Panel Discussion – Technical Performance and Cost of Mercury Control Technology Other Than Sorbent Injection

Comments by Dick Winschel



DOE/NETL Mercury Control Technology Conference Pittsburgh, PA December 11-13, 2006

Mercury Control Using Autogenic Unburned Carbon As Sorbent

Examples include:

 GE-EERC's combustion control method
 NETL's Thief process
 CONSOL Energy's Low-Temperature Mercury Control (LTMC) process

Conventional Operation







Mercury Removal with Deep Cooling of Flue Gas via Air Heater



Slurry Injection



What is the Potential Market Size for These Technologies?

Databases used:

- 1999 EPA ICR Part 2 database (ICR)
- 2003 EIA-767 database (EIA)
- Q3 2004 EPA Acid Rain Program
 Preliminary Summary Emission Report (EPA-AR)
- Energy Velocity database (EV)

Potential Market Size

	<u>No. of Units</u>	<u>Capacity, MWe</u>
All U.S. coal-fired EGUs >25 MWe	1143	328,052
- Eliminate units >400 MWe	-319	-206,863
 Eliminate units with first primary fuel not bituminous coal (EIA) 	-145	-25,573
- Eliminate all status except "OP" (EIA)	-44	-2,684
- Eliminate FGD and FBC (EIA, ICR, AR)	-173	-27,903
- Elim. units in ICR, but not EIA and AR	-37	-2,981
- Eliminate units west of Miss. River	-26	-2,233
- <u>Eliminate FGD or Hg controls</u> <u>announced (EV)</u>	<u>-83</u>	<u>-17,665</u>
Candidate units*	316	42,151

*Additional candidates include steam-only units and small EGUs.



Potential Market

316 Units, 42 GWe
Not broadly applicable, but much more than a niche

 Potential market is limited, but substantial