Wet FGD Additive for Enhanced Mercury Control









NETL

Luminant

Richard Rhudy – EPRI

Charles Miller – NETL



degussa. creating essentials



Project Overview

- Co-funded by DOE-NETL, EPRI, Luminant Power, Southern Company, AES, Degussa Corporation, and Nalco
 - DE-FC26-04NT42309 "Field Testing of a Wet FGD Additive for Enhanced Mercury Control"

Project Objective

- Prevent or minimize Hg⁰ "re-emissions" from wet FGD systems
- Field tests (pilot to full scale) of additives for optimizing Hg capture by wet FGD
 - Use of additives rather than altering FGD chemistry to limit or eliminate re-emissions
 - Lower Hg in gypsum byproduct
 - Enhance stability of Hg in gypsum used for wallboard?

Additive Selection

- At beginning of this DOE co-funded project (2004), only two FGD re-emission additives were identified: B&W's NaHS and DeGussa's TMT-15
- B&W additive was evaluated in previous DOE-NETL project, so this project focused on TMT-15
- Later in the project, Nalco's 8034 was added to the test program

Additive Tests

- Additives tested: Degussa TMT-15 and Nalco 8034
 - Form insoluble salts with Hg²⁺
- Effectiveness of additives determined by :
 - Reduced Hg concentrations in the FGD liquor
 - Gas phase Hg measurements of re-emissions (absorber inlet & outlet)

Pilot Testing Completed in 2005 (previously reported)

- Luminant Monticello Unit 3 (two weeks)
 - Texas Lignite/PRB, limestone/ forced oxidation FGD
- Southern Co. Plant Yates Unit 1 (one week screening TMT dosage effects in JBR)



- Low S Eastern Bituminous, limestone/forced oxidation FGD
- Both pilot tests showed TMT effective at precipitating Hg from FGD liquor
- Neither clearly demonstrated control of re-emissions due pilot outlet gas phase Hg measurement issues

Full-scale Testing Completed in 2006 (previously reported)

•IPL Petersburg Unit 2 (2 weeks of testing)

- Medium S, Eastern Bituminous, Limestone/forced oxidation

Results showed little, if any, effect of TMT

- Re-emissions were lower, maybe within normal variation
- Absorber liquor
 Hg concentrations
 did not decrease



2007 Full-scale Testing

TMT-15 test at Plant Yates Unit 1 (May)
 15-day test

- Nalco 8034 test at Plant Yates Unit 1 (August-September)
 - 30-day test

Plant Yates Unit 1 Testing Approach

- Baseline evaluation of Hg removal across wet FGD
 - Gas phase Hg measurements (Absorber Inlet & Outlet)
 - Ontario Hydro, plant CEM, & URS SCEMs
 - Hg partitioning between FGD liquor and solid byproducts
- Initial screening of additive dosage rate
- Steady-state addition test at "optimum" dosage
 - same measurements as during baseline evaluation

Plant Yates Full-scale TMT-15 Test Results (May 2007)

- •As at IPL Petersburg, test on Plant Yates JBR showed little effect of TMT
 - ~50% reduction in FGD liquor
 Hg concentration
 - Surprising considering effectiveness seen in pilot JBR in 2005
 - No decrease in re-emissions compared to baseline



 Stopped test after 15 days due to apparent lack of effect on Hg in liquor or re-emissions

Effect of TMT Addition on JBR Liquor Hg

| TMT Addition Rate (mL/ton of coal) | Full-scale JBR Liquor Hg (µg/L) | Pilot-scale JBR Liquor Hg (µg/L) |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 0 (baseline) | 196 (5/15/07) | 7.52 (8/18/05) |
| 20 | 156 (5/18/07) | <0.25 (8/21/05) |
| 40 | 139 (5/24/07) | - |
| 40 | 111 (5/25/07) | - |
| 40 | 103 (5/30/07) | - |

FGD Byproduct Hg Data for Full-scale JBR TMT-15 Additive Test

| | Full-scale | | Pilot-scale | |
|---|------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| | Baseline | w/TMT- 15 | Baseline | w/TMT- 15 |
| TMT Dosage (mL/ton of coal) | 0 | 40 | 0 | 20 |
| Hg in FGD Liquor, μ g/L | 196 | 103 | 7.5 | <0.25 |
| Hg in FGD Blow Down Slurry Solids, μg/g | 0.29 | 0.19 | 0.29 | 0.15 |
| % of Hg in Liquor Phase (vs.solids) of FGD Blow Down Slurry | 40 | 35 | 70 | <1.6 |

Yates Full-scale JBR Reemissions, by OH Method

| | JBR Inlet Hg μ g/Nm ³ @3% O ₂ [lb/10 ¹² Btu] | • | | Hg Re- emission (% of inlet Hg ⁺²) | | |
|------------------------------------|--|-----------|-----|---|--|--|
| Baseline (5/1 | Baseline (5/15/07): | | | | | |
| Hg ⁺² | 3.4 | 0.2 | 95 | - | | |
| Hg ⁰ | 3.6 | 4.6 | -27 | 29 | | |
| Total Hg | 7.0 [4.6] | 4.8 [3.2] | 31 | - | | |
| TMT @ 40 mL/ton of coal (5/24/07): | | | | | | |
| Hg ⁺² | 4.8 | 0.5 | 89 | - | | |
| Hg ⁰ | 4.7 | 7.5 | -59 | 58 | | |
| Total Hg | 9.5 [6.3] | 8.0 [5.3] | 16 | - | | |

Full-scale Nalco 8034 Test (August-September 2007)

- Conducted second test at Plant Yates, using Nalco 8034 additive
 - Shown effective at bench-scale and in privately funded pilot-scale test (PRB coal)
- Baseline re-emissions changed and were very low (much lower than were seen in May test)
 - Lower re-emissions thought to be due to low Hg concentrations in FGD liquor





Big Difference in Baseline JBR Liquor Hg Concentrations

| Date | JBR Liquor Hg (µg/L) |
|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 5/15/07 (no additive) | 196 |
| 8/28/07 (no additive) | 0.34 |

- Difference speculated to be due to change in limestone supply for JBR
 - Ball mill down in May, used dry-ground limestone from a 3rd party
 - Ball mill back in service in August, used normal limestone source
 - Possible iron/other metal effect from stone or grinding media?

Effect of Nalco 8034 Addition on JBR Liquor Hg

| Date | JBR Liquor I | Hg (μg/L) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|---|--|--|
| 8/28 (baseline) | 0.34 | | | |
| 8/30 | 0.26 | | | |
| 8/31 | 0.20 | | | |
| 9/7 | 0.16 | | | |
| 9/8 | <0.16 | | | |
| 9/17 | 0.84 | Increase towards end of test may b due to changes i | | |
| 9/18 | 0.76 | | | |
| 9/27 | 1.55 | JBR operation | | |

FGD Byproduct Hg Data for Full-scale JBR Nalco Additive Test

| | Baseline (No Additive) 8/28/07 | w/Nalco Additive 9/18/07 |
|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Hg in FGD Liquor, μg/L | 0.34 | 0.76 |
| Hg in FGD Blow Down Slurry Solids, μg/g | 0.28 | 0.44 |
| % of Hg in Liquor Phase (vs.solids) of FGD Blow Down Slurry | 0.6 | 0.8 |

| Yates Full-scale JBR Re- emissions, by OH Method | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|---|-------|---|--------------|
| | % O ₂) | Stack Hg (μg/Nm ³ @3 % O ₂) [lb/10 ¹² Btu] | moval | Hg Re- emission (% of inlet Hg ⁺²) | |
| Baseline (8/28): | | | | | |
| Hg ⁺² | 2.9 | <0.3 | >90 | - | |
| Hg ⁰ | 3.7 | 4.2 | -14 | 18 | |
| Total Hg | 6.6 [4.4] | 4.2 [2.8] | 36 | - | Minor |
| w/Nalco a | addition (9/18): | | | | Reduction in |
| Hg ⁺² | 3.4 | <0.5 | >87 | - | re-emissions |
| Hg ⁰ | 4.5 | 4.7 | -5 | 7 | |
| Total Hg | 7.9 [5.4] | 4.7 [3.2] | 41 | - | |

Ongoing Project Efforts

- Completing in-house FGD sample analyses
- FGD liquor/solid sample aliquots from May and August are being analyzed for metals analyses
 - investigate possible correlation with change in liquor Hg concentrations
- Final report due in March 2008

Summary

- Pilot-scale TMT-15 test results:
 - Inconclusive about effectiveness of TMT in controlling Hg⁰ re-emissions
 - Show expected effects of TMT in FGD byproducts
 - Greatly reduced Hg in FGD liquor
 - Most of the Hg reports to fines in FGD solids
- Full-scale TMT-15 test results:
 - Modest decrease in re-emissions across absorber at Petersburg, increase in re-emissions at Plant Yates
 - Do not show expected effects of TMT addition in absorber liquor
 - No reduction in Hg in FGD liquor at Petersburg
 - 50% reduction in Hg in FGD liquor at Plant Yates

Summary (continued)

- Full-scale Nalco additive test results:
 - Test confounded by low baseline FGD liquor Hg concentrations, low baseline re-emission levels
- More work is needed to determine why additives work at some sites, not at others
- Need better understanding of how much reemissions & Hg partitioning vary without additives, and why