7. REFERENCES

- Hollett, B., Caplan, P., Cooper, T., and Froehlich, P. In-Depth Survey Report: Control Technology for Asbestos Removal, June 4-July 11, 1985. DHHS, NIOSH Report 1987 (ECTB No. 147-19a). NTIS Publ. No. PB-88-163191
- Hollett, B., Caplan, P., Cooper, T., and Froehlich, P. In-Depth Survey Report: Control Technology for Asbestos Removal, June 4-July 10, 1985. DHHS, NIOSH Report 1987 (ECTB No. 147-19b). NTIS Publ. No. PB-88-162201
- Hollett, B., Caplan, P., Cooper, T., and Froehlich, P. In-Depth Survey Report: Control Technology for Asbestos Removal, June 4-July 9, 1985. DHHS, NIOSH Report 1987 (ECTB No. 147-19c). NTIS Publ. No. PB-88-189451
- 4. Hollett, B., Caplan, P., Cooper, T., and Froehlich, P. In-Depth Survey Report: Control Technology for Asbestos Removal, June 4-July 18, 1985. DHHS, NIOSH Report 1987 (ECTB No. 147-19d). NTIS Publ. No. PB-88-162250
- 5. NIOSH. 1985. Project Protocol for Control Technology Assessment of Asbestos Removal Processes. August 1985. Unpublished.
- 6. USEPA. 1972. National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants. 40CFR61 Subpart A & B. 38FR8826. April 6, 1973.
- 7. USEPA. 1972. The Clean Air Act. 42 U.S.C. 7412, 7601(a).
- 8. USEPA. 1982. Friable Asbestos-Containing Material in Schools: Identification and Notification Rule. 40CFR763. 47FR23360. May 27, 1982.
- USEPA. 1983. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Guidance for Controlling Friable Asbestos-Containing Material in Buildings. Washington, DC. Office of Toxic Substances and Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, USEPA. EPA-560/5-83-002.
- 10. USEPA. 1985. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Guidance for Controlling Friable Asbestos-Containing Material in Buildings. Washington, DC. Office of Toxic Substances and Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, USEPA. EPA-560/5-85-024.
- 11. Public Law 99-519. Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act of 1986, Sec 2 Amendment to Toxic Substance Control Act, Title II-Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response. Signed October 22, 1986.
- 12. USEPA. 1984. National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAPS) Asbestos Regulations. 40CFR61, Subpart M. 49FR13661. April 5, 1984.

- 13. USDOL, OSHA. 1986. Occupational Exposure to Asbestos, Tremolite, Anthophyllite, and Actinolite; Final Rules. 29CFR1910.1001 and 29CFR1926.58. 51FR22612 (June 20, 1986).
- 14. NIOSH. 1984. Method 7400. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods. Third Ed., Vol 2. Cincinnati, OH. U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services. Public Health Service. Centers for Disease Control. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 84-100. (February 15, 1984).
- 15. Ibid. Revision #1. (May 15, 1985).
- 16. Ibid. Revision #2. (August 15, 1987).
- 17. Ibid. Revision #3. (May 15, 1989).
- 18. Baron, P. and Deye, G. 1990. Electrostatic Effects in Asbestos Sampling I: Experimental Measurements. American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal. 51(2):51-62.
- 19. USEPA. 1977 (Rev. June 1978). U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Electron Microscope Measurement of Airborne Asbestos Concentrations. Research Triangle Park, NC. Office of Research and Development, USEPA. EPA-600/2-77-178.
- 20. NIOSH. 1987. Method 7402. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods. Third Ed., Vol 2. March 1987 Revision. Cincinnati, OH. U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services. Public Health Service. Centers for Disease Control. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 84-100.
- 21. Ibid. Revision #2. (August 15, 1989).
- 22. Gandee, David P. 1983. Report of the Asbestos Detection Program for the Cincinnati Public School District, Cincinnati, OH. Unpublished.
- 23. NIOSH. 1984. NIOSH testimony to the U.S. Department of Labor: statement of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Presented at the public hearing on occupational exposure to asbestos, June 21, 1984. NIOSH policy statement. Cincinnati, OH. U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services. Public health Service. Centers for Disease Control. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health on the Occupational Safety and Health.
- 24. NIOSH. 1990. Testimony of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health on the Occupational Safety and Health Administration's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on Occupational Exposure to Asbestos, Tremolite, Anthrophyllite, and Actinolite, May 9, 1990. NIOSH policy statement. Cincinnati, OH. U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services. Public Health Service. Centers for Disease Control. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.

- 25. NIOSH. 1976. Criteria for a recommended standard: occupational exposure to asbestos. Cincinnati, OH. U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services. Public health Service. Centers for Disease Control. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 77-169.
- 26. NIOSH. 1980. Workplace exposure to asbestos: review and recommendations. NIOSH-OSHA Asbestos Work Group. Cincinnati, OH. U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services. Public Health Service. Centers for Disease Control. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 81-103.
- 27. USDOL, OSHA. 1983. OSHA Safety and Health Standards. 29CFR1910. General Industry, Section 1910.1001 Asbestos. OSHA 2006 Revised March 11,1983.
- 28. USDOL, OSHA. 1988. Amendment to Occupational Exposure to Asbestos, Tremolite, Anthophyllite, and Actinolite; Final Rules. 29CFR1910.1001. 53FR35610 (September 14, 1988).
- 29. USEPA. 1987. Asbestos in Schools Rule. Worker protection rule, Subpart G Revised. 40CFR763. 52FR5618. February 25, 1987.
- 30. NIOSH. 1977. Method P&CAM 239. National Inst. for Occupational Safety and Health. NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods. Second Ed., Vol 1. Cincinnati, OH. U.S. Dept. of Health Education and Welfare. DHEW (NIOSH) Publication No. 77-157-A.
- 31. Chatfield, E. J. 1983. Measurement of Asbestos Fibre Concentrations in Ambient Atmospheres. Ontario, Canada. Ontario Research Foundation.
- 32. USEPA. 1987. Asbestos-Containing Materials in Schools Final Rule and Notice. 40CFR763. 52FR210/41826. October 30, 1987.
- 33. USEPA. 1985. Measuring Airborne Asbestos Following an Abatement Action. Research Triangle Park, NC. Environmental Monitoring Systems Laboratory. Washington, DC. Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances. EPA-600/4-85-049. November 1985.
- 34. Wilmoth, Roger C. Memo to Hugh Spitzer, Office of Regulatory Support and Scientific Analysis, EPA. Technical Review of Draft of AHERA Regulations. Water Engineering Research Laboratory, ORD, EPA. October 9, 1987.
- 35. Power, Thomas J. 1986. Filter Blank Contamination in Asbestos Abatement Monitoring Procedures: Proceedings of a Peer Review Workshop. USEPA Water Engineering Research Laboratory. Cincinnati, OH. Contract No. 68-03-3264.
- 36. Steel, Eric B. and Small, John A. 1985. Accuracy of Transmission Electron Microscopy for the Analysis of Asbestos in Ambient Environments. Analytical Chemistry. 57, 209-213. January 1, 1985.

- 37. Power, Thomas J. and Cain, William. 1987. Results of Air Sampling from Selected Asbestos Abatement Projects. Presented at the Third Annual NAC Fall Technical Conference and Exposition. Oakland, CA. September 22, 1987.
- 38. The Glove Bag Technique for Asbestos Removal of Pipe Covering using Safe-T-Strip Glove Bags. Instructor Graham Dewar. Asbeguard Equipment Inc.
- 39. Nash, Kenneth, V. Pres., W. W. Nash & Sons, Inc. Richmond, VA 23220.
- 40. Burdett, Garry J. and Rood, Anthony P. 1983. Membrane-Filter,
 Direct-Transfer Technique for the Analysis of Asbestos Fibers or Other
 Inorganic Particles by Transmission Electron Microscopy. American Chemical
 Society, Environmental Science and Technology. 17-11:643-649.
- 41. USEPA/NIOSH. 1986. A Guide to Respiratory Protection for the Asbestos Abatement Industry. Washington, DC. Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances Asbestos Action Program. USEPA. EPA-560-OPTS-86-001. April 1986.

APPENDIX A

SUMMARY TABLES FROM REPORTS OF INDIVIDUAL FACILITIES

TABLE A1-1 PERSONAL EXPOSURE MEASUREMENTS DURING PREPARATION AND REMOVAL OF PIPE LAGGING AT FACILITY 1

Exposure is reported as f/cc using NIOSH 7400-B Method

<u>WORKER</u>	TYPE*	<u>ACTIVITY</u>	JUNE 18	JUNE 19	JUNE 20	JUNE 21
# A	TWA		0.25	0.30	0.47	0.17
	ST	REMOVAL			0.38	
	ST	REMOVAL			0.77	
	ST	REMOVAL			1.10	
# B	TWA		**	0.10	0.33	0.12
	ST	PREPARATION		0.03		
	ST	REMOVAL		1.00	0.52	0.34
	ST	REMOVAL			0.14	
# C	TWA		**	0.25	0.49	0.12
	ST	REMOVAL				0.43
	ST	REMOVAL				0.07
# D	TWA		0.21	0.32	0.31	0.15
	ST	PREPARATION		0.03		
	ST	REMOVAL		0.71	1.10	0.25
	ST	REMOVAL		0.92	1.20	
	ST	REMOVAL		0.95		

^{*} TWA - Sequential, full-shift Time-Weighted-Average ST - 15 Minute Short-Term

^{**} In the report for this facility, values of 0.014 and 0.015 for workers B and C respectively are shown. However, subsequent investigation has indicated that values of "below detectable limit" reported by the analytical service should have stated that samples were obscured by too many particulates to be counted.

TABLE A1-2 PERSONAL EXPOSURE MEASUREMENTS DURING PREPARATION AND REMOVAL OF PIPE LAGGING AT FACILITY 2

Exposure is reported as f/cc using NIOSH 7400-B Method (PCM)

WORKER	TYPE*	ACTIVITY	JUNE 25	JUNE 26	JUNE 27	JUNE 28
# A	TWA		0.025	**	**	0.254
	ST	PREPARATION	0.017		0.045	
	ST	REMOVAL		0.188	0.956	0.178
	ST	REMOVAL	1.33	0.667		0.333
# B	TWA		0.339	0.348	**	0.198
	ST	PREPARATION	0.017		0.044	
	ST	REMOVAL	1.38	0.286	***	0.233
	ST	REMOVAL	0.91	0.756		0.400
# C	TWA		0.224	**	0.312	0.350
	ST	PREPARATION	0.025		0.033	
	ST	REMOVAL	0.711	0.457	0.867	0.233
	ST	REMOVAL		0.222		0.688
# D	TWA		**	0.290	**	**
	ST	PREPARATION			0.033	
	ST	REMOVAL	2.91	0.244	0.521	1.93
		REMOVAL		0.250 		

^{*} TWA = Time-Weighted-Averages for Preparation and Removal Work ST = 15 Minute Short-Term

^{**} The TWA not reported. One of the sequential samples was overloaded with particulates.

^{***}Not counted - sample overloaded with particulates.

TABLE A1-3 PERSONAL EXPOSURE MEASUREMENTS DURING PREPARATION AND REMOVAL OF PIPE LAGGING AT FACILITY 3

Exposure is reported as f/cc using NIOSH 7400-B Method

WORKER	<u>TYPE</u> *	ACTIVITY	JULY 01	JULY 02	JULY 03
# A	TWA		0.345	0.554	0.799
	ST ST ST	PREPARATION REMOVAL REMOVAL	0.016 1.0	0.156 2.0	0.167
# B	TWA		0.295	0.560	0.412
	ST	REMOVAL	0.711	0.756	
# C	TWA		0.343	0.663	0.475
	ST	PREPARATION	0.017		
	ST	REMOVAL	0.467	3.18	0.711
	ST	REMOVAL	1.27	0.911	
# D	TWA		0.161	0.639	0.611
	ST ST ST	REMOVAL REMOVAL REMOVAL	0.933	2.44 2.78 9.29**	0.622 1.02

^{*} TWA = Sequential, full-shift Time-Weighted-Average ST = 15 Minute Short-Term

^{**} The Short-Term sample reported was during an episode of high release.
A 10-ft. section of lagging separated from the pipe inside the poly.

TABLE A1-4 PERSONAL EXPOSURE MEASUREMENTS DURING PREPARATION AND REMOVAL OF PIPE LAGGING AT FACILITY 4

Exposure is reported as f/cc using NIOSH 7400-B Method

WORKER	TYPE*	<u>ACTIVITY</u>	<u>JULY 15</u>	<u>JULY 16</u>	<u>JULY 17</u>
# A	TWA		0.011	0.015	0.009
	ST ST ST	PREPARATION REMOVAL REMOVAL	0.015 0.022	0.016	0.016 0.017
# B	TWA		0.010	0.013	0.005
	ST ST	PREPARATION REMOVAL	0.006 0.032	0.065	0.034
# C	TWA		0.003	**	0.008
	ST ST ST	PREPARATION REMOVAL REMOVAL	0.002 0.035	0.086 0.20	0.017 0.016
# D	TWA		0.013	**	0.010
	ST ST	PREPARATION REMOVAL	0.016 0.036		0.044

^{*} TWA - Sequential, full-shift Time-Weighted-Average ST - 15 Minute Short-Term

^{**} One of the filters was overloaded with particulates.

TABLE A2-1 PERSONAL SAMPLING RESULTS BY ACTIVITY AT FACILITY 1
PCM Analysis: f/cc using NIOSH 7400-B Method

WORKER	JUNE 18 ROOM B	JUNE 19 ROOM A	JUNE 20 ROOM B/	JUNE 21 ROOM C	MEAN	MIN	MAX	ST D*	<u>n*</u>
		- PREPARA	ATION FOR	PIPE LAGGI	NG REMOV	/AL			-
A	0.032	0.026			0.029				
В	0.029 0.032	0.037			0.033				
					0.030				
	0.054	0.034			0.044				
PREP									
AVERAGE	0.037	0.032			0.034	0.026	0.054	0.009	8
			PIPE LAG	GING REMOVA	AL				-
A	0.40				0.40				1
		0.55	0.42		0.48				2
			0.53	0.17	0.35				2
AVG					0.414	0.17	0.55	0.135	5
В	**				0.003				1
		0.12	0.36		0.240				2
			0.30	0.12	0.210				2
AVG					0.225	0.012	0.36	0.107	4
C	**				0.003				1
		0.45	0.55 0.43		0.500				2
			0.43	0.12	0.280				2
AVG					0.388	0.012	0.55	0.161	4
D	0.32				0.320				1
		0.64			0.480				2
			0.29	0.15	0.220				2
AVG					0.344	0.15	0.64	0.161	5
REMOVAL									
		0.44			0.347	0.012	0.64	0.160	18
AMBIENT	0.002	0.002	0.003						8

^{*} ST D = Standard Deviation n = number of samples

^{**} In the report for this facility, values of 0.003 are shown. However, subsequent investigation has indicated that values of "below detectable limit" reported by the analytical service should have stated that the samples were obscured by too many particulates to be counted.

TABLE A2-2 PERSONAL SAMPLING RESULTS BY ACTIVITY AT FACILITY 2

PCM Analysis: f/cc using NIOSH 7400-B Method

<u>WORKER</u>	JUNE 25 ROOM D	JUNE 26 ROOM D	JUNE 27 ROOM E	JUNE 28 ROOM E	MEAN	MIN	MAX	ST D*	_ <u>n*</u>
		- PREPARA	ATION FOR	PIPE LAGGI	ng Remov	/AL	. -		
A	0.010		0.022		0.016				
В	0.016		0.054		0.035				
C	0.005		0.022		0.013				
D	0.010		0.022		0.016				
PREP	0.010		0.000				0.051	0.015	_
AVERAGE	0.010		0.030		0.020	0.005	0.054	0.015	8
			PIPE LAG	GING REMOVA	AL				
A	0.043	0.161 **	**		0.102				2
				0.278	0.223				2
				0.169					
AVG				0.223	0.163	0.043	0.278	0.083	4
В	0.606	0.362 0.315	**		0.511				3
				0.060	0.145				2
				0.231					
AVG		0.339		0.145	0.315	0.060	0.606	0.178	5
С	0.522	0.216 **	0.475		0.404				3
				0.323	0.388				2
				0.454					_
AVG				0.389	0.398	0.216	0.522	0.112	5
D	**	0.287 0.298	**		0.292				2
			0.354	0.354 **				1	
AVG		0.292		••	0.313	0.287	0.354	0.029	3
REMOVAL AVERAGE	0.390	0.284	0.475	0.267	0.303	0.043	0.606	0.153	17
AMBIENT	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001				8

^{*} ST D = Standard Deviation n = number of samples

^{**} Filter Overloaded with Particulate - unable to count.

TABLE A2-3 PERSONAL SAMPLING RESULTS BY ACTIVITY AT FACILITY 3

PCM Analysis: f/cc using NIOSH 7400-B Method

<u>WORKER</u>	JULY 01 ROOM F	JULY 02 ROOM G	JULY 03 ROOM G	MEAN	MIN	MAX	<u>ST_D* n*</u>
		PREPARATIO	N FOR PIPE L	AGGING REMOV	/AL		
A	0.011						
В	0.008						
C	0.004						
D	0.007						
PREP							
AVERAGE	0.008			0.008	0.004	0.011	0.003 4
		PI	PE LAGGING RI	MOVAL			
A	0.165	0.260	0.799				
	1.03	1.07					
AVG	0.563	0.554	0.799	0.665	0.165	1.07	0.382 5
В	0.40	0.263	0.412				
	0.50	1.410					
A∇G	0.446	0.837	0.412	0.597	0.263	1.41	0.414
C	0.505	0.457	0.475				
	0.619	1.10					
AVG	0.566	0.663	0.475	0.631	0.457	1.10	0.240 5
D	0.241	0.452	0.611				
	0.287	0.951					
AVG	0.265	0.639	0.611	0.508	0.241	0.951	0.257 5
REMOVAL							
AVERAGE	0.468	0.745	0.574	0.600	0.165	1.41	0.337 20
AMBIENT	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001			6
							

^{*} ST D = Standard Deviation n - number of samples

TABLE A2-4 PERSONAL SAMPLING RESULTS BY ACTIVITY AT FACILITY 4

PCM Analysis: f/cc using NIOSH 7400-B Method

ROOM H ROOM I ROOM J	
TO THE TARGET OF THE PARTY OF THE TARGET OF	
PREPARATION FOR PIPE LAGGING REMOVAL	
A 0.005	
В 0.006	
C 0.002	
D 0.010	
PREP	
AVERAGE 0.006 0.002	0.010 0.003 4
PIPE LAGGING REMOVAL	
A 0.018 0.015 0.002	
0.023	
AVG 0.018 0.015 0.012 0.015 0.002	0.023 0.008 4
B 0.015 0.013 0.005****	
	0.015 0.004 3
C 0.005 ** 0.004	
0.017	
	0.017 0.006 3
D 0.017 *** 0.010*** 0.014	
	0.017 0.003 2
REMOVAL	
	0.023 0.012 12
AMBIENT 0.001 0.001 0.001	

^{*} ST D - Standard Deviation n - number of samples

^{**} Filter overloaded with particulate; unable to count.

^{***} Worker not on job today.

^{****} Only half shift sample; worker on another job first half of day.

TABLE A3-1 AREA SAMPLING RESULTS PREPARATION FOR PIPE LAGGING REMOVAL AT FACILITY 1

Analysis: PCM using NIOSH 7400-B Method (f/cc)*
TEM using EPA Provisional Method (as/cc)*

			JUN						
	ROOM		ROO						
SAMPLING SITE	<u>PCM</u>	TEM	PCH_	TEM	MRAN	MTM	W4.		
PCM ANALYSIS	1700	<u>as/cc</u>	I/CC_	as/cc	<u> HEAN</u>	HIN		ST D*	_n×
NEAR WORKERS	0.030				0.030	0.023	0.040	0.007	4
			0.019		0.019			0.014	
AVERAGE					0.026	0.009	0.040	0.010	6
TEM ANALYSIS	(No	Data)							
	•	•		0.590	0.590	0.540	0.640	0.069	2
AVERAGE				0.590	0.590	0.540	0.640	0.069	2
ROOM (BACKGROU	ND)								-
PCH ANALYSIS	0.019				0.019	0.018	0.019	0.001	2
			0.013		0.013				
AVERAGE					0.016	0.009	0.019	0.005	4
TEM ANALYSIS		0.870			0.870	0.574	1.200	0.410	2
		•		0.670	0.670				
AVERAGE					0.780	0.390	1.200	0.370	4
HALL (BACKGROU	(מא								-
PCM ANALYSIS					0.048	0.044	0.053	0.007	2
			0.070		0.070	0.043	0.096	0.037	2
AVERAGE					0.059	0.043	0.096	0.025	4
TEM ANALYSIS		0.499			0.499	0.450	0.550	0.073	2
			•	0.650	0.650				
AVERAGE					0.575	0.450	0.655	0.096	4
									-
OUTDOOR AMBIEN PCM ANALYSIS	<u>r</u> 0.002								2
	- 		0.002						2

^{*} f/cc = fibers/cc as/cc = asbestos structures/cc ST D = Standard Deviation n = number of samples

TABLE A3-2 AREA SAMPLING RESULTS PREPARATION FOR PIPE LAGGING REMOVAL AT FACILITY 2

Analysis: PCM using NIOSH 7400-B Method (f/cc)*
TEM using EPA Provisional Method (as/cc)*

	JUNE	<u>25</u>	<u> June</u>	27					
	ROOM	D	ROOM	E					
	PCM	TEM	PCM	TEM					
SAMPLING SITE	f/cc	as/cc	<u>f/cc</u>	as/cc	MEAN	MIN	MAX	ST D*	<u>_n*</u>
NEAR WORKERS									_
PCM ANALYSIS	0.013		0 002			0.011			
			0.023		0.023	0.023	0.023	0.000	Z
AVERAGE					0.018	0.011	0.023	0.005	4
TEM ANALYSIS				1.633					
AVERAGE					1.633	1.215	2.051	0.418	2
									-
ROOM (BACKGROU	<u> </u>								
PCM ANALYSIS	0.015				0.014	0.013	0.016	0.002	2
	-		0.016			0.012			
AVERAGE					0.015	0.012	0.019	0.003	4
TEM ANALYSIS		n 37n			0.370	A 25A	0 300	0 020	2
IEN AMALISIS		0.370		1.269	1 260	1 210	1 328	0.020	2
				1.209	1.207	1.210	1.520	0.033	2
AVERAGE					0.820	0.350	1.328	0.451	4
									-
HALL (BACKGROU	ND)								
DOM ANALYSTS	0 002				0 007	0.006	0.000	0 001	
PCM ANALYSIS	0.007		0.045			0.006 0.024			
			0.045		0.045	0.024	0.005	0.029	2
AVERAGE					0.026	0.006	0.065	0.024	4
					••••		••••		-
TEM ANALYSIS									
		0.585			0.085	0.575	0.594	0.009	2
				2.061	2.061	1.598	2.525	0.463	2
AVERAGE					1 323	0.575	2 525	0 807	4
			_ = = =	~			:	- -	· -
OUTDOOR AMBIEN	T								
PCM ANALYSIS	0 001				0 001	0.001	0 001	0 000	2
LOU WWT1919	O.OOT		0.001		0.001	0.001			
			·						
+ 6/ 611									

^{*} f/cc = fibers/cc as/cc = asbestos structures/cc ST D = Standard Deviation n = number of samples

TABLE A3-3 AREA SAMPLING RESULTS PREPARATION FOR PIPE LAGGING REMOVAL AT FACILITY 3

Analysis: PCM using NIOSH 7400-B Method (f/cc)*
TEM using EPA Provisional Method (as/cc)*

	JUL:	f F					
SAMPLING SITE NEAR WORKERS	PCM f/cc	TEM_ as/cc	MEAN	<u>MIN</u>	MAX	ST_D*	_ <u>n*</u>
PCM ANALYSIS	0.004		0.003	0.003	0.004	0.000	2
(TEM ANALYSIS NOT	COMPLETE	D)					
ROOM (BACKGROUND) PCM ANALYSIS	0.006		0.007	0.004	0.009	0.003	2
(TEM ANALYSIS NOT	COMPLETE))					
HALL (BACKGROUND) PCM ANALYSIS	0.005		0.005	0.002	0.009	0.003	- 2
(TEM ANALYSIS NOT	COMPLETE))					
OUTDOOR AMBIENT PCM ANALYSIS	0.001						- 2
* f/cc = fibers/cc	as/co	: = asbest	os struc	tures/c			

^{*} f/cc - fibers/cc as/cc - asbestos structures/cc ST D - Standard Deviation n - number of samples

TABLE A3-4 AREA SAMPLING RESULTS PREPARATION FOR PIPE LAGGING REMOVAL AT FACILITY 4

Analysis: PCM using NIOSH 7400-B Method (f/cc)*
TEM using EPA Provisional Method (as/cc)*

___JULY 15___ ROOM H PCM TEM f/cc as/cc MEAN MIN MAX ST D* n* SAMPLING SITE **NEAR WORKERS** PCM ANALYSIS 0.008 0.006 2 0.006 AVERAGE 0.007 (TEM ANALYSIS NOT COMPLETED) ______ ROOM (BACKGROUND) PCM ANALYSIS 0.003 0.013 0.008 0.003 0.013 2 0.008 AVERAGE (TEM ANALYSIS NOT COMPLETED) _______

HALL (BACKGROUND)
PCM ANALYSIS 0.001
0.001
AVERAGE 0.001 0.001 2

(TEM ANALYSIS NOT COMPLETED)

OUTDOOR AMBIENT
PCM ANALYSIS 0.001 0.001 2

^{*} f/cc = fibers/cc as/cc = asbestos structures/cc ST D = Standard Deviation n = number of samples

TABLE AA-1 AREA SAMPLING RESULTS PIPE LAGGING REMOVAL AT PACILITY 1

Analysis: FCH using HIOSH 7400-B Method (f/cc)*
TEM using EPA Provisional Method (as/cc)*

		JUM ROOM	E 18 M B			JUN BOO	E 19		RO		E 20 /ROOM (JUN ROCI	E 21 H C					
SAMPLING SITE	T		-				_											<u>~</u> ر		
SMATTING SITE																	HEAD		MAY	ST De
MEAR WORKERS	ALLEY.	<u> </u>	95/66	#_	1700	-#-	B /CC	-8-	1/60	-#-	9 /44	-	L/GC	-8-	44 /40	-	<u> </u>	111.5	_ IIIA	<u> </u>
PCM AMALYSIS	0.36	2															0.36	0.31	0 41	0.074
		_			0.47	2			0.35	2							0.41	0.29		0.086
					•. •.	-			0.19				0.11	2			0.15	0.10		0.048
										-			V	_			•			
AVERAGE																	0.30	0.10	0.49	0.140
TEM ARALYSIS				_																
IBM WWW1979			3.1	2			2.4	_			3.5						3.1	1.7	4.5 4.5	
							2.4	2			3.5 1.1				1.4	2	2.9 1.3	1.9 0.78	1.8	
											1.1	2			1.4	-	1.3	U. 76	1.0	0.43
AVERAGE																	1.500	0.780	4.500	1.600
										-										
BOOM (BACKGROU																				
PCM AMALYSIS	0.41	2															0.41			0.040
					0.47	2											0.47	0.34	0.59	0.140
									0.21											
									0.31	2			0.11	2			0.16	0.09		0.062
AVERAGE																	0.30	0.09	0.59	0.140
																		_		
TEM ANALYSIS			2.1	2													2.1	2.0	2.1	
							1.7	2			2.7	_				_	1.5	0.15	3.0	
											1.1	2			0.94	2	1.0	0.84	1.1	0.11
AVERAGE																	1.7	0.16	3.0	0.71
ATTEN ATTROLOGY																				
AREA AVERAGE	0.39	•	2.6		0.47	•	2.0	•	0.27		2.1		0.11		1.1/	- Z				
									:							. = =				
HALL (BACKGROU		•															0.048	0 044	0.053	0.007
LOW WENTING	0.05	4			0.07	_				_							0.100		0.033	
					0.07	Z			0.13 0.006				0.008	-					0.009	
27000A/TP									0.000	2			U. UU0	4					0.096	
AVERAGE																	0,032	U. U-3	0.080	0.045
TEM ANALYSIS				2													0.50	0.45	0.55	0 07
TEL WESTISTS			V.3	~			0.65	2			1.3	•					0.98	0.65	1.5	
							v.63	-			4.3 A 41	*			0.26	2		0.23		0.17
											4.71	-			7.20	-	U38	٠. عــ	0.02	J. 17
AVERAGE																	0,63	0.23	1.50	0.375
									 - ·											
OUTDOOR MELEN		_				_				_									0.000	0.001
PCM AMALYSIS	0.002	Z			0.002	Z			0.003	2			0.002	Z			0.002	0.001	0.003	U.U01

^{*}f/cc = fibers/cc as/cc = asbestos structures/cc ST D = Standard Deviation n = number of samples

TABLE A4-2 AREA SAMPLING RESULTS PIPE LAGGING REMOVAL AT FACILITY 2

Analysis: PCH using NIOSE 7400-B Hethod (f/cc)* TEM using EPA Provisional Method (as/cc)*

		JUK	E 25			JUN	E 26	—		JUE	E 27			JUK ROG	E 28						
			-									_									
HELIEG SITE	EC	M	TE	<u> </u>	PC	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	PO	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	POM	Ļ		<u>1 </u>	MEAN	MTW	MAY	ST D#	'n
EAR WORKERS	1700	. <u>B</u> -	<u>45/CC</u>	-	1/cc		<u> </u>	-	1127	-#-	45/44		f/cc	 -	46/00			<u> </u>		. ** *	
RCM AMALYSIS	0.52	2			0.15	4			0.38	2							0.30	0.09	0.58	0.17	8
		_								_			0.17	4			0.17	0.05	0.33	0.10	4
AVERAGE																	0.26	0.05	0.58	0.16	12
TEM AMALYSIS			2.53	2			1.17	2			2.37	2					2.02	0.83		1.00	
															2.60	4	2.6	1.20	5.02	1.46	4
AVERAGE																	2.25	0.83	5.02	1.24	10
OOM (BACKGROU																					
RCM AMALYSIS		2			0 17				0.03	1							0.30	0.03	0.77	0.22	8
14. 14.14.1		_			 ,	•				_			0.18	4			0.18	0.09	0.34	0.10	4
AVERAGE																	0.26	0.03	0.77	0.20	12
TEM ANALYSIS	1		3.24	2			2.17	4			1.55	2					2.28	1.33	3.22		
															2.93	4	2.93	1.20	4.51	1.27	4
AVERAGE																	2.49	1.20	4.51	1.01	12
REA AVERAGE	0.57		· 2.88		0.16	8	1.83	6	0.27	 3	1.96	4	0.18	 8	2.76	8					
ALL (BACKGROU																					_
FCM AMALYSIS	0.35	2			0.13	4			0.01	2							0.16	0.01		0.16	
													0.02	4			0.02	0.00		0.01	
AVERAGE																	0.11	0.00	U.43	0.14	14
TEM AKALYSIS	}		1.56	2			2,27	4			1.03	2					1.78	0.60	2.51	0.65	8
															1.3	4	1.3	0.46	2.35	0.83	4
AVERAGE																	1.62	0.46	2.51	0.75	12
																==					
JIDOOR AMBIEN																					
PCM AMALYSIS	0.001	2			0.001	. 2			0.001	. 2			0.001	2			0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	, 8

f/cc = fibers/cc as/cc = asbestos structures/cc n = number of samples ST D = Standard Deviation

TABLE A4-3 AREA SAMPLING RESULTS FIFE LAGGING REMOVAL AT FACILITY 3

Analysis: PCH using HIOSH 7400-B Hethod (f/cc)*
TRM using EPA Provisional Method (as/cc)*

		LY O	1		JULY	02		JUL	Y 03						
	PC	OM F		1	1001	6		100	H G	_					
SAMPLING SITE	POP	Щ.	1EM	RQ	4		RO	4	TEM						
REAR WURKERS	<u>f/cc</u>	n*	es/cc n	1/99_	_	es/cc n	f/cc	Ŧ	81 /cc _	<u> </u>	<u>Pat</u>	MIN	MAX	<u> 51 P</u>	<u> </u>
PCM AMALYSIS	0.434	2													
	0.473	2		0.445	2		0.616	2							
				0.800	2			_							
AVERAGE	0.453	4					0.516	2		0	.583	0.002	0.956	0.31	8
(TEM ANALYSIS NO	T COMPI	ETED) 2			4				2					8
BOOM (BACKGROUND)														
PCM AMALYSIS	0.423	2													
	0.443	2		0.467	2		0.546	2							
				0.789	2										
AVERAGE	0.436	4		0.628	4		0.546	2		0	.546	0.258	0.816	0.19	8
(TEM ANALYSIS NO	T COMPL	ETED) 2			4			:	2					8
AREA AVERAGE	0.444	8		0.625	8		0.581	4		0	.565	0.002	0,956	0.24	20
BALL (BACKGROUND)				:			:							
PCM AMALYSIS	0.012	2		0.001	2		0.300	2							
				0.451	2										
AVERAGE	0.012	2		0.226	4		0.300	2		0	.155	0.001	0.458	0.23	8
(TEM ANALYSIS NO	T 00HP1	ETED) 2			4				2					8
OUTDOOR AMBIENT POM AMALYSIS	0 001	2		0 001	2		0 001	2		•	.001				6
								<u> </u>							

^{*} f/cc = fibers/cc as/cc = asbestos structures/cc <math>n = number of samples ST D = Standard Deviation

TABLE A4-4 AREA SAMPLING RESULTS PIPE LAGGING REMOVAL AT PACILITY 4

Analysis: FCM using NIOSH 7400-B Method (f/cc)*
TEM using EPA Provisional Method (as/cc)*

		JUL)	15		JULY ROOM	16	_		JUL'S	17						
SAMPLING SITE	PO		7 TEM								м					
PARETTER STIFF			as/cc B				_ :					METAN	MTR	MAX	ST D*	В
MEAR WORKERS	1/CC	<u>n-</u>	AS/CC B	1766	<u>"п</u>	<u> </u>	<u>.</u>	1766	ш.	44/00	<u> </u>	- Francisco	*****		¥4_E_	
		_						0.003	•							
PCM AMALYSIS	0.007	4		0.013	T	•		-								
		_			_			0.006	_						0 004	-
average	0.007	_		0.013	1		-	0.004	•			0.006	0.001	0.013	0.004	•
(TEM AWALYSIS DO	I COMPL	ETE))													
																-
BOOM (BACKGROUND	1															
POM AMALYSIS	0.007	2		0.032	2**	r#	- 1	0.004	2							
								0.013	2							
AVERAGE	0.007	2		0.032	2			0.009	4			0,012	0.002	0.051	0.016	8
(TEM ANALYSIS NO		_			_											
			· ·													_
AREA AVERAGE	0.007			0.026	3			0.006	R							
ANIA AVIINAGE					. . .											=
BALL (BACKS)OUTED																
HALL (BACKGROUND	_	_			_				_							
PCH ANALYSIS	0.002	Z		0.002	Z			0.001	_							
								0.004	_							_
AVERAGE	0.002			0.002	2			0.002	4			0.002	0,001	0.004	0.001	. 8
(TEM ARALYSIS NO	T COMPI	ETE	0)													
		• • •														-
OUTDOOR AMBIENT																
PCM ANALYSIS	0.001	2		0.001	2			0.001	2			0.001				6
iai memidid	V.001	-			-											

^{*} f/cc = fibers/cc as/cc = asbestos structures/cc n = number of samples ST D = Standard Deviation

^{**} The other filter sample of this pair was overloaded with particulates; unable to count.

^{***} One of the paired samples was overloaded with particulates; unable to count. However, a 20 min short term area sample which measured 0.051 f/cc was included in this average .

TABLE A5-1 MEAN ASBESTOS STRUCTURE AND ASBESTOS FIBER CONCENTRATIONS AT FACILITY 1

Analysis by TEM using EPA Provisional Method

Sample	Structures/m ³	Fibers/m ³
Pre-Removal		
Nonaggressive	77,000	65,000
Aggressive	167,000	139,000
Post-Removal		
Nonaggressive	148,000	140,000
Aggressive	385,000	294,000
••		

TABLE A5-2 MEAN ASBESTOS STRUCTURE AND ASBESTOS FIBER CONCENTRATIONS AT FACILITY 2

Analysis by TEM using EPA Provisional Method

Sample	Structures/m ³	Fibers/m ³
Pre-Removal		
Nonaggressive	85,700	73,800
Aggressive	119,000	113,000
Post-Removal		
Nonaggressive	260,000	232,000
Aggressive	283,000	217,000

TABLE A5-3 MEAN ASBESTOS STRUCTURE AND ASBESTOS FIBER CONCENTRATIONS AT FACILITY 3

Analysis by TEM using EPA Provisional Method

Sample	$\underline{\text{Structures/m}}^3$	Fibers/m ³
Pre Removal		
Nonaggressive	N/C	N/C
Aggressive	130,000	80,000
Post Removal		
Nonaggressive	N/C	N/C
Aggressive	130,000	110,000

N/C - Analysis not completed.

TABLE A5-4 MEAN ASBESTOS STRUCTURE AND ASBESTOS FIBER CONCENTRATIONS AT FACILITY 4

Analysis by TEM using EPA Provisional Method

Sample	Structures/m ³	Fibers/m ³
Pre Removal		
Nonaggressive	N/C	N/C
Aggressive	270,000	200,000
Post Removal		
Nonaggressive	N/C	N/C
Aggressive	80,000	62,000

N/C - Analysis not completed.

TABLE A6-1 COMPARISON OF MEAN FRE- AND POST-MEMOVAL AREA SAMPLING AT FACILITY 1

Analysis: PCM using NIOSH 7400-B Method (f/cc)*
TEM using EPA Provisional Method (as/cc)*

	JUNE 14 PRE-REMOVAL SAMPLES								JULY 9 POST-REMOVAL SAMPLES						
LOCATION			AND TE	_	81	BM AKALYS s/ec 5 um long		•		PCH P	AFO TO		81	EM ARALIS /cc 5 um long	
					NOTAL MARKET	E DESTIN	SAP	LILG I	ETEO	2					
BOOH A	0.002	6	0.001	1	0.089	0.009	3		0.003	6	0.003	1	0.065	0.005	3
ROOM B	0.006	6	0.000	1	0.065	0.005	3		3.007	6	0.028	1	0.230	0.005	3
OUTSIDE ROOM A		Ho	ne Take				-		.003	1	0.065	_			-
OUTDOOR AMBIENT	0.001	2	0.003	2				(.001	2***	0.006	2***			
					<u>AGG</u>	RESSIVE S	SAMPLI	ng He	THOD						
BOOM A	0.015	6	0.028	1	0.140	0.009	3	(0.017	6	0.110	1	0.250	0.013	3
BOOM B	0.021	6	0.160	1	0.190	0.027	3	(0.035	6	1.400	1	0.558	0.071	3
OUTSIDE ROOM A		Ho	ne Take	D.				•	0.005	1	0.220	1			
OUTDOOR AMBIENT		_	ne Take						0.001	2***	0.006	_			_

^{*} f/cc = fibers/cc as/cc = asbestos structures/cc n = number of samples

TABLE A6-2 COMPARISON OF MEAN FRE- AND FOST-REMOVAL AREA SAMPLING AT FACILITY 2

Amalysis: FCM using NIOSH 7400-B Method (f/cc)*
TEM using EPA Provisional Method (as/cc)*

		JU	NE 12 PRE-RE	MOVAL SA	MPLES		JULY 11 POST-REMOVAL SAMPLES					
LOCATION			as/cc n	•	124 ARALYS us/cc 5 um long				AND TEM	8.5	EM AMALYS /cc 5 um long	
				HOMA	CCC SSIVE	SAMPL	ING METHOD	<u>}</u>				
ROOM D ROOM E OUTSIDE BALL	0.001 0.002			0.114 0.056	0.005 0.005	3	0.001 0.002 0.002	6 6 2		0.353 0.166	0.005 0.005	3
OUTDOOR AMBIERT	;		0.002 2***	<u>AC</u>	ressive :	AMPLIE	G METHOD		0.002 2***			
ROOM D ROOM E OUTSIDE HALL	0.002 0.016	_		0.054 0.184	0.005 0.005	3 3	0.008 0.037 0.005	6 6 2		0.356 0.209	0.038 0.008	3 3
OUTDOOR AMBIENT	0.001	2	0.002 2***				0,001	4	0.01 2***			

^{*} f/cc = fibers/cc as/cc = asbestos structures/cc n = number of samples

^{**} Sample volumes are approximately 1,500 liters. The lower limit of detection (LOD) is 0.010 as/cc.
Analyses reported "below the LOD" are entered at half of the LOD = 0.005 as/cc.

^{***} These two samples were collected for a double shift; therefore, volumes = 3,000 liters.

^{**} These sample volumes are approximately 1,500 liters. The lower limit of detection (LOD) is 0.010 as/cc. Analyses reported below the LOD are entered at half of the LOD = 0.005 as/cc.

^{***} These are 25-mm cellulose ester filter samples analyzed by MIOSH 7402 method, March, 1987 revision. The Lower Limit of Detection for a 2500 l sample is about 0.002 as/cc.

TABLE A6-3 COMPARISON OF MEAN FRE- AND POST-REMOVAL AREA SAMPLING AT FACILITY 3

Analysis: FCM using WIOSH 7400-B Method (f/cc)*
TEM using EPA Provisional Method (as/cc)*

	JUNE 13 PRE-REMOVAL SAMPLES								JULY 10 POST-REMOVAL SAMPLES						
LOCATION			AND TEM		EM ARALYS s/cc 5 um long				AND TEM as/cc n	-	TEM ARALYSI ns/cc -5 um long				
	MORAGGRESSIVE SAMPLING METHOD														
BOOM F	0.002	6	W/C	∎/C	H/C	3	0.001	6	H/C	H/C	M/C	3			
ROOM G	0.003	6	I /C	M/C*	II/C	3	0.001	6	H/C	M/C	N/C	3			
BALL ROOM F			- • -	-	•		0.001	2	H/C						
HALL ROOM G							0.001	2	N/C						
				AGG	ressive sa	MPLING	METHOD								
ROOM F	0.008	5	¥/C	0.06	0.012	3	0.020	6	M/C	0.10	0.006	3			
ROOM G	0.075	6	M/C	0.20	0.037	3	0.002	6	M/C	0.15	0.007	3			
HALL ROOM F							0.003	1	M/C						
HALL ROOM G							0.000	1	W/C						
OUTDOOR AND IEST	0.002	2	0.002 2***				0.000	2	0.002 2***	•					

^{*} f/cc = fibers/cc as/cc = asbestos structures/cc n = number of samples H/C - Analysis not completed

TABLE AS-4 COMPARISON OF MEAN PRE- AND POST-REMOVAL AREA SAMPLING AT FACILITY 4

Analysis: PCM using NIOSH 7400-B Method (f/cc)*;
TEM using EPA Provisional Method (as/cc)*

		Y 12 PRE REM	OVAL SA	MPLES	JULY 18 POST					REMOVAL SAMPLES				
LOCATION			AND TEM		TEM ANALYS) as/cc >5 um long				AND TEM as/cc n		TEM ANALYS as/cc >5 um long			
				NONA	GGRESSIVE S	SAMPLI	ING METHOD							
ROOM H	0.001	6	N/C	N/C	N/C	3	0.001	6	N/C	N/C	N/C	3		
ROOM I	0.002	6	N/C*	N/C	N/C	3	0.001	6	N/C	N/C	N/C	3		
HALL ROOM H	0.001	1	N/C	•			0.001	1	N/C					
HALL ROOM I	0.001	1	N/C				0.003	1	N/C					
				AGG	RESSIVE SA	MPLIN	G METHOD							
ROOM H	0.004	6	M/C	0.24	0.012	3	0.002	6	W/C	0.07	0.007	3		
ROOM I	0.010	6	W/C	0.30	0.014	3	0.003	6	N/C	0.09	0.021	3		
HALL ROOM H	0.001	1	N/C				0.001	1	N/C					
HALL ROOM I	0.026	1	I I/C				0.000	1	H/C					
OUTDOOR AMBIENT	0.001	2	0.001 2***				0.001	2	0.001 2***					

f/cc = fibers/cc as/cc = asbestos structures/cc n = number of samples
#/C - Analysis not completed for these samples

These sample volumes are approximately 1,500 liters. The lower limit of detection (LOD) is 0.010 as/cc. Analyses reported below the LOD are entered at half of the LOD (0.005 as/cc).

These samples were collected on 25mm cellulose ester filters and analyzed by NIOSH Method 7402, March 1987 revision.

^{**} These sample volumes are approximately 1,500 liters. The TEM lower limit of detection (LCD) is 0.010 as/cc. Analyses reported below the LCD are entered at half of the LCD (0.005 as/cc).

^{***} These ambient samples were collected on 25mm cellulose ester filters and analyzed by NIOSH method 7402 March 1987 revision. The lower limit of detection for a 3000 1 sample is about 0.002 as/cc. None detected values are reported here at half the LOD.

TABLE A7-1 EVALUATION OF WORK PRACTICES AT FACILITY 1

Date Time Site	6/18/85 AM / PM <u>ROOM B</u>	•	6/20/85 AM / PM <roo< th=""><th>AM / PM</th></roo<>	AM / PM
TASK		WORK PRACTI	CE RATING#	
Prepare Pipe	A / -	A / -	-/-	-/-
Install Bag	P / -	P / -	- / -	A / -
Wet Pipe Lagging	P / P	- / P	A / A	A / P
Remove Lagging (use of bag)	P / P	- / P	P/A	A / A
Move Bag	- / P	- / P	P/A	G/A
Remove Bag	- / A	- / A	A / A	G / P
Clean Pipe	- / A	- / A	A / A	A / A
Decontaminate Room	- / A	- / -	A / A	A / A
Number of Bags Used	(5)	(12) (13)
# SUBJECTIVE RATING VALUES:	P = POOR	A - AVERAGE	G = G00D	

[#] SUBJECTIVE RATING VALUES: P - POOR A - AVERAGE G - GOOD

TABLE A7-2 EVALUATION OF WORK PRACTICES AT FACILITY 2

Date Time Site	AM / PM	6/26/85 AM / PM OM D>	6/27/85 AM / PM <roc< th=""><th></th></roc<>		
TASK	WORK PRACTICE RATING#				
Prepare Pipe	G / -	-/-	- / A	-/-	
Install Bag	A / -		- / G	G / -	
Wet Pipe Lagging	- / A	•	•	~	
Remove Lagging (use of bag)	- / A		A / -	A / G	
Move Bag	- / A	A / A	A / -	A / G	
Remove Bag	- / A	G / G	G / -	A/G	
Clean Pipe	- / A	A / A	A / -	A/A	
Decontaminate Room	- / G	- / G	- / -	- / G	
Number of Bags Removed	0/3	4 / 2	7 / 0	4 / 0	
# SIRIFCTIVE PATING VALUES	P _ POOD	A - AVEDAGE	G - COO	١	

[#] SUBJECTIVE RATING VALUES: P - POOR A - AVERAGE G - GOOD

TABLE A7-3 EVALUATION OF WORK PRACTICES AT FACILITY 3

Date	7/1/85	7/2/85	7/3/85	
Time	AM / PM	AM / PM	AM / PM	
Site	<room f="">/<room g=""></room></room>			
TASK	WORK PRACTICE RATING#			
Prepare Pipe	A / -	- / -	- / -	
Install Bag	G / -	A / -	A / G	
Wet Pipe Lagging	- / A	A / A	A / -	
Remove Lagging (use of bag)	- / A	A / A	G / -	
Move Bag	- / G	- / G	G / A	
Remove Bag	- / A	G / A	A / -	
Clean Pipe	- / A	G / G	A / -	
Decontaminate Room	- / A	G / G	G / -	
Number of Bags Removed	0 / 3	6 / 3	3 / 0	
# SUBJECTIVE RATING VALUES:	P = POOR	A - AVERAGE	G = GOOD	

TABLE A7-4 EVALUATION OF WORK PRACTICES AT FACILITY 4

Date Time Site	7/15/85 AM / PM ROOM H	7/16/85 AM / PM ROOM I	7/17/85 AM / PM ROOM J
TASK	WORK PRACTICE RATING#		
Prepare Pipe	A / -	-/-	- / -
Install Bag	G / -	- / -	- / -
Wet Pipe Lagging	A / A	A / -	G / G
Remove Lagging (use of bag)	G / A	A / -	A / A
Move Bag	G / G	A / -	G / A
Remove Bag	G / G	G / -	A / A
Clean Pipe	G / G	A / -	G / G
Decontaminate Room	- / G	A / -	- / G
Number of Bags Removed	(6)	(6)	(8)
# CID IE/TIVE DATING WATHER.	D - DOOD	AVEDACE	C - COOD

SUBJECTIVE RATING VALUES: P - POOR A - AVERAGE G - GOOD