



Complete Summary

GUIDELINE TITLE

HealthPartners Dental Group and Clinics periodontal risk assessment guideline.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

HealthPartners Dental Group and Clinics periodontal risk assessment guideline.
Minneapolis (MN): HealthPartners; 2006 Mar 15. 23 p.

GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

COMPLETE SUMMARY CONTENT

SCOPE
METHODOLOGY - including Rating Scheme and Cost Analysis
RECOMMENDATIONS
EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS
BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE
INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT
CATEGORIES
IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY
DISCLAIMER

SCOPE

DISEASE/CONDITION(S)

Periodontal disease

GUIDELINE CATEGORY

Prevention
Risk Assessment

CLINICAL SPECIALTY

Dentistry

INTENDED USERS

Dentists

GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE(S)

- To provide a means of identifying patients at risk for developing periodontal disease and providing education and other interventions to the patient that can reduce this risk
- To better standardize the care according to the most current research, which, in turn, will allow dentists to tailor care and resources to better meet the individual's needs

TARGET POPULATION

HealthPartners Dental Group patients over the age of 18 years

INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED

1. Medical and dental history update
2. Periodontal charting
 - Full-mouth probing
 - Bleeding on probing
 - Plaque control record or index
 - Tooth mobility
 - Furcations
 - Gingival status
 - Determining diagnostic code
 - Completing risk assessment
3. Periodontal risk assessment
 - Consideration of four primary risk factors (smoking, diabetes, immunodeficiency, history of periodontal disease) and five modifying risk factors (family history of periodontal disease, ethnicity, age, plaque and calculus, professional dental frequency)

MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED

Not stated

METHODOLOGY

METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE

Searches of Electronic Databases

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE

An online search using Medline, PubMed, and current journal articles was conducted.

NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Not stated

METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Not stated

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Not applicable

METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Review

DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Not stated

METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Expert Consensus

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Articles were reviewed and discussed by a committee of dentists, including a periodontist.

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Not applicable

COST ANALYSIS

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

External Peer Review

DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

A draft of the guideline document was sent to expert reviewers for comment.

RECOMMENDATIONS

MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS

Measurements of Periodontal Disease

Each of these aspects of the appointment should be addressed at every recall.

1. Medical and Dental History Update

A. Medical History

1. HealthPartners Medical/Dental History screen is updated.
2. Be aware of questions relevant to periodontal disease; i.e., diabetes, acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS), certain medications, etc.

B. Dental History

1. Record date of last professional visit at the initial exam. Does the patient comply with recommended recall intervals?
2. Tobacco used:
 - Record in the medical history
 - Type and amount of tobacco used
3. Ask patient if there is a history of periodontal disease in the family.
4. Assess patient's dental knowledge regarding periodontal disease.
5. Oral hygiene habits: record patient's current oral hygiene habits and frequency
 - Frequency of brushing and flossing
 - Use of other adjuncts
6. Radiographs are essential for proper periodontal diagnosis. A panoramic film and bitewing radiographs do not provide the appropriate level of detail to meet this need. Posterior vertical bitewing radiographs can be used for both diagnosis of caries and monitoring the bone level.

2. Periodontal Charting

A. Full-Mouth Probing (FMP)

1. Each adult patient receives a FMP at their initial examination. Patients of record that have not had a full mouth probing recorded in their chart or electronic dental record (EDR) should have a baseline probing completed and recorded. All pockets, regardless of depth, are charted. Pockets that bleed on probing are circled in red. Bleeding points are noted in red in EDR. At subsequent visits, only pockets >3 mm or that bleed on probing are charted.
2. Walking the probe, regulated (standardized) pressure
3. Working end of probe is parallel to tooth surface.
4. Record the deepest measurement in each of 6 areas per tooth (3 facial, 3 lingual).
5. Periodontal Screening and Recording (PSR) may be considered an adequate probing alternative for healthy individuals.
6. Attachment Level: measured in millimeters, recession is the distance between the exposed cemento-enamel junction (CEJ) and the (more apically placed) gingival margin. Record most advanced area on the facial and lingual of each tooth.

B. Bleeding on Probing (BOP)

1. Probing should be done before the prophylaxis.

2. Generally is recorded directly after probing procedure, before rinsing, and before allowing patient to wipe tongue over teeth/gingival margin.
3. BOP records 6 potential areas per tooth.
4. Quantity of bleeding is not recorded in the BOP index, only whether blood was elicited or not elicited. A statement regarding quantity, spontaneity, general, or localized may be recorded in the progress notes.

C. Plaque Control Record or Index (PCR or PCI)

1. Defined: a method of quantifying the number of tooth surfaces in a patient's mouth that have plaque on them. By identifying where the plaque is found, the care provider can focus on the positive aspects of the patient's current home care (plaque removal), and offer suggestions to improve home care in other areas of high plaque. PCR records 4 surfaces per tooth: mesial, distal, buccal, and lingual (M, D, B, L).

D. Mobility

1. Use two, blunt-ended instruments to visually detect buccal-lingual movement accurately (not fingers).
2. Check mobility of all teeth.
3. Record class of mobility according to the total amount of movement:
 - <1.0 mm = 1
 - 1.0 mm–2.0 mm = 2
 - >2.0 mm or depressable = 3

E. Furcations

1. Can usually be detected accurately during the FMP procedures unless the pocket is deep in the furcation area. In this case, a Michigan probe may be useful.
2. Classifying:
 - Class I = detectable concavity on root trunk only, slight bone loss.
 - Class II = detectable roof in any furcation area on root trunk, partial bone loss between roots.
 - Class III = detectable through-and-through passage of the probe, no bone within the arch of the furcation.

F. Gingival Status

1. Describes in general/localized detail the appearance of attached and free gingival margin.
2. Categories could include:
 - Color (pink, red, cyanotic)
 - Shape and form of: gingival margin (rolled, knife-edged, clefts, recession, etc.)
 - Consistency and tone (edematous, friable, firm, etc.)
 - Bleeding, the best diagnostic sign of inflammation (generalized, localized, spontaneous)
 - Texture, least reliable (stippled, loss of stippling, etc.)
 - Exudate

G. Diagnosis Codes

1. Determine which diagnostic code best describes patient's periodontal status and record under the Perio tab in the EDR.

H. Risk Assessment

1. Complete the periodontal risk assessment under the Risk tab.

Periodontal Risk Assessment

In the current research, predictors for risk of developing periodontal disease have been discovered. The goal of this section is for guidance in using these factors to assess each individual patient's risk of developing periodontal disease.

The factors should be considered collectively to determine one's risk. This is not a cookbook or an absolute diagnosis of the patients' risk of future pathology, but rather a tool that helps predict the patient's periodontal future. This should be made clear to the patient when discussing the final risk assessment.

Clinical judgment, when used in concert with this tool, will dramatically increase the accuracy of the assessment.

Four Primary Risk Factors

Smoker

Positive

Current research suggests that the degree of risk of periodontal disease is dose dependent. Patients who smoke 10 cigarettes per day or more are considered heavy smokers and should be placed at the high risk level for this category. Those who smoke fewer than 10 cigarettes per day are considered light smokers and would be placed at the moderate risk level for this category.

Many of these patients may not clinically exhibit signs or symptoms of the disease due to the systemic changes that have occurred to the periodontal supportive tissues and their immune system.

This risk category specifically addresses cigarette smoking; however, pipe, cigar and smokeless forms of tobacco also increase the risk of various oral diseases.

Negative

Nonsmokers may be placed at the low risk level for this category.

Diabetic

Positive

The level of periodontal risk depends on whether the patient's diabetes is controlled or uncontrolled.

Uncontrolled

These patients may be placed at the high risk level for any infectious disease including periodontal disease.

Controlled

Because their diabetes is controlled, these patients have fewer systemic complications and therefore may be placed at the moderate risk level for this category. If the clinical signs and symptoms are not consistent with an expected controlled status, then a medical consult would be in order to verify the disease status.

Negative

These patients may be placed at the low risk level for this category.

Immunodeficient

Positive

Immunodeficient patients have a difficult time fending off bacterial diseases, and periodontal disease is no exception. Patients who are human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)(+) or receiving immunosuppressive medications are to be placed at a high risk level for this category.

Negative

These patients may be placed at the low risk level for this category.

History of Periodontal Disease

Positive

As periodontal disease is chronic, a determination of whether the disease is stabilized or active must be made.

Active

If active, continuing with this assessment is unnecessary: Follow the treatment plan for the active disease category.

Stabilized

This patient may be at risk to redevelop active periodontal disease. Most of these patients will appropriately fall into the high-risk group. However, some patients who have established a controlled state for a significantly long period of time may be better placed in the moderate group. Check to see if the lamina dura has been re-established. Clinical judgment is the final determinant.

Negative

Only those patients with no history of periodontal disease would be placed in the low risk group for this category. A negative history's predictive value is relative to age. Younger patients may be at risk without exhibited signs or symptoms of the disease.

Five Modifying Risk Factors

These factors should be used to help determine if a patient is at moderate or high risk. The patient would also have at least one of the four primary risk factors.

Family History of Periodontal Disease

Positive

This could indicate two associations:

1. The patient could have inherited traits that place them at risk.

OR

2. The patient may have become infected with the bacteria responsible for periodontal disease from family members.

Both of these would place the patient at the moderate risk level in most cases. However, if periodontal disease is prevalent in the patient's immediate family, it may be more appropriate to place the patient at the high-risk level for this category.

Negative

These patients may be placed at the low risk level for this category.

Ethnicity

Certain ethnic groups appear to be at higher risk for certain periodontal diseases. (i.e., Afro-American, Asian, American Indian.)

Age

The prevalence and severity of periodontal disease increases with age.

Plaque and Calculus

This category is more indicative of the patient's motivation, knowledge or compliance. The PCR (Plaque Control Record) will measure the quantity of plaque. Of greater importance is the bacterial composition of the plaque. Calculus will contribute to the chronicity of gingivitis or periodontitis.

Professional Dental Frequency

Patient who do not regularly visit the dentist have statistically more pocketing and are at a higher risk for experiencing attachment loss than patients who regularly visit the dentist.

Overall Risk Assessment

Once all nine risk factors have been examined, look at them collectively in order to give the patient an overall risk prediction of developing periodontal disease.

Low Risk

If none of the four primary risk factors is positive, the patient should be at a low risk level for developing periodontal disease.

Moderate Risk

The moderate risk level requires the most clinical judgment since the determination between moderate and low risk in the various categories is of great importance for proper risk assessment. Other considerations in determining periodontal risk include occlusal trauma and medications impacting the gingival tissues (e.g., Dilantin, calcium channel blockers, and antineoplastic medications).

High Risk

The first four categories have the most influence on predicting a high risk level:

1. Patient history of periodontal disease
2. Smoking (10 or more cigarettes per day)
3. Immunodeficiency
4. Diabetes (uncontrolled)
5. Systemic diseases impacting the periodontitis

If any one of these is positive, the patient may be considered at high risk for periodontal disease.

CLINICAL ALGORITHM(S)

Algorithms are provided in the original guideline document for "Periodontal Risk Assessment."

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

TYPE OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The type of evidence supporting the recommendations is not specifically stated.

BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

- Decreased prevalence of periodontal disease in HealthPartners Dental Group patients through early diagnosis and identification of risk factors
- Appropriate utilization of available resources to tailor care to meet the individual patient's needs
- Appropriate monitoring of patient outcome data in order to improve patient care delivery
- Increased use of preventive treatment options
- Education of patients and providers

POTENTIAL HARMS

Not stated

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

An implementation strategy was not provided.

IMPLEMENTATION TOOLS

Audit Criteria/Indicators
Clinical Algorithm

For information about [availability](#), see the "Availability of Companion Documents" and "Patient Resources" fields below.

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

IOM CARE NEED

Staying Healthy

IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness
Patient-centeredness

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

HealthPartners Dental Group and Clinics periodontal risk assessment guideline. Minneapolis (MN): HealthPartners; 2006 Mar 15. 23 p.

ADAPTATION

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

DATE RELEASED

2006 Mar

GUIDELINE DEVELOPER(S)

HealthPartners Dental Group - Professional Association

SOURCE(S) OF FUNDING

HealthPartners Dental Group

GUIDELINE COMMITTEE

Not stated

COMPOSITION OF GROUP THAT AUTHORED THE GUIDELINE

Not stated

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Not stated

GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

GUIDELINE AVAILABILITY

Electronic copies: None available

Print copies: Available from HealthPartners, 8170 33rd Avenue South, P.O. Box 1309, Minneapolis, MN 55440-1309; Phone: (952) 883-5151; Web site: <http://www.healthpartners.com>

AVAILABILITY OF COMPANION DOCUMENTS

A list of potential measures is available in the original guideline document.

PATIENT RESOURCES

None available

NGC STATUS

This NGC summary was completed by ECRI Institute on August 8, 2007. The information was verified by the guideline developer on August 28, 2007.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

No restrictions regarding downloading and use.

DISCLAIMER

NGC DISCLAIMER

The National Guideline Clearinghouse™ (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at <http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx>.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding guideline content are directed to contact the guideline developer.

© 1998-2008 National Guideline Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 11/3/2008



