Demonstration of an Integrated Approach to Mercury Control at Lee Station

**Preliminary Results** 

December 13 , 2006





#### Program description Program participants

- GE Energy Vitali Lissianski, Pete Maly
- Progress Energy Peter Hoeflich, Daniel Donochod, Garry Moore
- U.S. DOE Lynn Brickett
- DOE Contract No. DE-FC26-05NT42310

#### Project Objectives:

(1) Demonstrate 70% mercury control from current emissions

- the enhancement of "naturally" occurring Hg capture by fly ash
- duct humidification to lower ESP temperature
- ACI upstream of the ESP

(2) Minimize activated carbon injection rate

3) Determine effect of SO<sub>3</sub> on sorbent performance

### **Program description**



- Lee station Unit 3
- Located near Goldsboro, NC
- 250 MW opposed-wall fired
- E. Bituminous coal
- SO<sub>3</sub> conditioning system



#### Program components





### Program status

- Combustion optimization Dec 2005- Jan 2006
- Sorbent optimization Aug 2006
- 30-day sorbent injection Aug Sept 2006
- Data reduction in progress



#### Effect of LOI on mercury reduction



Potential to improve "native" mercury removal

) imagination at work

# **Combustion optimization**



#### <u>Activities</u>

#### •Balancing coal flow

Adjustable riffle boxes – Foster Wheeler
Rotoprobe coal flow measurements

•Optimizing burners and SOF/

Minimizing excess O<sub>2</sub>
Temporarily CO/O<sub>2</sub> grid

#### **Expected Results**

- More uniform LOI distribution
- Improvement in "native" mercury reduction on fly ash
- $\bullet$  Reduction in  $\text{NO}_{\rm x}$  emissions

## CO/O<sub>2</sub> distribution in boiler backpass

#### Before Combustion Optimization

	02	NOx	CO
Average	3.04	311	38.5
St Dev	0.70	25	39.2
Variation	22.9%	8.0%	101.9%

#### After Combustion optimization

	02	NOx	CO
Average	2.63	257	157.7
St Dev	0.31	25	186.9
Variation	11.9%	9.8%	118.5%





#### Effect of combustion conditions



imagination at work

#### **Combustion optimization**



 38% improvement in comparison with pre Combustion Optimization mercury reduction GE / GE /

# Sorbent injection strategy



imagination at work

# **CFD** modeling



# Characterize flow Obtain temperature distribution Determine optimum design of lances



#### Sorbent trajectories

#### Colored by residence time







#### Lances design

# Particle concentration at model exit (kg/m<sup>3</sup>)





#### Sorbent injection system



# •250 cf daily silo•40,000 lb bulk trailer•Bulk bag un-loader

#### Apogee Scientific provided mercury measurements



# Sorbent injection ports



# SO<sub>3</sub> injection

#### Lee 3 load and opacity



Opacity increases at full load
SO<sub>3</sub> injection is usually operational at full load

### Sorbent optimization



Darco Hg and Darco Hg-LH showed similar performances
 40-50% higher sorbent injection rate in the presence of SO<sub>18</sub>

GE .



#### Darco Hg injection – 30-day trial



Negative effect of SO<sub>3</sub> injection on sorbent performanc



#### Mercury reduction

imagination at work

Preliminary data



## Darco Hg E25C injection

Experimental SO<sub>3</sub> resistant sorbent from Norit



No improvement in comparison with Darco Hg

GE /

#### Effect on opacity



# Summary

- Combustion Optimization improved "native" mercury reduction and decreased NO<sub>x</sub> emissions
- Not all optimized combustion conditions can be maintained in long-term operation
- Darco Hg and Darco Hg-LH showed similar performances
- $SO_3$  injection reduced sorbent reactivity by ~50%
- Darco Hg E25C did not show improved performance in SO<sub>3</sub> presence
- Mercury reduction target was difficult to meet in the presence of  $SO_3$