg/kg/day)}) \times 100$$

525  
526 Similarly, this might be calculated for a dosing interval. If the pediatric or infant dose is known  
527 (i.e., the drug is approved for pediatric use), it is possible to estimate the percent weight-adjusted  
528 pediatric dose ingested as well.

529  
530 The infant serum concentration is probably the most direct measure of infant risk from a drug  
531 received from breast milk. If infant serum data are not collected, it is possible to estimate the  
532 average infant serum concentration ( $C_{ss,ave}$ ) by:

533  
534 
$$C_{ss,ave} = F \times \text{infant dosage}/CL$$

535  
536 where F is the bioavailability and CL is the drug clearance in the infant, if these data are known  
537 for the pediatric population.

538  
539 If other methods are used to determine infant exposure to drug from breast milk, those  
540 methodologies should be comparable to those in this guidance.

#### 541 542 **B. Development of Dosing Recommendations for Lactating Women**

543  
544 If, based on studies, a dosage adjustment is important when a woman is lactating, the Agency  
545 recommends that the labeling describe the relationship between the drug's PK and lactation.  
546 Typically, the dose is adjusted to produce a comparable range of unbound plasma concentrations  
547 of drug or metabolites in both normal adult patients and lactating patients. Simulations are  
548 encouraged as a means to identify doses and dosing intervals that achieve that goal. For some  
549 drugs, lactation may not alter PK sufficiently to warrant dosage adjustment. A sponsor might  
550 make this claim by providing an analysis of the study data to show that the PK measurements  
551 most relevant to therapeutic outcome in lactating patients are similar or equivalent to those in  
552 normal adult or post-weaning patients.

553  
554 Results of the impact of lactation on the maternal PK of medical products can be reported as 90  
555 percent confidence intervals about the geometric mean ratio of the observed PK measures.



## Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

556 When an impact of lactation is clearly present (e.g., a comparison indicates twofold or greater  
557 change in systemic exposure measures), the sponsor would provide specific recommendations  
558 regarding the clinical significance of the interaction based on what is known about the dose-  
559 response and/or PK/PD relationship. This information would form the basis for reporting study  
560 results and for making recommendations in the package insert.

561  
562 The sponsor may wish to make specific claims in the package insert that no impact is expected  
563 from lactation on the PK of a medical product. In this instance it is possible for the sponsor to  
564 recommend specific *no effect* boundaries or clinical equivalence intervals for the impact of  
565 lactation on the PK of a medical product. There are two approaches to define *no effect*  
566 boundaries.

567  
568 **Approach 1:** The sponsor would recommend, prior to the conduct of the studies, specific *no*  
569 *effect* boundaries for the mean geometric ratio of  $C_{\max}$  and AUC. They might be based on  
570 population (group) average dose and/or concentration-response relationships, PK/PD models,  
571 and other available information. If the 90 percent confidence interval for the systemic exposure  
572 measurement in the lactation study falls completely within the *no effect* boundaries, the sponsor  
573 can conclude that no clinically significant impact of lactation on the PK of the medical product  
574 was present.

575  
576 **Approach 2:** In the absence of *no effect* boundaries defined above, a sponsor might use a  
577 default *no effect* boundary of 80-125 percent. When the 90 percent confidence intervals for  
578 systemic exposure ratios fall entirely within the equivalence range of 80-125 percent, standard  
579 Agency practice is to conclude that no clinically significant differences are present.

580  
581 If, based on lactation studies, there is a need for dose adjustment while a women is lactating, the  
582 labeling would describe the relationship between the medical product's PK and lactation.  
583 Typically the dose regimen is adjusted to produce comparable  $C_{\max}$  and AUC values.  
584 Simulations are encouraged as a means to identify doses and dosing intervals that achieve that  
585 goal.

### 587 C. Development of Recommendations to Minimize Infant Drug Exposure from 588 Breast Milk

589  
590 It is possible to use data from kinetic profiles in milk to provide recommendations for ways to  
591 minimize exposure of the breast-fed child to a drug via breast milk. The Agency recommends  
592 that the labeling describe the relationship between maternal drug administration and breast-  
593 feeding, taking into account drug kinetics such as half-life in milk. For example, ways to  
594 minimize exposure to drug in breast milk might include information regarding (1) the timing of  
595 maternal dose relative to breast-feeding, (2) the duration of time relative to maternal drug  
596 administration to discard breast milk (e.g., “pump and dump”), and (3) how long to wait until  
597 resuming breast-feeding relative to maternal dose.

598  
599

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

### 600 **VI. LABELING**

601  
602 The Agency recommends that labeling reflect the data from clinical lactation studies and, if  
603 known, dosing recommendations during lactation. The labeling would reflect the data pertaining  
604 to the effect of lactation on the PK and PD (if known) obtained from studies conducted. If the  
605 PK and/or PD are altered during lactation, the Agency recommends that the appropriate  
606 description of such and recommendations for dosing be stated in labeling. The labeling would  
607 contain information pertaining to drug transfer into breast milk, the exposure of breast-fed  
608 infants to drugs in breast milk, and the drug effect on milk production and composition, if  
609 known. Non-positive findings are to be interpreted as indicating failure to detect an impact of  
610 lactation on PK or PD rather than lack of an effect.

611  
612 The various permutations of intrinsic drug characteristics and the effect of lactation on drug  
613 performance preclude precise specification of how such drugs can be labeled. The following  
614 comments offer general suggestions on labeling.

#### 615 **A. Clinical Pharmacology**

##### 616 *1. Pharmacokinetics Subsection*

617  
618 This section would include information pertinent to lactation on the:  
619

- 620 • Disposition of parent drug and metabolites, if applicable
- 621 • Effects of lactation on protein binding, if applicable

##### 622 *2. Special Populations Subsection*

623  
624 This section would recapitulate, in brief, the PK changes found in lactation and, if needed,  
625 dosing adjustments for lactating patients. The section would briefly describe any data regarding  
626 drug transfer into breast milk, the exposure of breast-fed infants to drugs in breast milk, and the  
627 drug effect on milk production and composition, if known. This information would be based on  
628 the studies performed as described in this guidance. Reference would be made to the  
629 PRECAUTIONS/NURSING MOTHERS and the DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION  
630 sections. The following text provides examples of possible wording for these sections.  
631

632  
633 The simplest situation involves drugs for which lactation has little to no effect on PK:  
634

635  
636 *The disposition of [Drug X] was studied in [number of] lactating women from [a through*  
637 *b months postpartum]. Lactation has little to no influence on [Drug X]*  
638 *pharmacokinetics and no dosing adjustment is needed.*  
639

640  
641 Similarly, for drugs whose PK is influenced by lactation, the following statement can be  
642 modified in accordance with what is known about the drug (e.g., active or toxic metabolite) and  
643 from the studies performed in accordance with this guidance:  
644

## Contains Nonbinding Recommendations

Draft — Not for Implementation

645 *The disposition of [Drug X] was studied in [number of] lactating women from [a through*  
646 *b months postpartum]. Elimination of the drug (and metabolite, if applicable) is*  
647 *significantly changed during lactation. Total body clearance of (unbound, if applicable)*  
648 *[Drug X]/metabolite was [reduced/increased] in lactating women compared to non-*  
649 *lactating women. The terminal half-life of [Drug X]/metabolite is [prolonged/decreased]*  
650 *by [Y-fold]. (See DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION.)*  
651

652 Similarly, the following statement can be modified as appropriate to describe drug transfer into  
653 breast milk:

654  
655 *A [dose (mg), single or multiple dose] of [Drug X] was administered [route of drug*  
656 *administration (e.g., oral, intravenous)] to [number of] lactating women who were [a*  
657 *through b months postpartum]. Breast milk obtained for [c hours] after dosing revealed*  
658 *a maximum concentration of [y concentration] [t<sub>1</sub> time] after dosing and drug*  
659 *concentrations in milk rapidly declined over [the next time duration, t<sub>2</sub> time]. The*  
660 *estimated daily infant dose for [Drug X] from breast milk is [z dose or z mg] which*  
661 *represents [\_\_\_\_\_] % of maternal dose and [\_\_\_\_\_] % of the lowest approved pediatric*  
662 *dose (if applicable). No drug was detectable in milk samples obtained [t<sub>3</sub> time] or later*  
663 *after dosing.*  
664

### B. Precautions/Nursing Mothers

665  
666  
667 In addition to standard labeling for use in lactation, if studies performed during lactation  
668 demonstrate clinically important changes, the Agency recommends that such information be  
669 included in the PRECAUTIONS/NURSING MOTHERS section with cross-reference to  
670 DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION and CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY sections. It is  
671 recommended that labeling contain information, to the extent possible, based on the lactation  
672 study conducted, including:

- 673  
674
- 675 • PK/PD in lactation
  - 676 • The effect of drug on milk production (e.g., quality and quantity of milk including milk  
677 production and composition)
  - 678 • The presence of drug or metabolite in milk, including the limitation of the assay used if  
679 drug/metabolites are not detected in milk
  - 680 • The amount of drug or metabolite in breast milk over a 24-hour period
  - 681 • The amount of drug or metabolite consumed daily by the breast-fed infant
  - 682 • The percent of maternal dose delivered via breast milk and consumed daily by the breast-  
683 fed infant (i.e., daily dose in human milk compared to the usual adult dose, or pediatric  
684 dose, if known)
  - 685 • Possible ways to minimize exposure in the breast-fed child to drug via breast milk taking  
686 into account drug kinetics such as half-life in milk (e.g., timing of maternal dose relative  
687 to breast-feeding, the duration to discard breast milk relative to maternal dose, and how  
688 long to wait until resuming breast-feeding relative to maternal dose)
  - 689 • Effects of drug exposure via breast milk in the breast-fed infant
  - PK of drug in the breast-fed infant

## *Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724

### **C. Dosage and Administration**

As appropriate, the following information would be included:

- A statement describing the relationship between [Drug X]’s clearance and lactation
- A statement describing how the dose would be adjusted during lactation within the approved therapeutic range:

*The dose of [Drug X] should be [increased/decreased] by [\_\_\_\_\_] during lactation.*

- If no dose adjustment is needed, the following statement might be used:

*The influence of lactation on [Drug X] pharmacokinetics is sufficiently small that no dosing adjustment is needed.*

- A statement cross-referencing the Precautions/Nursing Mothers section of labeling when possible ways to minimize exposure in the breast-fed child with respect to timing of maternal dose relative to breast-feeding are included in the Precautions/Nursing Mothers section.

### **VII. CONSIDERATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH**

Although nonclinical models (e.g., mechanistic, in vitro, animal, physicochemical-based, and physiological-based PK (PBPK)) have demonstrated limited success in predicting the amount of drug in breast milk and in predicting infant exposures to drug in breast milk (Oo, *Transport of Cimetidine*, 1995; Oo, *Alprazolam Transfer*, 1995) the applicability of nonclinical predictive models is still under investigation. Because of this, data obtained from clinical lactation studies would enable testing of the predictive value of these nonclinical models. The incorporation of the additional information obtained from clinical lactation studies into nonclinical models would strengthen the association between predicted and observed exposures and optimally improve the predictability of such approaches.

*Contains Nonbinding Recommendations*

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

**BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- 724  
725  
726 American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), 1989, American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on  
727 Drugs: Transfer of Drugs and Other Chemicals into Human Milk, *Pediatrics*, 84(5):924-  
728 36.  
729  
730 American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), 1994, American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on  
731 Drugs: Transfer of Drugs and Other Chemicals into Human milk, *Pediatrics*, 93(1):137-  
732 50.  
733  
734 AAP Committee on Drugs, 2001, Transfer of Drugs and Other Chemicals into Human Milk,  
735 *Pediatrics*, 108(3):776-89.  
736  
737 AAP Work Group on Breastfeeding, 1997, Breastfeeding and the Use of Human Milk,  
738 *Pediatrics*, 100(6):1035-1039.  
739  
740 Begg, EJ, SB Duffull, DA Saunders et al., 1999, Paroxetine in Human Milk, *Br J Clin*  
741 *Pharmacol*, 48:142-147.  
742  
743 Bennett, PN (ed), 1988, *Drugs and Human Lactation*, Amsterdam: Elsevier.  
744  
745 Bennett, PN (ed), 1996, *Drugs and Human Lactation*, 2nd edition, Amsterdam: Elsevier.  
746  
747 Berlin, CM Jr, JS LaKind, BR Sonawane et al., 2002, Conclusions, Research Needs and  
748 Recommendations of the Expert Panel: Technical Workshop on Human Milk  
749 Surveillance and Research for Environmental Chemicals in the United States, *J. Toxicol.*  
750 *Environ. Health, Part A*, 65:1929-1935.  
751  
752 Fleishaker, JC, N Desai, and PJ McNamara, 1989, Possible Effect of Lactational Period on the  
753 Milk-to-Plasma Drug Concentration Ratio in Lactating Women: Results of an In Vitro  
754 Evaluation, *J Pharm Sci*, 78(2):137-141.  
755  
756 Hagg, S and O Spigset, 2000, Anticonvulsant Use During Lactation, *Drug Saf*, 22:425-440.  
757  
758 Hibberd, CM, OG Brooke, ND Carter et al., 1982, Variation in the Composition of Breast Milk  
759 During the First 5 Weeks of Lactation: Implications for the Feeding of Preterm Infants,  
760 *Arch Dis Child*, 57(9):658-662.  
761  
762 Kristensen, JH, KF Ilett, LP Hackett et al., 1999, Distribution and Excretion of Fluoxetine and  
763 Norfluoxetine in Human Milk, *Br J Clin Pharmacol*, 48:521-527.  
764  
765 Neville, MC, 2001, Anatomy and Physiology of Lactation, *Pediatr Clin North Am*, 48(1):13-34.  
766  
767 Oo, YC, RJ Kuhn, N Desai, and PJ McNamara, 1995, Active Transport of Cimetidine into  
768 Human Milk, *Clin Pharmacol Ther*, 58:548-555.

***Contains Nonbinding Recommendations***

*Draft — Not for Implementation*

- 769  
770 Oo, YC, RJ Kuhn, N Desai et al., 1995, Pharmacokinetics in Lactating Women: Prediction of  
771 Alprazolam Transfer into Milk, *Br J Clin Pharmacol*, 40:231-236.  
772
- 773 Ryan, AS, Z Wenjun, and A Acosta, 2002, Breastfeeding Continues to Increase into the New  
774 Millennium, *Pediatrics*, 110:1103-1109.  
775
- 776 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), 2000, *Healthy People 2010:*  
777 *Understanding and Improving Health*, 2nd ed., Washington, DC: U.S. Government  
778 Printing Office (<http://www.health.gov/healthypeople/document/>).  
779
- 780 World Health Organization, 1989, Levels of PCBs, PCDDs and PCDFs in Breast Milk: Results  
781 of WHO-Coordinated Interlaboratory Quality Control Studies and Analytical Field  
782 Studies, in Yrjanheikki EJ (ed), *Environmental Health Series RPt 34*, Copenhagen:  
783 World Health Organization Regional Office for Europe.  
784
- 785 Wilson, JT, RD Brown, JL Hinson, and JW Daily, 1985, Pharmacokinetic Pitfalls in the  
786 Estimation of the Breast Milk/Plasma Ratio for Drugs, *Ann Rev Pharmacol Toxicol*,  
787 25:667-689.  
788
- 789 Wojnar-Horton, RE, LP Hackett, P Yapp et al., 1996, Distribution and Excretion of Sumatriptan  
790 in Human Milk, *Br J Clin Pharmacol*, 41:217-221.