



# **Complete Summary**

#### **GUIDELINE TITLE**

Distinguishing sudden infant death syndrome from child abuse fatalities.

# **BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)**

American Academy of Pediatrics, Hymel KP, Committee on Child Abuse and Neglect, National Association of Medical Examiners. Distinguishing sudden infant death syndrome from child abuse fatalities. Pediatrics 2006 Jul;118(1):421-7. [74 references] PubMed

#### **GUIDELINE STATUS**

This is the current release of the guideline.

All clinical reports from the American Academy of Pediatrics automatically expire 5 years after publication unless reaffirmed, revised, or retired at or before that time.

#### **COMPLETE SUMMARY CONTENT**

SCOPE

METHODOLOGY - including Rating Scheme and Cost Analysis RECOMMENDATIONS EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS QUALIFYING STATEMENTS IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY DISCLAIMER

#### SCOPE

#### DISEASE/CONDITION(S)

- Sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS, also called crib or cot death)
- Fatal child abuse

# **GUIDELINE CATEGORY**

Diagnosis Management

#### **CLINICAL SPECIALTY**

Emergency Medicine Pathology Pediatrics

# **INTENDED USERS**

Advanced Practice Nurses Allied Health Personnel Emergency Medical Technicians/Paramedics Hospitals Nurses Other Physician Assistants Physicians Social Workers

# **GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE(S)**

- To provide professionals with information and suggestions to help avoid stigmatizing families of sudden infant death syndrome victims while allowing accumulation of appropriate evidence in potential cases of death by infanticide
- To address deficiencies and to update recommendations in the 2001 American Academy of Pediatrics policy statement of the same name

# TARGET POPULATION

- Healthy infants younger than one year old who die suddenly and unexpectedly
- Parents of sudden infant death syndrome victims

# INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED

- 1. Complete autopsy (i.e., postmortem examination)
  - Toxicologic tests
  - Radiographic skeletal surveys
  - Metabolic screening
- 2. Examination of the death scene, including interviewing of household members
- 3. Exclusion of other causes of death
- 4. Consultation with medical specialists by medical examiner and coroner
- 5. Medical history, prior to and at the time of death
- 6. Supportive, unbiased, non-accusatory approach to parents
- 7. Case review

# MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED

Not stated

# METHODOLOGY

METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE

Searches of Electronic Databases

# DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE

Not stated

# NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Not stated

# METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Not stated

# **RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE**

Not applicable

# METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Review

# DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Not stated

# METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Not stated

# **RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS**

Not applicable

# **COST ANALYSIS**

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

# METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

Peer Review

# DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

Not stated

# RECOMMENDATIONS

#### MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS

The following are important conclusions in the evaluation of sudden, unexplained infant deaths:

- Accurate history taking by emergency responders and medical personnel at the time of death and immediate transmission of this historical information to the medical examiner or coroner
- Prompt investigation of the scene (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1996; Bass, Kravath, & Glass, 1986) at which the infant was found lifeless or unresponsive and careful interviews of household members by knowledgeable individuals with the legal authority and mandate to conduct such investigations
- Appropriate consultations with available medical specialists (e.g., pediatrician, pediatric pathologist, pediatric radiologist, and/or pediatric neuropathologist) by medical examiners and coroners
- Complete autopsy performed by a forensic pathologist within 24 hours of death, including examination of all major body cavities including cranial contents, microscopic examination of major organs, radiographic examination, and toxicological and metabolic screening
- Collection of medical history through interviews of caregivers, interviews of key medical providers, and review of previous medical charts
- Maintenance of an unbiased, nonaccusatory approach to parents during the death-review process
- Consideration of intentional asphyxia in cases of unexpected infant death with a history of recurrent cyanosis, apnea, or apparent life-threatening events (ALTEs) witnessed only by a single caregiver
- Use of accepted diagnostic categories on death certificates as soon as possible after review
- Prompt imparting of information to parents when results indicate Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) or accidental or medical causation of death
- Review of collected data by locally based infant death-review teams (Granik, Durfee, & Wells, 1991) with participation of the medical examiner or coroner

# CLINICAL ALGORITHM(S)

None provided

# **EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS**

# **REFERENCES SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS**

References open in a new window

# TYPE OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The type of evidence supporting each recommendation is not specifically stated.

# **BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### **POTENTIAL BENEFITS**

- An appropriate professional response to a child's sudden death that is compassionate, empathetic, supportive, and nonaccusatory while at the same time results in a thorough investigation
- Accurate reporting of the circumstances surrounding unexpected and unexplained infant death may result in a reduction of associated risk factors (i.e., prone positioning, bed sharing, passive smoke exposure) through identification and education of new parents

#### **POTENTIAL HARMS**

Not stated

#### QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

#### QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

The guidance in this report does not indicate an exclusive course of treatment or serve as a standard of medical care. Variations, taking into account individual circumstances, may be appropriate.

#### IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

#### **DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY**

An implementation strategy was not provided.

# INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

#### IOM CARE NEED

End of Life Care

#### IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness Patient-centeredness

#### IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

#### **BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)**

American Academy of Pediatrics, Hymel KP, Committee on Child Abuse and Neglect, National Association of Medical Examiners. Distinguishing sudden infant

death syndrome from child abuse fatalities. Pediatrics 2006 Jul;118(1):421-7. [74 references] PubMed

# ADAPTATION

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

# DATE RELEASED

2001 Feb (revised 2006 Jul)

# **GUIDELINE DEVELOPER(S)**

American Academy of Pediatrics - Medical Specialty Society

# SOURCE(S) OF FUNDING

American Academy of Pediatrics

# **GUIDELINE COMMITTEE**

Committee on Child Abuse and Neglect

# COMPOSITION OF GROUP THAT AUTHORED THE GUIDELINE

*Committee on Child Abuse and Neglect, 2004-2005*: Robert W. Block, MD, *Chairperson*; Roberta Ann Hibbard, MD; Carole Jenny, MD, MBA; Nancy D. Kellogg, MD; Betty S. Spivack, MD; John Stirling, Jr, MD; Kent P. Hymel, MD, Past Committee Member

*Liaison Representatives*: David L. Corwin, MD, American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry; Joanne Klevens, MD, MPH, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

*Staff*: Tammy Piazza Hurley

*National Association of Medical Examiners*: Randy Hanzlick, MD; Michael Graham, MD; Tracey S. Corey, MD

# FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Not stated

# ENDORSER(S)

National Association of Medical Examiners - Professional Association

# **GUIDELINE STATUS**

This is the current release of the guideline.

6 of 8

All clinical reports from the American Academy of Pediatrics automatically expire 5 years after publication unless reaffirmed, revised, or retired at or before that time.

# **GUIDELINE AVAILABILITY**

Electronic copies: Available from the <u>American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) Policy</u> <u>Web site</u>.

Print copies: Available from American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Blvd., P.O. Box 927, Elk Grove Village, IL 60009-0927.

# **AVAILABILITY OF COMPANION DOCUMENTS**

None available

#### **PATIENT RESOURCES**

None available

#### **NGC STATUS**

This summary was completed by ECRI on September 17, 2001. The information was verified by the guideline developer as of December 5, 2001. This summary was updated by ECRI on August 14, 2006. The updated information was verified by the guideline developer on September 1, 2006.

#### **COPYRIGHT STATEMENT**

This NGC summary is based on the original guideline, which is subject to the guideline developer's copyright restrictions. Please contact the Permissions Editor, American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), 141 Northwest Point Blvd, Elk Grove Village, IL 60007.

#### DISCLAIMER

#### NGC DISCLAIMER

The National Guideline Clearinghouse<sup>™</sup> (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at <a href="http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx">http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx</a>.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding guideline content are directed to contact the guideline developer.

© 1998-2008 National Guideline Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 11/3/2008

