# **Complete Summary**

#### **GUIDELINE TITLE**

Anogenital warts. In: Sexually transmitted infections: UK national screening and testing guidelines.

# **BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)**

Maw R. Anogenital warts. In: Ross J, Ison C, Carder C, Lewis D, Mercey D, Young H. Sexually transmitted infections: UK national screening and testing guidelines. London (UK): British Association for Sexual Health and HIV (BASHH); 2006 Aug. p. 97-100. [7 references]

#### **GUIDELINE STATUS**

This is the current release of the guideline.

# **COMPLETE SUMMARY CONTENT**

SCOPE

METHODOLOGY - including Rating Scheme and Cost Analysis RECOMMENDATIONS EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS QUALIFYING STATEMENTS IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY **DISCLAIMER** 

## **SCOPE**

# **DISEASE/CONDITION(S)**

Anogenital warts caused by the human papilloma virus (HPV)

# **GUIDELINE CATEGORY**

Diagnosis Evaluation Risk Assessment Screening

#### **CLINICAL SPECIALTY**

Family Practice
Infectious Diseases
Internal Medicine
Obstetrics and Gynecology
Urology

#### **INTENDED USERS**

Advanced Practice Nurses Clinical Laboratory Personnel Nurses Physician Assistants Physicians Public Health Departments

## **GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE(S)**

- To provide advice on what tests for anogenital warts are most appropriate in a United Kingdom (UK) genitourinary (GU) clinic setting (excluding human immunodeficiency virus [HIV]-infected patients)
- To provide a basis for audit
- To support clinics when bidding for additional resources to meet national standards

## **TARGET POPULATION**

Individuals in the United Kingdom attending a genito-urinary medicine clinic

## **INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED**

- 1. Visual examination of anogenital skin
- 2. Speculum examination of the vagina and cervix
- 3. Human papillomavirus typing (not recommended in routine clinical practice)
- 4. Biopsy for histology if diagnosis is doubtful
- 5. Acetic acid test with colposcope (not to be used for screening)
- 6. Cervical cytology (not recommended for women under age 25)
- 7. Colposcopic-directed biopsy of exophytic cervical warts
- 8. Proctoscopy (not recommended except if anal irritation or bleeding)
- 9. Examination of oral cavity
- 10. Identification of risk groups
- 11. Frequency of testing
- 12. Follow-up for cure

### **MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED**

Sensitivity and specificity of test methods

## **METHODOLOGY**

# METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE

# DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE

This guideline was obtained by searching the Medline database from 1965 until August 2002 using the MeSH headings "genital warts, anogenital warts, diagnosis, quidelines."

## **NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS**

Not stated

# METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given)

## RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

## **Levels of Evidence**

Ia: Evidence obtained from meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials

**Ib**: Evidence obtained from at least one randomised controlled trial

**IIa**: Evidence obtained from at least one well designed controlled study without randomisation

**IIb**: Evidence obtained from at least one other type of well designed quasi-experimental study

III: Evidence obtained from well designed non-experimental descriptive studies

**IV**: Evidence obtained from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experience of respected authorities

## METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Systematic Review

# **DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE**

Not stated

# METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

**Expert Consensus** 

# DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The guidelines have been developed following the methodological framework of the Appraisal of Guidelines Research and Evaluation instrument (AGREE - adapted as described in *Int J STD and AIDS* 2004 15:297-305).

The extent to which the guideline represents the views of intended users has been addressed primarily by the authorship coming from the multidisciplinary membership of the Bacterial Special Interest Group (BSIG). As practising clinicians the authors were able to draw on their experience of applying the tests to symptomatic and asymptomatic patients, but it was not feasible to obtain formal input from representative patients.

## RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

# **Grading of Recommendations**

- A. Evidence at level Ia or Ib
- B. Evidence at level IIa, IIb, or III
- C. Evidence at level IV

## **COST ANALYSIS**

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

#### METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

External Peer Review Internal Peer Review

## **DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION**

After drafting, other health care professionals and professional bodies in genitourinary (GU) medicine were asked to comment, the draft guidelines posted on the British Association for Sexual Health and HIV (BASHH) website for 3 months, and all comments reviewed before final publication.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

### **MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS**

Definitions for the level of evidence (**I-IV**) and grade of recommendation (**A-C**) are provided at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

## **Recommended Tests**

 Visual examination which may be aided by a magnifying glass is the only recommended test for routine diagnosis. There is no place for human papillomavirus (HPV) typing in routine clinical practice. (Evidence Level IV, Grade of Recommendation C)

- If there is doubt as to the diagnosis, biopsy under local anaesthetic for histology is justifiable. Biopsy is indicated if there is a concern that a lesion may be dysplastic and may need a different management strategy to genital warts. (Evidence Level IV, Grade of Recommendation C)
- The acetic-acid test (i.e., soaking the skin under examination with 5% acetic acid and examination for "aceto-white" lesions) is occasionally justifiable for lesions that may be dysplastic or may not be warts or for targeting biopsy. This test should be aided by the use of a colposcope. There is a high false positive rate with the "aceto-white" test and it should not be used for screening purposes. (Evidence Level IIb, Grade of Recommendation B)
- Cervical cytology test is not recommended for women under 25 years of age and is not indicated for women who have kept their normal smear intervals.
   (Evidence Level IV, Grade of Recommendation C)
- Women with exophytic warts on the cervix should have colposcopic directed biopsy to exclude high grade cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) prior to treatment. (Evidence Level III, Grade of Recommendation B)

# **Recommended Sites for Testing**

Examination of anogenital skin and speculum examination of the vagina and cervix.

#### **Factors Which Alter Tests Recommended or Sites Tested**

Proctoscopy is not recommended except if the patient has symptoms such as bleeding from the anus or irritation. Warts identified in the anal canal during proctoscopy for other reasons should be discussed with the patient as to whether they wish them to be treated.

Examination of the oral cavity is indicated if the patient feels they may have warty lesions at that site.

# **Risk Groups**

- Gay men (no alteration to standard recommendation)
- Sex workers (no alteration to standard recommendation)
- Young patients (no alteration to standard recommendation)
- Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) positive gay men. There is a high
  prevalence of anal intraepithelial neoplasia (AIN) in this group and an
  increased incidence of anal carcinoma. It can be difficult to differentiate warty
  AIN from ordinary warts, and surgical biopsy is recommended in cases of
  doubt. A carcinoma would tend to present with a palpable lump, which to the
  patient might feel very similar to a wart. Patients presenting with lumps in the
  anal canal should be advised that further investigation may be indicated.

## Other

- Pregnant women (no alteration to standard recommendation)
- Women with history of hysterectomy (no alteration to standard recommendation)

 Patients who are known contacts of the infection and are not found to have any exophytic genital warts should be advised as to self examination of the genitals and advised to return for advice if they detect lesions. They should be advised that most persons developing warts as a result of recent contact do so within several months.

# Recommendation for Frequency of Repeat Testing in an Asymptomatic Patient

- As noted above, patients should self-refer if lesions appear.
- Some patients may be reassured by a follow up examination in 3 months' time.

## **Recommendation for Test of Cure**

Visual examination for clearance of warts is the only appropriate test of cure.

#### **Definitions:**

#### Levels of Evidence

Ia: Evidence obtained from meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials

**Ib**: Evidence obtained from at least one randomised controlled trial

**IIa**: Evidence obtained from at least one well designed controlled study without randomisation

**IIb**: Evidence obtained from at least one other type of well designed quasi-experimental study

III: Evidence obtained from well designed non-experimental descriptive studies

**IV**: Evidence obtained from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experience of respected authorities

## **Grading of Recommendations**

- A. Evidence at level Ia or Ib
- B. Evidence at level IIa, IIb, or III
- C. Evidence at level IV

## CLINICAL ALGORITHM(S)

None provided

# **EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS**

## TYPE OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The type of supporting evidence is identified and graded for selected recommendations (see "Major Recommendations").

# BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

## **POTENTIAL BENEFITS**

Appropriate identification and treatment of anogenital warts

## **POTENTIAL HARMS**

Not stated

# **QUALIFYING STATEMENTS**

# **QUALIFYING STATEMENTS**

Personnel involved in the management of patients in genito-urinary medicine clinics should be trained in identification of anogenital warts.

## **IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE**

## **DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY**

An implementation strategy was not provided.

## **IMPLEMENTATION TOOLS**

Audit Criteria/Indicators

For information about <u>availability</u>, see the "Availability of Companion Documents" and "Patient Resources" fields below.

# INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

## **IOM CARE NEED**

Getting Better Living with Illness

#### **IOM DOMAIN**

Effectiveness

# **IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY**

## **BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)**

Maw R. Anogenital warts. In: Ross J, Ison C, Carder C, Lewis D, Mercey D, Young H. Sexually transmitted infections: UK national screening and testing guidelines. London (UK): British Association for Sexual Health and HIV (BASHH); 2006 Aug. p. 97-100. [7 references]

#### **ADAPTATION**

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

#### **DATE RELEASED**

2006 Aug

## **GUIDELINE DEVELOPER(S)**

British Association for Sexual Health and HIV - Medical Specialty Society

# **SOURCE(S) OF FUNDING**

No specific or external funding was sought or provided in the development of this quideline.

## **GUIDELINE COMMITTEE**

Screening Guidelines Steering Committee Clinical Effectiveness Group (CEG)

## **COMPOSITION OF GROUP THAT AUTHORED THE GUIDELINE**

Author: Dr. Raymond Maw on behalf of the HPV Special Interest Group of British Association for Sexual Health and HIV (BASHH)

## FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

None

# **GUIDELINE STATUS**

This is the current release of the guideline.

# **GUIDELINE AVAILABILITY**

Electronic copies: Available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from <u>British</u> Association for Sexual Health and HIV Web Site.

## **AVAILABILITY OF COMPANION DOCUMENTS**

The following is available:

 Specifications for the development of UK guidelines on the management of sexually transmitted infections (STIs) and closely related conditions 2005.
 London (UK): British Association of Sexual Health and HIV (BASHH); 2005. 14
 p. Electronic copies: Available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from the British Association for Sexual Health and HIV Web site.

Additionally, auditable outcome measures can be found in the <u>original guideline</u> document.

#### **PATIENT RESOURCES**

None available

## **NGC STATUS**

This NGC summary was completed by ECRI Institute on June 26, 2008. The information was verified by the guideline developer on October 20, 2008.

## **COPYRIGHT STATEMENT**

This NGC summary is based on the original guideline, which is subject to the guideline developers and/or BMJ Publishing Group's copyright restrictions. Reproduction and use of this guideline is permitted provided that (a) the original content is not changed or edited; and, (b) any content derived from the original guideline is acknowledged as that of the author(s) and responsible organizations.

Readers wishing to download and reproduce material for purposes other than personal study or education should contact BMJPG to seek permission first. Contact: BMJ Publishing Group, BMA House, Tavistock Square, WC1H 9JR, UK.

## **DISCLAIMER**

## NGC DISCLAIMER

The National Guideline Clearinghouse<sup>™</sup> (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at <a href="http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx">http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx</a>.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of

developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding guideline content are directed to contact the guideline developer.

© 1998-2008 National Guideline Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 11/10/2008

