



NATIONAL GUIDELINE CLEARINGHOUSE™ (NGC) GUIDELINE SYNTHESIS

MANAGEMENT OF EATING DISORDERS

Guidelines

- 1. American Psychiatric Association (APA). Practice guideline for the treatment of patients with eating disorders. 3rd ed. Washington (DC): American Psychiatric Association (APA); 2006 Jun. 128 p. [765 references]
- Finnish Medical Society Duodecim (FMSD). Eating disorders among children and adolescents. In: EBM Guidelines. Evidence-Based Medicine [Internet]. Helsinki, Finland: Wiley Interscience. John Wiley & Sons; 2007 Mar 28 [Various]
- 3. National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health (NCCMH/NICE). Eating disorders. Core interventions in the treatment and management of anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa and related eating disorders. Leicester (UK): British Psychological Society; 2004. 260 p. [408 references]

INTRODUCTION

A direct comparison of the American Psychiatric Association (APA), Finnish Medical Society Duodecim (FMSD), and National Collaborating Center for Mental Health/National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NCCMH/NICE) recommendations for the management of eating disorders is provided in the tables below.

Some guidelines are broader in scope than others. For example, in addition to management, FMSD and NCCMH/NICE also address the screening for and identification of eating disorders. These topics, however, are beyond the scope of this synthesis. The APA guideline includes a discussion of clinical features influencing the treatment plan, including the chronicity of eating disorders, other psychiatric factors, concurrent general medical conditions, and demographic variables. These topics, however, are also beyond the scope of this synthesis.

While FMSD focuses on anorexia and bulimia nervosa, APA and NCCMH/NICE also address atypical eating disorders such as binge eating disorder and eating disorders not otherwise specified (EDNOS). The APA and NCCMH/NICE guidelines address areas where more research is needed. In formulating its conclusions, APA reviewed the NCCMH/NICE guideline and NCCMH/NICE reviewed the previous (2000) version of the APA guideline.

The tables below provide a side-by-side comparison of key attributes of each guideline, including specific interventions and practices that are addressed. The

language used in these tables, particularly that which is used in <u>Table 4</u>, <u>Table 5</u>, and <u>Table 6</u>, is in most cases taken verbatim from the original guidelines:

- <u>Table 1</u> provides a quick-view glance at the primary interventions considered by each group.
- <u>Table 2</u> provides a comparison of the overall scope of both guidelines.
- <u>Table 3</u> provides a comparison of the methodology employed and documented by both groups in developing their guidelines.
- <u>Table 4</u> provides a more detailed comparison of the specific recommendations offered by each group for the topics under consideration in this synthesis, including:
 - Comprehensive Assessment and Coordination of Care
 - Determination of Treatment Setting
 - Physical Management/Nutritional Rehabilitation
 - <u>Psychological Interventions</u>
 - Pharmacological Interventions
 - Education
- <u>Table 5</u> lists the potential benefits and harms associated with the implementation of each guideline as stated in the original guidelines.
- <u>Table 6</u> presents the rating schemes used by APA, FMSD, and NCCMH/NICE to rate the level of evidence and/or the strength of the recommendations.

A summary discussion of the <u>areas of agreement</u> and <u>areas of differences</u> among the guidelines is presented following the content comparison tables.

Abbreviations

- APA, American Psychiatric Association
- BMI, body mass index
- CAT, cognitive analytic therapy
- CBT, cognitive behavior therapy
- CBT-BED, cognitive behavior therapy for binge eating disorder
- CBT-BN, cognitive behavior therapy for bulimia nervosa
- DSM-IV-TR, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition, Text Revision
- ECG, electrocardiogram
- FDA, U.S. Food and Drug Administration
- FMSD, Finnish Medical Society Duodecim
- IPT, interpersonal therapy
- MAOI, monoamine oxidase inhibitor
- NCCMH, National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health
- NICE, National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence
- SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor

TABLE 1: COMPARISON OF INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED ("-" indicates topic is addressed)				
	ΑΡΑ	FMSD	NCCMH/NICE	

	(2006)	(2007)	(2004)
Comprehensive Assessment and Coordination of Care	1	-	1
Determination of Treatment Setting	-	~	~
Physical Management/Nutritional Rehabilitation	1		×
Psychological Interventions	-	~	~
Pharmacological Interventions	-	~	~
Education	~		~

TABLE 2: COMPARISON OF GUIDELINE SCOPE			
	Objective and Scope		
APA (2006)	To provide guidance to psychiatrists in the assessment and care of patients with eating disorders		
FMSD (2007)	Evidence-Based Medicine Guidelines collect, summarize, and update the core clinical knowledge essential in general practice. The guidelines also describe the scientific evidence underlying the given recommendations.		
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	 To make recommendations for the identification, treatment, and management of eating disorders Specifically, to: Evaluate the role of specific psychological interventions in the treatment and management of eating disorders Evaluate the physical management and role of specific pharmacological agents in the treatment of eating disorders Evaluate the role of specific service delivery systems and service-level interventions in the management of eating disorders Integrate the above to provide best practice advice on the care of individuals with a diagnosis of an eating disorder throughout the course of the disorder Promote the implementation of best clinical practice through the development of recommendations tailored to the requirements of the National Health Service (NHS) in 		

	England and Wales		
	Target Population		
APA (2006)	 United States Patients of all ages from childhood to adulthood with eating disorders 		
FMSD (2007)	 Finland Children and adolescents with known or suspected eating disorders, such as anorexia nervosa or bulimia nervosa 		
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	 England and Wales People aged 8 years and over with eating disorders including anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, or related conditions 		
	Intended Users		
АРА (2006)	Physicians		
FMSD (2007)	Advanced Practice Nurses		
(2007)	Health Care Providers		
	Physicians		
	Psychologists/Non-physician Behavioral Health Clinicians		
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	Advanced Practice Nurses		
	Allied Health Personnel		
	Dentists		
	Dietitians		
	Emergency Medical Technicians/Paramedics		
	Health Care Providers		
	Hospitals		

Nurses
Occupational Therapists
Patients
Pharmacists
Physical Therapists
Physician Assistants
Physicians
Psychologists/Non-physician Behavioral Health Clinicians
Public Health Departments

	TABLE 3: COMPARISON OF METHODOLOGY		
Ν	lethods Used to Collect/Select the Evidence		
APA (2006)	 Searches of Electronic Databases <u>Described Process</u>: A MEDLINE search, using PubMed, of "anorexia nervosa OR bulimia OR binge eating disorder OR binge eating disorders" yielded 15,561 citations, of which 3,596 were published between 1998 and 2004, were written in English, and contained abstracts. Of these, 334 were reports of clinical trials (including randomized controlled trials) or meta-analyses. Abstracts for these articles as well as abstracts for an additional 634 review articles were screened individually for their relevance to the guideline. The Cochrane Library was also searched for relevant abstracts. Additional, less formal literature searches were conducted by American Psychiatric Association (APA) staff and individual members of the Work Group on Eating Disorders. <i>Number of Source Documents</i>: Not stated 		
	Number of References: 765		
FMSD	Hand-searches of Published Literature (Primary Sources)		

(2007)	 Hand-searches of Published Literature (Secondary Sources) Searches of Electronic Databases
	Described Process:
	The evidence reviewed was collected from the Cochrane database of systematic reviews and the Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness (DARE). In addition, the Cochrane Library and medical journals were searched specifically for original publications.
	Number of Source Documents: Not stated
	<u>Number of References</u> : 8
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	 Hand-searches of Published Literature (Primary Sources) Hand-searches of Published Literature (Secondary Sources) Searches of Electronic Databases
	Described Process:
	In conducting the review, the team systematically searched the literature for all English language systematic reviews relevant to the eating disorders scope that were published or updated after 1995.
	Search filters developed by the review team consisted of a combination of subject heading and free-text phrases. A general filter was developed for eating disorders along with more specific filters for each clinical question. In addition, filters were developed for randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and for other appropriate research designs. (The search filters can be found in Appendix 8 of the original document.)
	Electronic searches were made of the major bibliographic databases (MEDLINE, EMBASE, PsycINFO, CINAHL), in addition to the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, the National Health Service Research and Development (NHS R&D) Health Technology Assessment database, Evidence- Based Mental Health and Clinical Evidence (Issue 5).
	Ineligible articles were excluded, and a second independent reviewer crosschecked these for relevance. The remaining references were acquired in full and re-evaluated for eligibility. The most recently published reviews that appropriately addressed a clinical question were selected. For each systematic review used, a search was made for new studies, and the papers for these and for existing studies

were retrieved.

The search for further evidence included research published after each review's search date, in-press papers identified by experts, and reviewing reference lists and recent contents of selected journals. All reports that were retrieved but later excluded are listed with reasons for exclusion in the appropriate evidence table. Where no relevant systematic reviews were located, the review team asked the Guideline Development Group (GDG) to decide whether a fresh systematic review should be undertaken. Eligible reviews were critically appraised for methodological quality and the reliability of this procedure was confirmed by parallel independent assessment. The eligibility/quality assessment was tested on a representative sample of papers. (Appendix 10 of the original document provides the quality checklist.)

<u>Cost Analysis</u>

Bibliographic electronic databases and health economic databases were searched for economic evidence using the combination of a specially developed health economics search filter and a general filter for eating disorders. A combination of subject headings and free text searches were used where possible. (The search strategies and the databases searched are presented in Appendix 12 of the full version of the original guideline document.) The search for further evidence included papers from reference lists of eligible studies and relevant reviews. Experts in the field of eating disorders and mental health economics were also contacted to identify additional relevant published and unpublished studies. Studies included in the clinical evidence review and stakeholders' submissions were also screened for economic evidence.

Upon completion of the database searches, titles and abstracts of all references were screened for relevance to the scope of the guideline. The health economist then assessed relevant papers using a modified version of the Drummond et al. checklist (see Appendix 13 of the full version of the original guideline document).

Number of Source Documents: Not stated

Number of References: 408

Methods Used to Assess the Quality and Strength of the Evidence

APA (2006) Expert Consensus (Committee)

FMSD (2007)	Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given - Refer to Table 6)
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given - Refer to Table 6)
	Methods Used to Analyze the Evidence
APA (2006)	Review of Published Meta-AnalysesSystematic Review with Evidence Tables
	<u>Described Process</u> :
	The Work Group on Eating Disorders constructed evidence tables to illustrate the data regarding risks and benefits for each treatment and to evaluate the quality of the data. These tables facilitated group discussion of the evidence and agreement on treatment recommendations before guideline text was written. Evidence tables do not appear in the guideline; however, they are retained by APA to document the development process in case queries are received and to inform revisions of the guideline.
FMSD (2007)	Review of Published Meta-AnalysesSystematic Review
	Described Process: Not stated
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	 Meta-Analysis Review of Published Meta-Analyses Systematic Review with Evidence Tables
	<u>Described Process</u> :
	Synthesising the Evidence
	Where possible, outcome data were extracted directly from all eligible studies that met the quality criteria into Review Manager 4.2 (Cochrane Collaboration, 2003). Meta-analysis was then used to synthesise the evidence where appropriate using Review Manager. If necessary, reanalyses of the data or sensitivity analyses were used to answer clinical questions not addressed in the original studies or reviews. Where meta- analysis was not appropriate and/or possible, the reported results from each primary-level study were entered into the Access database. Evidence tables were used to summarise general information about each study. Consultation was used to overcome difficulties with coding. Data from studies included in existing systematic reviews were extracted independently by one reviewer directly into Review Manager

and crosschecked with the existing data set. Two independent reviewers extracted data from new studies, and disagreements were resolved by discussion. Where consensus could not be reached, a third reviewer resolved the disagreement. Masked assessment (i.e., blind to the journal from which the article comes, the authors, the institution, and the magnitude of the effect) was not used since it is unclear that doing so reduces bias.

Presenting the Data to the Guideline Development Group (GDG)

Where possible, the GDG was given a graphical presentation of the results using forest plots generated with the Review Manager software. Each forest plot displayed the effect size and confidence interval (CI) for each study as well as the overall summary statistic. The graphs were organised so that the display of data in the area to the left of the "line of no effect" indicated a "favourable" outcome for the treatment in question. Dichotomous outcomes were presented as relative risks (RR) and the associated 95 percent CI. A relative risk (or risk ratio) is the ratio of the treatment event rate to the control event rate. A RR of 1 indicates no difference between treatment and control.

All dichotomous outcomes were calculated on an intention-totreat basis (i.e., a "once-randomised- always-analyse" basis). This assumes that those participants who ceased to engage in the study -- from whatever group -- had an unfavourable outcome (with the exception of the outcome of "death"). The Number Needed to Treat (NNT) or the Number Needed to Harm (NNH) was reported for each statistically significant outcome where the baseline risk (i.e., control group event rate) was similar across studies. In addition, NNTs calculated at follow-up were only reported where the length of follow-up was similar across studies. When length of follow-up or baseline risk varies (especially with low risk), the NNT is a poor summary of the treatment effect.

Both the I^2 test of heterogeneity and the chi-squared test of heterogeneity (p<0.10) were used, as well as visual inspection of the forest plots, to look for the possibility of heterogeneity. I^2 describes the proportion of total variation in study estimates that is due to heterogeneity. An I^2 of less than 30 percent was taken to indicate mild heterogeneity and a fixed effects model was used to synthesise the results. An I^2 of more than 50 percent was taken as notable heterogeneity. In this case an attempt was made to explain the variation. If studies with heterogeneous results were found to be comparable, a random effects model was used to summarise the results. In the random effects analysis,

	heterogeneity is accounted for both in the width of CIs and in the estimate of the treatment effect. With decreasing heterogeneity the random effects approach moves asymptotically towards a fixed effects model. An I ² of 30 to 50 percent was taken to indicate moderate heterogeneity. In this case, both the chi-squared test of heterogeneity and a visual inspection of the forest plot were used to decide between a fixed and random effects model. To explore the possibility that the results entered into each meta-analysis suffered from publication bias, data from included studies were entered, where there was sufficient data, into a funnel plot. Asymmetry of the plot was taken to indicate possible publication bias and investigated further.
Met	hods Used to Formulate the Recommendations
APA (2006)	 Expert Consensus (refer to Table 6 for rating scheme) <u>Described Process</u>: This practice guideline was developed under the auspices of the Steering Committee on Practice Guidelines. The development process is detailed in "APA Guideline Development Process," which is available from the APA Department of Quality Improvement and Psychiatric Services. The key features of this process include the following: A comprehensive literature review to identify all relevant randomized clinical trials as well as less rigorously designed clinical trials may unavailable Development of evidence tables that summarized the key features of each identified study, including funding source, study design, sample sizes, subject characteristics, treatment characteristics, and treatment outcomes Initial drafting of the guideline by a work group that included psychiatrists with clinical and research expertise in eating disorders Production of multiple revised drafts with widespread review (10 organizations and 58 individuals submitted significant comments) Approval by the APA Assembly and Board of Trustees Planned revisions at regular intervals
FMSD (2007)	Not stated

NCCMH/NICE (2004)	• Expert Consensus (Refer to <u>Table 6</u> for Rating Scheme)
	<u>Described Process</u> :
	The Guideline Development Group (GDG)
	The eating disorders GDG consisted of professionals in psychiatry, clinical psychology, nursing, social work, and general practice; academic experts in psychiatry and psychology; a patient, and a representative from a patient organisation. The carer perspective was provided through focus group discussion with carers; the group was run by the patient on the GDG. The guideline development process was supported by staff from the NCCMH review team, who undertook the clinical and health economics literature searches, reviewed and presented the evidence to the GDG, managed the process, and contributed to the drafting of the guideline.
	Guideline Development Group Meetings
	Twenty-three eating disorders GDG meetings were held between March 2002 and October 2003. During the series of day-long meetings, clinical questions were written, clinical evidence was reviewed and assessed, statements were developed, and recommendations were formulated. At each meeting, all GDG members declared any potential conflict of interests, and patient and carer concerns were routinely discussed as part of a standing agenda.
	Forming and Grading the Statements and Recommendations
	The evidence tables and forest plots formed the basis for developing clinical statements and recommendations. For intervention studies, the statements were classified according to an accepted hierarchy of evidence. Recommendations were then graded A to C based on the level of associated evidence (see Table 6).
	In order to facilitate consistency in generating and drafting the clinical statements the GDG utilised a statement decision tree. The flowchart was designed to assist with, but not replace, clinical judgement.
	Where a statistically significant summary statistic (effect size [ES]) was obtained (after controlling for heterogeneity), the GDG considered whether this finding was of clinical significance (i.e., likely to be of benefit to patients) taking into account the trial population, nature of the outcome, and

size of the effect. On the basis of this consideration the ES was characterised as "clinically significant" or not. A further consideration was made about the strength of the evidence by examining the confidence interval (CI) surrounding the ES. For level **I** evidence, where the ES was judged to be clinically significant and had a CI entirely within a clinical relevant range, the result was characterised as "strong evidence" (S1). For non-level **I** evidence or in situations where the upper/lower bound of the CI was not clinically significant, the result was characterised as "limited evidence" (S2). Where an ES was statistically significant, but not clinically significant and the CI excluded values judged to be clinically important, the result was characterised as "unlikely to be clinically significant" (S3). Alternatively, if the CI included clinically important values, the result was characterised as "insufficient to determine clinical significance" (S6). Where a non-statistically significant ES was obtained, the GDG reviewed the trial population, nature of the outcome, size of the effect and, in particular, the CI surrounding the result. If the CI was narrow and excluded a clinically significant ES, this was seen as indicating evidence of "no clinically significant difference" (S4), but where the CI was wide this was seen as indicating 'insufficient evidence' to determine if there was a clinically significant difference or not (S5).

Once all evidence statements relating to a particular clinical question were finalised and agreed by the GDG, the associated recommendations were produced and graded. Grading the recommendations allowed the GDG to distinguish between the level of evidence and the strength of the associated recommendation. It is possible that a statement of evidence would cover only one part of an area in which a recommendation was to be made or would cover it in a way that would conflict with other evidence. In order to produce more comprehensive recommendations suitable for people in England and Wales, the GDG had to extrapolate from the available evidence. This led to a weaker level of recommendation (i.e., B, as data were based upon level I evidence). It is important to note that the grading of the recommendation is not a reflection of its clinical significance or relevance.

A number of issues relating to the study of eating disorders meant that the outcomes available for analysis were classified as primary or secondary. When making recommendations, the primary outcomes were given more weight during the decision process.

The process also allowed the GDG to moderate recommendations based on factors other than the strength of

evidence. Such considerations include the applicability of the evidence to people with eating disorders, economic considerations, values of the development group and society, or the group's awareness of practical issues.

Method Used to Answer a Clinical Question in the Absence of Appropriately Designed, High-Quality Research

Where it was not possible to identify at least one appropriately designed study or high-quality systematic review, or where the GDG was of the opinion (on the basis of previous searches or their knowledge of the literature) that there was unlikely to be appropriately designed primary-level research that directly addressed the clinical question, an informal consensus process was adopted. This process focused on those questions that the GDG considered a priority.

The starting point for this process of informal consensus was that a member of the topic group identified, with help from the systematic reviewer, a narrative review that most directly addressed the clinical question. Where this was not possible a new review of the recent literature was initiated.

This existing narrative review or new review was used as a basis for identifying lower levels of evidence relevant to the clinical question. This was then presented for discussion to the GDG. On the basis of this, additional information was sought and added to the information collected. This may include studies that did not directly address the clinical question but were thought to contain relevant data. This led to the development of an initial draft report that addressed the following issues:

- A description of what is known about the issues concerning the clinical question
- Brief review of the existing evidence, including RCTs, non-randomised controlled studies, cohort studies, and other studies that help answer the question
- The summary of the evidence so far obtained. This was then presented in narrative form to the GDG and further comments were sought about the evidence and its perceived relevance to the clinical question.
- If, during the course of preparing the report, a significant body of primary-level studies (of appropriate design to answer the question) were identified, a full systematic review was done.
- At this time, subject possibly to further reviews of the evidence, a series of statements that directly addressed the clinical question were developed.

	 Following this, on occasions and as deemed appropriate by the development group, the report was then sent to appointed experts outside of the GDG for peer review and comment. The information from this process was then fed back to the GDG for further discussion of the statements. Recommendations were then developed and could also be sent for further external peer review. After this final stage of comment, the statements and recommendations were again reviewed and agreed upon by the GDG.
	Outcomes
APA (2006)	 Anorexia Nervosa Amount of weight gained within specified time intervals Proportion of patients achieving a specified percentage of expected body weight Return of menses Measures of severity or frequency of eating disorder behaviors Bulimia Nervosa Reduction in the frequency or severity of eating disorder behaviors (e.g., binge eating, vomiting, laxative use) Proportion of patients achieving remission from or a specific reduction in eating disorder behaviors)
FMSD (2007)	 Eating disorder symptomatology Weight gain Efficacy of treatment at reducing symptoms of eating disorders and improving prognosis Mortality
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	 Body weight adjusted for height, usually represented as the BMI or the percentage of expected weight for the person's age, height, and sex (for anorexia nervosa) Frequency of binge eating and "purging" (self-induced vomiting or the use of laxatives to influence body shape or weight); that is, the frequency of these forms of behaviour over a set period of time (for bulimia nervosa) The proportion of participants who no longer practise the behaviour (sometime referred to as the "abstinence" rates) The frequency of binge eating, represented as for bulimia

	nervosa (for binge eating disorder) Relapse rates Cost-effectiveness of treatment Financial Disclosures/Conflicts of Interest		
APA (2006)	This practice guideline has been developed by psychiatrists who are in active clinical practice. In addition, some contributors are primarily involved in research or other academic endeavors. It is possible that through such activities some contributors, including work group members and reviewers, have received income related to treatments discussed in this guideline. A number of mechanisms are in place to minimize the potential for producing biased recommendations due to conflicts of interest. Work group members are selected on the basis of their expertise and integrity. Any work group member or reviewer who has a potential conflict of interest that may bias (or appear to bias) his or her work is asked to disclose this to the Steering Committee on Practice Guidelines and the work group. Iterative guideline drafts are reviewed by the Steering Committee, other experts, allied organizations, APA members, and the APA Assembly and Board of Trustees; substantial revisions address or integrate the comments of these multiple reviewers. The development of the APA practice guidelines is not financially supported by any commercial organization.		
FMSD (2007)	Not stated		
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	All Guideline Development Group (GDG) members made formal declarations of interest at the outset, which were updated at every GDG meeting.		

TABLE 4: COMPARISON OF RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE MANAGEMENT/TREATMENT OF EATING DISORDERS Comprehensive Assessment and Coordination of Care	
	a therapeutic alliance, which is enhanced by empathic comments and behaviors, positive regard, reassurance, and support [I] .

 Basic psychiatric management includes support through the provision of educational materials, including self-help workbooks; information on community-based and Internet resources; and direct advice to patients and their families (if they are involved) [I]. A team approach is the recommended model of care [I].
Coordinating Care and Collaborating with Other Clinicians
In treating adults with eating disorders, the psychiatrist may assume the leadership role within a program or team that includes other physicians, psychologists, registered dietitians, and social workers or may work collaboratively on a team led by others.
 For the management of acute and ongoing medical and dental complications, it is important that psychiatrists consult other physician specialists and dentists [I]. When a patient is managed by an interdisciplinary team in an outpatient setting, communication among the professionals is essential to monitoring the patient's progress, making necessary adjustments to the treatment plan, and delineating the specific roles and tasks of each team member [I].
Assessing and Monitoring Eating Disorder Symptoms
and Behaviors
 and Behaviors The complete assessment usually requires at least several hours and includes a thorough review of the patient's height and weight history; restrictive and binge eating and exercise patterns and their changes; purging and other compensatory behaviors; core attitudes regarding weight, shape, and eating; and associated psychiatric conditions [1]
 and Behaviors The complete assessment usually requires at least several hours and includes a thorough review of the patient's height and weight history; restrictive and binge eating and exercise patterns and their changes; purging and other compensatory behaviors; core attitudes regarding weight, shape, and eating; and associated psychiatric conditions [I]. A family history of eating disorders or other psychiatric disorders, including alcohol and other substance use disorders; a family history of obesity; family interactions in relation to the patient's disorder; and family attitudes toward eating, exercise, and appearance are all relevant to the assessment [I].
 and Behaviors The complete assessment usually requires at least several hours and includes a thorough review of the patient's height and weight history; restrictive and binge eating and exercise patterns and their changes; purging and other compensatory behaviors; core attitudes regarding weight, shape, and eating; and associated psychiatric conditions [I]. A family history of eating disorders or other psychiatric disorders, including alcohol and other substance use disorders; a family history of obesity; family interactions in relation to the patient's disorder; and family attitudes toward eating, exercise, and appearance are all relevant to the assessment [I]. A clinician's articulation of theories that imply blame or permit family members to blame one another or themselves can alienate family members from involvement in the treatment and therefore be detrimental to the patient's care and recovery [I]. It is important to identify family stressors whose amelioration may facilitate recovery [I].

school personnel and health professionals who routinely work with the patient **[I]**.

Assessing and Monitoring the Patient's General Medical Condition

- A full physical examination of the patient is strongly recommended and may be performed by a physician familiar with common findings in patients with eating disorders. The examination should give particular attention to vital signs, physical status (including height and weight), cardiovascular and peripheral vascular function, dermatological manifestations, and evidence of self-injurious behaviors **[I]**.
- Calculation of the patient's BMI is also useful ([for ages 2-20] and [for adults]) [I].
- Early recognition of eating disorder symptoms and early intervention may prevent an eating disorder from becoming chronic **[I]**.
- During treatment, it is important to monitor the patient for shifts in weight, blood pressure, pulse, other cardiovascular parameters, and behaviors likely to provoke physiological decline and collapse **[I]**.
- Patients with a history of purging behaviors should also be referred for a dental examination **[I]**.
- Bone density examinations should be obtained for patients who have been amenorrheic for 6 months or more [I].
- In younger patients, examination should include growth pattern, sexual development (including sexual maturity rating), and general physical development **[I]**.
- The need for laboratory analyses should be determined on an individual basis depending on the patient's condition or the laboratory tests' relevance to making treatment decisions **[I]**.

Assessing and Monitoring the Patient's Safety and Psychiatric Status

- The patient's safety will be enhanced when particular attention is given to suicidal ideation, plans, intentions, and attempts as well as to impulsive and compulsive self-harm behaviors **[I]**.
- Other aspects of the patient's psychiatric status that greatly influence clinical course and outcome and that are important to assess include mood, anxiety, and substance use disorders, as well as motivational status, personality traits, and personality disorders **[I]**.
- Assessment for suicidality is of particular importance in patients with co-occurring alcohol and other substance

	use disorders [I].
	Providing Family Assessment and Treatment
	 For children and adolescents with anorexia nervosa, family involvement and treatment are essential [I]. For older patients, family assessment and involvement may be useful and should be considered on a case-by-case basis [II]. Involving spouses and partners in treatment may be highly desirable [II].
FMSD (2007)	 <u>Treatment</u> If the symptoms correspond to the diagnostic criteria of
	 anorexia nervosa, the situation should be discussed with the family before treatment is arranged. The adolescent and his or her family should be made aware of the seriousness of the disorder. Sometimes it takes time to motivate the patient to participate in the treatment. The treatment is divided into Restoring the state of nutrition Psychotherapeutic treatment
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	Care Across All Conditions
	Assessment and Coordination of Care
	C - Assessment of people with eating disorders should be comprehensive and include physical, psychological, and social needs and a comprehensive assessment of risk to self.
	C - The level of risk to the patient's mental and physical health should be monitored as treatment progresses because it may changefor example, following weight gain or at times of transition between services in cases of anorexia nervosa.
	C - For people with eating disorders presenting in primary care, general practitioners (GPs) should take responsibility for the initial assessment and the initial coordination of care. This includes the determination of the need for emergency medical or psychiatric assessment.
	C - Where management is shared between primary and secondary care, there should be clear agreement among individual health care professionals on the responsibility for monitoring patients with eating disorders. This agreement

should be in writing (where appropriate using the care programme approach) and should be shared with the patient and, where appropriate, his or her family and carers.

Providing Good Information and Support

C - Health care professionals should acknowledge that many people with eating disorders are ambivalent about treatment. Health care professionals should also recognise the consequent demands and challenges this presents.

Getting Help Early

C - People with eating disorders seeking help should be assessed and receive treatment at the earliest opportunity.

C - Whenever possible patients should be engaged and treated before reaching severe emaciation. This requires both early identification and intervention. Effective monitoring and engagement of patients at severely low weight or with falling weight should be a priority.

Additional Considerations for Children and Adolescents

C - Family members, including siblings, should normally be included in the treatment of children and adolescents with eating disorders. Interventions may include sharing of information, advice on behavioural management, and facilitating communication.

C - In children and adolescents with eating disorders, growth and development should be closely monitored. Where development is delayed or growth is stunted despite adequate nutrition, paediatric advice should be sought.

C - Health care professionals assessing children and adolescents with eating disorders should be alert to indicators of abuse (emotional, physical and sexual) and should remain so throughout treatment.

 ${\bf C}$ - The right to confidentiality of children and adolescents with eating disorders should be respected.

C - Health care professionals working with children and adolescents with eating disorders should familiarise themselves with national guidelines and their employers' policies in the area of confidentiality.

<u>Anorexia Nervosa</u>

	Assessment and Management of Anorexia Nervosa in Primary Care
	C - In anorexia nervosa, although weight and BMI are important indicators of physical risk they should not be considered the sole indicators (as they are unreliable in adults and especially in children).
	C - Patients with enduring anorexia nervosa not under the care of a secondary care service should be offered an annual physical and mental health review by their GP.
	Identification of Eating Disorders in Primary Care
	Screening
	Initial Physical Assessment
	The rationale for physical assessment is more to determine the presence and severity of emaciation and secondary physical consequences of the anorexia nervosa than to ascertain the primary diagnosis.
	It should include as a minimum:
	 Height weight and BMI Centile charts for age less than 18 Pulse and blood pressure
	The following may also be helpful to assess the risk of physical instability:
	 Core temperature (this is easily done by ear thermometer) Examination of peripheries (circulation and oedema) Cardiovascular examination including postural hypotension Situp/squat test (a test of muscle power)
	Determination of Treatment Setting
APA (2006)	Psychiatric Management
(2000)	Choosing a Treatment Site
	Services available for treating eating disorders can range from intensive inpatient programs (in which general medical care is readily available) to residential and partial

hospitalization programs to varying levels of outpatient care (in which the patient receives general medical treatment, nutritional counseling, and/or individual, group, and family psychotherapy). Because specialized programs are not available in all geographic areas and their financial requirements are often significant, access to these programs may be limited; petition, explanation, and follow-up by the psychiatrist on behalf of patients and families may help procure access to these programs.
 Pretreatment evaluation of the patient is essential in choosing the appropriate treatment setting [I]. In determining a patient's initial level of care or whether a change to a different level of care is appropriate, it is important to consider the patient's overall physical condition, psychology, behaviors, and social circumstances rather than simply rely on one or more physical parameters, such as weight [I]. Weight in relation to estimated individually healthy weight, the rate of weight loss, cardiac function, and metabolic status are the most important physical parameters to be considered when choosing a treatment setting; other psychosocial parameters are also important [I]
 Healthy weight estimates for a given individual must be determined by that person's physicians [I]. Such estimates may be based on historical considerations (often including that person's growth charts) and, for women, the weight at which healthy menstruation and ovulation resume, which may be higher than the weight at which menstruation and ovulation became impaired. Admission to or continuation of an intensive level of care (e.g., hospitalization) may be necessary when access to a less intensive level of care (e.g., partial hospitalization) is absent because of geography or a lack of resources
 Generally, adult patients who weigh less than approximately 85% of their individually estimated healthy weights have considerable difficulty gaining weight outside of a highly structured program [II]. Such programs, including inpatient care, may be medically and psychiatrically necessary even for some patients who are above 85% of their individually estimated healthy weight [I]. Factors suggesting that hospitalization may be appropriate include rapid or persistent decline in oral intake, a decline in weight despite maximally intensive outpatient or partial hospitalization interventions, the presence of additional stressors that may interfere with the patient's ability to eat, knowledge of the weight at which instability proviously pagesting that provide the patient or partial hospitalization as the presence of additional stressors that may interfere with the patient's ability to eat, knowledge of the weight at which instability provide pagesting that provide the patient or partial hospitalization particulation interventions.

 occurring psychiatric problems that merit hospitalization, and the degree of the patient's denial and resistance to participate in his or her own care in less intensively supervised settings [I]. Hospitalization should occur before the onset of medical instability as manifested by abnormalities in vital signs (e.g., marked orthostatic hypotension with an increase in pulse of 20 beats per minute (bpm) or a drop in standing blood pressure of 20 millimeters of mercury (mmHg), bradycardia <40 bpm, tachycardia >110 bpm, or an inability to sustain core body temperature), physical findings, or laboratory tests [I]. To avert potentially irreversible effects on physical growth and development, many children and adolescents require inpatient medical treatment, even when weight loss, although rapid, has not been as severe as that suggesting a need for hospitalization in adult patients [I]. Patients who are physiologically stabilized on acute medical units will still require specific inpatient treatment for eating disorders if they do not meet biopsychosocial criteria for less intensive levels of care and/or if no suitable less intensive levels of care as the sole criterion for discharge from inpatient care [I]. Assisting patients in determining and practicing appropriate food intake at a healthy body weight is likely to decrease the chances of their relapsing after discharge [I]. If the patient is going from one treatment setting or locale to another, transition planning requires that the care team in the new setting or locale be identified and that specific patient appointments be made [I]. It is preferable that a specific clinician on the team be designated as the primary coordinator of care to ensure continuity and attention to important aspects of tree to ensure continuity and attention to important aspects of the patient is done the primary coordinator of care to ensure continuity and attention to important aspects of the patient is poffective.
 If the patient is going from one treatment setting of locale to another, transition planning requires that the care team in the new setting or locale be identified and that specific patient appointments be made [I]. It is preferable that a specific clinician on the team be designated as the primary coordinator of care to ensure continuity and attention to important aspects of
 treatment [II]. Most patients with uncomplicated bulimia nervosa do not require hospitalization; indications for the hospitalization of such patients include severe disabling symptoms that have not responded to adequate trials of outpatient treatment, serious concurrent general medical problems (e.g., metabolic abnormalities, hematemesis, vital sign changes, uncontrolled vomiting), suicidality, psychiatric disturbances that would warrant the patient's hospitalization independent of the eating disorder diagnosis, or severe concurrent alcohol or drug dependence or abuse [I].
Legal interventions, including involuntary nospitalization

FMSD (2007)	Treatment
	 If the state of malnutrition is life threatening, the patient is first treated in a somatic ward, and thereafter the adolescent is guided into therapy if possible. A prolonged state of malnutrition and insufficient outpatient care are reasons to direct a patient into forced treatment. Related Resources
	 Evidence on inpatient versus outpatient care for eating disorders is insufficient for making firm conclusions [D].
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	Service Interventions for Anorexia Nervosa
(2004)	This section considers those aspects of the service system relevant to the treatment and management of anorexia nervosa.
	C - Most people with anorexia nervosa should be treated on an outpatient basis.
	C - Where inpatient management is required, this should be provided within reasonable travelling distance to enable the involvement of relatives and carers in treatment, to maintain social and occupational links, and to avoid difficulty in transition between primary and secondary care services. This is particularly important in the treatment of children and adolescents.
	C - Inpatient treatment should be considered for people with anorexia nervosa whose disorder is associated with high or moderate physical risk.
	C - People with anorexia nervosa requiring inpatient treatment should be admitted to a setting that can provide the skilled implementation of refeeding with careful physical monitoring (particularly in the first few days of refeeding), in combination with psychosocial interventions.
	C - Inpatient treatment or day patient treatment should be considered for people with anorexia nervosa whose disorder has not improved with appropriate outpatient treatment, or for whom there is a significant risk of suicide or severe self-harm.
	${\bf C}$ - Health care professionals without specialist experience of eating disorders, or in situations of uncertainty, should

consider seeking advice from an appropriate specialist when contemplating a compulsory admission for a patient with anorexia nervosa, regardless of the age of the patient.
C - Health care professionals managing patients with anorexia nervosa, especially that of the binge purging sub-type, should be aware of the increased risk of self-harm and suicide, particularly at times of transition between services or service settings.
Additional Considerations for Children and Adolescents
C - Admission of children and adolescents with anorexia nervosa should be to age-appropriate facilities (with the potential for separate children and adolescent services), which have the capacity to provide appropriate educational and related activities.
C - When a young person with anorexia nervosa refuses treatment that is deemed essential, consideration should be given to the use of the Mental Health Act 1983 or the right of those with parental responsibility to override the young person's refusal.
C - Relying indefinitely on parental consent to treatment should be avoided. It is recommended that the legal basis under which treatment is being carried out should be recorded in the patient's case notes, and this is particularly important in the case of children and adolescents.
C - For children and adolescents with anorexia nervosa, where issues of consent to treatment are highlighted, health care professionals should consider seeking a second opinion from an eating disorders specialist.
C - If the patient with anorexia nervosa and those with parental responsibility refuse treatment, and treatment is deemed to be essential, legal advice should be sought in order to consider proceedings under the Children Act 1989.
Service Interventions for Bulimia Nervosa
The great majority of patients with bulimia nervosa can be treated as outpatients. There is a very limited role for the inpatient treatment of bulimia nervosa. This is primarily concerned with the management of suicide risk or severe self-harm.
${f C}$ - The great majority of patients with bulimia nervosa

	should be treated in an outpatient setting.
	C - For patients with bulimia nervosa who are at risk of suicide or severe self-harm, admission as an inpatient or day patient, or the provision of more intensive outpatient care, should be considered.
	C - Psychiatric admission for people with bulimia nervosa should normally be undertaken in a setting with experience of managing this disorder.
	C - Health care professionals should be aware that patients with bulimia nervosa who have poor impulse control, notably substance misuse, may be less likely to respond to a standard programme of treatment. As a consequence treatment should be adapted to the problems presented.
Physical Management/Nutritional Rehabilitation	
APA (2006)	Choice of Specific Treatments for Anorexia Nervosa
(2000)	The aims of treating anorexia nervosa are to 1) restore patients to a healthy weight (associated with the return of menses and normal ovulation in female patients, normal sexual drive and hormone levels in male patients, and normal physical and sexual growth and development in children and adolescents); 2) treat physical complications; 3) enhance patients' motivation to cooperate in the restoration of healthy eating patterns and participate in treatment; 4) provide education regarding healthy nutrition and eating patterns; 5) help patients reassess and change core dysfunctional cognitions, attitudes, motives, conflicts, and feelings related to the eating disorder; 6) treat associated psychiatric conditions, including deficits in mood and impulse regulation and self-esteem and behavioral problems; 7) enlist family support and provide family counseling and therapy where appropriate; and 8) prevent relapse.
	Nutritional Rehabilitation
	 The goals of nutritional rehabilitation for seriously underweight patients are to restore weight, normalize eating patterns, achieve normal perceptions of hunger and satiety, and correct biological and psychological sequelae of malnutrition [I]. For patients age 20 years and younger, an individually appropriate range for expected weight and goals for weight and height may be determined by considering measurements and clinical factors, including current weight, bone age estimated from wrist x-rays and

 nomograms, menstrual history (in adolescents with secondary amenorhea), mid-parental heights, assessments of skeletal frame, and benchmarks from Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) growth charts (available at http://www.cdc.gov/growthcharts/) [I]. For individuals who are markedly underweight and for children and adolescents whose weight has deviated below their growth curves, hospital-based programs for nutritional rehabilitation should be considered [I]. For patients in inpatient or residential settings, the discrepancy between healthy target weight and weight at discharge may vary depending on patients' ability to feed themselves, their motivation and ability to participate in aftercare programs, and the adequacy of aftercare, including partial hospitalization [I]. It is important to implement refeeding programs in nurturing emotional contexts [I]. For example, it is useful for staff to convey to patients their intention to take care of them and not let them die even when the illness prevents the patients from taking care of themselves [II]. It is also useful for staff to communicate clearly that they are not seeking to engage in control battles and have no punitive intentions when using interventions that the patient may experience as aversive [I]. In working to achieve target weights, the treatment plan should also establish expected rates of controlled weight gain. Clinical consensus suggests that realistic targets are 2 to 3 lb/week for hospitalized patients and 0.5 to 1 lb/week for individuals in outpatient programs [II]. Registered dietitians can help patients choose their own meals and can provide a structured meal plan that ensures nutritional adequacy and that none of the major food groups are avoided [I]. Formula feeding may have to be added to the patient's diet to achieve large caloric intake [II]. It is important to encourage patients with anorexia nervosa to expand their food choices to minimiz
loading should be weighed in the morning after they have voided and are wearing only a gown; their fluid

 intake should also be carefully monitored [1]. Urine specimens obtained at the time of a patient's weigh-in may need to be assessed for specific gravity to help ascertain the extent to which the measured weight reflects excessive water intake [1]. Regular monitoring of serum potassium levels is recommended in patients who are persistent vomiters [1]. Hypokalemia should be treated with oral or intravenous potassium supplementation and rehydration [1]. Physical activity should be adapted to the food intake and energy expenditure of the patient, taking into account the patient's bone mineral density and cardiac function [1]. Once a safe weight is achieved, the focus of an exercise program should be on the patient's gaining physical fitness as opposed to expending calories [1]. Weight gain results in improvements in most of the physiological and psychological complications of semistarvation [1]. It is important to warn patients about the following aspects of early recovery [1]: As they start to recover and feel their bodies getting larger, especially as they approach frightening, magical numbers on the scale that represent phobic weights, they may experience a resurgence of anxious and depressive symptoms, irritability, and sometimes suicidal thoughts. These mood symptoms, non-food-related obsessional thoughts, and compulsive behaviors, although often not eradicated, usually decrease with sustained weight gain and weight maintenance. Initial refeeding may be associated with mild transient fluid retention for several weeks. As weight gain progresses, many patients also develop acne and breast tenderness and become unhappy and demoralized about resulting changes in body shape. Patients may experience abdominal pain and bloating with meals from the delayed gastric emptying that accompanies malnutrition. These symptoms may respond to pro-motility agents [III]. Constipation may be anterioted as patient who refuses to eat, nasogastric feedin
abnormalities than three to four bolus feedings a day

feelings related to the eating disorder; 6) treat associated psychiatric conditions, including deficits in mood and impulse regulation, self-esteem, and behavior; 7) enlist family support and provide family counseling and therapy where appropriate; and 8) prevent relapse.

Nutritional Rehabilitation Counseling

- A primary focus for nutritional rehabilitation is to help the patient develop a structured meal plan as a means of reducing the episodes of dietary restriction and the urges to binge and purge **[I]**.
- Adequate nutritional intake can prevent craving and promote satiety **[I]**.
- It is important to assess nutritional intake for all patients, even those with a normal body weight (or normal BMI), as normal weight does not ensure appropriate nutritional intake or normal body composition **[I]**.
- Among patients of normal weight, nutritional counseling is a useful part of treatment and helps reduce food restriction, increase the variety of foods eaten, and promote healthy but not compulsive exercise patterns [I].

Eating Disorder Not Otherwise Specified

• Patients with subsyndromal anorexia nervosa or bulimia nervosa who meet most but not all of the DSM-IV-TR criteria (e.g., weight >85% of expected weight, binge and purge frequency less than twice per week) merit treatment similar to that of patients who fulfill all criteria for these diagnoses **[II]**.

Binge Eating Disorder

Nutritional Rehabilitation and Counseling

- Behavioral weight control programs incorporating low- or very-low-calorie diets may help with weight loss and usually with reduction of symptoms of binge eating **[I]**.
- It is important to advise patients that weight loss is often not maintained and that binge eating may recur when weight is gained **[I]**.
- It is also important to advise them that weight gain after weight loss may be accompanied by a return of binge eating patterns **[I]**.
- Various combinations of diets, behavior therapies, interpersonal therapies, psychodynamic psychotherapies, non-weight-directed psychosocial treatments, and even some "nondiet/health at every size" psychotherapy

	 approaches may be of benefit for binge eating and weight loss or stabilization [III]. Patients with a history of repeated weight loss followed by weight gain ("yo-yo" dieting) or patients with an early onset of binge eating may benefit from following programs that focus on decreasing binge eating rather than on weight loss [II]. There is little empirical evidence to suggest that obese binge eaters who are primarily seeking weight loss should receive different treatment than obese individuals who do not binge eat [I].
FMSD (2007)	No specific recommendations offered.
	The treatment is divided into:
	 Restoring that state of nutrition Revelotherapoutic treatment
	• Fsychotherapeutic treatment
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	Care Across All Conditions
	Management of Physical Aspects
	C - Where laxative abuse is present, patients should be advised to gradually reduce laxative use and informed that laxative use does not significantly reduce calorie absorption.
	C - Treatment of both subthreshold and clinical cases of an eating disorder in people with diabetes is essential because of the greatly increased physical risk in this group.
	C - People with type 1 diabetes and an eating disorder should have intensive regular physical monitoring because they are at high risk of retinopathy and other complications.
	C - Pregnant women with eating disorders require careful monitoring throughout the pregnancy and in the postpartum period.
	${\bf C}$ - Patients with an eating disorder who are vomiting should have regular dental reviews.
	C - Patients who are vomiting should be given appropriate advice on dental hygiene, which should include avoiding brushing after vomiting; rinsing with a non-acid mouthwash after vomiting; and reducing an acid oral environment (for

example, limiting acidic foods).

C - Health care professionals should advise people with eating disorders and osteoporosis or related bone disorders to refrain from physical activities that significantly increase the likelihood of falls.

Additional Considerations for Children and Adolescents

C - In children and adolescents with eating disorders, growth and development should be closely monitored. Where development is delayed or growth is stunted despite adequate nutrition, paediatric advice should be sought.

Anorexia Nervosa

Physical Management of Anorexia Nervosa

Anorexia nervosa carries considerable risk of serious physical morbidity. Awareness of the risk, careful monitoring, and, where appropriate, close liaison with an experienced physician are important in the management of the physical complications of anorexia nervosa.

Managing Weight Gain

C - In most patients with anorexia nervosa, an average weekly weight gain of 0.5 to 1 kg in inpatient settings and 0.5 kg in outpatient settings should be an aim of treatment. This requires about 3,500 to 7,000 extra calories a week.

C - Regular physical monitoring, and in some cases treatment with a multi-vitamin/multi-mineral supplement in oral form, is recommended for people with anorexia nervosa during both inpatient and outpatient weight restoration.

C - Total parenteral nutrition should not be used for people with anorexia nervosa, unless there is significant gastrointestinal dysfunction.

Managing Risk

C - Health care professionals should monitor physical risk in patients with anorexia nervosa. If this leads to the identification of increased physical risk, the frequency of the monitoring and nature of the investigations should be adjusted accordingly.

 ${\bf C}$ - People with anorexia nervosa and their carers should be

informed if the risk to their physical health is high.
C - The involvement of a physician or paediatrician with expertise in the treatment of physically at-risk patients with anorexia nervosa should be considered for all individuals who are physically at risk.
C - Pregnant women with either current or remitted anorexia nervosa may need more intensive prenatal care to ensure adequate prenatal nutrition and fetal development.
C - Oestrogen administration should not be used to treat bone density problems in children and adolescents as this may lead to premature fusion of the epiphyses.
Feeding Against the Will of the Patient
C - Feeding against the will of the patient should be an intervention of last resort in the care and management of anorexia nervosa.
C - Feeding against the will of the patient is a highly specialized procedure requiring expertise in the care and management of those with severe eating disorders and the physical complications associated with it. This should only be done in the context of the Mental Health Act 1983 or Children Act 1989.
${\bf C}$ - When making the decision to feed against the will of the patient, the legal basis for any such action must be clear.
Additional Considerations for Children and Adolescents
C - Health care professionals should ensure that children and adolescents with anorexia nervosa who have reached a healthy weight have the increased energy and necessary nutrients available in their diet to support further growth and development.
C - In the nutritional management of children and adolescents with anorexia nervosa, carers should be included in any dietary education or meal planning.
Other Physical Interventions
Physical monitoring is necessary during periods of refeeding. A range of electrolyte disturbances can occur during refeeding, which are sometimes referred to collectively as the "refeeding syndrome." Hypophosphataemia may develop rapidly during refeeding; if severe, it can cause cardiac and

	respiratory failure, delirium, and fits. Ingestion of large quantities of carbohydrates, during rapid refeeding, may result in a precipitate drop in serum phosphate levels. Therefore, in the first few days of refeeding patients who have had very low or absent intakes for long periods, no attempt should be made to achieve net weight gain. Instead they should receive energy and protein provision at levels at or less than their estimated basal requirements with generous provision of balanced multi-vitamins and minerals especially thiamine, potassium, magnesium, and phosphate.	
	Management of Division Langests of Dulinsis Neurope	
	Management of Physical Aspects of Bulimia Nervosa	
	Patients with bulimia nervosa can experience considerable physical problems as a result of a range of behaviours associated with the condition. Awareness of the risks and careful monitoring should be a concern of all health care professionals working with people with this disorder.	
	C - Patients with bulimia nervosa who are vomiting frequently or taking large quantities of laxatives (especially if they are also underweight) should have their fluid and electrolyte balance assessed.	
	C - When electrolyte disturbance is detected, it is usually sufficient to focus on eliminating the behaviour responsible. In the small proportion of cases where supplementation is required to restore electrolyte balance, oral rather than intravenous administration is recommended, unless there are problems with gastrointestinal absorption.	
Psychological Interventions		
APA (2006)	Choice of Specific Treatments for Anorexia Nervosa	
	Psychosocial Interventions	
	The goals of psychosocial interventions are to help patients with anorexia nervosa 1) understand and cooperate with their nutritional and physical rehabilitation, 2) understand and change the behaviors and dysfunctional attitudes related to their eating disorder, 3) improve their interpersonal and social functioning, and 4) address comorbid psychopathology and psychological conflicts that reinforce or maintain eating disorder behaviors.	
	<u>Acute Anorexia Nervosa</u>	

 During acute refeeding and while weight gain is occurring, it is beneficial to provide anorexia nervosa patients with individual psychotherapeutic management that is psychodynamically informed and provides empathic understanding, explanations, praise for positive efforts, coaching, support, encouragement, and other positive behavioral reinforcement [I]. Attempts to conduct formal psychotherapy with starving patients who are often negativistic, obsessional, or mildly cognitively impaired may be ineffective [II]. For children and adolescents, the evidence indicates that family treatment is the most effective intervention [I]. In methods modeled after the Maudsley approach, families become actively involved, in a blame-free atmosphere, in helping patients eat more and resist compulsive exercising and purging. For some outpatients, a short-term course of family therapy using these methods may be as effective as a long-term course; however, a shorter course of therapy may not be adequate for patients with severe obsessive-compulsive features or nonitact families [II]. Most inpatient-based nutritional rehabilitation programs create a milieu that incorporates emotional nurturance and a combination of reinforcers that link exercise, bed rest, and privileges to target weights, desired behaviors, feedback concerning changes in weight, and other observable parameters [II]. For adolescents treated in inpatient settings, participation in family group psychoeducation may be helpful to their efforts to regain weight and may be equally as effective as more intensive forms of family therapy [III].
Anorexia Nervosa after Weight Restoration
Once malnutrition has been corrected and weight gain has begun, psychotherapy can help patients with anorexia nervosa understand 1) their experience of their illness; 2) cognitive distortions and how these have led to their symptomatic behavior; 3) developmental, familial, and cultural antecedents of their illness; 4) how their illness may have been a maladaptive attempt to regulate their emotions and cope; 5) how to avoid or minimize the risk of relapse; and 6) how to better cope with salient developmental and other important life issues in the future.
 Clinical experience shows that patients may often display improved mood, enhanced cognitive functioning, and clearer thought processes after there is significant improvement in nutritional intake, even before there is substantial weight gain [II]. To help prevent patients from relapsing, emerging data

 support the use of cognitive-behavioral psychotherapy for adults [II]. Many clinicians also use interpersonal and/or psychodynamically oriented individual or group psychotherapy for adults after their weight has been restored [II]. For adolescents who have been ill <3 years, after weight has been restored, family therapy is a necessary component of treatment [I]. Although studies of different psychotherapies focus on these interventions as distinctly separate treatments, in practice there is frequent overlap of interventions [II]. It is important for clinicians to pay attention to cultural attitudes, patient issues involving the gender of the therapist, and specific concerns about possible abuse,
 Clinicians need to attend to their countertransference reactions to patients with a chronic eating disorder, which often include beleaguerment, demoralization, and excessive need to change the patient [I]. At the same time, when treating patients with chronic illnesses, clinicians need to understand the longitudinal course of the disorder and that patients can recover even after many years of illness [I]. Because of anorexia nervosa's enduring nature, psychotherapeutic treatment is frequently required for at least 1 year and may take many years [I]. Anorexics and Bulimics Anonymous and Overeaters Anonymous are not substitutes for professional treatment [I]. Programs that focus exclusively on abstaining from binge
 eating, purging, restrictive eating, or excessive exercising (e.g., 12-step programs) without attending to nutritional considerations or cognitive and behavioral deficits have not been studied and therefore cannot be recommended as the sole treatment for anorexia nervosa [I]. It is important for programs using 12-step models to be equipped to care for patients with the substantial psychiatric and general medical problems often associated with eating disorders [I].
Although families and patients are increasingly accessing worthwhile, helpful information through online web sites, newsgroups, and chat rooms, the lack of professional supervision within these resources may sometimes lead to users' receiving misinformation or create unhealthy dynamics among users.
 It is recommended that clinicians inquire about a patient's or family's use of Internet-based support and

other alternative and complementary approaches and be prepared to openly and sympathetically discuss the information and ideas gathered from these sources **[I]**.

Chronic Anorexia Nervosa

Patients with chronic anorexia nervosa generally show a lack of substantial clinical response to formal psychotherapy.

- Nevertheless, many clinicians report seeing patients with chronic anorexia nervosa who, after many years of struggling with their disorder, experience substantial remission, so clinicians are justified in maintaining and extending some degree of hope to patients and families [II].
- More extensive psychotherapeutic measures may be undertaken to engage and help motivate patients whose illness is resistant to treatment [II] or, failing that, as compassionate care [I]. For patients who have difficulty talking about their problems, clinicians have reported that a variety of nonverbal therapeutic methods, such as the creative arts, movement therapy programs, and occupational therapy, can be useful [III]. Psychosocial programs designed for patients with chronic eating disorders are being implemented at several treatment sites and may prove useful [II].

Choice of Specific Treatments for Bulimia Nervosa

Psychosocial Interventions

- It is recommended that psychosocial interventions be chosen on the basis of a comprehensive evaluation of the individual patient that takes into consideration the patient's cognitive and psychological development, psychodynamic issues, cognitive style, comorbid psychopathology, and preferences as well as patient age and family situation **[I]**.
- For treating acute episodes of bulimia nervosa in adults, the evidence strongly supports the value of CBT as the most effective single intervention **[I]**.
- Some patients who do not respond initially to CBT may respond when switched to either IPT or fluoxetine **[II]** or other modes of treatment such as family and group psychotherapies **[III]**.
- Controlled trials have also shown the utility of IPT in some cases **[II]**.
- In clinical practice, many practitioners combine elements of CBT, IPT, and other psychotherapeutic techniques. Compared with psychodynamic or interpersonal therapy, CBT is associated with more rapid remission of eating

 symptoms [I], but using psychodynamic interventions in conjunction with CBT and other psychotherapies may yield better global outcomes [II]. Some patients, particularly those with concurrent personality pathology or other co-occurring disorders, require lengthy treatment [II]. Clinical reports suggest that psychodynamic and psychoanalytic approaches in individual or group format are useful once bingeing and purging improve [III]. Family therapy should be considered whenever possible, especially for adolescent patients still living with their parents [II] or older patients with ongoing conflicted interactions with parents [III]. Patients with marital discord may benefit from couples therapy [II]. A variety of self-help and professionally guided self-help programs have been effective for some patients with bulimia nervosa [I]. Several innovative online programs are currently under investigation and may be recommended in the absence of alternative treatments [III]. Support groups and 12-step programs such as Overeaters Anonymous may be helpful as adjuncts in the initial treatment of bulimia nervosa and for subsequent relapse prevention, but they are not recommended as the sole initial treatment approach for bulimia nervosa [I]. Issues of countertransference, discussed above with respect to the treatment of patients with anorexia nervosa, also apply to the treatment of patients with anorexia
Eating Disorder Not Otherwise Specified
 Patients with subsyndromal anorexia nervosa or bulimia nervosa who meet most but not all of the DSM-IV-TR criteria (e.g., weight >85% of expected weight, binge and purge frequency less than twice per week) merit treatment similar to that of patients who fulfill all criteria for these diagnoses [II].
Binge Eating Disorder
Nutritional Rehabilitation and Counseling
 Behavioral weight control programs incorporating low- or very-low-calorie diets may help with weight loss and usually with reduction of symptoms of binge eating [I]. It is important to advise patients that weight loss is often not maintained and that binge eating may recur when weight is gained [I].

 It is also important to advise them that weight gain after weight loss may be accompanied by a return of binge eating patterns [I]. Various combinations of diets, behavior therapies, interpersonal therapies, psychodynamic psychotherapies, non-weight-directed psychosocial treatments, and even some "nondiet/health at every size" psychotherapy approaches may be of benefit for binge eating and weight loss or stabilization [III]. Patients with a history of repeated weight loss followed by weight gain ("yo-yo" dieting) or patients with an early onset of binge eating may benefit from following programs that focus on decreasing binge eating rather than on weight loss [II]. There is little empirical evidence to suggest that obese binge eaters who are primarily seeking weight loss should receive different treatment than obese individuals who do not binge eat [I].
Other Psychosocial Treatments
 Substantial evidence supports the efficacy of individual or group CBT for the behavioral and psychological symptoms of binge eating disorder [I]. IPT and dialectical behavior therapy have also been shown to be effective for behavioral and psychological symptoms and can be considered as alternatives [II]. Patients may be advised that some studies suggest that most patients continue to show behavioral and psychological improvement at their 1-year follow-up [II]. Substantial evidence supports the efficacy of self-help and guided self-help CBT programs and their use as an initial step in a sequenced treatment program [I]. Other therapies that use a "nondiet" approach and focus on self-acceptance, improved body image, better nutrition and health, and increased physical movement have been tried, as have addiction-based 12-step approaches, self-help organizations, and treatment programs based on the Alcoholics Anonymous model, but no systematic outcome studies of these programs are available [III].
Combining Psychosocial and Medication Treatments
 For most eating disorder patients, adding antidepressant medication to their behavioral weight control and/or CBT regimen does not have a significant effect on binge suppression when compared with medication alone. However, medications may induce additional weight reduction and have associated psychological benefits

	 [II]. Adding the weight loss medication orlistat to a guided self-help CBT program may yield additional weight reduction [II]. Fluoxetine in conjunction with group behavioral treatment may not aid in binge cessation or weight loss but may reduce depressive symptoms [II]. 	
FMSD (2007)	 Treatment The treatment is divided into Restoring the state of nutrition Psychotherapeutic treatment The forms of psychotherapy vary: both individual and family therapy have brought results; in cases of bulimia cognitive therapy and medication [C] have been successful. With adolescents between the ages of 14 and 16 years, positive results have been obtained by treating the entire family, because the adolescent's symptoms are often connected with difficulties to "cut loose" from the family. With older patients, individual, supportive, and long-lasting treatment has been the best way to promote recovery. Related Resources A combination of antidepressants and psychotherapy is more effective than psychotherapy alone, but psychotherapy appeared to be more acceptable to subjects [C]. There was a non-significant trend favouring single psychotherapy over single antidepressants. There is no evidence from controlled trials to assess whether early intervention is beneficial in anorexia 	
NCCMH/NICE	Psychological Interventions for Anorexia Nervosa	
(2004)	The delivery of psychological interventions should be accompanied by regular monitoring of a patient's physical state including weight and specific indicators of increased physical risk.	
	Common Elements of the Psychological Treatment of Anorexia Nervosa	
	C - Therapies to be considered for the psychological treatment of anorexia nervosa include CAT, CBT, IPT, focal	

psychodynamic therapy, and family interventions focused explicitly on eating disorders.

C - Patient and, where appropriate, carer preference should be taken into account in deciding which psychological treatment is to be offered.

C - The aims of psychological treatment should be to reduce risk, to encourage weight gain and healthy eating, to reduce other symptoms related to an eating disorder, and to facilitate psychological and physical recovery.

Outpatient Psychological Treatments in First Episode and Later Episodes

C - Most people with anorexia nervosa should be managed on an outpatient basis, with psychological treatment (with physical monitoring) provided by a health care professional competent to give it and to assess the physical risk of people with eating disorders.

C - Outpatient psychological treatment and physical monitoring for anorexia nervosa should normally be of at least 6 months' duration.

C - For patients with anorexia nervosa, if during outpatient psychological treatment there is significant deterioration, or the completion of an adequate course of outpatient psychological treatment does not lead to any significant improvement, more intensive forms of treatment (for example, a move from individual therapy to combined individual and family work or day care or inpatient care) should be considered.

C - Dietary counselling should not be provided as the sole treatment for anorexia nervosa.

Psychological Aspects of Inpatient Care

C - For inpatients with anorexia nervosa, a structured symptom-focused treatment regimen with the expectation of weight gain should be provided in order to achieve weight restoration. It is important to carefully monitor the patient's physical status during refeeding.

C - Psychological treatment should be provided which has a focus both on eating behaviour and attitudes to weight and shape and on wider psychosocial issues with the expectation of weight gain.

C - Rigi not be	id inpatient behaviour modification programmes should used in the management of anorexia nervosa.
Post-H	ospitalisation Psychological Treatment
C - Foll	owing inpatient weight restoration, people with
anorexi	ia nervosa should be offered outpatient psychological
treatme	ent that focuses both on eating behaviour and
attitude	es to weight and shape and on wider psychosocial
issues,	with regular monitoring of both physical and
psychol	logical risk.
C - The physica should	e length of outpatient psychological treatment and Il monitoring following inpatient weight restoration typically be at least 12 months.
Additic	onal Considerations for Children and Adolescents
with A	norexia Nervosa
B - Fan	nily interventions that directly address the eating
disorde	r should be offered to children and adolescents with
anorexi	a nervosa.
C - Chil	dren and adolescents with anorexia nervosa should be
offered	individual appointments with a health care
profess	ional separate from those with their family members
or care	rs.
C - The membe effects	e therapeutic involvement of siblings and other family ers should be considered in all cases because of the of anorexia nervosa on other family members.
C - In c	children and adolescents with anorexia nervosa, the
need fo	or inpatient treatment and the need for urgent weight
restora	tion should be balanced alongside the educational and
social r	needs of the young person.
<u>Bulimi</u>	a Nervosa
Psycho	ological Interventions for Bulimia Nervosa
B - As a	a possible first step, patients with bulimia nervosa
should	be encouraged to follow an evidence-based self-help
prograr	nme.
B - Hea	alth care professionals should consider providing direct
encoura	agement and support to patients undertaking an
evidenc	ce-based self-help programme, as this may improve
outcom	nes. This may be sufficient treatment for a limited

subset of patients.

A - CBT-BN, a specifically adapted form of CBT, should be offered to adults with bulimia nervosa. The course of treatment should be for 16 to 20 sessions over 4 to 5 months.

 ${\bf B}$ - When people with bulimia nervosa have not responded to or do not want CBT, other psychological treatments should be considered.

B - Interpersonal psychotherapy should be considered as an alternative to CBT, but patients should be informed it takes 8-12 months to achieve results comparable with CBT.

Additional Considerations for Children and Adolescents

C - Adolescents with bulimia nervosa may be treated with CBT-BN adapted as needed to suit their age, circumstances, and level of development, and including the family as appropriate.

Atypical Eating Disorders Including Binge Eating Disorder

General Treatment of Atypical Eating Disorders

C - In the absence of evidence to guide the management of atypical eating disorders (eating disorders not otherwise specified) other than binge eating disorder, it is recommended that the clinician considers following the guidance on the treatment of the eating problem that most closely resembles the individual patient's eating disorder.

Psychological Treatments for Binge Eating Disorder

B - As a possible first step, patients with binge eating disorder should be encouraged to follow an evidence-based self-help programme.

B - Health care professionals should consider providing direct encouragement and support to patients undertaking an evidence-based self-help programme as this may improve outcomes. This may be sufficient treatment for a limited subset of patients.

A - CBT-BED, a specifically adapted form of CBT, should be offered to adults with binge eating disorder.

B - Other psychological treatments (interpersonal

	 psychotherapy for binge eating disorder and modified dialectical behaviour therapy) may be offered to adults with persistent binge eating disorder. A - Patients should be informed that all psychological treatments for binge eating disorder have a limited effect on
	 body weight. C - When providing psychological treatments for patients with binge eating disorder, consideration should be given to the provision of concurrent or consecutive interventions focusing on the management of comorbid obesity. C - Suitably adapted psychological treatments should be offered to adolescents with persistent binge eating disorder.
	Pharmacological Interventions
APA (2006)	<u>Choice of Specific Treatments for Anorexia Nervosa</u> Medications and Other Somatic Treatments
	 Weight Restoration The decision about whether to use psychotropic medications and, if so, which medications to choose will be based on the patient's clinical presentation [I]. The limited empirical data on malnourished patients indicate that selective SSRIs do not appear to confer advantage regarding weight gain in patients who are concurrently receiving inpatient treatment in an organized eating disorder program [I]. However, SSRIs in combination with psychotherapy are widely used in treating patients with anorexia nervosa. For example, these medications may be considered for those with persistent depressive, anxiety, or obsessive-compulsive symptoms and for bulimic symptoms in weight-restored patients [II]. An FDA black box warning concerning the use of bupropion in patients with eating disorders has been issued because of the increased seizure risk in these patients. Adverse reactions to tricyclic antidepressants and MAOIs are more pronounced in malnourished individuals, and these medications should generally be avoided in this patient population [I]. Second-generation antipsychotics, particularly olanzapine, risperidone, and quetiapine, have been used in small series and individual cases for patients, but controlled studies of these medications are lacking. Clinical impressions suggest that they may be useful in

 patients with severe, unremitting resistance to gaining weight; severe obsessional thinking; and denial that assumes delusional proportions [III]. Small doses of older antipsychotics such as chlorpromazine may be helpful prior to meals in very disturbed patients [III]. Although the risks of extrapyramidal side effects are less with second-generation antipsychotics than with first-generation antipsychotics, debilitated anorexia nervosa patients may be at a higher risk for these than expected. Therefore, if these medications are used, it is recommended that patients be carefully monitored for extrapyramidal symptoms and akathisia [I]. It is also important to routinely monitor patients for potential side effects of these medications, which can result in insulin resistance, abnormal lipid metabolism, and prolongation of the QTc interval [I]. Because ziprasidone has not been studied in individuals with anorexia nervosa and can prolong QTc intervals, careful monitoring of serial electrocardiograms and serum potassium measurements is needed if anorexic patients are treated with ziprasidone [I]. Antianxiety agents used selectively before meals may be useful to reduce patients' anticipatory anxiety before eating [III], but because eating disorder patients may have a high propensity to become dependent on benzodiazepines, these medications should be used routinely only with considerable caution [I]. Pro-motility agents such as metoclopramide may be useful for bloating and abdominal pains that occur during refeeding in some patients [III]. Electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) has generally not been useful except in treating severe co-occurring disorders for which ECT is otherwise indicated [I]. Although no specific hormone treatments or vitamin supplements have been shown to be helpful [I], supplements have been shown to be helpful [I], supplements have been reported to foster weight gain in some patients, and patients may benefit from daily zinc-containin
Relapse Prevention
 Some data suggest that fluoxetine in dosages of up to 60 mg/day may help prevent relapse [II]. For patients receiving CBT after weight restoration, adding fluoxetine does not appear to confer additional benefits with respect to preventing relapse [II]. Antidepressants and other psychiatric medications may be used to treat specific, ongoing psychiatric symptoms

 of depressive, anxiety, obsessive-compulsive, and other comorbid disorders [I]. Clinicians should attend to the black box warnings in the package inserts relating to antidepressants and discuss the potential benefits and risks of antidepressant treatment with patients and families if such medications are to be prescribed [I].
Chronic Anorexia Nervosa
 Although hormone replacement therapy (HRT) is frequently prescribed to improve bone mineral density in female patients, no good supporting evidence exists either in adults or in adolescents to demonstrate its efficacy [II]. Hormone therapy usually induces monthly menstrual bleeding, which may contribute to the patient's denial of
 bleeding, which may contribute to the patient's demar of the need to gain further weight [II]. Before estrogen is offered, it is recommended that efforts be made to increase weight and achieve resumption of
 normal menses [I]. There is no indication for the use of bisphosphonates such as alendronate in patients with anorexia nervosa
 Although there is no evidence that calcium or vitamin D supplementation reverses decreased bone mineral density, when calcium dietary intake is inadequate for growth and maintenance, calcium supplementation should be considered [I], and when the individual is not exposed to daily sunlight, vitamin D supplementation may be used [I]. However, large supplemental doses of vitamin D may be hazardous [I].
Choice of Specific Treatments for Bulimia Nervosa
Medications
Initial Treatment
 Antidepressants are effective as one component of an initial treatment program for most bulimia nervosa patients [I], with SSRI treatment having the most evidence for efficacy and the fewest difficulties with adverse effects [I]. To date, fluoxetine is the best studied of these and is the only FDA-approved medication for bulimia nervosa. Sertraline is the only other SSRI that has been shown to be effective, as demonstrated in a small, randomized controlled trial. In the absence of therapists qualified to treat bulimia nervosa with CBT, fluoxetine is recommended as an initial treatment [I].

 Dosages of SSRIs higher than those used for depression (e.g., fluoxetine 60 mg/day) are more effective in treating bulimic symptoms [I]. Evidence from a small open trial suggests fluoxetine may be useful for adolescents with bulimia [II]. Antidepressants may be helpful for patients with substantial concurrent symptoms of depression, anxiety, obsessions, or certain impulse disorder symptoms or for patients who have not benefited from or had only a suboptimal response to appropriate psychosocial therapy [I]. Tricyclic antidepressants and MAOIs have been rarely used with bulimic patients and are not recommended as initial treatments [I]. Several different antidepressants may have to be tried sequentially to identify the specific medication with the optimum effect [I]. Clinicians should attend to the black box warnings relating to antidepressants and discuss the potential benefits and risks of antidepressant treatment with patients and families if such medications have proven ineffective [II]. Small controlled trials have demonstrated the efficacy of the anticonvulsant medication topiramate, but because adverse reactions to this medications have proven ineffective [II]. Two drugs that are used for mood stabilization, lithium and valproic acid, are both prone to induce weight gain in patients [I] and may be less acceptable to patients with bulimia nervosa because it is ineffective [I]. In patients with co-occurring bulimia nervosa and bipolar disorder, treatment with fluitium is more likely to be associated with toxicity [I].
Maintenance Phase
 Limited evidence supports the use of fluoxetine for relapse prevention [II], but substantial rates of relapse occur even with treatment. In the absence of adequate data, most clinicians recommend continuing antidepressant therapy for a minimum of 9 months and probably for a year in most patients with bulimia nervosa [II]. Case reports indicate that methylphenidate may be helpful for bulimia nervosa patients with concurrent attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) [III], but

it should be used only for patients who have a very clear diagnosis of ADHD **[I]**.

Combining Psychosocial Interventions and Medications

- In some research, the combination of antidepressant therapy and CBT results in the highest remission rates; therefore, this combination is recommended initially when qualified CBT therapists are available **[II]**.
- In addition, when CBT alone does not result in a substantial reduction in symptoms after 10 sessions, it is recommended that fluoxetine be added **[II]**.

Other Treatments

• Bright light therapy has been shown to reduce binge frequency in several controlled trials and may be used as an adjunct when CBT and antidepressant therapy have not been effective in reducing bingeing symptoms **[III]**.

Eating Disorder Not Otherwise Specified

Binge Eating Disorder

Medications

- Substantial evidence suggests that treatment with antidepressant medications, particularly SSRI antidepressants, is associated with at least a short-term reduction in binge eating behavior but, in most cases, not with substantial weight loss **[I]**.
- The medication dosage is typically at the high end of the recommended range **[I]**.
- The appetite-suppressant medication sibutramine is effective for binge suppression, at least in the short term, and is also associated with significant weight loss **[II]**.
- The anticonvulsant medication topiramate is effective for binge reduction and weight loss, although adverse effects may limit its clinical utility for some individuals **[II]**.
- Zonisamide may produce similar effects regarding weight loss and can also cause side effects **[III]**.

Combining Psychosocial and Medication Treatments

• For most eating disorder patients, adding antidepressant medication to their behavioral weight control and/or CBT regimen does not have a significant effect on binge suppression when compared with medication alone. However, medications may induce additional weight reduction and have associated psychological benefits

	 [II]. Adding the weight loss medication orlistat to a guided self-help CBT program may yield additional weight reduction [II]. Fluoxetine in conjunction with group behavioral treatment may not aid in binge cessation or weight loss but may reduce depressive symptoms [II]. Night Eating Syndrome Progressive muscle relaxation has been shown to reduce symptoms associated with night eating syndrome [III]. Sertraline has also been shown to reduce these symptoms [II].
FMSD (2007)	 Medical Treatment A specialist should start all drug treatment. Different psychopharmaceuticals, for example, neuroleptics and antidepressants, have been tried in the treatment of anorexia nervosa. Controlled studies have proved them indisputably useful only if the disorder is linked to clear depression. Most research on the medical treatment of bulimia has concentrated on antidepressants [A], particularly fluoxetine, which has been found to decrease binge eating and vomiting for about two-thirds of bulimic patients. Related Evidence A combination of antidepressants and psychotherapy is more effective than psychotherapy alone, but psychotherapy appeared to be more acceptable to subjects [C]. There was a non-significant trend favouring single psychotherapy over single antidepressants. Antidepressants appear to be ineffective in the treatment of anorexia nervosa [B].
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	<u>Anorexia Nervosa</u>
	There is a very limited evidence base for the pharmacological
	treatment of anorexia nervosa. A range of drugs may be used in the treatment of comorbid conditions but caution should be exercised in their use given the physical vulnerability of many

people with anorexia nervosa.

C - Medication should not be used as the sole or primary treatment for anorexia nervosa.

 ${\bf C}$ - Caution should be exercised in the use of medication for comorbid conditions such as depressive or obsessive-compulsive features, as they may resolve with weight gain alone.

 ${\bf C}$ - When medication is used to treat people with anorexia nervosa, the side effects of drug treatment (in particular, cardiac side effects) should be carefully considered because of the compromised cardiovascular function of many people with anorexia nervosa.

C - Health care professionals should be aware of the risk of drugs that prolong the QTc interval on the ECG (for example, antipsychotics, tricyclic antidepressants, macrolide antibiotics, and some antihistamines). In patients with anorexia nervosa at risk of cardiac complications, the prescription of drugs with side effects that may compromise cardiac functioning should be avoided.

 ${\bf C}$ - If the prescription of medication that may compromise cardiac functioning is essential, ECG monitoring should be undertaken.

C - All patients with a diagnosis of anorexia nervosa should have an alert placed in their prescribing record concerning the risk of side effects.

<u>Bulimia Nervosa</u>

Pharmacological Interventions for Bulimia Nervosa

B - As an alternative or additional first step to using an evidence-based self-help programme, adults with bulimia nervosa may be offered a trial of an antidepressant drug.

B - Patients should be informed that antidepressant drugs can reduce the frequency of binge eating and purging, but the long-term effects are unknown. Any beneficial effects will be rapidly apparent.

C - SSRIs (specifically fluoxetine) are the drugs of first choice for the treatment of bulimia nervosa in terms of acceptability, tolerability, and reduction of symptoms.

 ${\bf C}$ - For people with bulimia nervosa, the effective dose of

	fluoxetine is higher than for depression (60 mg daily).
	${\bf B}$ - No drugs, other than antidepressants, are recommended for the treatment of bulimia nervosa.
	Atypical Eating Disorders Including Binge Eating Disorder
	General Treatment of Atypical Eating Disorders
	C - In the absence of evidence to guide the management of atypical eating disorders (eating disorders not otherwise specified) other than binge eating disorder, it is recommended that the clinician considers following the guidance on the treatment of the eating problem that most closely resembles the individual patient's eating disorder.
	Pharmacological Interventions for Binge Eating Disorder
	B - As an alternative or additional first step to using an evidence based self-help programme, consideration should be given to offering a trial of an SSRI antidepressant drug to patients with binge eating disorder.
	B - Patients with binge eating disorders should be informed that SSRIs can reduce binge eating, but the long-term effects are unknown. Antidepressant drug treatment may be sufficient treatment for a limited subset of patients.
	Education
APA (2006)	Basic psychiatric management includes support through the provision of educational materials, including self-help workbooks; information on community-based and Internet resources; and direct advice to patients and their families (if they are involved) [I] .
FMSD (2007)	No recommendations offered.
NCCMH/NICE	Providing Good Information and Support
(2004)	C - Patients and, where appropriate, carers should be provided with education and information on the nature, course and treatment of eating disorders.
	${\bf C}$ - In addition to the provision of information, family and carers may be informed of self-help groups and support

groups and offered the opportunity to participate in such groups where they exist.
Management of Physical Aspects
C - Patients who are vomiting should be given appropriate advice on dental hygiene, which should include avoiding brushing after vomiting; rinsing with a non-acid mouthwash after vomiting; and reducing an acid oral environment (for example, limiting acidic foods).

TABLE 5: BENEFITS AND HARMS		
	Benefits	
АРА (2006)	Appropriate treatment of eating disorders with improved clinical outcomes	
FMSD (2007)	Appropriate diagnosis and treatment of eating disorders among children and adolescents	
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	Consistent quality of care for patients with eating disorders including anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, and related eating disorders	
	Harms	
APA (2006)	 Nutritional rehabilitation: For some patients, giving up severe dietary restrictions and restraints appears to increase binge-eating behavior, which is often accompanied by compensatory purging. Patients may experience abdominal pain and bloating with meals from the delayed gastric emptying that accompanies malnutrition. Constipation, which may be ameliorated with stool softeners, can progress to obstipation and, rarely, acute bowel obstruction. As weight gain progresses, many patients also develop acne and breast tenderness. Many patients become unhappy and demoralized about resulting changes in body shape. A severe refeeding syndrome may occur when severely malnourished patients (generally those weighing <70% of their healthy body weight) are re-fed too rapidly, particularly in the context of enteral or parenteral feedings but also with vigorous oral refeeding regimens. This syndrome consists of hypophosphatemia, 	

hypomagnesemia, hypocalcemia, and fluid retention. Thiamine deficiency may also be seen as a feature of this syndrome. Excessively rapid refeeding and nasogastric or parenteral feeding may be particularly dangerous because of their potential for inducing severe fluid retention, cardiac arrhythmias, cardiac failure, respiratory insufficiency, delirium, seizures, rhabdomyolysis, red cell dysfunction, and even sudden death, especially in the lowest-weight patients. Infection is always a risk with parenteral feedings in emaciated and potentially immunocompromised patients with anorexia nervosa. As patients start to recover and feel their bodies becoming larger, and especially as they approach frightening magical numbers on the scale that represent phobic weights, they may experience a resurgence of anxious and depressive symptoms, irritability, and sometimes suicidal thoughts. These mood symptoms, non-food-related obsessional thoughts, and compulsive behaviors, although often not eradicated, usually decrease with sustained weight gain. *Medications:* Malnourished patients are much more prone to the side effects of medications. **Treatment of Bulimia Nervosa** Psychosocial treatments: Patients with bulimia nervosa occasionally have difficulties with certain elements of psychotherapy. Possible adverse effects of psychotherapeutic and psychosocial interventions, steps that clinicians might take to minimize negative therapeutic reactions, and issues concerning countertransference apply to the treatment of patients with bulimia nervosa. Medications: High dropout rates may also be seen in patients using SSRIs. Side effects vary widely across studies depending on the type of antidepressant medication used. In the multicenter fluoxetine trials, sexual side effects were common, and at the dosage of 60 mg/day, insomnia, nausea, and asthenia were seen in 25% to 33% of patients. For the tricyclic antidepressants, common side effects include sedation, constipation, dry mouth, and, with amitriptyline, weight gain. The toxicity and potential lethality of tricyclic antidepressant overdosage also dictate caution in prescribing this class of drug for patients who are at risk for suicide. There is a risk of spontaneous hypertensive crises in patients with bulimia nervosa taking monoamine oxidase inhibitors (MAOIs). Although there are data indicating that fluoxetine can be effective in preventing relapse in these patients, other data suggest that high rates of relapse occur while antidepressants are being taken and possibly higher rates are seen when the medication is withdrawn. The use of lithium carbonate is problematic, because lithium levels may shift markedly with rapid volume

	changes. Practitioners have reported several patients experiencing adverse effects with the drug, such as word- finding difficulties and paresthesias in a sizable minority of patients.
FMSD (2007)	Adverse effects associated with antidepressants
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	 Drugs that prolong the QTc interval on the ECG (for example, antipsychotics, tricyclic antidepressants, macrolide antibiotics, and some antihistamines) may compromise cardiac function in some patients with anorexia nervosa. Hypophosphataemia may develop rapidly during refeeding and, if severe, can cause cardiac and respiratory failure, delirium, and fits. Ingestion of large quantities of carbohydrates, during rapid refeeding, may result in a precipitate drop in serum phosphate levels. The risks associated with naso-gastric (NG) tube feeding, percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG), or spoon feeding, will be increased in the context of active physical resistance. Actions such as the pulling out the (NG) tube, interfering with or pulling out the PEG, and the physical condition of the patient increase the risk involved.

TABLE 6: EVIDENCE RATING SCHEMES	
APA (2006)	Each recommendation is identified at falling into one of three categories of endorsement, indicated by a bracketed Roman numeral following the statement. The three categories represent varying levels of clinical confidence regarding the recommendation:
	[I] Recommended with substantial clinical confidence.
	[II] Recommended with moderate clinical confidence.
	[III] May be recommended on the basis of individual circumstances.
FMSD	Classification of the Quality of Evidence
(2007)	A. Quality of Evidence: High. Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in

	 the estimate of effect. Several high-quality studies with consistent results In special cases: one large, high-quality multi-centre trial
	 B. Quality of Evidence: Moderate. Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. One high-quality study Several studies with some limitations
	 C. Quality of Evidence: Low. Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. One or more studies with severe limitations
	 D. Quality of Evidence: Very Low. Any estimate of effect is very uncertain. Expert opinion No direct research evidence One or more studies with very severe limitations
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE Levels of Evidence I: Evidence obtained from a single randomised controlled trial or
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	 RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE Levels of Evidence I: Evidence obtained from a single randomised controlled trial or a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	 RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE Levels of Evidence I: Evidence obtained from a single randomised controlled trial or a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials IIa: Evidence obtained from at least one well-designed controlled study without randomisation
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	 RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE Levels of Evidence I: Evidence obtained from a single randomised controlled trial or a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials IIa: Evidence obtained from at least one well-designed controlled study without randomisation IIb: Evidence obtained from at least one well-designed quasi-experimental study
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	 RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE Levels of Evidence I: Evidence obtained from a single randomised controlled trial or a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials IIa: Evidence obtained from at least one well-designed controlled study without randomisation IIb: Evidence obtained from at least one well-designed quasi-experimental study III: Evidence obtained from well-designed non-experimental descriptive studies, such as comparative studies, correlation studies and case-control studies
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	 RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE Levels of Evidence I: Evidence obtained from a single randomised controlled trial or a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials IIa: Evidence obtained from at least one well-designed controlled study without randomisation IIb: Evidence obtained from at least one well-designed quasi-experimental study III: Evidence obtained from well-designed non-experimental descriptive studies, such as comparative studies, correlation studies and case-control studies IV: Evidence obtained from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experience of respected authorities
NCCMH/NICE (2004)	 RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE Levels of Evidence I: Evidence obtained from a single randomised controlled trial or a meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials IIa: Evidence obtained from at least one well-designed controlled study without randomisation IIb: Evidence obtained from at least one well-designed quasi-experimental study III: Evidence obtained from well-designed non-experimental descriptive studies, such as comparative studies, correlation studies and case-control studies IV: Evidence obtained from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experience of respected authorities Strength of Recommendations

addressing the specific recommendation (evidence level I) without extrapolation
Grade B - Well-conducted clinical studies but no randomised clinical trials on the topic of recommendation (evidence levels II or III); or extrapolated from level I evidence
Grade C - Expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experiences of respected authorities (evidence level IV) or extrapolated from level I or II evidence. This grading indicates that directly applicable clinical studies of good quality are absent or not readily available.

GUIDELINE CONTENT COMPARISON

The American Psychiatric Association (APA), Finnish Medical Society Duodecim (FMSD), and National Collaborating Centre for Mental Health/National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NCCMH/NICE) present recommendations for the management of eating disorders.

Some guidelines are broader in scope than others. For example, in addition to management, FMSD and NCCMH/NICE also address the screening for and identification of eating disorders. These topics, however, are beyond the scope of this synthesis. APA addresses a North American population, while FMSD and NCCMH/NICE address a European population (Finland and the United Kingdom, respectively).

While FMSD focuses on anorexia and bulimia nervosa, APA and NCCMH/NICE also address atypical eating disorders such as binge eating disorder and eating disorders not otherwise specified (EDNOS). The APA and NCCMH/NICE guidelines address areas where more research is needed.

In formulating its conclusions, APA reviewed the NCCMH/NICE guideline and NCCMH/NICE reviewed the previous (2000) version of the APA guideline.

Guideline Development Methodology

Regarding the methods used to collect and select the evidence, all three groups performed searches of electronic databases, with FMSD and NCCMH/NICE also performing hand-searches of published literature (both primary and secondary sources). All of the groups describe relevant information about the electronic databases searched, with APA and NCCMH/NICE also providing the specific search terms used and the time range over which data were obtained.

To assess the quality and strength of the evidence, both FMSD and NCCMH/NICE weigh the evidence according to a rating scheme, while APA uses expert consensus.

All three groups performed a review of published meta-analyses to analyze the evidence, along with a systematic review (FMSD) or systematic review with evidence tables (APA, NCCMH/NICE). APA and NCCMH/NICE describe the processes used.

Both APA and NCCMH/NICE employed expert consensus to formulate their recommendations, and both use a grading scheme to indicate the strength of each individual recommendation. FMSD does not provide the methods used to formulate the recommendations.

All groups provided reference lists. APA and NCCMH/NICE stated that work group members were required to provide formal declarations of interest at the outset of the development process, while FMSD did not disclose potential conflicts of interest.

Areas of Agreement

Comprehensive Assessment/Coordination of Care

There is general agreement among the guidelines that a comprehensive initial assessment of patients diagnosed with an eating disorder should be performed and should include an evaluation of physical condition, mental status (including risk to self), and eating disorder attitudes and behaviors.

In terms of coordination of care, there is overall agreement that patients should be managed in a multidisciplinary team fashion, with all involved caregivers (physicians, psychologists, registered dietitians, social workers, physician specialists, dentists, etc.) collaborating to promote the well-being of the patient. Each team member's role should be clearly identified, and open communication between all parties should be encouraged.

Determination of Treatment Setting

There is general agreement that important factors to consider in determining the most appropriate treatment setting include the overall physical condition, psychology, behaviors, and social circumstances of the patient. APA and NCCMH/NICE are in agreement that most patients with uncomplicated bulimia nervosa can be treated as outpatients, but that patients at risk of suicide or severe self-harm or with other risk factors may warrant hospitalization.

Nutritional Rehabilitation/Physical Management

The two guidelines that address nutritional rehabilitation (APA and NCCMH/NICE) are in agreement that weight gain regimens are a key component of the treatment of anorexia nervosa. APA recommends a target weight gain of 2 to 3 lbs/week for hospitalized patients and 0.5 to 1 lb/week for outpatients, with a caloric intake beginning at approximately 1,000 to 1,600 kcal/day and increasing to 70 to 100 kcal/kg per day for certain patients. NCCMH/NICE notes that 0.5 to 1 kg (1.1 to 2.2 lbs) in inpatient settings and 0.5 kg (1.1 lbs) in outpatient settings should be aims of treatment, which requires about 3,500 to 7,000 extra calories a week.

APA and NCCMH/NICE acknowledge that providing nutrition parentally or via a nasogastric tube may be required in inpatient settings. NCCMH/NICE states that total parenteral nutrition should not be used for people with anorexia nervosa in the absence of significant gastrointestinal dysfunction. APA states that nasogastric feeding is preferable to intravenous feeding.

APA and NCCMH/NICE are in agreement that refeeding syndrome is a serious concern associated with administration of life-preserving nutrition, and that appropriate monitoring for the associated possible cardiovascular, neurologic, and hematologic complications is necessary. The guidelines agree that slow refeeding, with supplementation of vitamins and minerals as indicated, is an important preventive measure to implement.

With regard to involuntary forced feeding, APA and NCCMH/NICE are in agreement that the legal basis and ramifications for doing so must be clear. NCCMH/NICE notes that it is a highly specialized procedure, only to be used as a last resort intervention in the treatment of anorexia nervosa. APA states that the clinical circumstances, family opinion, and relevant legal and ethical dimensions of the patient's treatment must be carefully considered.

Psychological Interventions

APA and NCCMH/NICE agree that appropriate goals of psychological treatment for anorexia nervosa include helping patients to cooperate with their nutritional and physical rehabilitation (including weight gain and/or maintenance), to reduce eating disorder-related behaviors, and to promote psychological recovery.

During the weight gain process, APA recommends individual psychotherapeutic management that is psychodynamically informed, noting that formal psychotherapy may be ineffective. Therapies suggested by NCCMH/NICE include CAT, CBT, IPT, focal psychodynamic therapy, and family interventions focused explicitly on eating disorders. Both groups provide recommendations regarding psychological interventions for inpatient settings, with APA noting that most inpatient-based programs incorporate emotional nurturance and a combination of reinforcers that link exercise, bed rest, and privileges to target weights, desired behaviors, feedback concerning changes in weight, and other observable parameters. NCCMH/NICE recommends a treatment regimen which focuses both on eating behavior and attitudes to weight and shape, as well as to wider psychosocial issues with the expectation of weight gain, but cautions against rigid inpatient behavior modification programs.

APA cites CBT and interpersonal and/or psychodynamically oriented individual or group psychotherapy as appropriate treatments to prevent relapse after weight restoration, adding that overlap between therapies is common. NCCMH/NICE more generally notes that following inpatient weight restoration, people with anorexia nervosa should be offered outpatient psychological treatment lasting at least 12 months that focuses both on eating behavior and attitudes to weight and shape and on wider psychosocial issues, with regular monitoring of both physical and psychological risk.

APA and NCCMH/NICE further agree that psychosocial interventions for bulimia nervosa should be selected based on factors such as patient age, cognitive and

psychological development, and family situation. All three groups are in agreement that CBT should be offered as the first-line psychosocial intervention. For patients who do not respond initially to CBT, APA and NCCMH/NICE agree that alternative psychological therapies should be considered, or a combination of therapies should be used. FMSD notes that CBT and medication have been successful. APA and NCCMH/NICE also both cite self-help programs as possible treatment options for bulimia nervosa. Both groups also provide recommendations regarding appropriate psychological treatments for binge eating disorder.

All three guidelines stress the efficacy and importance of family therapy and family involvement in the psychological treatment of children and adolescents at all stages of treatment and/or recovery for both anorexia and bulimia nervosa.

Pharmacological Interventions

With regard to anorexia nervosa, there is general agreement among the guidelines that antidepressants or other psychopharmaceuticals are only indicated for treating comorbid psychiatric conditions, such as depression. In such cases, APA notes that SSRIs in combination with psychotherapy may be effective for both weight restoration and relapse prevention. FMSD states that a combination of antidepressants and psychotherapy is more effective than psychotherapy alone, but that psychotherapy may be more acceptable to patients.

For the treatment of bulimia nervosa, all three groups agree that the SSRI fluoxetine is the drug of first choice in terms of acceptability, tolerability, and reduction of symptoms. Both APA and NCCMH/NICE cite SSRIs as an appropriate treatment option for binge eating disorder and address the potential benefits and risks.

There is general agreement that special attention must be paid to the side effects and warnings associated with all prescribed medications, with APA and NCCMH/NICE agreeing that careful cardiac monitoring is necessary when drugs that prolong the QTc interval are prescribed.

Areas of Differences

There are no significant areas of differences between the guidelines.

This Synthesis was prepared by ECRI on January 17, 2008. The information was verified by AAP on January 30, 2008, and by APA and FMSD on February 8, 2008. This Synthesis was revised in October 2008 to remove AAP recommendations.

Internet citation: National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC). Guideline synthesis: Management of Eating Disorders. In: National Guideline Clearinghouse (NGC) [website]. Rockville (MD): 2008 Feb (revised 2008 Nov). [cited YYYY Mon DD]. Available: <u>http://www.guideline.gov</u>. © 1998-2008 National Guideline Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 11/24/2008