

Appendix A

Effects Determination Flow Chart for Lost River, shortnose, and Warner suckers from Grazing Activities on the Fremont National Forest 12/10/1996	
1. Do perennial or intermittent streams flow into occupied, designated or proposed critical sucker habitat within the Gerber, Sprague River, Clear Lake/Lost River and Warner Basin watersheds?	NO – <i>No Effect</i> YES- Go to Number 2
2. Have these streams been excluded from livestock grazing for at least two (2) years, the proposed action is for continued rest for the coming year, and excess (unauthorized) use has not retarded recovery (unauthorized use is insignificant or unmeasurable)?	NO- Go to Number 3 YES- <i>No Effect</i>
3. Do large scale diversions exist that remove most of the annual flow and eliminate the active downstream channel that would normally flow into occupied sucker habitat or critical sucker habitat (designated or proposed)?	NO- <i>May Affect</i> (Is there any reason to believe that grazing activities will Not result in a <i>May Affect</i> determination? If so, justify. If not, go to Number 4). YES- <i>No Effect</i>
4. Do the intermittent channels support riparian vegetation of sufficient width (2mm at a 1:24,000 scale) so that it is part of the Forest riparian layer within GIS? (Not: this step may require ground truthing)?	NO- <i>May Affect, Not Likely to Adversely Affect</i> (Is there any reason to believe that grazing activities will Not result in a <i>May Affect</i> determination? If so, justify). YES- Go to Number 5
5. Does grazing activity occur within occupied sucker habitat or critical sucker habitat (designated or proposed)?	NO- go to Number 6 YES- <i>May Affect, Likely to Adversely Affect</i> (Is there any reason to believe that grazing activities will Not result in a <i>May Affect</i> determination? If so, justify)
6. Has the affected subshed been determined to have a high risk of cumulative watershed effects as determined by the Section 7 Cumulative Watershed Effects (CWE) protocol as amended by the Fremont National Forest?	NO- <i>May Affect, Not Likely to Adversely Affect</i> (Is there any reason to believe that grazing activities will Not result in a <i>May Affect</i> determination? If so, justify). YES- <i>May Affect, Likely to Adversely Affect</i> (Is there any reason to believe that grazing activities will Not result in a <i>May Affect</i> determination? If so, justify)