

Taum Sauk – Upper Reservoir Full Figure 1-1



Taum Sauk Upper Reservoir Breached

Figure 1-2





#### **Figure 2-1 Cross section from original design drawings**



Figure 3-1



Cabin Creek Upper Reservoir Embankment

Figure 3-2



Total Settlement History

**Upper Reservoir Pin Elevations** 

Figure 3-3



Figure 3-4 (See Large Drawing S2 Provided)

#### Figure 3-4A





Figure 3-5 (See Large Drawing S1 Provided)



Total Leakage (cfs) vs Time

Figure 3-6

#### Figure 5-1



\* Pump shutdown order is operator selectable.

#### Figure 5-2



#### Figure 5-3





Taum Sauk Upper Reservoir Breach 12/14/05









Eroded foundation, note rock jointing and overlying clay materials Figure 6-5



Rockfill between top of rock and base of plinth



Rockfill between top of rock and base of plinth, note reddish grout in rockfill beneath the plinth



Rockfill between top of rock and base of plinth



#### and TX3 reads average of 0.85 feet high) **Compared to Reference Transducer** Pressure Transducers TX2 and TX3 TX2 reads average of 7.86 feet high





TX3 (16647RJ) vs. Reference

#### at 5 degrees compared to 20 degrees) (TX2 Output Shift Represents +7.11 Ft. of Water Level Pressure Transducer Temperature Sensitivity



TX2 (16646RJ) at 40 PSIG

⇒





## Note, straightening of protective pipes between dates of above photos.







with instrument cables in enclosure on parapet. and conductivity probes use the second pipe from left. Pressure transducers use left pipe Upper ends of protective pipes

#### Figure 7-6

Protective pipe base plates are not anchored to reservoir. Left guy cable has come loose from base plate in top photo.





connection to U channel but lack of lock washer at turnbuckle. unthreaded from turnbuckle. Also note lock washer in place at Protective pipe support system as found. Note eye bolt



### Hurricane Rita Event







#### smaller level variations compared to next day. Generation start on day prior to Hurricane Rita. Note









Upper Reservoir Level from Dec. 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup>.

#### compared to falling level. Dec. 2<sup>nd</sup>. Note erratic behavior on rising and lower level



## Jan. 2005 two pump operation.



## April 2005 two pump operation.



## June 2005 two pump operation.



### July 2005 two pump operation.



## August 1, 2005 two pump operation.



## August 10, 2005 two pump operation.



## August 17, 2005 two pump operation.



## Sept. 2005 two pump operation.





Erratic level indications on Dec. 10<sup>th</sup>.

### -222 MW = Completion of pump 2 start sequence. Level indications after pump 2 start shows an offset.



# Water level indications at breach event.



### and adjusted (right scale) prior to breach event. Water level indications (left scale)





Daily maximum indicated levels.

Figure 7-27

Upper Reservoir Conductivity Probes

Parapet at probes







Estimates of Maximum Reservoir Level Before Breach

Note: Due to programming error made on Sept. 16, 2005, Unit 2 pump shutdown due to level protection probe response was disabled.





BEST ESTIMATE OF ROCKFILL GRADATION

Figure 7-32