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At its September 21, 1970 meeting, the Joint Council Subcommittee on Cerebrovascular Disease, NHLI-NINDS, adopted the following resolution:

Several clinically oriented studies have suggested the effectiveness of antihypertensive drugs in reducing the frequency of stroke, and to a lesser degree, coronary heart disease.

The recent publications of the Veterans Administration Cooperative Study present results of a well-controlled investigation, employing random allocation of study subjects to experimental and placebo groups. Over 500 men were studied for periods up to 5 years (average about 20 months).

In those persons with very high diastolic blood pressure, the results favored the treatment group so dramatically that the investigators considered that they must terminate the study for reasons of medical ethics.

In the group with modest elevation of diastolic blood pressure, the study was allowed to run its course and also yielded results strongly in favor of the treated group.

Blood pressure reductions achieved were substantial. The disease categories most markedly affected by the treatment were congestive heart failure, accelerated hypertension, renal damage, and stroke. The results with coronary artery disease are somewhat equivocal but perhaps show a genuine reduction in sudden deaths.

The results for stroke are summarized as follows:

|  | Treated | $\frac{\text { Control }}{20}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{5}{2 l l}$ events | 1 | 12 |

Thus, not only did the treated group have fewer stroke events, but those that occurred were much less likely to be severe.

Since this represents a dramatic and extremely hopeful development in the control of stroke, the Joint Council Subcommittee on Cerebrovascular Disease, NHLI-NINDS, strongly recommends that further steps should be taken as expeditiously and aggressively as possible; namely, that a committee be convened to design studies to implement the application of antihypertensive therapy on community bases.

The Joint Council Subcommittee recommended that the above resolution be forwarded to the National Advisory Heart and Lung Council, the National Advisory Neurological Diseases and Stroke Council, and the National Advisory Council on Regional Medical Programs.

# Effects of Treatment on Morbidity in Hypertension <br> II. Results in Patients With Diastolic Blood Pressure Averaging 90 Through 114 mm Hg 

Veterans Administration Cooperative Study Group on Antihypertensive Agents


#### Abstract

Three hundred and eighty male hypertensive patients with diastolic blood pressures averaging 90 to 114 mm Hg were randomly assigned to either active antihypertensive agents or placebos. The estimated risk of developing a morbid event over a five-year period was reduced from $55 \%$ to $18 \%$ by treatment. Terminating morbid events occurred in 35 patients of the control group as compared to 9 patients in the treated group. Nineteen deaths related to hypertension or atherosclerosis occurred in the control group and 8 in the actively treated group. In addition to morbid events, 20 control patients developed persistent diastolic levels of 125 mmHg or higher. Treatment was more effective in preventing congestive heart failure and stroke than in preventing the complications of coronary artery disease. The degree of benefit was related to the level of prerandomization blood pressure.


T
n a previous publication in this journal the Veterans Administration Cooperative Study

For complete list of participants, see page 1152.
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Group on Antihypertensive Agents reported on the beneficial effects of antihypertensive drugs on morbidity in patients with moderately severe hypertension. These were patients with initial diastolic blood pressures averaging 115 through 129
mm Hg who had been randomized into a prospective double-blind trial of active antihypertensive drugs vs placebos. Twenty-seven patients developed assessable events in the control group as compared to two patients in the group receiving active antihypertensive agents. This striking result favoring treatment was in agreement with the results of other prospective triais ${ }^{3,3}$ in patients with hypertension of similar severity.

In hypertension of lesser severity, however, there are little or no controlled data available on the value of antihypertensive drug therapy. Resolution of this question is of great importance not only because of the large number of patients with. mild hypertension but also because the poiential benefits of drus treatment have been questioncd especially in this group of hypertensive patients. ${ }^{\text {. The present report pre- }}$ sents the results of a prospective, controlled trial of drus treatment on morbidity and mortality in a group of 350 patients with mild or moderate hypertension whose initial
diastolic blood pressure averaged 90 through 114 mm Hg .

## Plan of Investigation

The clinical trial included 523 male veterans who, while not receiving antihypertensive treatment, exhibited diastolic blood pressures averaging 90 through 129 mm Hg . Randomization of patients began in April 1964. However, in May 1967, the study was terminated in the subgroup of 143 patients whose diastolic blood pressures averaged 115 through 123 mm Hg prior to randomization. Termination of the study of this group as previously reported ${ }^{1}$ was necessitated by the high incidence of morbid events in the control as compared to the treated patients, demonstrating at a relatively early date a highly significant ( $P<0.001$ ) effect of treatment. Such a significant difference was not evident at the time, however, in the patients whose diastolic blood pressures averaged below 11.5 mm Hg prior to randomization. These latter patients were continued in the randomized trial until 1969 and are the subject of the present communication.
The experimental design has been described in previous reports. ${ }^{1.5}$. Initially all patients were hospitalized for diagnosis and evaluation of the severity of their hypertension. Patients whose diastolic blood pressure averaged 90 through 129 mm Hg during the fourth through sixth hospital day were accepted for further follow-up. Patients whose diastolic averages fell below 90 mm Hg or rose above 129 mm Hg during this period of hospitalization were excluded.

Following hospitalization the patients entered a prerandomization observation period of two to four months' duration during which time they received placebos of antilypertensive agents. The patients whose diastolic blood pressures during the last two clinic visits of the
observation period averaged 90 through 129 mm Hg were entered into the trial, providing there were no other reasons for exclusion. Blood pressure was measured by a physician with the patient in a sitting position.

Other reasons for excluding patients from the trial, in addition to diastolic blood pressure, are cletailed in other reporis. ${ }^{1.5}$ Such reasons included a history of a severe hypertensive complication such as a cerebral or subarachnoid hemorrhage, hypertensive neuroretinopathy, dissecting aneurysm, or renal failure, but did not include atherosclerotic complications such as coronary artery disease or cerebrovascular thrombosis. Also excluded were (1) patients with surgically curable hypertension, (2) with unrelated fatal diseases such as malignant tumors, (3) those unwilling or unable to return to clinic, and (4) poorly motivated or otherwise uncooperative or unreliable patients.
The outpatient prerandomization observation period provided a further opportunity to check on the reliability of the patients. Riboflavin, which produces bright yellow fluorescence of the urine, was incorporated in the placebos. At each clinic visit a urine specimen was examined under ultraviolet !ight. In addition, pill counts were made at each clinic visit. No patient was accepted into the randomized trial unless the urine exhibited fluorescence and the pill counts were within a stipulated range, at each of two successive visits during the prerandomization observation period.
Accepted patients were then randomly assigned double-blind to either active drugs or placebos. Active drugs consisted of two types of tablets, one being a combination tablet containing 50 mg hydrochlorothiazide and 0.1 mg reserpine which was given twice daily. The other was 25 mg of hydralazinc hydrochloride given three times
daily. The latter medication was raised to 50 mg three times daily if the diastolic blood pressure remained at 90 mm Hg or higher. Obviously, practically all of the patients in the placebo group had their "doses" raised to this level. Provision was made for reduction of doses if hypotensive reactions or other disturbing side effects occurred. Patients in the conirol group received placebos identical in taste and appearance to the active drups. Indicated symptomatic treatment, including drugs other than antihypertensive agents, was permitted in all patients.
Postrandomization clinic visits were at monthly intervals for the first two months and at bimonthly intervals thereafter. Annual examinations included taking a history and a physical examination, roentgenogram of the chest, electrocardiogram, pertinent chemical analyses of the blood, and renal function tests. Additional interim visits could be scheduled when indicated.

## Characteristics of Patients

Three hundred and eighty patients with diastolic blood pressure: averaging 90 through 114 mm Hs were randomized into the trial. of this number, 186 reccived active drugs while 194 were given placebos. Tables 1 and 2 indicate that. the two groups were comparable according to the indicated variables. The median ages were 49.2 and 48.1 years and the average ages were 52.0 and 50.5 years in the control and treatment groups, respectively. Negro patients comprised $42 \sigma_{0}^{\%}$ of the control group and $41{ }_{\sigma}^{\sigma}$ of the treated group. Blood pressure as measured in the clinic during the posthospitalization observation period prior to randomization sueraged $165.1 / 104.7 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ in the control group and 162.1/103.8 mm Hg in the treated patients. There were no significant difierences between the control and treated pa-
tients with regard to findings from renal function tests, fasting blood sugar value, serum cholesterol value, uric acid level, and left ventricular enlargement as assessed by $x$-ray films and electrocardiography. By all factors measured the two groups were comparable.

## Duration of Observation

Patients were entered into the trial from April 196.4 to September 1968, and the study was terminaied in October 1969. Thus, the earliest entrants were observed for 5.5 years and the latest entrants for a minimum of 1 year. The average potential duration of observation, disregarding losses and terminations, was 3.9 years for the control group and 3.7 years for the treated patients. However, because of the losses and terminations due to elevated diastolic blood pressure described below, the actual duration of postrandomization observation was 3.3 years for the control group and 3.2 years for the treated patients.

## Changes in Blood Pressure

Systolic and diastolic blood pressure fell promptly and significantly in the treated patients and remained at reduced levels throughout the trial. The changes in blood pressure at the fourth month of observation in the treated and control patients are depicted in Fig 1. The mean change in systolic blood pressure was an increase of 4.2 mm Hg in the control group and a fall of 27.2 mm Hg in the treated patients from the levels recorded during the prerandomization observation period. The mean change in diastolic blood pressure was a rise of 1.2 mm Hg in the control patients and a fall of 17.4 mm Hg in the treated group curing this same interval. The distribution of the changes in blood pressure as shown in Fig 1 indicates a marked shift to
Table 1, - Background of Randomized Patients: Numeration Data
Characteristic
Cotsl randomized

| Characteristic | Control Group Mean | Treatment Growp Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (yr) | 52.0 | 50.5 |
| Age (median, yr) | 49.2 | 48.1 |
| Height, im (ft, in) | $175.3(5.9)$ | $172.7(5,3)$ |
| Weignt, kg (lb) | 82.0 (180.9) | 79.5 (170.1) |
| Duration known hypertension (yr) | 4.4 | 4.6 |
| Average hospral ciastolic pressure (mm Hg) | 101.3 | 100.2 |
| Average hospital systolic pressure (mm Hg ) | 157.5 | $=154.0$ |
| Average clinic diastolic pressure ( mm Hg ) | 104.7 | 103.8 |
| Average clinic systofic prossure (mm Hg) | 165.1 | 162.1 |
| Totaiseverity score* | 6.7 | 6.8 |
| Renal score (0.4) | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| Cardiac score (0-4) | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| CNST score (0.4) | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Serum creatinine (mz/100 cc) | 1.26 | 1.24 |
| BUN (mg/ 100 cc ) | 15.6 | 16.2 |
| Serum potassium (mEq/iter) | 4.4 | 4.4 |
| PSPt excretion (\% in 2 hr ) | 58.8 | 60.0 |
| Fasting blood glucose ( $\mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{cc}$ ) | 96.5 | 100.4 |
| Cholesterol (mg/100 cc) | 250.1 | 245.0 |
| Uric acid (mg/lou cc) | 6.3 | 6.0 |
| * Detailed criteria for grades 0 throush 4 giv <br> tCNS signifies central nervous system. <br> $\ddagger$ PSP signifies phenolsulfonphthalein. |  |  |

the left into the "decrease" zone for the treated patients as compared to the control group. Also apparent is the wide variation in individual responses particularly with regard to systolic blood pressure.

## Losses Other Than Assessable Events

Deaths Due to Unrelated Condi-tions.-Four patients died of disorders unrelated to hypertension. Two of the patients were in the control group. One died of generalized carcinomatosis demonstrated at autopsy and the other of uremia secondary to carcinoma of the urinary bladder. One patient in the treated group died of a subdural hematoma following a skull fracture and another of penicillin anaphy-
laxis. Postmortem examination was carried out in both of these patierts.
Losses Due to Drug Toxicity.Two patients in the treatment group developed reactions thought to be due to drug toxicity. The first patient developed orbital edema with fever and malaise. Roentgerogram of the chest revealed infiltrates in the lungs. There was no dermatitis or arthritis. Lupus cells were not found in the blood although the antinuclear antibody test was positive. Protocol drugs were discontinued because of the possibility of lupus syndrome associated with hydralazine. The second patient developed purpura one month aifer beginning active drug treatment. Findings from examination in the hospital, including biopsy, were con-



1. Changes in systolic (left) and diastolic blood pressure (right) after four months of treatment in patients given placebos (top) and in patients treated with active drugs (bottom). Mean of changes ( $\overline{\mathrm{X}}$ ).
sistent with anaphylactoid purpura. The purpuric lesions cleared two weeks after protocol treatment was discontinued and reappeared within three days after administration of active drugs began again. Protocol treatment was, therefore, discontinued.
Drop-Outs.-Fifty-six or $15 \%$ of the 380 randomized patients were classified as drop-outs during the course of the trial. Of this number 27 had been randomized to receive placebos and 29 to receive active drugs. The average period of followup prior to dropping out was 17.6 months with a range from less than 1 month to 49 months. Six patients noved away from the area of the linic. Two were lost from follow-up oecause of closure of one participating clinic. Four returned to the care of their private physicians. Fifteen
complained of side effects prior to dropping out. Nine of these patients had been receiving drugs, and six were taking placebos. Five patients had psychiatric or alcoholic problems of such severity as to make continued protocol treatment impractical. In the remaining patients the reason for drop-out could not be determined. It should be noted that three of the patients taking placebos sustained nonterminating morbid events prior to their dropping out.

## Assessable Morbid Events

The records of the patients reported as having assessable morbid events were reviewed by two consulting physicians who had not participated in the trial. All assessable events were reviewed except those related to the development of
electrocardiographic signs of leit ventricular hypertrophy or of roentgenographic evidence of cardiac enlargement, which will be reported in a subsequent communication. All available data pertaining to each organic complication, except the type of protocol treatment and the level of blood pressure, were presented to the reviewers and their decisions regarding the occurrence and classification of an event according to the definitions given in the protocol (see list of assessable events at the end of the communication) were accepted as final.
Table 3 summarizes the assessable events by major categories. Such events occurred in 98 of the 380 randomized patients, 76 in the co::trol group and 22 in the treated patients. Of this number 20 control patients developed an increase in
diastolic blood-pressure to levels exceeding 12.4 mm Hg on three separate clinic visits and persisting for 3 weeks or longer. Since these patients were removed from the trial only because of persistent blood pressure elevations and not for an organic complication, they will not be included in the subsequent assessment of effectiveness of treatment in preventing morbid events.

The remaining 78 patients had organic complications subdivided as follows: 56 of 194 or $28.9 \%$ of the control group and 22 of 186 or $11.8 \%$ of the treated patients. The most striking evidence of benefit of treatment was manifested in the count of class A events (hypertensive complications defined in the protocol which required removal of the patient from the study. ${ }^{1}$ There were none among the treated patients but 14 among the controls. These included five class A deaths (Table 4) plus nine other class A events (Table 5). When other cardiovascular (class B) deaths and treatment failures were added, the comparisons were still impressive, 35 of 194 patients or $18.0 \%$ amongst the controls and only 9 of 186 or $4.8 \%$ in the treated group (Table 3). The effectiveness of treatment (difference in percent incidence of complications between control and treated groups divided by the percent incidence in the control group) in preventing terminating organic complications was $73 \%$ (Table 3). The decision to discontinue the trial was based on this favorable evidence supplemented by the life-table analyses described below which suggested that the benefit of treatment was continuing through time and was not solely concentrated in the first year or two of treatment.

Terminating Events. - Deaths Related to Cardiovascular Dis-ease.-Twenty-seven patients died of hypertensive or atherosclerotic complications, 19 occurring in the


| Table 4.-Causes of Death |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cause <br> Deaths due to class $A$ events | Control Group | Trezted Group |
| Cerebrovascular hemorrhage | 3 | 0 |
| Subarachnoid hemorrnase | 1 | 0 |
| Dissecting ancurysm | 2 | 0 |
| Deaths due to ciass $B$ events |  |  |
| Myocardial infarction | 3 | 2 |
| Succen death | 8 | 4 |
| Cerebrovascular thrombosis | 3 | 1 |
| Ruptured atherosclerctic aneurysm | 0 | 1 |
| Total related desths* | 19 | 8 |

Table 5.-Terminating Morbid Events Other Than Death

| Type of Event | Control <br> Group | Treated <br> Group |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Class A events |  |  |
| Uncontrolled cardiac failure |  |  |
| Dissecting aortic aneurysm |  |  |
| Subarachnoid hemorrhage |  |  |
| Fundi, striate hemorrhages |  |  |
| Acute hypertensive encephalopathy |  |  |

control group and 8 in the treated patients (Table 4). Five deaths associated with class A or hypertensive events (see list of assessable events at the end of the communication) were cerebral hemorrhage in four and dissecting aortic aneurysm in one, all occurring in the control group of patients. Deaths resulting from class $B$ events were
associated predominantly with coronary artery disease. Eleven patients in the placebo group and 6 in the treated group had either a documented myocardial infarction or a "sudden death." Cerebrovascular thrombosis as opposed to hemorrhage was the cause of death in three control patients and in one treated patient. The remaining

| Type | Control Group | Treated Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CVA, thrombosis or TIA | 8 | 4 |
| Congestive heart failuret | 6 | 0 |
| Myocardial intarmion | 2 | 5 |
| Atrial fibrillation | 2 | 3 |
| Heart-block | 1 | 1 |
| Serum creatinine, persistent, $>2.0 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{cc}$ | 1 | 0 |
| Proteinuria, persistent. $>1+$ | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 21 | 13 |

Table 7.-Classification of Morbid Events by Diagnostic Categories

| Diagnosis <br> Cercbrovascular accident | Total Events |  | Terminating Events |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Control | Treated | Contral | Treated |
|  | 20 | 5 | 12 | 1 |
| Coronary artery disease | 13 | 11 | 11 | 6 |
| Congestive hear failure | 11 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
| "Accelerated" hypertension | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Renal damage | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Other | 5 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| Total | 56 | 22 | 35 | 9 |

death in the treated group was caused by a rupture of an atherosclerotic aneurysm of the aorta.

Other Class A Events.-Nine patients in the control group as opposed to none in the treated group developed nonfatal class A events (Table 5). Five of the patients had congestive heart failure which could not be controlled by administration of digitalis, sodium restriction, and the intermittent administration of diuretics. In the four remaining patients there was one instance of each of the following complications: dissecting aortic aneurysm, subarachnoid hemorrhage, multiple striate retinal hemorrhages, and acute hypertensive encephalopathy with accompanying neurological signs.

Other Terminating Events.Additional organic complications, which did not fulfill the criteria for class A events but which were nevertheless of sufficient severity to reguire terminating protocol treaiment occurred in eight patients of which seven were in the control group. These are listed in Table 5 under the subtitle "treatment fail-
ure." Four were associated with cerebrovascular accidents diagnosed clinically as thrombosis rather than hemorrhage but which resulted in such severe incapacity that the patients were unable to attend the clinic. Two additional control patients were removed from the study because of the appearance of a single striate retinal hemorrhage associated in one with symptoms suggesting acute hypertensive encephalopathy, and, in the other, with questionable early papilledema. The remaining control patient exhibited increasing azotemia. One patient in the treated group was removed from the study because of hypotension following a myocardial infarction which resulted in his inability to tolerate the antihypertensive regimen. It is noteworthy that of the 17 nonfatal terminating events (class A and others) 16 occurred in the control group and only one in the treated patient (Table 5).
Nonterminating (Class B) Events. -Class B events include organic complications which require no or only temporary suspension of proto-
col treatment (see list of assessable events listed at the end of the communication). Objectively demonstrable atherosclerotic complications predominate as class $B$ events, but the category also includes congestive heart failure responsive to routine therapy other than administration of antihypertensive drugs and certain less severe manifestations of renal disease.

Nonfatal class B events occurred in 21 of the control patients and in 13 of the treated patients (Table 6). Six patients developed congestive heart failure controllable by digitalis and short-term administration of diuretics. It is noteworthy that all six of these patients were in the control group. Also, the incidence of nonterminating cerebrovascular accidents was twice as great in the control as in the treated patients. However, nonfatal myocardial infarction occurred in five of the treated patients as opposed to two of the control group. The incidence of atrial fibrillation and conduction defects was essentially the same in the two groups.

Life-Table Analysis.-The benefit of treatment is more precisely analyzed using life-table methods (Fig 2). This method has the following advantages: (1) it adjusts for the fact that patients enter the study at different times and thus are observed for varying lengths of time; (2) the method adjusts for any difierences in losses to observation between the control and treated groups; and (3) most important, it determines whether the berent of treatment occurs early or late or is continuing through time. The distance separating the control and treatment lines is a measure of the degree of benefit.

It is clear from Fig' 2 that the benefit of treatment manitested itself early and continued throughout the entire five years of tollow-up. The life-table analysis of either terminating or all morbid events indi-

| Contral Group |  |  |  | Treated Group |  |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prerandomization |  | Patients With "Morbid Event" |  |  | Patients With <br> "Moridid Event" |  | Effectiveness |
| Blood Pressure, mm Hg | Patients Randomized | No. | $\%$ | Patients Randomized | No. | \% |  |
| Systolic <165 | 98 | 15 | 15.3 | 108 | 10 | 9.3 | 40 |
| Systolic 165+ | 96 | 41 | 42.7 | 78 | 12 | 15.4 | 64 |
| Total | 194 | 56 |  | 186 | 22 |  |  |
| Diastolic 90-104 | 84 | 21 | 25.0 | 86 | 14. | 16.3 | 35 |
| Diastolic 105.114 | 110 | 35 | 31.8 | 100 | 8 | 8.0 | 75 |
| Total | 194 | 56 |  | 186 | 22 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |
| Table 9.-Incidence of Morbid Events With Respect to Age and Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Control Group |  |  | Treated Group |  |  |  |
|  |  | Patients With <br> "Morbid Event" |  |  | Patients With "Morbid Event" |  |  |
| Age (on admission) | Randomized | No. | \% | Patients <br> Randomized | No. | \% | $\%$ <br> Effectiveness |
| $<50 \mathrm{yr}$ | 99 | 15 | 15.2 | - 202 | 7 | 6.9 | 55 |
| 50 \& over | 95 | 41 | 43.2 | 84 | 15 | 17.9 | 59 |
| Total | 194 | 56 |  | 185 | 22 |  |  |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Negro | 81 | 21 | 25.9 | 76 | 8 | 10.5 | 54 |
| Other | 113 | 35 | 31.0 | 110 | 14 | 12.7 | 59 |
| Total. | 194 | 56 |  | 186 | 22 |  |  |

- cates that the benefit increased with time. For example, with respect to "all morbid events" it may be seen - that at three years the estimated cumulative incidence of morbidity in the control group is twice as great as in the treated patients. This suggests that treatment was about $50 \%$ effective at three years. At five years the spread between the two curves was substantially greater indicating an increasing degree of benefit with the passage of time. Specifically, at five years the cumulative incidence rate of events for the control group rises to $55 \%$. By contrast, for the treated group the indicated incidence of events at five years is only $18 \%$. It can be estimated, therefore, that over a five-year period trealment prevented $37 \%$ morbidity ( $55 \%$ minus $18 \%$ ), and this represents a $67 \%$ effectiveness (37/55).

The standard errors at five years were $6.3 \%$ for the control patients and $4.0 \%$ for the treated group. The significance of the difference between the two rates of $55 \%$ and
$18 \%$ yielded a $t$-value of 5.0 which is highly significant. A crude estimate gave confidence limits of $49 \%$ to $81 \%$ for the observed $67 \%$ effectiveness.
-Relationship of Treatment to Other Factors.-Relationshy to Diagnostic Categories.-It is revealing to examine the incidence of morbid events as related to treatment when the events are classified according to diagnostic categories (Table 7). Thus, in the control vs the treated groups, the prevalence of congestive heart failure was 11:0, of renal deterioration $3: 0$, and of "accelerated" hypertension (hypertensive neuroretinopathy or encephalopathy) 4:0. The number of cerebrovascular complications also seemed to be considerably influenced by treatment since the ratio of cerebrovascular events in the control vs treated patients was $20: 5$, and, of the more severe or terminating cerebrovascuiar events, it was 12:1. On the other hand, assessable events caused by coronary artery disease (myocardial infarction or
sudden death) were nearly the same in the two groups, 13 in the control and 11 in the treated, although fatal coronary events were somewhat greater in the control group.

Relationship to Prerandomization Blood Pressure.-The beneficial effect of treatment was most evident in the patients with higher initial levels of blood pressure. With respect to diastolic blood pressure the effectiveness of treatment was $75 \%$ in the patients with prerandomization diastolic blood pressure averaging 105 through 114 mm Hg as opposed to only $35_{i}^{\circ}$ in the group averaging 90 through 104 mm Hg (Table 8). A similar althoush somewhat less striking trend was noted with respect to systolic blood pressure, the effectiveness of treatment being $64 \%$ in patients with initial systolic levels averaging 165 mm Hg and above as opposed to $40 \%$ in the group with lower initial systolic blood pressure.
Relationshir to Age.-The majority of the patients developing

2. Estimated cumulative incidence of morbidity over
a five-year period as calculated by life-table method.
Terminating morbid events (top) and all morbid events (bottom).
morbid events were in the older age group. Of the 56 control patients developing morbid events 41 were 50 years of age or older at the time of admission to the study, while only 15 were below age 50 . A similar distribution was found with respect to the treated patients. The percent effectiveness of treatment was approximately the same in the younger and older groups (Table 9). However, because of the lower number of events in the patients below age 50 the estimated effec.iveness of treatment cannot be accepted with the same degree of confidence as in the older patients.

Relationship to Race.-The in-
cidence of morbid events was no greater in Negro patients. In fact, in the control group the incidence of events was slightly lower in Negroes, $25.9 \%$ as opposed to $31.0 \%$ of the other patients. A similar relationship was noted in the treated patients (Table 9). The percent effectiveness of treatment was essentially the same in the two racial groups.

## Side Effects

In the treated group of patients dosage adjustments frequently were required because of hypotensive and other symptoms. A complete analysis of these and other side effects
will be made in a subsequent communication. The two patients lost to protocol because of drug toxicity have been described above. In addition, in the present report only those side effects requiring removal of cither reserpine or hydrochlorothiazide from the treatment reginien will be considered.

Administration of either reserpine or hydrochlorothiazide or their placebos was withdrawn because of side effect in 29 patients. Reserpine and hydrochlorothiazide were administered combined in a single tablet. In order to avoid losses to protocol because of side effects presumably caused by one or the other of the two agents, provision was made to permit substitution of a tablet which contained either reserpine or hydrochlorothiazide alone and omitted the offending medication. These special tablets were made available on request of a participating physician. Similarappearing placebo tablets were made available for the control patients and the physician did not know whether the subsitution represented active drugs or placebos.

In the majority of the 29 patients substitution of the special tablet was necessitated by presumed res-erpine-induced side effects. Mental depression occurred in 12 patients. However, only seven of these patients had been receiving active drugs while the remaining five had been randomly selected to receive placebos. Ten patients developed peptic ulcer of which six had been taking active drugs and four placebos. In two patients substitution was made because of impotence; one of these two had been randomly selected to receive the placebo regimen. The remaining six patients all were receiving active treatment. Their side effects included sleepiness, severe nasal stuffiness, gout, seizures presumably caused by hypotension, and abnormal results from the glucose tolerance test.

## Comment

The efiectiveness of treatment was clearly demonstrated in the patients with prerandomization systolic blood pressures above 164 or diastolic pressure above 104 mm Hg . The difference in the incidence of morbid events between control and treated patients was less clear cut in the patients with blood pressures below these levels. This may be due to the fact that organic complications appear slowly in mild hypertension as indicated by the considerably lower incidence of such events in patients with blood pressures below $165 / 105 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hig}$.
As would be expected, a greater incidence of organic complications occurred in the older than in the younger patients. Of considerable importance is the observation that treatment was found to be effective in reducing the number of such complications in these older patients. Although the indicated efiectiveness of treatment was essentially the same in patients above and below age 50 years, the results were not as convincing in the younger group because of the low incidence of morbid events in both the control and treated patients. It should be mentioned, however, that in the group of 20 control patients, not counted, as having morbid events but who were removed from the study because of persistent elevation of diastolic blood pressure greater than 124 mm Hg , 14 so removed were below 50 years of age.

Treatment was most effective in preventing hyperiensive complications and least effective in preventing atherosclerotic complications, particularly those associated with coronary artery disease. Complications such as congestive heart failure, renal damage, cerebrovascular hemorrhage, and accelcrated hypertension occurred only in the control group. On the other hand, the incidence of complications associated
with coronary artery disease was essentially the same in the control and treated patients.

Because of the gradual progression of atherosclerosis, the negative result with regard to prevention of myocardial infarction and sudden death cannot be taken as evidence that treatment is ineffective. Continuation of the present study was not justified because of the favorable evidence with regard to prevention of hypertensive complications. If follow-up had been longer, and if administration of antihypertensive drugs had been started at an earlier age, a significant difference might have been demonstrated. The average age of the patients was 51 years and hypertension could have been present for many years prior to randomization. Atherosclerosis of the coronary arteries, therefore, may have been well established at the time of entrance into the study. Further trials are needed in a more selected population to determine whether antihypertensive treatment helps prevent coronary artery disease.
It is of interest to compare the results of the present.series of patients whose initial diastolic blood pressures averaged 90 through 114 mm Hg with the results previously reported in the patients whose diastolic blood pressures at the beginning of siudy averaged 115 through $129 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}^{1}{ }^{1}$ The bencfit of treatment was quickly manifested in the latter series. Thirly-eight percent of the control patients in that series developed assessable events over an average period of only 15.7 months of postrandomization follow-up, whereas such events occurred in only $3 \%$ of the treated patients. A considerably longer period of fol-low-up was required to demonstrate a significant benefit of treatment in the presently reported series of patients with lower levels of diastolic blood pressure.
The distribution as to type of
events also was different in the two groups of patients divided according to level of initial diastolic blood pressure. In the control patients with initial diastolic levels of 115 through 129 mm Hg accelerated hypertension with hypertensive neuroretinopathy was the most frequent complication. In the present series cerebrovascular disease, congestive heart failure, and coronary artery disease were the most irequent morbid events occurring in the control group. Of the four control patients who died in the previously reported series of patients with high diastolic pressures, thrce deaths were caused by dissecting or ruptured aortic aneurysm whereas the most common causes of death in the series of patients with lower diastolic pressures were strokes, myocardial infarcts, and sudden death.
It should be emphasized that the present study dealt with a selected population. Many uncooperative and unreliable patients were identified and eliminated from the trial on the basis of pill counts, urine fluorescence test results, and irregularity of clinic attendance during a prerandomization observation period. Treatment obviously would not have been as effective in a group of patients less carefully selected with regard to their desire to cooperate. The population was further limited in that it excluded female patients and patients with labile hypertension whose diastolic blood pressures averaged lower than 90 mm Hg during the fourth throush the sixth day of hospitalization. Finally, the incidence of morbid events in the group below age 50 was relatively low. Further studies are needed to evaluate the effectiveness of treatment in labile hypertension and in the prevention of atherosclerotic complications, particularly coronary artery disease. Such studies would seem to require larger numbers of younger patients
who can be followed up for long periods of time.

Within the limits defined by this study, however, the present results leave little doubt that antihypertensive drug treatment is beneficial. The present results together with those previously reported in patients with initial diastolic blood pressures of 115 through 129 mm $\mathrm{Hg}^{1}$ indicate clearly that the higher the level of blood pressure the greater the degree of benefit of such therapy. Certain complications such as congestive heart failure, hypertensive neuroretinopathy, ${ }^{1}$ strokes, and renal deterioration were reduced or essentially eliminated in the treated patients. In addition, treatment prevented elevation of diastolic blood pressure to levels where the risk of developing hypertensive complications is greatly increased. The effectiveness of the treatment in preventing such progression is indicated by the fact that while persistent elevation of diastolic blood pressure exceeding 124 mm Hg occurred in approximately $10 \%$ of the control patients, they were completely absent in the treated group.

## Participants

Permanent Members of the Study Group: Massimo Calabresi. MID; C. Hilmon Castle, MD; Leo Elson, MD; Edward D. Freis, MD, Chairman; Rudolph E. Fremont, MD; Michael A. Harris, MD; David Littman, MD; Eli A. Ramirez, MD; and J. R. Thomas, MD.
Other Members: Luis A. Atias, MD; Mark L. Armstrong, MD; Alston W. Blount, MD; Thomas A. Bruce, MD; Ovid B. Bush, Jr., MD, deceased; Eugene C. Clark, MD; Annette Fitz, MD, R. M. Freeman, MD; Edward D. Frohlich,. MD; Arthur Gear. MID; John D. Kyriacopoulos, MD; Alan F. Iyon, MiD; Gloria D. Massaro, MD; Donald MicCaughan, MD; Jean Morgan, MD; Henry W. Overbeck. MD: Eliseo C. Percz-Stable, MD; Mitchell Perry, MD; Roger Sutton, MD; and James Taruchi, MD.
Participating Veterans Administration Hospitals: Allen Park, Michigan; Birmingham, Ala; Brooklyn, NY: Dayton, Ohio; Iowa City; Jackson, Miss; Memphis; Nashville, Tenn; Oklahoma City; Iittsburgh; Richmond, Va; Salt Lake City; St. Louis; San Juan, IRR; Washington, DC; West

Haven, Comn: and West Roxbury, Mass. Biostatisticians: Russell IB. Tewksbury, S.D, and Lawrence Wh. Shaw.

Central Ofice Coordinator: Farold W. Schmaper, MI.
Consultants: Jacques Genest, MD: Ray W. Gifford. Jr., MD; Waher M. Kirkendall. MID; Louis Lasagna, MD; David W. Richardson, MD; and Robert W. Wilkins, MD.

The special medications used in this investigation were prepared by William E. Wagner, MD, of Ciba Mharmaceutical Co., Summit, NJ.
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## Assessable Events

Abbreviated definitions of terminating events (class A and treatment failures) and nonterminating (class B) events.

## Class A Events

1. Striate hemorrhages in more than one retinal quadrant or cotton wool exudates or papilledema.
2. Cerebral or subarachnoid hemorrhage.
3. Dissecting aortic aneurysm.
4. Inability to control congestive heart failure without using antihypertensive agents.
5. Elevation of blood urea nitrogen level (BUN) by more than $50 \%$ of previous level and exceeding $59 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{cc}$.
6. Acute hypertensive encephalopathy requiring hospitalization.

## Treatment Failures

1. Diastolic blood pressurc exceeding 124 mm Hg on each of three successive visits and persisting for three weeks or longer.
2. Assessable organic complications not fulfilling criteria for clansis a cuents but of sufficient severity to require discontinuation of protocol regimen.

## Class E Events

## Cardiac

1. Myocardial infarction documented by characterisiic electrocardiogram or serum enzyme changes.
2. Congestive heart failure controilable by routine therapy other than antihypertensive agents including digitalis, restricted activity, low salt diet, and intermitient diuretics.
3. Atrial fibrillation or flutter or ventricular tachycardia without evidence of quinidine or digitalis intoxication.
4. Heart-block such as bundlebranch block, second or third degree heart-block or first degree heart-block with $P-R$ interval of 0.25 seconds or more.
5. Left ventricular enlargement by ECG or roentgenogram.
6. Pulmonary embolism or infarc. tion.

## Central Nervous System

1. Cerebrovascular thrombosis or embolism.
2. Transient ischemic attacks with objective neurological changes during the attack.
Aorta
3. Arteriosclerotic aneurysm.

## Renal

1. Doubling of BUN (but to below $60 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{cc}$ ) or creatinine levels to values above normal limits not due to primary renal disease.
2. Proteinuria ( $2+$ or more in three or more specimens) in absence of congestive heart failure, primary renal disease, or lower urinary tract disease.
3. Persistent hematuria ( $>5$ ce!!s per high power field centriiuged sediment) not due to primary renal or lower urinary tract diseases.
