

RD
ERM

UNCLASSIFIED

Photostat Price \$ 1.80
 Microfilm Price \$ 1.80

Available from the
 Office of Technical Services
 Department of Commerce
 Washington 25, D. C.

AECD-3856

April 25, 1951

MEMORANDUM TO FILE

(DPW-2071)

FROM: E. P. WIGNER

THE MAGNITUDE OF THE η EFFECT

Present information on the magnitude of the η effect originates from CP-1381 by Bragdon, Hughes and Marshall. Their value, slightly corrected because of improved values of the cross sections, is $\delta\eta/\eta$ $5.0 \times 10^{-5}/^{\circ}\text{C}$. Actually, what is recorded in CP-1381 is a change in the ratio of the activation cross sections of U-235 and U-238. Assuming that the capture by U-235 does not lead to activation, the ratio in question is also the ratio of the fission cross section to the capture cross section of U-238. Since

$$\eta(\text{natural } U) = \frac{\sigma_f(U-235)\nu}{\sigma_f(U-235) + \sigma_a(U-235) + 139\sigma_a(U-238)}$$

A correction may be applicable to the value of CP-1381 if α also changes with temperature since

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{\eta} \frac{d\eta}{dT} = -\frac{\eta}{\nu} \left[\frac{d\alpha}{dT} + 139 \frac{d}{dT} \left(\frac{\sigma_a(U-238)}{\sigma_f(U-235)} \right) \right]$$

The existence of radiative capture in U-235 was not known at the time CP-1381 was issued.

If we assume that α changes with temperature, $\frac{d\eta}{dT}$ can also be calculated from the formula $\eta = \frac{\alpha \nu \sigma_f(U-235)}{[\sigma_a(U-235) + 139\sigma_a(U-238)]}$

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{\eta} \frac{d\eta}{dT} = - \left[\frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} \frac{d\alpha}{dT} + \frac{139\sigma_a(U-238)}{\sigma_a(U-235) + 139\sigma_a(U-238)} \frac{d}{dT} \left(\frac{\sigma_a(U-238)}{\sigma_a(U-235)} \right) \right]$$

if we can obtain the temperature variation of the ratio of the absorption cross sections of U-238 and U-235. In the above formula,

This document is
PUBLICLY RELEASABLE

H. Kinsler
 Authorizing _____
 Date: 7/22/05

74-1

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

April 25, 1951

which is except for notation identical with (1),

$$\sigma_a(U-234) = \sigma_c(U-238)$$

$$(3) \quad \sigma_a(U-235) = \sigma_f(U-235) + \sigma_c(U-235) = \sigma_f(U-235)(1+\alpha)$$

The $\sigma_a(U-235)$ as function of the energy E is given in a report by Glenn M. Roe to H. Hurwitz, 3-30-51. From it, one obtains for the low energy region (up to about to .2 ev)

$$(4) \quad \sqrt{E} \sigma_a(U-235) = 110(1 - 2.95E + 11E^2)$$

All energies are given in electron volts, all cross sections in barns.

Dancoff gives for the absorption cross section of U-238 at the resonance of 7 ev

$$(5) \quad \sqrt{E} \sigma_a(U-238) = \frac{38}{(3.25 \times 10^{-2})^2 + (E-7)^2}$$

This gives 4.9b for the cross section at thermal temperature instead of the actual cross section of 2.8b. Expanding (5) in the low energy region but replacing the constant term by a smaller one so as to give the observed cross section gives

$$(5a) \quad \sqrt{E} \sigma_a(U-238) = .44(1 + .5E + .11E^2)$$

If one reduces the 38 in Dancoff's formula to 25 to give the proper low energy cross section, one has

$$(5b) \quad \sqrt{E} \sigma_a(U-238) = .44(1 + .28E + .06E^2)$$

Both (5a) and (5b) are supposed to be valid only in the low energy region (up to about 1 ev) and, for the rest of the present calculation, it hardly matters which one one uses. Actually (5a) was used.

From the above, the absorption as function of the temperature $T = 1/kT$ can be obtained by integrating $\sigma_a \sqrt{E} e^{-E/kT}$ with respect to E. One obtains for

$$(6) \quad \frac{139 \sigma_a(U-238)}{\sigma_a(U-235)} = \frac{.44 + .33 kT + .18 (kT)^2}{.792 - 3.5 kT + 32.57 (kT)^2} = .555(1 + 5.17 kT - 18 kT^2)$$

if one keeps all terms up to $(kT)^2$. This gives when inserted into (2)

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{\eta} \frac{d\eta}{dT} = - \frac{1}{1+\alpha} \frac{d\alpha}{dT} = .64(1 - 2.85 kT) \times .555(5.17 k - 36 k^2 T)$$

$$= - \frac{1}{1+\alpha} \frac{d\alpha}{dT} = 1.85 k(1 - 8.8 kT) \quad 74-2$$

Memorandum to File
E. P. Wigner

April 25, 1951

2

The value of k must be inserted in $\text{ev}/^\circ\text{C}$ which is $k = .856 \times 10^{-4}$.
Hence we have

$$(7a) \quad \frac{1}{\eta} \frac{d\eta}{dT} = -\frac{1}{1+\alpha} \frac{d\alpha}{dT} - 1.58 \times 10^{-4} (1 - .75 \times 10^{-3} T).$$

At 300°K at which the measurements of CP-1381 were made, this is $-12 \times 10^{-5}/^\circ\text{C}$, i.e. more than twice greater than the measured value if one neglects $d\alpha/dT$.

It is possible to blame the above discrepancy on a temperature variation of α . If one does this, one has to assume $d\alpha/dT = -22 \times 10^{-5}/^\circ\text{C}$ and obtains a positive $d\eta/dT = 6.4 \times 10^{-5}/^\circ\text{C}$. Actually, it is more than doubtful that such an interpretation is correct: a positive temperature coefficient of η instead of the anticipated negative one would surely have been noticed at Hanford or at Oak Ridge. In addition, the $-d\alpha/dT$ is hardly likely to be quite as large as 22×10^{-5} . The fact remains, however, that the assumption $d\alpha/dT = 0$ leads to a discrepancy between two measurements, one of which seems to be quite good. Hence, the value of $d\eta/dT$ cannot be considered to be established but may be anywhere between -12 and 6×10^{-5} .

Direct and accurate measurements of $\sigma_p(\text{U-235})$ would yield a value for $d\alpha/dT$ and hence also for $d\eta/dT$. The only remaining assumption would then be that ν does not depend on the energy of the neutron inducing fission.

E. P. Wigner
E. P. Wigner

EPW:s

APR 25 1951

74-3