FOA DP08-801

Improving the Health and Educational Outcomes of Young People

LEA Priority 2: HIV Prevention

Eligibility and Funding
Verification and Justification Document

Justification for using selected markers to determine eligibility and funding levels in FOA DP08-801.

HIV Prevention

Metropolitan areas with populations of more than 500,000 have been markedly impacted by the HIV/AIDS epidemic compared to nonmetropolitan areas. In 2005, 83% of the adults and adolescents reported with AIDS resided in metropolitan areas with populations of more than 500,000.

HIV infection and other health problems related to sexual risk behaviors disproportionably affect minority and low-income youth. African Americans account for 56% of all HIV infections ever reported among those aged 13-24 (CDC 2003). Some ethnic sub-groups, such as young men who have sex with men (YMSM), are particularly at risk of HIV infection. Discrimination and subsequent lower self-worth contribute to riskier sexual behaviors in this population (Marin 2003). In CDC's Young Men's Survey during 1994-1998, 14% of African American MSM and 7% of Hispanic MSM aged 15-22 were infected with HIV (CDC 2001).

Environmental factors, in addition to the influences of race and ethnicity, affect HIV infections. Minorities live in geographic areas which are characterized by poverty, low education attainment, and lower socio-economic status (DiClemente 2005). For these populations poverty is comprised of a number of interrelated factors which contribute to their increased risk of HIV infection. Lack of basic infrastructure for public services (Williams 2003), limited access to health services (Williams 2003), concentration of social problems (Williams 2003), comprised family structures (DiClemente 2005; Ramirez-Valles 2002), early age at sexual initiation (Romer 1999; Ramirez-Valles 2002; Browning et al 2004), and hopelessness (Marin 2003) all exacerbate risk of HIV infection among minority and low-income adolescents.

References:

HIV/AIDS Surveillance in Urban and Nonurban Areas (through 2005). Atlanta: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 2007. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/topics/surveillance/resources/slides/urban-nonurban/index.htm. Accessed September 17, 2007. (Note: The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention uses the Office of Management and Budget's system, which designates metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs). Areas are divided into MSAs of populations of more than 500,000; 50,000 to 500,000; and nonmetropolitan.)

Browning C, Leventhal T, Brooks-Gunn J. 2004. Neighborhood context and racial differences in early adolescent sexual activity. *Demography* 41(4):697-720.

CDC. HIV incidence among young men who have sex with men—seven US cities, 1994–2000. MMWR 2001;50:440–444.

CDC. *HIV Prevention in the Third Decade*. Atlanta: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC; 2003. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/HIV_3rdDecade/. Accessed August 16, 2004.

DiClemente R, Salazar L, Crosby R, and Rosenthal S. 2005. Prevention and control of sexually transmitted infections among adolescents: the importance of a socioecological perspective-a commentary. *Public Health* 119(9):825-836.

Marin, B. V. 2003. HIV prevention in the Hispanic community: sex, culture, and empowerment. Journal of Transcultural Nursing 14(3):186-192.

Ramirez-Valles J, Zimmerman M, and Juarez L. 2002. Gender differences of neighborhood and social control processes. A study of the timing of first intercourse among low-achieving, urban, African American youth. *Youth & Society* 33(3):418-441.

Romer D, Stanton B, Galbraith J, Feigelman S, Black M, and Li X. 1999. Parental influence on adolescent sexual behavior in high-poverty settings. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 153:1055-1062.

Williams, P. B. 2003. HIV/AIDS case profile of African Americans. Guidelines for ethnic-specific health promotion, education, and risk reduction activities for African Americans. *Fam Community Health* 26(4):289-306.

2008 LEA Competitive HIV Eligibility Plan General Description: Eligible LEA applicants for Priority 2 will be limited to the largest LEA within each Metropolitan Statistical Area or Metropolitan Division with a population greater than 500,000 as defined by the Office of Management and Budget, December 2006, that meet **all of the following requirements**: (1) have a cumulative number of AIDS cases greater than 7,500 as of December 31, 2005 OR have an AIDS rate greater than 25.0 per 100,000 population for 2005 in their metropolitan statistical area or division as reported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, (2) are among the largest school districts in the nation with a 2003-04 reported student enrollment of 45,000 or more as reported by the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), (3) have a minority enrollment greater than 50 percent for the 2003-04 school year as reported by the NCES, and (4) have a poverty rate of 12.0 or more for children ages 5 to 17 years old as reported for 2002 by the NCES.

Eligibility Markers

Cumulative AIDS Cases OR AIDS Rate 2005
School District Size (Student Enrollment in Public Schools) and Largest School District in MSA/D
Minority Student Enrollment
Student Poverty Rate

Standard

7,500+ (Cases) OR 25.0+ (Rate) 45,000 or more students 50% or higher 12.0 or higher

Funding Levels Based on Student Enrollment

Tier A	More than 500,000 students	2 LEAs	\$275,000 - \$350,000
Tier B	200,000 to 500,000 students	4 LEAs	\$225,000 - \$300,000
Tier C	45,000 to 200,000 students	18 LEAs	\$175,000 - \$250,000

Based on the eligibility markers listed above, 24 Local Education Agencies (LEAs) are eligible to apply for funding under priority 2: HIV Prevention.

Local Education Agencies (LEAs) eligible to apply for funding under priority 2 (HIV Prevention) based on the eligibility markers listed in the table below.

HIV Verification Table A Eligible Local Education Agencies

	School District Name	State	Student Enrollment (1) 2003-04	Minority Population (1) 2003-04	Poverty Rate (1) 2001-02	Adult AIDS Cases Cumulative (2) 2005	Adult AIDS Rate (2) 2005
1	Baltimore City	MD	94,049	90.9	24.6	19,410	40.4
2	Boston	MA	60,150	86.0	26.2	8,278	15.3
3	Broward County	FL	272,835	63.7	14.0	15,659	45.8
4	City of Chicago	IL	434,419	90.9	27.8	27,297	20.7
5	Dallas ISD	TX	160,584	93.7	27.6	15,363	18.4
6	DeKalb County	GA	99,550	88.3	15.6	20,936	32.2
7	Detroit City	MI	153,034	97.0	31.0	7,937	22.1
8	East Baton Rouge Parish SB	LA	46,644	79.5	21.5	3,503	30.7
9	Hillsborough County	FL	181,900	51.3	16.1	10,576	21.2
10	Houston ISD	TX	211,499	90.9	25.9	24,743	19.5
11	Jefferson Parish SB	LA	51,453	64.7	21.4	8,570	30.3
12	Los Angeles Unified	CA	747,009	90.9	29.7	49,666	13.5
13	Memphis City	TN	116,224	73.5	23.2	4,808	33.3
14	Miami-Dade County	FL	371,785	89.6	23.0	28,586	52.8
15	New York City	NY	1,023,674	85.2	28.9	155,744	45.4
16	Newark City	NJ	46,825	91.7	29.1	19,495	22.7
17	Oakland Unified	CA	50,437	94.2	23.7	9,301	12.6
18	Orange County	FL	165,992	59.7	16.1	8,148	28.5
19	Palm Beach County	FL	170,260	53.7	12.9	9,353	28.9
20	Philadelphia City	PA	189,779	85.4	27.2	20,769	26.2
21	San Bernardino City Unified	CA	57,818	84.0	35.7	8,248	9.1
22	San Diego City Unified	CA	137,960	74.1	19.2	12,552	16.8
23	San Francisco Unified	CA	57,805	90.1	15.9	30,229	33.4
24	Seattle	WA	47,588	59.3	13.4	7,903	12.9

Eligible Local Education Agency (LEA) assigned funding tiers based on student enrollment.

HIV Verification Table B Eligible LEA Funding Tiers

	School District Name	State	Student Enrollment (1) 2003-04	Funding Tier
1	Los Angeles Unified	CA	747,009	\$275,000 - \$350,000
2	New York City	NY	1,023,674	\$275,000 - \$350,000
3	Broward County	FL	272,835	\$225,000 - \$300,000
4	City of Chicago	IL	434,419	\$225,000 - \$300,000
5	Houston ISD	TX	211,499	\$225,000 - \$300,000
6	Miami-Dade County	FL	371,785	\$225,000 - \$300,000
7	Baltimore City	MD	94,049	\$175,000 - \$250,000
8	Boston	MA	60,150	\$175,000 - \$250,000
9	Dallas ISD	TX	160,584	\$175,000 - \$250,000
10	DeKalb County	GA	99,550	\$175,000 - \$250,000
11	Detroit City	MI	153,034	\$175,000 - \$250,000
12	East Baton Rouge Parish SB	LA	46,644	\$175,000 - \$250,000
13	Hillsborough County	FL	181,900	\$175,000 - \$250,000
14	Jefferson Parish SB	LA	51,453	\$175,000 - \$250,000
15	Memphis City	TN	116,224	\$175,000 - \$250,000
16	Newark City	NJ	46,825	\$175,000 - \$250,000
17	Oakland Unified	CA	50,437	\$175,000 - \$250,000
18	Orange County	FL	165,992	\$175,000 - \$250,000
19	Palm Beach County	FL	170,260	\$175,000 - \$250,000
20	Philadelphia City	PA	189,779	\$175,000 - \$250,000
21	San Bernardino City Unified	CA	57,818	\$175,000 - \$250,000
22	San Diego City Unified	CA	137,960	\$175,000 - \$250,000
23	San Francisco Unified	CA	57,805	\$175,000 - \$250,000
24	Seattle	WA	47,588	\$175,000 - \$250,000

^{1.} Snyder, T.D., Tan, A.G., and Hoffman, C.M. (2006). Digest of Educational Statistics 2005 (NCES 2006-030). U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Education Statistics. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office. 135-159.

^{2.} Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. HIV/AIDS Surveillance Report, 2005. Vol. 17. Rev ed. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2007:30-33.