



E000485

MEMORANDUM

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE
HEALTH RESOURCES ADMINISTRATION
BUREAU OF HEALTH RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT

DATE: December 5, 1974

TO : Acting Director, Division of
Regional Medical Programs

FROM : *Matt Spear*
Matt Spear
Public Health Advisor

SUBJECT: Background material for discussion on Arthritis Conference on Dec. 5

With reference to our telephone conversation this morning, the enclosed material is the basis of the general discussion I would like to have with you at 3:00 p.m. today. The discussion need not be long, as my agenda is to go over with you (a) where matters stand, (b) explore any potential problem areas vis a vis DRMP, and (c) obtain clearance on potential, small cost items.

Since I do not clearly recall which documents have been forwarded to you for information, I am enclosing the following:

1. A letter to Dr. Shulman, President of the Americal Rheumatism Association, the professional organization of the Arthritis Foundation. The letter updates him on events, and transmits the report on the Chicago Discussion.
2. A memorandum to 5 of the "consultants" who were, on November 25, in Washington to testify on the Cranston Bill. I was able to deliver this material to them at the Rayburn Building. There has been no play-back, to date. This document is the first "hard" proposal on the Conference, proper; it is the result of the experience I had at the San Francisco meeting of the western Coordinators on arthritis, and discussions which Dr. Englemont and I had on the plane, returning to Washington.
3. The third document is an itemization of activities and functions surrounding the conference proper. It will become a part of a larger document I am preparing to send to the involved people for (a) their information, and (b) concurrence on what is being done. I may be able at the same time to include some concepts on conference subject matter which, I hope, will elicit extension of ideas from them.

Items Nos. 2, and 3, are most pertinent to our discussion.

Enclosures

cc: Ken Baum



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE
HEALTH RESOURCES ADMINISTRATION
ROCKVILLE, MARYLAND 20852

BUREAU OF HEALTH RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT

November 22, 1974

Lawrence E. Shulman, M.D., Ph.D.
916 Clinical Science Building
Johns Hopkins Hospital
Baltimore, Maryland 21205

Dear Dr. Shulman:

This follows up our telephone conversation of about two weeks ago to keep you advised regarding progress and arrangements for pilot arthritis program followup.

I have enclosed a statement I developed for our guidance in the Division of Regional Medical Programs regarding the Chicago O'Hare "fly-in" discussion on November 17. It is enclosed not only to save rewriting, but to permit others receiving copies of this letter to advise me of any misrepresentations.

I wish, first, to convey our feelings of sincere appreciation of the interest and thoughtfulness extended by each of the participants in the Chicago discussion. This was not a "pat" meeting; most of the points I have enumerated in the enclosure elicited initial differences of opinions, and were subjected to considerable scrutiny by the group. I believe however, that doubts have been moderated if not retired, and that there is positive consensus regarding the need for the arthritis conference, and the potential it provides for substantive results.

Several key factors affected the outcome of the Chicago discussion which are not apparent in my summary. First, enroute to the meeting, I was advised by Mr. Gardell, Acting Division Chief, that funds I had believed to be available as our contribution toward the conference were not, in fact, available. We will continue to seek identification of a Federal contribution, but the prospect is not bright. Secondly, it was agreed that the conference should constitute a working session on the present funded pilot programs, their present circumstances, and future; attendance should be limited accordingly. It was agreed that the members of the Arthritis Ad Hoc Review Committee should be invited.

I estimate that the conference will require the attendance of about 120 people. This includes 29 RMP representatives, about 60 Project Directors, and the remainder made up by representatives of the sponsoring agencies, resource specialists, and guests.


For your information, Dr. Evelyn Hess is contacting all of the pilot arthritis Project Directors to explore the possibility of developing data within the ARA uniform reporting format. Also, the Michigan RMP is contracting with the University of Michigan School of Public Health to carry out evaluation of Dr. Ivan Duff's geriatric demonstration. This might provide an avenue of interest other schools of public health to perform similar evaluative work.

I was provided an opportunity yesterday to discuss conference plans with the Steering Committee of the National Association of RMP Coordinators. On Saturday, November 23, there will be an opportunity to discuss the program and conference plans at a one-day arthritis conference in San Francisco of the Western Regional Medical Programs (7 or 8 states).

I will need and sincerely appreciate your counsel about the conference as it develops. We will be able to announce the date and location next week. I am obtaining cost and support information on both Kansas City and St. Louis, which are, in the aggregate, the least-cost sites of 11 which we priced out in some detail. I prefer Kansas City because of the existence of a strong Kansas RMP and arthritis program, and an active local Arthritis Chapter. We are inviting these respective K.C offices to serve as hosts to the conference if it is, in fact, scheduled there.

I trust that these comments will assure you that plans for an effective conference are moving forward. I look forward to your counsel with respect to program substance, and key speakers.

Sincerely,



Matthew Spear

Public Health Advisor

Division of Regional Medical Programs

Enclosure

Tele: 301/443-1916

Address: Division of Regional Medical Programs

Parklawn Building, Room 15-42

5600 Fishers Lane

Rockville, Maryland 20852

cc:

Dr. Roger D. Mason

Mr. Kevin Anderson

Dr. William F. Donaldson

Dr. Ephraim P. Engleman

Dr. Satoru Izutsu

Mr. Gerald Gardell

Dr. Lawrence M. Petrocilli

Mr. David Shobe

Dr. Clement W. Sledge

Dr. Isaac Taylor

Dr. Charles D. Tourtelotte

ORGANIZATION FOR A NATIONAL ARTHRITIS CONFERENCE

A discussion was held at O'Hare International airport, Chicago, Illinois, on Sunday, November 17, to explore the feasibility of convening a conference to develop coordinated activities, including program reporting and evaluation, among 29 Regional Medical Programs (RMP's) conducting pilot arthritis grant programs. Participants in the discussion were:

- Dr. Roger D. Mason, discussion moderator; Chairman of the former Arthritis ad hoc Review Committee
- Mr. Kevin Anderson, staff member, Michigan RMP
- Dr. William F. Donaldson, 1st Vice President, American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (Pittsburgh)
- Dr. Ephraim P. Engleman, UCLA; chairman of the ARA "committee of five" designated to provide liaison with DRMP (San Francisco)
- Dr. Satoru Izutsu, Executive Director, Hawaii RMP
- Dr. Lawrence M. Petrucelli, Chief Arthritis Activities, NIAMDD
- Mr. David Shobe, Arthritis Foundation (Washington, D.C., and N.Y.)
- Dr. Clement W. Sledge, Robert Ereck Brigham Hospital (Boston)
- Dr. Isaac Taylor, Deputy Director, Tri-State RMP
- Dr. Charles D. Tourtelotte, Chief of Rheumatology, Temple University (Philadelphia)

From the Division of Regional Medical Programs:

- Mr. Gerald T. Gardell, Acting Director
- Mr. Matthew Spear, Staff member

The consensuses reached by the discussion participants are the following:

1. There should be a conference to develop coordination, evaluation, and follow-up activities among the 29 funded pilot arthritis programs.
2. The conference should be convened at a site which results in least cost for both the conduct of the conference, and the attendance of the participants.
3. Total cost of the conference proper (site and services costs) should not exceed \$10,000.

4. Conference sponsors will be, jointly:

Arthritis Foundation
American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons
National Institute of Arthritis, Metabolism, and Digestive Diseases
Regional Medical Programs (29 collectively)

*20 RMP
reimburse*

5. The sponsors will jointly contrive to pay the costs of the conference.
6. Participating program attendees will be required to meet their travel and other costs from local sources.
7. Program attendance/participation will be limited to Coordinators of the 29 RMP's with funded pilot arthritis programs, their arthritis Project Directors, and others directly associated with the conduct of the conference.
8. Special guests, and expert resource personnel will be invited. Their costs of participation will be reimbursed by the sponsors, when necessary.
9. The conference will be scheduled for 2 days in the period between January 18, and February 2, 1975.
10. DRMP will provide staff support -- in effect, an Executive Secretariat -- for development, conduct, and perhaps followup of the conference.
11. The tentative program structure is:

I. Educational impact

- A. Physicians
- B. Paramedical
- C. Patients

II. Delivery Impact

- A. Demographic aspects
- B. Types of services
- C. Distribution of services

III. Objective analysis of results

- A. Each of the above
- B. Functional analysis of therapy

IV. Continuation funding

12. The structure relates to the following tentative agenda:

- a. short introductory plenary session
- b. workshops on sections I, and II
- c. plenary session for reports and discussions
- d. workshops on sections III, and IV
- e. summary plenary session

¹³
14. Special resource people should be available in both the workshop, and plenary sessions. Types (or specialties noted):

demography
program evaluation
program funding
program information disseminators
program image builders

Names mentioned:

demography -- William Ranke (?), Johns Hopkins, Md.

evaluation -- Dennison, Mich.; Plotz, N.Y.; Dr. Smyth, Colo.;
Confrey, Cal.; Joseph Barbaccia, Cal.

funding --- David Rogers, Johnson Foundation
Kellogg Foundation
State Health Department specialists

program delivery -- Ed. Smith, Va.; John Sharp, Houston, and
Smiley, Dallas, Texas; Neustadt, Louisville;
Ivan Duff, Mich.; Dr. Klineberg, and George
Freo (?), Cal.

program information -- one or two widely known science writers


program image -- Sen. Cranston: Anne Landers

MEMORANDUM

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE
HEALTH RESOURCES ADMINISTRATION
BUREAU OF HEALTH RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT

DATE: November 25, 1974

TO : Dr. Donaldson
Dr. Engleman
Mr. Shobe
Dr. Shulman
Dr. Sledge

FROM : 
Matt Spear

SUBJECT: Proposed organization of an arthritis conference

The enclosed pages set forth (albeit hurriedly) a proposed format and organization for the arthritis conference discussed at Chicago on Nov. 17. The format seeks to provide maximum participation to develop a forward looking perspective, and a degree of collective action by program participants.

The characteristics seem critical to me in view of the prospects. If we dry up and go away for lack of Federal support, the present investment must be optimally employed, adequately documented, and permitted to provide a bridge to whatever local continuity as can be elicited. If additional, similar support evolves, the present program must be in position to move forward appropriately.

This is the basis on which I have striven to define a method ~~to~~ through which the combined elements of the existing pilot arthritis program can ^{be} focussed on a forward looking, active venture. The conference must not, in my thinking, be allowed to lapse into a passive talkfest. Similarly, it must occur in a time and manner in which there is maximum involvement and interest, and serve to maintain or build on those characteristics.

The proposed attendance results in the presence of a wide spectrum of experience and outlook. The proposed techniques are an attempt to capture both the conscious, and subconscious expressions of this reservoir, and to highlight program perspectives which are, respectively, shared and unique.

It may be too much to anticipate and plan for collaborative actions as an outcome from this single conference. However, we can assure "undershooting" if we don't aim, and press for hard targets.

The proposal needs critical examination. If we progress in this, or a similar format, planning and logistics must be carefully developed.

Enclosures

Conference on Pilot Arthritis Programs

Purposes

Outcomes

- A. Share program experiences
 - 1. Program developmental approaches
 - 2. Significant problems
 - 3. Problem resolutions

- B. Define overall program goal (s)
 - 1. Identify major objectives
 - 2. Identify principal forces, or program elements

- C. Identify major criteria of program outcome for delivery
 - 1. Professional training
 - 2. Patient training
 - 3. Program services

- D. Identify feasible measurement techniques (to empty criteria)
 - 1. - 2. - 3. - (as C above)

- E. Identify feasible program continuation (activities/support)
 - 1. - 2. - 3. - (as C above)

- A. Relate and coordinate like activities
 - 1. Obtain interprogram assistance
 - 2. Establish a newsletter

- B. Establish a central program reporting and analysis activity.

- C. Establish a reporting format and schedule

- D. Establish a program monitoring and counseling activity

- E. Report (via newsletter) activities which win continuity support.

Schematic plan for an arthritis conference January 1975

Basis of Plan

Representatives of 29 pilot arthritis programs will be convened; with others, to:

- a. Share program experiences.
- b. Develop a perspective of the program, as an initiation of arthritis control activities.
- c. Identify salient factors of program strengths, and continuity elements.
- d. Devise processes for program reporting for coordination, and evaluation.
- e. Specify collaborative roles, or functions, to be executed to effect program quality improvement, coordination of like activities, and evaluation.

Thus, the conference process must be a joint working session which initiates, if it cannot fully realize, leadership roles which draw forth participatory commitments from a wide range of individuals and groups. The objective is the establishment and coalescence of a broad constituency embracing both those required to effect delivery of good program, and those who can elicit active awareness from Municipal, State, and Federal institutions, and agencies.

Conference Program Plan

Conference events must be formulated to elicit the experiences and judgements of present program leaders, and amalgamate their perspectives and expectations into unified objectives to be achieved within a stated period. To achieve this, conference activities will put the participants to work almost at the outset, and keep them actively engaged in a process of rapid definition of feasible actions which they can agree to undertake individually, and jointly.

A series of workshops will be conducted which will:

- 1. highlight major program requirements
- 2. emphasize similarities of perspectives
- 3. reveal innovative approaches
- 4. specify actions required to be undertaken with some uniformity.

The process is an offshoot of the "brainstorming" conference approach, and will permit:

- a. direction: The matters to be addressed by the conference will be determined by the key questions posed to the participants.

- b. maximum input: everyone will be provided opportunity to express judgements and opinions.
- c. rapidity of response: participant responses will be obtained simultaneously; the workshop function is to focus participant responses into coherent statements of perspectives, objectives, and initiatives.

It remains questionable at this time whether the brainstorming process can be effectively conducted as a plenary session activity because of logistic, and participant fatigue problems. However, this plan assumes that these problems can be managed.

A. Requirements

1. A leader with a set of focussed questions. The questions must be orally stated to the participants and also individually displayed, as they are posed, on a large placard.
2. Participants must have several 3" x 5" pads of note paper, and pen/pencil.
3. Ushers must be available to pick up the 3" x 5" pages after each question session.
4. A small collator and typing staff must be available in a separate room.
5. Meeting rooms are needed for designated groups (workshops). Workshops address themselves to specific questions, and the responses provided by plenary activity; the plenary responses are ^{placed} in the hands of the members of the designated workshop via the collator/typing team.

Sample process:

1. Leader states the question, which is simultaneously displayed in large print.
2. Leader states the time limit (2-3 ^{best} min.) which participants have to write down all the responses which occur to them.
3. Participants write one answer on one 3" x 5" page, proceeding to successive pages as responses occur.
4. Leader calls TIME; ushers pick up all answers (collect in plastic bage). Responses are delivered to the collatot/typing room.
5. Leader proceeds to next question; etc.

When all (or a designated set) questions are completed, a series of talks is presented relating to the conference interest. This provides the collator/typing team time to complete their work in preparation for the workshops. The collator/typing team process must not screen responses. The limiting factor will be time; all the responses which can be typed within a time limit must be prepared for perusal, discussion, and resolution by the designated workshop. Everyone must know what is occurring, but nothing must be done overtly which inhibits full, and free response from all participants.

Workshops then proceed on the questions, and responses provided in the plenary question session. Unless logistic prospects require modification of this plan, each workshop will deal with specific propositions with respect to which it has input from the aggregate conference.

Workshop output is:

1. A synthesis of the conference perspectives
2. Statement of feasible objectives
3. Recommendations on conference positions, and appropriate followon initiatives.

Workshop deliberations will be reported to a plenary session by the Workshop leader.

An approximate sequence for this ^{ese} proposed activities is attached.

<u>Time Sequence</u>	<u>Program Activities</u>	<u>Related Activities</u>
a.m. 9:00----	Welcome/Introductions	
-	Explanation of Agenda	
--	Instructions on Questions	
-	Questions posed	
10:00----		Collation/typing team pick up responses, arrange, and type in form to be handed to workshops
-		
-- -- -- --	Coffee Break	
-		
11:00----	Reassemble, Introduce speakers*	
-	(15 min. presentations of representative arthritis programs.)	
--		
-		
Noon 12:00----	LUNCH	
-		
--		
-	Presentation on operating program evaluation.	
p.m. 1:00----		
-		
-- -- -- --	Workshops	
-		
2:00----	(Simultaneous consideration of Education Impact, and Delivery Impact aspects by a number of workshops. Breakout determined by specificity of questions.)	
-		
--		
-		
3:00----		
-		
-- -- -- --	Coffee Break	
-		
4:00----	Reassemble in Plenary Workshop Reports *	
-		
--		
-		
5:00----	Plenary Discussions *	
-	Positions defined; voting proceeds, if appropriate.	
--		
-		
6:00----	Cocktails	Typing
-		
--		
-		
7:00----	Dinner	
-		
--		
-	Conference Keynote Speaker*	
8:00----		

* Record proceedings

<u>Time Sequence</u>	<u>Program Activities</u>	<u>Related Activities</u>
a.m. 8:00----	Assemble in Plenary Questions posed	
9:00----	Presentations of special programs*	Collator/typing team pick up responses, arrange and type to hand to workshops.
10:00----	Workshops	
11:00----		
Noon 12:00----	Reassemble in Plenary Workshop reports *	
	LUNCH	
p.m. 1:00----	(Presentations on funding opportunities)*	
2:00----	Reassemble in Plenary *	Typing of previous papers, etc.
3:00----	(Act on morning's workshops and overall Conference positions/outcomes. If there is to be suggested designation of persons/organizations to take actions, it should occur here.)	
4:00----	ADJOURN	
	(Post-conference wrap-up taken up -- e.g., transcript wording, individual followup assignments, etc.)	
		Typing as required

* Record proceedings.

Schedule, Site, Agenda, Format, Assignments, Estimated Costs, and
Related Matters

- A. Place: Hotel Muehlebach
Kansas City, Missouri
- B. Dates: January 19-20, 1975
- C. Conference Hosts: Kansas City Chapter, Arthritis Foundation
Kansas Regional Medical Program
- D. Conference Sponsors: American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons
Arthritis Foundation
Participating Regional Medical Programs
- E. Available Resources:

1. Travel/Per Diem of Participants: \$21,000 provided by 29 RMP
for participation of Coordinators, and Project Director
2. Others (DRMP, Associations, etc): Cost paid by organization

3. Conference Site/Service Costs: (maximums)

- a. \$2,500 American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons
- b. \$2,500 Arthritis Foundation
- c. \$1,000 Kansas RMP, plus staff support
- d. - KC Arthritis Chapter staff support
- e. Div. Regional Medical Programs, staff support

F. Estimated Costs:

(i) Abbreviations: AAOs Am. Acad. of Ortho. Surgeons
 AF Arthritis Foundation
 DRMP Div. of Reg'l Med. Programs
 HM Hotel Muehlebach
 KCAF Kansas City Arth. Chapter
 KRMP Kansas Reg'l Med. Prog.
 RMP Participating RMP program(s)

(ii) Footnotes: * Indicates items which could be partially or wholly charged to participants

✓ Items not required if costs covered by conference sponsors.

Items	Est. Amount	Proposed Sources	Comments
<u>1. Facilities:</u>			
Plenary room for 150 w tables	0	HM	
Conf. Admin. Room with tables	0	HM	
6 Committee Rooms for 30 ea./tables	0	HM	
Lunch Room 2 days	0	HM	
Dining Room 1 Night	0	HM	
* Sleeping Rooms for 120-150	\$17 ⁰⁰ single 23 ⁰⁰ dble	Individual	Individual
VIP Rooms (2 or 3)	0	HM	
Post-Conference Work Room	0	HM	

	Amount	Source	Comments
2. Services:			
Paging	0	HM	
PA System, Plenary Room	0	KRMP HM KCAF	
Tape Recording, Plenary, Lunch, & Dinner Rooms	0	KRMP-KCAF	
Typewriters	0	KRMP-KCAF	
Secretaries (2-5) (messengers)	0	KRMP-KCAF	
Reproduction equipment/services	0	KRMP-KCAF	
3. Travel:			
* Representations of 29 RMP programs	\$ 21,000	RMP's	
* Arthritis Ad Hoc Review Committee (14 @ est. \$240 ea)	\$ 3,400	Individual	
VIP's (est 2 @ \$500 ⁺ travel)	\$ 500	AAOS - AF	
Rep's AAOS, AF, DRMP, NIAMD	NA	Individual	
4. Meals:			
* Lunch (2 x \$4.50 x 120)	\$ 1,080	AAOS - AF	
* Dinner (1 x \$10.00 x 120)	\$ 1,200	AAOS - AF	
* Cocktails (1 x \$2.25 x 240)	\$ 350	AAOS - AF	
VIP's, included above	—	—	
Breakfast (2)	0	Individual	
* Coffee Breaks (3 times)	* 240	AAOS - AF	
Coffee \$0.35/serving			
Tea \$0.35/serving			

Items	Est Amount	Proposed Source	Comments
5. <u>Supplies:</u>			
Name Tags (150)	0	DRMP	
Registration Books (2)	0	DRMP	
✓ Meal Tickets (450)	0	HM	
3" x 5" pads (500)	0	DRMP	
Plastic Bags (10)	0	DRMP	
Writing Paper (150)	0	DRMP	
Pencils (200)	0	DRMP	
Typing Paper	0	KRMP-KCAF	
Paper (Reproduction) 8reams	0	KRMP-KCAF	
Envelopes	0	DRMP	
Postage	† <u>50</u>	DRMP	