

Complete Summary

GUIDELINE TITLE

Standards of medical care in diabetes. V. Diabetes care.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

American Diabetes Association (ADA). Standards of medical care in diabetes. V. Diabetes care. Diabetes Care 2008 Jan;31(Suppl 1):S16-24.

GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

This guideline updates a previous version: American Diabetes Association (ADA). Standards of medical care in diabetes. V. Diabetes care. Diabetes Care 2007 Jan;30(Suppl 1):S8-15.

COMPLETE SUMMARY CONTENT

SCOPE
METHODOLOGY - including Rating Scheme and Cost Analysis
RECOMMENDATIONS
EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS
BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS
CONTRAINDICATIONS
QUALIFYING STATEMENTS
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE
INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT
CATEGORIES
IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY
DISCLAIMER

SCOPE

DISEASE/CONDITION(S)

- Type 1 diabetes
- Type 2 diabetes
- Gestational diabetes

GUIDELINE CATEGORY

Evaluation
Management

Prevention
Treatment

CLINICAL SPECIALTY

Endocrinology
Family Practice
Geriatrics
Internal Medicine
Nutrition
Obstetrics and Gynecology
Pediatrics
Preventive Medicine

INTENDED USERS

Advanced Practice Nurses
Allied Health Personnel
Dietitians
Nurses
Pharmacists
Physician Assistants
Physicians

GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE(S)

- To provide evidence-based principles and recommendations for diabetes management
- To provide clinicians, patients, researchers, payers, and other interested individuals with the components of diabetes care, treatment goals, and tools to evaluate the quality of care

TARGET POPULATION

- Adults and children with type 1 diabetes
- Adults and children with type 2 diabetes
- Pregnant and lactating women with diabetes
- Older adults with diabetes

INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED

1. Complete medical evaluation, including medical history, physical examination, appropriate laboratory evaluations, and referrals to specialists
2. Formulation of a management plan
3. Patient education regarding self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG)
4. Hemoglobin A1C testing
5. Developing or adjusting management plans to achieve glycemic goals
6. Medical nutrition therapy (MNT)
7. Diabetes self-management education (DSME)
8. Physical activity program

9. Psychosocial assessment and care, including screening for psychosocial problems
10. Referral for diabetes management
11. Consideration of intercurrent illness
12. Glucose for hypoglycemia and glucagon for patients at risk for severe hypoglycemia
13. Immunization, including influenza and pneumococcal vaccines

MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED

- Blood glucose levels
- Hemoglobin A1C levels
- Blood lipid levels
- Blood pressure levels
- Rates of diabetes, macrovascular disease and vascular disease, obesity, dyslipidemia, cardiovascular disease (CVD), hypertension, and nephropathy
- Quality of life

METHODOLOGY

METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE

Searches of Electronic Databases

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE

Not stated

NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Not stated

METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given)

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

American Diabetes Association's Evidence Grading System for Clinical Practice Recommendations

A

Clear evidence from well-conducted, generalizable, randomized controlled trials that are adequately powered, including:

- Evidence from a well-conducted multicenter trial
- Evidence from a meta-analysis that incorporated quality ratings in the analysis

- Compelling non-experimental evidence (i.e., "all or none" rule developed by the Center for Evidence Based Medicine at Oxford*)

Supportive evidence from well-conducted randomized, controlled trials that are adequately powered, including:

- Evidence from a well-conducted trial at one or more institutions
- Evidence from a meta-analysis that incorporated quality ratings in the analysis

**Either all patients died before therapy and at least some survived with therapy, or some patients died without therapy and none died with therapy. Example: use of insulin in the treatment of diabetic ketoacidosis.*

B

Supportive evidence from well-conducted cohort studies, including:

- Evidence from a well-conducted prospective cohort study or registry
- Evidence from a well-conducted meta-analysis of cohort studies

Supportive evidence from a well-conducted case-control study

C

Supportive evidence from poorly controlled or uncontrolled studies, including:

- Evidence from randomized clinical trials with one or more major or three or more minor methodological flaws that could invalidate the results
- Evidence from observational studies with high potential for bias (such as case series with comparison with historical controls)
- Evidence from case series or case reports

Conflicting evidence with the weight of evidence supporting the recommendation

E

Expert consensus or clinical experience

METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Review of Published Meta-Analyses
Systematic Review

DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Not stated

METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Expert Consensus

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Not stated

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendations have been assigned ratings of A, B or C, depending on the quality of evidence (see "Rating Scheme for the Strength of the Evidence"). Expert opinion (E) is a separate category for recommendations in which there is as yet no evidence from clinical trials, in which clinical trials may be impractical, or in which there is conflicting evidence. Recommendations with an "A" rating are based on large, well-designed clinical trials or well done meta-analyses. Generally, these recommendations have the best chance of improving outcomes when applied to the population to which they are appropriate. Recommendations with lower levels of evidence may be equally important but are not as well supported.

COST ANALYSIS

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

Internal Peer Review

DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

The recommendations were reviewed and approved in October 2007 by the Professional Practice Committee and, subsequently, by the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors.

RECOMMENDATIONS

MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS

The evidence grading system (A-C, E) is defined at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

Diabetes Care

Initial Evaluation

A complete medical evaluation should be performed to classify the diabetes, detect the presence or absence of diabetes complications, review previous treatment and glycemic control in patients with established diabetes, assist in formulating a management plan, and provide a basis for continuing care. Laboratory tests appropriate to the evaluation of each patient's medical condition

should be performed. A focus on the components of comprehensive care (see Table 7 in the original guideline document) will assist the health care team to ensure optimal management of the patient with diabetes.

Management

People with diabetes should receive medical care from a physician-coordinated team. Such teams may include, but are not limited to, physicians, nurse practitioners, physician's assistants, nurses, dietitians, pharmacists, and mental health professionals with expertise and a special interest in diabetes. It is essential in this collaborative and integrated team approach that individuals with diabetes assume an active role in their care.

The management plan should be formulated as an individualized therapeutic alliance among the patient and family, the physician, and other members of the health care team. A variety of strategies and techniques should be used to provide adequate education and development of problem-solving skills in the various aspects of diabetes management. Implementation of the management plan requires that each aspect is understood and agreed on by the patient and the care providers and that the goals and treatment plan are reasonable. Any plan should recognize diabetes self-management education (DSME) as an integral component of care. In developing the plan, consideration should be given to the patient's age, school or work schedule and conditions, physical activity, eating patterns, social situation and personality, cultural factors, and presence of complications of diabetes or other medical conditions.

Glycemic Control

Assessment of Glycemic Control

Self-monitoring of Blood Glucose (SMBG)

- SMBG should be carried out three or more times daily for patients using multiple insulin injections or insulin pump therapy. (A)
- For patients using less frequent insulin injections, noninsulin therapies, or medical nutrition therapy (MNT) alone, SMBG is useful in achieving glycemic goals. (E)
- To achieve postprandial glucose targets, postprandial SMBG may be appropriate. (E)
- When prescribing SMBG, ensure that patients receive initial instruction in, and routine follow-up evaluation of, SMBG technique and their ability to use data to adjust therapy. (E)
- Continuous glucose monitoring may be a supplemental tool to SMBG for selected patients with type 1 diabetes, especially those with hypoglycemia unawareness. (E)

Glycated Hemoglobin Test (A1C)

- Perform the A1C test at least two times a year in patients who are meeting treatment goals (and who have stable glycemic control). (E)

- Perform the A1C test quarterly in patients whose therapy has changed or who are not meeting glycemic goals. (E)
- Use of point-of-care testing for A1C allows for timely decisions on therapy changes, when needed (E)

Glycemic Goals

- Lowering A1C to an average of ~7% has clearly been shown to reduce microvascular and neuropathic complications of diabetes and, possibly, macrovascular disease. Therefore, the A1C goal for nonpregnant adults in general is <7%. (A)
- Epidemiologic studies have suggested an incremental (albeit, in absolute terms, a small) benefit to lowering A1C from 7% into the normal range. Therefore, the A1C goal for selected individual patients is as close to normal (<6%) as possible without significant hypoglycemia.(B)
- Less stringent A1C goals may be appropriate for patients with a history of severe hypoglycemia, patients with limited life expectancies, children, individuals with comorbid conditions, and those with longstanding diabetes and minimal or stable microvascular complications. (E)

Summary of Glycemic Recommendations for Adults with Diabetes

Hemoglobin (A1C)	<7.0%*
Preprandial capillary plasma glucose	70 to 130 mg/dL (3.9 to 7.2 mmol/L)
Peak postprandial capillary plasma glucose**	<180 mg/dL (<10.0 mmol/L)

Key concepts in setting glycemic goals:

- A1C is the primary target for glycemic control.
- Goals should be individualized based on:
 - Duration of diabetes
 - Pregnancy status
 - Age
 - Comorbid conditions
 - Hypoglycemia unawareness
 - Individual patient considerations
- More stringent glycemic goals (i.e., a normal A1C, <6%) may further reduce complications at the cost of increased risk of hypoglycemia.
- Postprandial glucose may be targeted if A1C goals are not met despite reaching preprandial glucose goals.

*Referenced to a nondiabetic range of 4.0 to 6.0% using a Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT)-based assay.

**Postprandial glucose measurements should be made 1 to 2 hours after the beginning of the meal, generally peak levels in patients with diabetes.

Approach to Treatment

Therapy for Type 1 Diabetes

Recommended therapy for type 1 diabetes consists of the following components: 1) use of multiple dose insulin injections (3 to 4 injections per day of basal and prandial insulin) or continuous subcutaneous insulin infusion (CSII) therapy; 2) matching of prandial insulin to carbohydrate intake, premeal blood glucose, and anticipated activity; and 3) for many patients (especially if hypoglycemia is a problem), use of insulin analogs. There are excellent reviews available that guide the initiation and management of insulin therapy to achieve desired glycemic goals.

Therapy for Type 2 Diabetes

The American Diabetes Association (ADA) and the European Association for the Study of Diabetes published a consensus statement on the approach to management of hyperglycemia in individuals with type 2 diabetes. Highlights of this approach are 1) Intervention at the time of diagnosis with metformin in combination with lifestyle changes (MNT and exercise) and 2) continuing, timely augmentation of therapy with additional agents (including early initiation of insulin therapy) as a means of achieving and maintaining recommended levels of glycemic control (i.e., A1C <7% for most patients). The overall objective is to achieve and maintain glycemic levels as close to the nondiabetic range as possible and to change interventions at as rapid a pace as titration of medications allows.

Initiation of insulin at time of diagnosis is recommended for individuals presenting with weight loss or other severe hyperglycemic symptoms or signs.

For a list of currently approved diabetes medications, see http://ndep.nih.gov/diabetes/pubs/Drug_tables_supplement.pdf.

Medical Nutrition Therapy

General Recommendations

- Individuals who have pre-diabetes or diabetes should receive individualized MNT as needed to achieve treatment goals, preferably provided by a registered dietitian familiar with the components of diabetes MNT. (B)
- MNT should be covered by insurance and other payors. (E)

Energy Balance, Overweight, and Obesity

- In overweight and obese insulin-resistant individuals, modest weight loss has been shown to reduce insulin resistance. Thus, weight loss is recommended for all overweight or obese individuals who have or are at risk for diabetes. (A)
- For weight loss, either low-carbohydrate or low-fat calorie-restricted diets may be effective in the short term (up to 1 year). (A)
- For patients on low-carbohydrate diets, monitor lipid profiles, renal function, and protein intake (in those with nephropathy), and adjust hypoglycemic therapy as needed. (E)
- Physical activity and behavior modification are important components of weight loss programs and are most helpful in maintenance of weight loss. (B)

Primary Prevention of Diabetes

- Among individuals at high risk for developing type 2 diabetes, structured programs that emphasize lifestyle changes that include moderate weight loss (7% body weight) and regular physical activity (150 min/week), with dietary strategies including reduced calories and reduced intake of dietary fat, can reduce the risk for developing diabetes and are therefore recommended. (A)
- Individuals at high risk for type 2 diabetes should be encouraged to achieve the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) recommendation for dietary fiber (14 g fiber/1,000 kcal) and foods containing whole grains (one-half of grain intake). (B)

Dietary Fat Intake in Diabetes Management

- Saturated fat intake should be <7% of total calories. (A)
- Intake of *trans* fat should be minimized. (E)

Carbohydrate Intake in Diabetes Management

- Monitoring carbohydrate intake, whether by carbohydrate counting, exchanges, or experience-based estimation, remains a key strategy in achieving glycemic control. (A)
- For individuals with diabetes, the use of the glycemic index and glycemic load may provide a modest additional benefit for glycemic control over that observed when total carbohydrate is considered alone. (B)

Other Nutrition Recommendations

- Sugar alcohols and nonnutritive sweeteners are safe when consumed within the acceptable daily intake levels established by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). (A)
- If adults with diabetes choose to use alcohol, daily intake should be limited to a moderate amount (one drink per day or less for adult women and two drinks per day or less for adult men). (E)
- Routine supplementation with antioxidants, such as vitamins E and C and carotene, is not advised because of lack of evidence of efficacy and concern related to long-term safety. (A)
- Benefit from chromium supplementation in people with diabetes or obesity has not been conclusively demonstrated and, therefore, cannot be recommended. (E)

Diabetes Self-Management Education (DSME)

- People with diabetes should receive DSME according to national standards when their diabetes is diagnosed and as needed thereafter. (B)
- Self-management behavior change is the key outcome of DSME and should be measured and monitored as part of care. (E)
- DSME should address psychosocial issues, since emotional well-being is strongly associated with positive diabetes outcomes. (C)
- DSME should be reimbursed by third-party payors. (E)

Physical Activity

- People with diabetes should be advised to perform at least 150 min/week of moderate-intensity aerobic physical activity (50 to 70% of maximum heart rate). (A)
- In the absence of contraindications, people with type 2 diabetes should be encouraged to perform resistance exercise three times per week. (A)

Psychosocial Assessment and Care

- Assessment of psychological and social situation should be included as an ongoing part of the medical management of diabetes. (E)
- Psychosocial screening and follow-up should include but is not limited to, attitudes about the illness, expectations for medical management and outcomes, affect/mood, general and diabetes-related quality of life, resources (financial, social, and emotional) and psychiatric history. (E)
- Screen for psychosocial problems such as depression, anxiety, eating disorders, and cognitive impairment when adherence to the medical regimen is poor. (E)

When Treatment Goals Are Not Met

For a variety of reasons, some people with diabetes and their health care providers do not achieve the desired goals of treatment (see the table titled "Summary of glycemic recommendations for adults with diabetes", above). Intensification of the treatment regimen is suggested and may include assessment of barriers to adherence, including income; educational attainment; and competing demands, including those related to family responsibilities and family dynamics; culturally appropriate and enhanced DSME; co-management with a diabetes team; referral to a medical social worker for assistance with insurance coverage; change in pharmacological therapy; initiation of or increase in SMBG; more frequent contact with the patient; and referral to an endocrinologist.

Intercurrent Illness

The stress of illness, trauma, and/or surgery frequently aggravates glycemic control and may precipitate diabetic ketoacidosis (DKA) or nonketotic hyperosmolar state, both of which are life-threatening conditions that require immediate medical care to prevent complications and death. Any condition leading to deterioration in glycemic control necessitates more frequent monitoring of blood glucose and (in ketosis-prone patients) urine or blood ketones. Marked hyperglycemia requires temporary adjustment of the treatment program and, if accompanied by ketosis, vomiting, or alteration in the level of consciousness, immediate interaction with the diabetes care team. The patient treated with noninsulin therapies or MNT alone may temporarily require insulin. Adequate fluid and caloric intake must be ensured. Infection or dehydration is more likely to necessitate hospitalization of the person with diabetes than the person without diabetes. The hospitalized patient should be treated by a physician with expertise in the management of diabetes. For further information on management of patients with hyperglycemia in the hospital, see the NGC summary of the ADA guideline [Standards of medical care in diabetes. VIII. Diabetes care in specific](#)

[settings](#). For further information on management of DKA or nonketotic hyperosmolar state, refer to the ADA position statement on hyperglycemic crises.

Hypoglycemia

- Glucose (15 to 20 g) is the preferred treatment for the conscious individual with hypoglycemia, although any form of carbohydrate that contains glucose may be used. If SMBG 15 min after treatment shows continued hypoglycemia, the treatment should be repeated. Once SMBG glucose returns to normal, the individual should consume a meal or snack to prevent recurrence of hypoglycemia. (E)
- Glucagon should be prescribed for all individuals at significant risk of severe hypoglycemia, and caregivers or family members of these individuals should be instructed in its administration. Glucagon administration is not limited to health care professionals. (E)
- Individuals with hypoglycemia unawareness or one or more episodes of severe hypoglycemia should be advised to raise their glycemic targets to strictly avoid further hypoglycemia for at least several weeks in order to partially reverse hypoglycemia unawareness and reduce risk of future episodes. (B)

Immunization

- Annually provide an influenza vaccine to all diabetic patients ≥ 6 months of age. (C)
- Provide at least one lifetime pneumococcal vaccine for adults with diabetes. A one-time revaccination is recommended for individuals ≥ 65 years of age previously immunized when they were < 65 years of age if the vaccine was administered > 5 years ago. Other indications for repeat vaccination include nephrotic syndrome, chronic renal disease, and other immunocompromised states, such as after transplantation. (C)

Definitions:

American Diabetes Association's Evidence Grading System for Clinical Practice Recommendations

A

Clear evidence from well-conducted, generalizable, randomized controlled trials that are adequately powered, including:

- Evidence from a well-conducted multicenter trial
- Evidence from a meta-analysis that incorporated quality ratings in the analysis
- Compelling non-experimental evidence (i.e., "all or none" rule developed by the Center for Evidence Based Medicine at Oxford*)

Supportive evidence from well-conducted randomized controlled trials that are adequately powered, including:

- Evidence from a well-conducted trial at one or more institutions
- Evidence from a meta-analysis that incorporated quality ratings in the analysis

**Either all patients died before therapy and at least some survived with therapy, or some patients died without therapy and none died with therapy. Example: use of insulin in the treatment of diabetic ketoacidosis.*

B

Supportive evidence from well-conducted cohort studies:

- Evidence from a well-conducted prospective cohort study or registry
- Evidence from a well-conducted prospective cohort study
- Evidence from a well-conducted meta-analysis of cohort studies

Supportive evidence from a well-conducted case-control study

C

Supportive evidence from poorly controlled or uncontrolled studies, including:

- Evidence from randomized clinical trials with one or more major or three or more minor methodological flaws that could invalidate the results
- Evidence from observational studies with high potential for bias (such as case series with comparison with historical controls)
- Evidence from case series or case reports

Conflicting evidence with the weight of evidence supporting the recommendation

E

Expert consensus or clinical experience

CLINICAL ALGORITHM(S)

None provided

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

TYPE OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The type of supporting evidence is identified and graded for each recommendation (see the "Major Recommendations" field).

BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

A focus on the components of comprehensive care will assist the health care team to ensure optimal management of the patient with diabetes.

POTENTIAL HARMS

Intensive glycemic control has been found to increase risk of severe hypoglycemia and weight gain.

CONTRAINDICATIONS

CONTRAINDICATIONS

Certain types of exercise may be contraindicated in diabetic patients with uncontrolled hypertension, severe autonomic neuropathy, severe peripheral neuropathy or history of foot lesions, and advanced retinopathy.

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

- Evidence is only one component of clinical decision-making. Clinicians care for patients, not populations; guidelines must always be interpreted with the needs of the individual patient in mind. Individual circumstances, such as comorbid and coexisting diseases, age, education, disability, and, above all, patient's values and preferences, must also be considered and may lead to different treatment targets and strategies. Also, conventional evidence hierarchies, such as the one adapted by American Diabetes Association, may miss some nuances that are important in diabetes care. For example, while there is excellent evidence from clinical trials supporting the importance of achieving glycemic control, the optimal way to achieve this result is less clear. It is difficult to assess each component of such a complex intervention.
- While individual preferences, comorbidities, and other patient factors may require modification of goals, targets that are desirable for most patients with diabetes are provided. These standards are not intended to preclude more extensive evaluation and management of the patient by other specialists as needed.

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

In recent years, numerous health care organizations, ranging from large health care systems such as the U.S. Veteran's Administration to small private practices have implemented strategies to improve diabetes care. Successful programs have published results showing improvement in process measures such as measurement of A1C, lipids, and blood pressure. Successful interventions have been focused at the level of health care professionals, delivery systems, and patients. Features of successful programs reported in the literature include:

- Improving health care professional education regarding the standards of care through formal and informal education programs.
- Delivery of diabetes self-management education (DSME), which has been shown to increase adherence to standard of care.
- Adoption of practice guidelines, with participation of health care professionals in the process. Guidelines should be readily accessible at the point of service, such as on patient charts, in examining rooms, in "wallet or pocket cards," on personal digital assistants (PDAs), or on office computer systems. Guidelines should begin with a summary of their major recommendations instructing health care professionals what to do and how to do it.
- Use of checklists that mirror guidelines have been successful at improving adherence to standards of care.
- Systems changes, such as provision of automated reminders to health care professionals and patients, reporting of process and outcome data to providers, and especially identification of patients at risk because of failure to achieve target values or a lack of reported values.
- Quality improvement programs combining Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI) or other cycles of analysis and intervention with provider performance data.
- Practice changes, such as clustering of dedicated diabetes visits into specific times within a primary care practice schedule and/or visits with multiple health care professionals on a single day and group visits.
- Tracking systems either with an electronic medical record or patient registry have been helpful at increasing adherence to standards of care by prospectively identifying those requiring assessments and/or treatment modifications. They likely could have greater efficacy if they suggested specific therapeutic interventions to be considered for a particular patient at a particular point in time.
- A variety of non-automated systems, such as mailing reminders to patients, chart stickers, and flow sheets, have been useful to prompt both providers and patients.
- Availability of case or (preferably) care management services, usually by a nurse. Nurses, pharmacists, and other non-physician health care professionals using detailed algorithms working under the supervision of physicians and/or nurse education calls have also been helpful. Similarly dietitians using medical nutrition therapy (MNT) guidelines have been demonstrated to improve glycemic control.
- Availability and involvement of expert consultants, such as endocrinologists and diabetes educators.

Evidence suggests that these individual initiatives work best when provided as components of a multifactorial intervention. Therefore, it is difficult to assess the contribution of each component; however, it is clear that optimal diabetes management requires an organized, systematic approach and involvement of a coordinated team of health care professionals.

IMPLEMENTATION TOOLS

Personal Digital Assistant (PDA) Downloads

For information about [availability](#), see the "Availability of Companion Documents" and "Patient Resources" fields below.

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

IOM CARE NEED

Living with Illness
Staying Healthy

IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness
Patient-centeredness

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

American Diabetes Association (ADA). Standards of medical care in diabetes. V. Diabetes care. Diabetes Care 2008 Jan;31(Suppl 1):S16-24.

ADAPTATION

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

DATE RELEASED

1998 (revised 2008 Jan)

GUIDELINE DEVELOPER(S)

American Diabetes Association - Professional Association

SOURCE(S) OF FUNDING

The American Diabetes Association (ADA) received an unrestricted educational grant from LifeScan, Inc., a Johnson and Johnson Company, to support publication of the 2008 Diabetes Care Supplement.

GUIDELINE COMMITTEE

Professional Practice Committee

COMPOSITION OF GROUP THAT AUTHORED THE GUIDELINE

Committee Members: Irl Hirsch, MD, Chair; Martin Abrahamson, MD; Andrew Ahmann, MD; Lawrence Blonde, MD; Silvio Inzucchi, MD; Mary T. Korytkowski, MN, MD, MSN; Melinda Maryniuk, MEd, RD, CDE; Elizabeth Mayer-Davis, MS, PhD, RD; Janet H. Silverstein, MD; Robert Toto, MD

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Not stated

GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

This guideline updates a previous version: American Diabetes Association (ADA). Standards of medical care in diabetes. V. Diabetes care. Diabetes Care 2007 Jan;30(Suppl 1):S8-15.

GUIDELINE AVAILABILITY

Electronic copies: Available from the [American Diabetes Association \(ADA\) Web site](#).

AVAILABILITY OF COMPANION DOCUMENTS

The following are available:

- Introduction. Diabetes Care 31:S1-S2, 2008.
- Summary of revisions for the 2008 clinical practice recommendations. Diabetes Care 31:S3-S4, 2008.
- Executive summary: standards of medical care in diabetes. Diabetes Care 31:S5-S11, 2008.
- Strategies for improving diabetes care. Diabetes Care 31:S44, 2008.

Electronic copies: Available from the [American Diabetes Association \(ADA\) Web site](#).

The following are also available:

- Diagnosis and classification of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 2008 Jan; 31 Suppl 1:S55-60. Electronic copies: Available from the [American Diabetes Association \(ADA\) Web site](#).
- 2008 clinical practice recommendations standards of care. Personal digital assistant (PDA) download. Available from the [American Diabetes Association \(ADA\) Web site](#).

PATIENT RESOURCES

None available

NGC STATUS

This summary was completed by ECRI on May 10, 2002. This summary was updated on July 29, 2003, March 23, 2004, July 1, 2005, and March 16, 2006. This summary was updated most recently by ECRI Institute on April 25, 2007. The updated information was verified by the guideline developer on April 30, 2007.

This summary was updated most recently by ECRI Institute on March 31, 2008.
The updated information was verified by the guideline developer on May 15, 2008.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

This NGC summary is based on the original guideline, which is copyrighted by the American Diabetes Association (ADA).

For information on guideline reproduction, please contact Alison Favors, Manager, Rights and Permissions by e-mail at permissions@diabetes.org.

For information about the use of the guidelines, please contact the Clinical Affairs Department at (703) 549-1500 ext. 1692.

DISCLAIMER

NGC DISCLAIMER

The National Guideline Clearinghouse™ (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at <http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx>.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding guideline content are directed to contact the guideline developer.

© 1998-2008 National Guideline Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 11/3/2008

