

Enrollment Enhancements - 2008

ProSight

PART I - A

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

OMB Text Limitations - SHORT ANSWER(250 Characters), MEDIUM ANSWER(500 Characters) and LONG ANSWER(2500 Characters)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

I.A.1) Date of Submission (mm/dd/yyyy)

Sep 11, 2006

I.A.2) Agency

029 - Department of Veterans Affairs

I.A.3) Bureau

00 - Agency Wide Initiatives

I.A.4) Name of this Investment:(SHORT ANSWER)

Enrollment Enhancements-2008

I.A.5) Unique Project(Investment) Identifier: Update the UPI using the Exhibit 53 tab.

029-00-01-11-01-1191-00

I.A.6) What kind of investment will this be in FY2008? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M ONLY in FY2008, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2008 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)

Acquisition

I.A.7) What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?

FY2008

I.A.8) Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap: (LONG ANSWER)

In October 1996, Congress enacted the Veterans' Health Care Eligibility Reform Act of 1996, Public Law 104-262, which required VHA to implement a priority based enrollment system. The Enrollment project includes functionality to process veterans' applications for enrollment, share veterans' eligibility and enrollment data with all VA health care facilities involved in the veterans' care, manage veterans' enrollment correspondence and telephone inquiries, and support national reporting and analysis of enrollment data. The Health Eligibility Center (HEC) Legacy system handles this functionality. In November 2006, Enrollment System Redesign (ESR) 3.0 will replace the legacy system in order to provide greater flexibility to meet critical requirements on a timely basis, better safeguards to meet security requirements, and improved reliability.

This project also encompasses Income Verification Matching (IVM) functionality, which verifies certain veterans' self-reported income information with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and Social Security Administration (SSA) federal tax information to identify veterans' responsibilities for making medical care co-payments and to enhance revenue from first party collections. The Enrollment Database (EDB) was created to house this updated functionality. ESR 3.1, which will replace the EDB system in October 2007, includes a workflow component to create, assign, view, track, and complete work items.

Further enhancements planned for deployment will eliminate the requirement for certain veterans to update their financial assessments annually; allow authenticated veterans the opportunity to log into their accounts online to apply for health care benefits, manage their personal information on file with VHA, and electronically sign forms; centralize eligibility and enrollment mail-out activities and processing of mail-in and online VA health care enrollment applications and updates; and expand sharing of information from VBA and other VA lines of business. Additional enhancements as prioritized by the customer will be developed, tested and released on a regular basis.

This project also encompasses HEC IT Operations support. This includes HEC's routine maintenance contracts for service and support of IT equipment, purchase of new and replacement equipment, software, telecommunications, and miscellaneous IT supplies.

I.A.9) Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?

Yes

I.A.9.a) If "yes," what was the date of this approval?

Jun 12, 2006

I.A.10) Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?

Yes

I.A.11) Project Managers Contact Information

	Project Managers Names (SHORT ANSWER)	PM Phone	E-mail (SHORT ANSWER)
Primary in-house	Mark D. Warner	518-449-0653	Mark.warner@med.va.gov

I.A.12) Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project.

No

I.A.12.a) Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?

Yes

I.A.12.b) Is this investment for construction or retrofit of a federal building or facility? (Answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

No

I.A.12.b.1) If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

I.A.12.b.2) If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

I.A.12.b.3) If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code? (Answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

I.A.13) Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives?

Yes

I.A.13.a) If "yes," check all that apply:

	PMA Initiatives for XML Submission	PMA Initiatives
		- Human Capital
		- Budget Performance Integration
		- Financial Performance
Yes	Expanded E-Government	- Expanded E-Government
		- Competitive Sourcing
		- Faith Based and Community
		- Real Property Asset Management
		- Eliminating Improper Payments
		- Privatization of Military Housing
		- Research & Development Investment Criteria
		- Housing & Urban Development Management & Performance
		- Broadening Health Insurance Coverage through State Initiatives
		- "Right Sized" Overseas Presence
Yes	Coordination of VA and DoD Programs and Systems	- Coordination of VA & DoD Programs and Systems

I.A. 13.b) Briefly describe how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)?(MEDIUM ANSWER)

Expanded Electronic Government: The online "Application for Health Benefits" enables veterans to apply for health care benefits electronically in the privacy and comfort of their homes.

Coordination of VA and DoD: It will enable VHA to effectively interact with the One VA Registration and Eligibility system currently in the requirements phase at the agency level. The One VA system will increase data sharing with DoD so as to obtain more detailed information on the veteran.

I.A. 14) Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.)

No

I.A. 14.a) If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review?

No

I.A. 14.b) If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program? (SHORT ANSWER)

I.A. 14.c) If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?

I.A. 15) Is this investment information technology? (See section 53.8 for definition)

Yes

I.A. 16) What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)

Level 1

I.A. 17) What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)

Qualification Status	Qualification Status for XML Submission	Description
1	(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment	(1) - Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment.
		(2) - Project manager qualification is under review for this investment.
		(3) - Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements.
		(4) - Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
		(5) - No Project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

I.A. 18) Is this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2006 agency high risk report (per OMB's "high risk" memo)?

No

I.A. 19) Is this project (investment) a Financial Management System? (see section 53.3 for definition)

No

I.A. 19.a) If so, does this project (investment) address a FFIA (Federal Financial Managers Integrity Act) compliance area?

I.A. 19.a.1) If yes, which compliance area?

I.A. 19.a.2) If "no," what does it address? (MEDIUM ANSWER)

I.A. 19.b) If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52 (LONG ANSWER)

I.A.20) What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2008 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Percentage of Total Investment	
% Hardware	3.00
% Software	3.00
% Services	94.00
% Others	

I.A.21) If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

NA

I.A.22) Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Contact Name: (SHORT ANSWER)	Heidi Hamzi
Phone Number:	202-565-8346
Title: (SHORT ANSWER)	IT Specialist
E-mail: (SHORT ANSWER)	heidi.hamzi@va.gov

I.A.23) Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?

Yes

PART I - B

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

OMB Text Limitations - SHORT ANSWER(250 Characters), MEDIUM ANSWER(500 Characters) and LONG ANSWER(2500 Characters)

Section B: Summary of Funding (All Capital Assets)

I.B.1) FILL IN TABLE IN CURRENT VALUES (in millions)

(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)

Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be EXCLUDED from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The total estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Category of Funds	PY-1 and Earlier	PY 2006	CY 2007	BY 2008
Planning				
Budgetary Resources	0.000	0.000	0.430	0.450
Acquisition				
Budgetary Resources	11.960	9.140	6.910	6.750
Total, Sum of Stages				
Total, Resources (Plan & Acq)	11.960	9.140	7.340	7.200
Operations & Maintenance				
Budgetary Resources	0.000	0.000	1.170	1.110
Total, All Stages Resources	11.960	9.140	8.510	8.310
Government FTE Costs	2.720	2.260	2.940	3.330
Govt. FTE Numbers	27	21	25	28
Total, All Stages Resources + FTE	14.680	11.400	11.450	11.640

Government FTE Costs SHOULD NOT be INCLUDED as part of the TOTAL, All Stages Resources represented.

Note: 1) For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). 2) Total, All Stages Resources should equal Total, All Stages Outlays.

I.B.2) Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?

No

I.B.2.a) If Yes, How many and in what year? (MEDIUM ANSWER)

I.B.3) If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2007 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes. (LONG ANSWER)

This is a new Exhibit.

PART I - C

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION
--

<i>In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.</i>

<i>OMB Text Limitations - SHORT ANSWER(250 Characters), MEDIUM ANSWER(500 Characters) and LONG ANSWER(2500 Characters)</i>
--

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)
--

<i>I.C.1) If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why? (LONG ANSWER)</i>

Even though not specified in the current task orders, contractors enter all of their hours into VA's Earned Value Management System (EVMS). The upcoming BPA for these task orders includes language for EVM.

<i>I.C.2) Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance?</i>

Yes

<i>I.C.2.a) If the Contracts WILL NOT ensure Section 508 Compliance, explain why:</i>

<i>I.C.3) Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements?</i>
--

Yes

<i>I.C.3.a) If "yes," what is the date?</i>

Aug 6, 2006

<i>I.C.3.b) If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?</i>

<i>I.C.3.b.1) If "no," briefly explain why: (MEDIUM ANSWER)</i>

PART I - D

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

OMB Text Limitations - SHORT ANSWER(250 Characters), MEDIUM ANSWER(500 Characters) and LONG ANSWER(2500 Characters)

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

I.D.1) In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives that this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60%, increase citizen participation by 300% a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75% by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestone, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

For Existing IT projects that have previously submitted Exhibit 300s:

--> If you completed Table 1 last year, please use Table 1 to report for fiscal year 2005 and Table 2 for fiscal years 2006 through at least 2009.

--> If you completed only Table 2 last year, please use Table 2 to report for fiscal years 2006 through at least 2009.

For projects that are submitting Exhibit 300s for the first time:

--> Use Table 2.

--> Report on Performance Measures for at least two years, i.e., FY 2008 and 2009, FY 2009 and 2010.

--> If the project will have data for 2007 that you wish to include, add extra lines in Table 2 and complete all information in this single table.

--> At least one performance goal should be met by BY+1.

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION TABLE 2:

Please use Table 2 and the FEA Performance Reference Model (PRM) to identify the performance information pertaining to this major IT Investment. Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for at least four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year).

Fiscal Year	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Planned Improvements to the Baseline	Actual Results
2008	Processes and Activities	Productivity	IVM cases worked per year	Number of potential cases closed by IVM operations in FY2007	IVM operations will close 5% more potential cases in FY2008 than in FY2007 (Maps to VA Strategic Goal 1, Objective 1.1)	
2008	Mission and Business Results	Information Management	Average time to return field query	Average time to return field query in FY2007	5% decrease in average time to return field query in FY2008 than in FY2007 (Maps to VA Strategic Enabling Goal, Objective E-3)	
2008	Technology	Availability	Average system uptime	Average system uptime of 99.5% in FY2007	Average system uptime will increase to 99.6% in FY2008 (Maps to VA Strategic Goal 3, Objective 3.1)	
2008	Customer Results	Response Time	Calendar days to return decision	It takes 4 calendar days for a medical site to receive verified enrollment status from the date the site clerk enters veteran application in FY2007	It will take an average of 3 calendar days for a medical site to receive verified enrollment status from the date the site clerk enters veteran application in FY2008 (Maps to VA Strategic Goal 2, Objective 2.1)	

PART I - E

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.

OMB Text Limitations - SHORT ANSWER(250 Characters), MEDIUM ANSWER(500 Characters) and LONG ANSWER(2500 Characters)

Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets only)

System/Application Level Information:

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

All systems supporting and/or part of this investment should be included in the tables below, inclusive of both agency owned systems and contractor systems. For IT investments under development, security and privacy planning must proceed in parallel with the development of the system(s) to ensure IT security and privacy requirements and costs are identified and incorporated into the overall lifecycle of the system(s).

I.E.1) Identified the IT security costs for the system(s) and have integrated those costs into the overall costs of the investment:

Yes

I.E.2) Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part of the overall risk management effort for each system supporting or part of this investment.

Yes

I.E.3) Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG?

No

I.E.3.a) If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated into the agency's plan of action and milestone process?

PART I - F

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION

In Part I, complete Sections A, B, C, and D for all capital assets (IT and non-IT). Complete Sections E and F for IT capital assets.
 OMB Text Limitations - SHORT ANSWER(250 Characters), MEDIUM ANSWER(500 Characters) and LONG ANSWER(2500 Characters)

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case and capital asset plan you must ensure the investment is included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process, and is mapped to and supports the FEA. You must also ensure the business case demonstrates the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

I.F.1) Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?

Yes

I.F.1.a) If "no," please explain why? (LONG ANSWER)

I.F.2) Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?

Yes

I.F.2.a) If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. (MEDIUM ANSWER)

One VA Eligibility and Registration

I.F.2.b) If "no," please explain why? (LONG ANSWER)

I.F.3) FEA SERVICE REFERENCE MODEL:

I.F.3) Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/egov/>.

SERVICE COMPONENT TABLE:

	Agency Component Name (SHORT ANSWER)	Agency Component Description (MEDIUM ANSWER)	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a*)	FEA Service Component Reused : Component Name (b*)	FEA Service Component Reused : UPI (b*)	Internal or External Reuse? (c*)	BY Funding Percentage (d*)
1	EA40-001	Common Veteran Registration Process	Customer Initiated Assistance	Reservations / Registration	Reservations / Registration		No Reuse	0.000
2	EA40-001	Common Veteran Registration Process	Knowledge Management	Information Retrieval	Information Retrieval		No Reuse	0.000
3	EA40-001	Common Veteran Registration Process	Knowledge Management	Information Sharing	Information Sharing		No Reuse	0.000
4	EA40-001	Common Veteran Registration Process	Knowledge Management	Knowledge Capture	Knowledge Capture		No Reuse	0.000
5	EA40-001	Common Veteran	Reporting	Standardized / Canned	Standardized / Canned		No Reuse	0.000

		Registration Process						
6	EA40-001	Common Veteran Registration Process	Management of Processes	Business Rule Management	Business Rule Management		No Reuse	0.000
7	EA40-001	Common Veteran Registration Process	Data Management	Data Exchange	Data Exchange		No Reuse	0.000
8	Vitra	Provides the middleware services for the service oriented architecture of the re-hosted/re-engineered applications.	Development and Integration	Information Retrieval	Information Retrieval	029-00-01-11-01-1180-00	Internal	0.000
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
29								
30								
31								
32								

33								
34								
35								
36								
37								
38								
39								
40								
41								
42								
43								
44								
45								
46								
47								
48								
49								
50								

NOTE:

(a*) - Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

(b*) - A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

(c*) - 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

(d*) - Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the funding level transferred to another agency to pay for the service.

I.F.4) FEA TECHNICAL REFERENCE MODEL:

I.F.4) To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

TECHNICAL REFERENCE MODEL TABLE:

	FEA SRM Component (a*)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard
1	Reservations / Registration	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser
2	Reservations / Registration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers
3	Reservations / Registration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent

4	Reservations / Registration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Modeling
5	Reservations / Registration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Integrated Development Environment
6	Reservations / Registration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management
7	Reservations / Registration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management
8	Reservations / Registration	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent
9	Reservations / Registration	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Static Display
10	Reservations / Registration	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display
11	Reservations / Registration	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Content Rendering
12	Reservations / Registration	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services
13	Reservations / Registration	Service Interface and Integration	Interface	Service Description / Interface
14	Business Rule Management	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent
15	Business Rule Management	Service Interface and Integration	Interface	Service Description / Interface
16	Information Retrieval	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity
17	Information Retrieval	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware
18	Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels
19	Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet
20	Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance
21	Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services
22	Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport
23	Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications
24	Information Sharing	Component Framework	Data Interchange	Data Exchange
25	Information Sharing	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Format / Classification
26	Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Wide Area Network (WAN)
27	Knowledge Capture	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Storage
28	Knowledge Capture	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers
29	Knowledge Capture	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Web Servers
30	Knowledge Capture	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database
31	Data Exchange	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware
32	Data Exchange	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Format / Classification
33	Data Exchange	Component Framework	Data Interchange	Data Exchange

34	Data Exchange	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels
35	Standardized / Canned	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis
36	Enterprise Application Integration	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware
37				
38				
39				
40				
41				
42				
43				
44				
45				
46				
47				
48				
49				
50				

NOTE:

(a*) - Service Components identified in the previous question(I.F.3) should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications

(b*) - In the Service Specification field, Agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

I.F.5) Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

No

I.F.5.a) If "yes," please describe. (LONG ANSWER)

I.F.6) Does this investment provide the public with access to a government automated information system?

No

I.F.6.a) If "yes," does customer access require specific software (e.g., a specific web browser version)?

PART II - B

PART II: PLANNING, ACQUISITION AND PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

Part II should be completed only for investments which in FY2008 will be in "Planning" or "Full Acquisition," investments, i.e., selected one of these three choices in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

OMB Text Limitations - SHORT ANSWER(250 Characters), MEDIUM ANSWER(500 Characters) and LONG ANSWER(2500 Characters)

Section B - RISK MANAGEMENT (All Capital Assets)

II.B.1) Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?

Yes

II.B.1.a) If "yes," what is the date of the plan?

May 25, 2006

II.B.1.b) Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

No

II.B.1.c) If "yes," describe any significant changes: (LONG ANSWER)

II.B.2) If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?

II.B.2.a) If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks? (LONG ANSWER)

II.B.3) Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule: (LONG ANSWER)

Each IT Project Office plan has additional costs and time built into its schedule to account for unexpected costs and delays in case any of the risks occur. Extra costs are built into the schedule using a management reserve, which is an amount of total allocated budget withheld under management control rather than assigned as part of project scope.

PART II - C

Part II: Planning, Acquisition And Performance Information

Part II should be completed only for investments which in FY2008 will be in "Planning" or "Full Acquisition," investments, i.e., selected one of these three choices in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

OMB Text Limitations - SHORT ANSWER(250 Characters), MEDIUM ANSWER(500 Characters) and LONG ANSWER(2500 Characters)

C) Cost and Schedule Performance:

Identify in this section the proposed change to the original or current OMB-approved baseline. What are the new cost and schedule goals for the phase or segment/module (e.g., what are the major investment milestones or events; when will each occur; and what is the estimated cost to accomplish each one)? If this is a new investment in the FY 2008 Budget year or if the agency does not intend to propose a new baseline modification, this section will be blank for your budget submission.

II.C.1) Is the department requesting a change in the performance baseline?

No

II.C.2) Does the earned value management system meet the criteria in ANSI/EIA Standard – 748?

No

II.C.3) What costs are included in the reported Cost/Schedule Performance information (Government Only/Contractor Only/Both)? (Per OMB requirements Cost/Schedule Performance information should include both Government and Contractor Costs).

Contractor and Government

II.C.4) Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline:

II.C.4) Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions).

Description of Milestone	Init BL Planned Completion Date	Current BL Completion Date Planned	Current BL Completion Date Actual
ESR Iteration 1	Oct 18, 2004	Oct 18, 2004	
ESR Iteration 2	Feb 11, 2005	Feb 11, 2005	
ESR Iteration 3	Aug 16, 2006	Aug 16, 2006	
IVM and Workflow Enhancement Phase 1	Oct 5, 2007	Oct 5, 2007	
ESR Iteration 4	Nov 9, 2006	Nov 9, 2006	
ESR Deployment and Closeout	Jan 22, 2007	Jan 22, 2007	
Maintenance FY2007	Sep 30, 2007	Sep 30, 2007	
Defect Resolution Development FY2007	Sep 30, 2007	Sep 30, 2007	
Minor Enhancements 1	Jul 30, 2007	Jul 30, 2007	
Workflow Enhancement Phase 2	Jan 30, 2008	Jan 30, 2008	
Workflow Enhancement Phase 3	Apr 30, 2008	Apr 30, 2008	
Defect Resolution Development FY2008	Sep 30, 2008	Sep 30, 2008	
Maintenance FY2008	Sep 30, 2008	Sep 30, 2008	
Veterans Financial Assessment Enhancement	Sep 30, 2008	Sep 30, 2008	

