#### September 11, 2008

## **PROPOSED**

# Oregon Confined Animal Feeding Operation National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System General Permit #01 Renewal Evaluation Report and Fact Sheet

### Prepared by:

Wym Matthews, Oregon Department of Agriculture (503) 986-4792 Ranei Nomura, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (541) 686-7799 For information, please contact ODA or DEQ staff

# Proposed Oregon CAFO NPDES General Permit #01 Renewal **Evaluation Report and Fact Sheet September 11, 2008**

## **Table of Contents**

<u>1.</u>	<u>Ove</u>	rview	1
	1.1	Proposed Permit Action.	1
	1.2	Who Needs Permit Coverage?	1
	1.3	Authority for General Permit	1
	<u>1.4</u>	When is an Individual Permit Necessary?	2
	<u>1.5</u>	Permitting Options in Designated Groundwater Management Areas	2
<u>2.</u>	<u>Feb</u>	ruary 2005 U.S. Second Circuit Court decision on EPA Regulations	4
	<u>2.1</u>	<u>Overview</u>	4
	<u>2.2</u>	"Duty to Apply" Invalid	4
	2.3	Oregon Response to Invalidation of "Duty to Apply"	4
	<u>2.4</u>	Animal Waste Management Plans: Public Notice and Enforceable Effluent Limitation	5
<u>3.</u>	<b>CAI</b>	O Activities and Pollutants	5
	<u>3.1</u>	Regulated Activities	5
	<u>3.2</u>	CAFO Pollutants	5
	<u>3.3</u>	Estimate of CAFO Wastes	6
<u>4.</u>	App	licability of Antidegradation Policy and TMDLs	6
	<u>4.1</u>	Antidegradation policy review	6
	<u>4.2</u>	Antidegradation policy: Special policies and guidelines (OAR 340-041-0350)	6
	<u>4.3</u>	Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)	6
<u>5.</u>	<u>App</u>	lication Process	7
	<u>5.1</u>	Renewal Application	7
	<u>5.2</u>	New Applications: Application to Register (ATR)	7
	<u>5.3</u>	ODA Notice of Permit Registration	7
<u>6.</u>	Disc	ussion of Proposed Permit Renewal	8
	<u>6.1</u>	Permit Outline	8
	<u>6.2</u>	Summary of Changes to 2003 General Permit	8
	<u>6.3</u>	<u>Definitions</u>	12
	<u>6.4</u>	Special Conditions: S1. Permit Coverage	13
	<u>6.5</u>	Special Conditions: S2. Discharge Limitations and Prohibitions	16
	<u>6.6</u>	Special Conditions: S3. Animal Waste Management Plan	20
	<u>6.7</u>	Special Conditions: S4. Monitoring, Inspection, Recordkeeping, and Reporting	
		Requirements	
	<u>6.8</u>	General Conditions	26
<u>7.</u>		lic Comment Period and Response to Comments	
	<u>7.1</u>	Public Comment Period.	
	<u>7.2</u>	Public Hearings	
	<u>7.3</u>	Response to Comments	27

# Proposed Oregon CAFO NPDES General Permit #01 Renewal **Evaluation Report and Fact Sheet September 11, 2008**

# **List of Tables**

Table 1: CAFOs Requiring State Permit Coverage	3
Table 2: Summary of Proposed Changes	
Table 3: Public Notice and Participation Requirement	

# **Proposed**

# Oregon CAFO NPDES General Permit #01 Renewal Evaluation Report and Fact Sheet September 11, 2008

#### 1. Overview

#### 1.1 Proposed Permit Action

The Oregon Department of Agriculture (ODA) and Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) are proposing to renew National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) General Permit #01 for confined animal feeding operations (CAFOs) in Oregon; this permit was initially adopted on August 15, 2003 and expired on July 31, 2008.

This renewal affects 581 CAFOs that are currently registered to this permit, future CAFOs desiring general permit coverage, and interested parties potentially affected by CAFO operations.

#### 1.2 Who Needs Permit Coverage?

Owners or operators of CAFOs described in Table 1, p. 3, are required to obtain permit coverage. Permit coverage is required by Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) 468B.050(1)(d) to prevent contamination of surface water and groundwater from improper collection, storage, and disposal of CAFO wastes. Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) §122.1(b)(2)(i) also requires NPDES permits for CAFOs defined as "concentrated" that discharge or propose to discharge pollutants to waters of the U.S.

While the type of CAFOs requiring permit coverage has not changed from the 2003 general permit, the proposed renewal was revised to clarify and provide more detail on the types of CAFOs that need permit coverage. See section 6.4, p. 13, for more detail.

#### 1.3 Authority for General Permit

DEQ and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulations [Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR) 340-045-0033(2) and 40 CFR §122.28, respectively] authorize the issuance or renewal of "general" permits when the following conditions are met:

- There are several minor sources of activities that involve the same or substantially similar types of operations.
- The sources or activities have the potential to discharge or dispose of the same or similar types
  of waste.
- The general permit requires the same or similar monitoring requirements, effluent limitations, and operating conditions for the categories.
- The category of sources or activities would be more appropriately controlled under a general permit than an individual permit.

The use of a general permit for regulating Oregon CAFOs is appropriate because the waste characteristics from different CAFOs are substantially similar. In addition, the effluent limitation guidelines, best management practices, and other requirements for CAFOs covered by this general permit are similar as well.

#### 1.4 When is an Individual Permit Necessary?

Most CAFOs in Oregon will be sufficiently regulated under this general permit; however, the ODA or DEQ director may decide that a particular operation must be covered by an individual permit or any owner or operator of a CAFO required to obtain coverage under this general NPDES permit may request issuance of an individual permit. Pursuant to OAR 340-045-0033(10), situations where an individual permit may be required include:

- The discharge or activity is a significant contributor of pollution or creates other environmental problems;
- The operator is not in compliance with the terms and conditions of the general permit, submitted false information, or is in violation of any applicable law;
- A change occurs in the availability of demonstrated technology or practices for the control or abatement of pollutants being discharged;
- New effluent limitation guidelines are promulgated for point sources covered by this general permit and the guidelines are not already in the permit; or
- Circumstances have changed so that the discharge or activity is no longer appropriately controlled under a general permit, or either a temporary or permanent reduction or elimination of the authorized discharge is necessary.

### 1.5 Permitting Options in Designated Groundwater Management Areas

Permitting options for CAFOs in groundwater management areas will be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. ODA expects that a majority of these operations will be adequately regulated by the general permit. In situations where a CAFO might affect groundwater quality, additional monitoring requirements may be required under the general permit or an individual permit may be required.

**Table 1: CAFOs Requiring State Permit Coverage** 

State Small Confined	State Medium	Small	Medium	
	Confined	Concentrated	Concentrated	Large <i>Concentrated</i>
				(see 40 CFR
		`	,	§122.23)
		§122.23)	§122.23)	§122.23)
• Confines for more than 4 months on prepared surface • Waste water control facility or disposal system for wet wastes	• Confines for more than 4 months on prepared surface • Waste water control facility or disposal system for wet or dry wastes	Stabled or confined and fed or maintained for total of 45 days or more in any 12-month period     Crops, vegetation, forage growth, or post-harvest residues not sustained in normal growing season in lot or facility     Pollutants discharged to surface waters     Designated by the Director.	Stabled or confined and fed or maintained for total of 45 days or more in any 12-month period     Crops, vegetation, forage growth, or post-harvest residues not sustained in normal growing season in lot or facility     Pollutants discharged to surface waters	Stabled or confined and fed or maintained for total of 45 days or more in any 12-month period     Crops, vegetation, forage growth, or post-harvest residues not sustained in normal growing season in lot or facility
<200	200-699	<200	200-699	≥700
< 300	300-999	<300	300-999	≥1,000
< 300	300-999	<300	300-999	≥1,000
<750	750-2,499	<750	750-2,499	≥2,500
<3,000	3,000-9,999	<3,000	3,000-9,999	≥10,000
<150	150-499	<150	150-499	≥500
<3,000	3,000-9,999	<3,000	3,000-9,999	≥10,000
<16,500	16,500-54,999	<16,500	16,500-54,999	≥55,000
<9,000	9,000-29,999	<9,000	9,000-29,999	≥30,000
<37,500	37,500-124,999	<37,500	37,500-124,999	≥125,000
	more than 4 months on prepared surface  • Waste water control facility or disposal system for wet wastes   <200 <300 <300 <750 <3,000 <150 <3,000 <16,500 <9,000 <37,500	definitions         #17)           • Confines for more than 4 months on prepared surface         • Confines for more than 4 months on prepared surface           • Waste water control facility or disposal system for wet wastes         • Waste water control facility or disposal system for wet or dry wastes           <200	definitions #18)         definitions #17)         \$122.23)           • Confines for more than 4 months on prepared surface         • Confines for more than 4 months on prepared surface         • Stabled or confined and fed or maintained for total of 45 days or more in any 12-month period         • Crops, vegetation, forage growth, or post-harvest residues not sustained in normal growing season in lot or facility         • Pollutants discharged to surface waters         • Designated by the Director           <200	definitions

Whether milked or dry.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Other than mature dairy cows or veal calves; cattle includes but is not limited to heifers, steers, bulls and cow/calf pairs.
<sup>3</sup> Other than laying hens.

# 2. February 2005 U.S. Second Circuit Court decision on EPA Regulations

#### 2.1 Overview

In February 2003, EPA revised federal regulations for animal feeding operations. Industry organizations and environmental groups responded by filing petitions for judicial review of certain aspects of the 2003 rule. The case was brought before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit and on February 25, 2005 the court ruled on the petitions in *Waterkeeper Alliance et al. v. EPA*, 399 F.3d 486 (2<sup>nd</sup> Cir. 2005). The court's decision made several key changes to the NPDES permit program for animal feeding operations. The changes most relevant to implementation of Oregon's CAFO program are discussed below; other changes are discussed in the remainder of this document.

#### 2.2 "Duty to Apply" Invalid

Previously, EPA required all animal feeding operations defined as "concentrated" (see Table 1, p. 3 for more detail) to apply for NPDES permit or demonstrate that they have no potential to discharge to waters of the U.S. (primarily surface waters). This was commonly known as the "duty to apply" provision of the federal rules. The court found that EPA exceeded its statutory authority with this approach and only actual or proposed discharges to waters of the U.S. are subject to NPDES permitting requirements. To address the court's decision, EPA proposed a change to federal regulation in June 2006 (71 Federal Register 37744, June 30, 2006) to remove the "duty to apply" provision; the regulations are scheduled to be finalized in late 2008.

#### 2.3 Oregon Response to Invalidation of "Duty to Apply"

The court's invalidation of EPA's "duty to apply" provision did not have a big impact on Oregon's program because state statutory authority to regulate animal feeding operations is broader than the authority given to EPA by the Clean Water Act (CWA). Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) 468B.050 and 468B.215 allow ODA and DEQ to regulate animal feeding operations smaller than the federal animal number thresholds and provide protection for groundwater as well as surface water. In addition, the state permitting requirement for animal feeding operations is not contingent on an actual discharge to surface waters, rather a permit is required based on how long animals are confined and whether waste water control facilities are present at the operation.

In Oregon, ODA and DEQ regulate a majority of CAFOs with the NPDES CAFO General Permit #01 even though some CAFOs are not required by federal regulation to obtain an NPDES permit. This approach was developed in 2003 for the following reasons:

- The general permit approach is administratively more efficient for ODA and DEQ to implement. Increased efficiency allows ODA to focus on technical assistance, inspection, and enforcement rather than developing individual permits.
- A permit holder who is in compliance with the terms of the general permit is in compliance for purposes of enforcement with the CWA [Sections 301,302, 306, 307, 318, 403 and 405(a) (b)] and ORS 468B.030, 468B.035, and 468B.048, and is subject to enforcement actions by ODA, DEQ, or citizens only upon noncompliance with permit conditions.
- The option to apply for an individual NPDES or Water Pollution Control Facilities (WPCF) permit is still available to owners or operators of a regulated CAFO who do not want to register under the general permit.

The court decision did cause some confusion over when an Oregon CAFO meets the federal definition of a "large, medium, or small concentrated animal feeding operation" and how ODA and DEQ would characterize different operations. As a result, the general permit was revised to

Proposed Oregon CAFO NPDES General Permit #01 Evaluation Report and Fact Sheet September 11, 2008; p. 5 of 27

provide more detail on the types of CAFOs that need permit coverage in Oregon. No change was made to the universe of CAFOs needing permit coverage. See section 6.4, p. 13, for more information on this revision.

# 2.4 Animal Waste Management Plans: Public Notice and Enforceable Effluent Limitation

The court also determined that nutrient management plans (animal waste management plans or AWMPs in Oregon) must be made available to the public for review. As a result, ODA and DEQ are proposing a public participation process prior to granting general permit coverage. For more information on public participation, see section 6.4, p. 15.

Additionally, the court determined that that AWMPs must be made part of the permit and enforceable as an "effluent limitation." However, there are no changes necessary to the Oregon NPDES CAFO general permit to respond to this decision because Oregon's general permit has always required the permittee to comply with all terms and conditions of its approved AWMP and specified that failure to comply with its AWMP is a violation of the permit (see condition S3.A.2 of the permit). For more information on the AWMP requirement, see section 6.6, p. 20.

#### 3. CAFO Activities and Pollutants

#### 3.1 Regulated Activities

The general permit continues to regulate manure, litter, and process waste water from CAFO activities, which include the confinement of animals for meat, milk, or egg production and the stabling of animals in pens, barns, or houses where the animals are fed or maintained at the place of confinement. Generally, animals are congregated in confined areas along with their feed and manure, and feed is brought to the animals rather than the animals grazing or otherwise seeking feed in pastures. Process waste water and wastes may include water from:

- Spillage or overflow from animal watering systems that comes into contact with manure, litter or bedding;
- Washing, cleaning, or flushing of pens, barns, manure pits, or other CAFO facilities;
- Direct contact washing or spray cooling of animals;
- Dust control:
- Washing and cleaning of the milking system, and waste milk; or
- Any water that comes into contact with raw materials, products, or byproducts including manure, litter, feed, milk, or bedding.

#### 3.2 CAFO Pollutants

The most common pollutants in the process wastes and waste water include biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), total suspended solids (TSS), nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorous compounds), and bacteria. BOD demand depletes dissolved oxygen in water, using the oxygen that would normally be needed to support aquatic life. Nutrients also exert a demand for oxygen by increasing algae and aquatic plant growth and, when the algae and plants decompose, dissolved oxygen levels will decrease. The lowering of dissolved oxygen levels in streams and lakes can cause fish kills. Other forms of nitrogen can also have negative effects: ammonia at high levels in surface water can be toxic to fish, and high nitrate levels in drinking water can be toxic to humans. Bacteria, viruses, and parasites found in animal waste can increase the risk of waterborne diseases. Fecal coliform and *E. coli* bacteria are commonly used as biological indicators to assess whether there is a threat to public health or beneficial uses of a water body (i.e., recreational, industrial, domestic drinking water supply, or agricultural uses).

#### 3.3 Estimate of CAFO Wastes

Currently, 581 animal facilities are registered to CAFO General Permit #01, which include 316 dairy, 41 poultry, 162 beef and dairy heifer, and 62 other operations. ODA estimates that these CAFOs generate 10 million tons of waste on a yearly basis.

# 4. Applicability of Antidegradation Policy and TMDLs

#### 4.1 Antidegradation policy review

The antidegradation policy in OAR 340-041-0004 requires that degradation of existing water quality be prevented unless necessary for economic and social benefit. DEQ has determined that renewal of the NPDES CAFO general permit is consistent with the antidegradation policy and will not degrade existing water quality because: 1) as a renewal of an existing general permit, it is not considered a new or increased discharge load; 2) the permit prohibits discharge in most cases and, when discharges are allowed, they must not cause or contribute to a violation of state water quality standards, and 3) there is no on-going discharge.

#### 4.2 Antidegradation policy: Special policies and guidelines (OAR 340-041-0350)

To preserve or improve the existing high quality water for municipal water supplies, recreation and preservation of aquatic life in the Clackamas River, McKenzie River (above Hayden Bridge) and North Santiam River subbasins, OAR 340-041-0350 *The Three Basin Rule* prohibits new or increased waste discharges in these subbasins. As discussed in the previous section, the proposed renewal maintains the existing permit's discharge limitations and prohibitions. OAR 340-041-0350(5) allows renewal of permits within these three basins provided there is no increase in discharge load. Since the proposed permit requires that wastes be irrigated on land at agronomic rates and discharge is essentially prohibited, there will be no environmentally significant increase in discharge load. New CAFOs also will be allowed to register under the proposed general permit provided that their waste loads are irrigated on land at agronomic rates. Irrigation on land at agronomic rates is not considered an increase in wasteload pursuant to OAR 340-041-0350(5)(c).

#### 4.3 Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs)

OAR 340-045-0035(3) requires DEQ to explain: 1) if this proposed permit renewal will allow the discharge of pollutants that could affect parameters for which a water body may be water quality limited under Section 303(d)(1) of the Clean Water Act, and 2) if the permit does allow such discharges, how DEQ can allow it. As explained below, the proposed permit does not allow such discharge.

The CAFOs covered by the proposed permit renewal have the potential to discharge to a variety of pollutants (see Section 3.2, p. 5) to receiving streams throughout the state. Many of these streams are listed as water quality limited for dissolved oxygen, temperature, and bacteria. However, the proposed renewal continues to prohibit any discharge that will cause or contribute to the violation of water quality standards and only allows discharges in the event of a 25-year, 24-hour or larger rainfall event. In addition, discharges may only occur from a facility if that facility has been properly, designed, constructed, operated, and maintained to contain all process-generated waste water plus the runoff from a 25-year, 24-hour rainfall event. ODA and DEQ do not expect water bodies to fail to meet water quality standards as a result of CAFO discharges during large rainfall events because of high flows in the receiving water body and the diluted nature of discharges should they occur. Discharges are also not expected during summer months when water bodies are typically limited for dissolved oxygen, temperature, and bacteria because of fewer rain events.

Proposed Oregon CAFO NPDES General Permit #01 Evaluation Report and Fact Sheet September 11, 2008; p. 7 of 27

As provided in the 2003 general permit and maintained in the proposed renewal, permit coverage could be terminated if TMDLs are established and a CAFO's discharge during large rainfall events is determined to be a contributor to a stream that is water quality limited. In these situations, an individual permit or different general permit may be required that would include waste load allocations.

### 5. Application Process

#### 5.1 Renewal Application

ODA provided renewal applications to existing permittees in late June 2008; renewal applications were due on July 31, 2008. ODA and DEQ administratively extended permit coverage for permittees with properly completed applications submitted before the July 31, 2008 expiration date of the 2003 general permit. Permittees with administratively extended permit coverage may continue to operate under the existing permit until they receive notification from ODA that they have been registered to the renewed permit. Permittees that do not meet the deadline or do not submit a complete application will need to submit an *Application to Register (ATR)* discussed below and are subject to enforcement action if they operate without a permit.

#### 5.2 New Applications: Application to Register (ATR)

ODA will provide the ATR form to new applicants. Applicants must certify that all of the information provided in the ATR is true, accurate, and complete. Applicants must provide the following information:

- (a) Name and address of applicant and name of owner, if different.
- (b) Information about the corporate structure of the applicant and owner.
- (c) Facility information, including name, address, and latitude and longitude of production area or entrance to production area.
- (d) Identity of receiving streams.
- (e) A topographic map of the geographic area in which the CAFO is located showing the specific location of the production area.
- (f) Specific information about the number and type of animals, whether in open confinement and/or housed under roof (e.g., beef cattle, broilers, layers, swine weighing 55 pounds or more, swine weighing less than 55 pounds, mature dairy cows, dairy heifers, veal calves, sheep and lambs, horses, ducks, turkeys, other).
- (g) The type of containment and storage (e.g., anaerobic lagoon, roofed storage shed, storage ponds, underfloor pits, above ground storage tanks, below ground storage tanks, concrete pad, impervious soil pad, other) and total capacity for manure, litter, and process waste water storage (tons/gallons).
- (h) The total number of acres under control of the applicant available for land application of manure, litter, or process waste water.
- (i) Estimated amount of manure, litter, and process waste water generated per year.
- (j) Estimated amount of manure, litter, and process waste water transferred to other persons per year (tons/gallons).
- (k) Animal waste management plan.

#### 5.3 ODA Notice of Permit Registration

When the general permit is renewed, a *Notice of Registration, Oregon CAFO General Permit Summary*, and copy of the renewed general permit will be issued to permittees that submitted a complete renewal application. The permittees will also be sent the *CAFO General Permit Summary*, which provides a summary of permit terms and permit conditions to be used as a quick

reference guide. This same process will be used for new applicants. The *Notice of Registration* will contain the following:

- CAFO name, address, and contact information.
- Effective date of registration.
- Maximum number of animals the operation is permitted to allow at the facility based on the information provided in the ATR or renewal application.
- Regulatory status of the CAFO (see section 5.4, S1.A.3(f), p. 14, for more information).

### 6. Discussion of Proposed Permit Renewal

#### 6.1 Permit Outline

The proposed renewal of the NPDES CAFO general permit is organized as follows:

- Face page (identifies the type of permit, statutory authority for permit issuance, issuance date, and expiration date)
- Table of contents
- Definitions
- Special Conditions 1 (S1): Permit Coverage
- Special Conditions 2 (S2): Discharge Limitations and Operating Requirements
- Special Conditions 3 (S3): Animal Waste Management Plans
- Special Conditions 4 (S4): Monitoring, Inspection, Recordkeeping, and Reporting Requirements
- General Conditions

Note: The special conditions are unique to this general permit, whereas the general conditions are required in all NPDES permits.

#### 6.2 Summary of Changes to 2003 General Permit

Table 2 provides a summary of proposed changes to the 2003 general permit.

**Table 2: Summary of Proposed Changes** 

ISSUE	EXISTING PERMIT	PROPOSED PERMIT	FOR MORE INFO.	
Definitions	Detailed and at end of S1. Permit Coverage.	Definitions moved to its own section at front of permit. References replace detail when applicable. Added definition of frozen soil, saturated soil, and state small and medium confined animal feeding operations. Removed definitions that are no longer applicable.	Section 6.3, p. 12	
S1. PERMIT CO	S1. PERMIT COVERAGE			
When is a Permit Required?		Insertion of table to clarify when a permit is required.	Section 6.4, p. 13, S1.A When is a Permit Required	

ISSUE	EXISTING PERMIT	PROPOSED PERMIT	FOR MORE INFO.
Schedule to Obtain Permit Coverage	Schedules for the following:  1. All newly constructed CAFOs  2. Existing CAFOs that met the previous definition of concentrated AFOs  3. Existing CAFOs newly defined as concentrated AFOs as of April 14, 2003  4. Existing CAFOs that become defined as concentrated AFOs after April 14, 2003  5. All other existing CAFOs that are not concentrated AFOs  6. AFOs designated by the director	Simplified:  1. Proposed facilities or electing coverage: ATR & AWMP due 180 days before commencing operation  2. Renewals: Renewal application due according to date specified by ODA but no later than expiration date of permit.	Section 6.4, p. 13, S1.C Requirements and Schedule to Obtain Permit Coverage
Explanation of need to request confidentiality	Not included	Included because of new public notice provision, see below.	Section 6.4, p. 15, S1.G Request for Confidentiality
Public participation (public notice and hearing opportunity)	Issuance of general permit was noticed and hearings were held.     Individual registrations to general permit were not noticed.	<ol> <li>Per usual, renewal of general permit will be noticed and hearings provided.</li> <li>In addition, to comply with 2005 2<sup>nd</sup> Circuit Court decisions, individual ATRs and AWMPs must be noticed. Permit proposes:         <ul> <li>Public notice of new registrations (detailed information provided) through newspaper, website, and email.</li> <li>Expedited public notice (facility name and county provided) through email and website.</li> <li>Opportunity for public hearing.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	Section 6.4, p. 15, S1.H Public Notice and Participation Requirement
S2. DISCHARG	E LIMITATIONS AND OPERATI	<u> </u>	
New source swine, poultry, and veal <i>large</i> <i>concentrated</i> <i>AFO</i> limitation		Removed reference to 100 year, 24-hr rainfall event as a result of 2 <sup>nd</sup> Circuit Court decision ( <i>Waterkeeper Alliance et al. v. EPA</i> , 399 F.3d 486 (2 <sup>nd</sup> Cir. 2005).	Section 6.5, p. 17, S2.B Production Area Limitations

ISSUE	EXISTING PERMIT	PROPOSED PERMIT	FOR MORE INFO.
Applications to frozen soil		New requirement to include frozen soil application procedures in AWMP	Section 6.5, p. 18, S2.C Land Application Limitations
Applications to saturated soils		Clarification that in some cases an application to saturated soils may be a desired alternative to allowing waste storage facilities to overflow directly to surface waters. In these situations, the application is considered an "upset" and general condition G18 must be followed.	Section 6.5, p. 18, S2.C Land Application Limitations
Setback requirement	Large concentrated animal feeding operation requirement for 100ft setback, 35ft vegetative buffer, or demonstration of alternative.	Setback requirement expanded to all CAFOs, but no size specification for non-large concentrated animal feeding operations.	Section 6.5, p. 20, S2.J Setback Requirement
Manure, litter, or process waste water transfer		Clarification that permittee retains responsibility for manure, litter, or process waste water until transfer or export is completed with the required documentation. Specifies that large concentrated animal feeding operation must have current manure nutrient analysis from the previous 12 months.	Section 6.5, p. 20, S2.K Manure Litter, or Process Waste Water Transfers
S3. ANIMAL W	ASTE MANAGEMENT PLANS		
AWMP implementation and compliance	December 31, 2006 due date for implementation of AWMPs.	December 31, 2006 due date applicable for most CAFOs under existing general permit. Under revised permit, AWMPs from new applicants and revisions to existing AWMPs must be implemented by February 27, 2009. New applicants commencing activities after February 27, 2009 must have ODA-approved AWMP implemented at start-up.	Section 6.6, p. 20, S3.A AWMP Implementation and Compliance

ISSUE	EXISTING PERMIT	PROPOSED PERMIT	FOR MORE INFO.
AWMP elements		More information on land application protocols that need to be detailed added. Compost management plan requirement if applicable under OAR 340-096 included. Added requirements for frozen soil applications and requirement to include procedures for transfer or export of manure, litter, or process waste water.	Section 6.6, p. 21, S3.C AWMP Elements
S4 MONITORIN	NG, INSPECTION, RECORDKEE	PING, AND REPORTING REQUIR	REMENTS
Analytical monitoring requirements		No major changes. Reformatted into a table and additional information added on specific parameter to be analyzed and sample method references provided.	Section 6.7, p. 22, S4.A Monitoring Requirements
Inspection requirements		No major changes. Reformatted into a table and clarification added that equipment used for land application need only be inspected when in use.	Section 6.7, p. 24, S4.B Inspection Requirements
Recordkeeping and reporting requirements		No major changes. Reformatted into a table and deleted requirement to maintain animal mortality management and practices because it is required in AWMP.	Section 6.7, p. 24, S4.C Recordkeeping and Availability Requirements
Annual report		Added requirements to report number of animals by type averaged over the year and estimate of total manure, bedding, litter, process waste water, and other material that comes into contact with manure. Certification statement requirement that information is true, accurate, and complete also added.	Section 6.7, p. 25, S4.D Reporting Requirements

ISSUE	EXISTING PERMIT	PROPOSED PERMIT	FOR MORE INFO.
Additional monitoring		Added statement to notify permittees that if they have two or more discharges within a 24 month period that are not associated with a 25-year, 24-hour or greater rainfall event, ODA may require surface water and/or groundwater quality monitoring or transfer the permittee to an individual permit.	Section 6.7, p. 26, S4.E Additional Monitoring
GENERAL CON	NDITIONS		
General conditions	Some federal requirements only referenced.	Provided federal requirements in detail. Eliminated of state requirements if duplicated in federal requirements.	Section 6.8 General Conditions, p. 26

#### 6.3 Definitions

The definitions section was moved to the front of the general permit and into its own section to make it easier to use. In addition, several definitions were shortened by only providing a reference to the applicable state or federal citation where the definition was originally developed. This was done to shorten the document as well as to clarify that the definitions in ORS, OAR, and CFR are binding.

In addition, the following changes were made to the definitions:

- A. "Animal feeding operation" and "concentrated animal feeding operation" were deleted from the definitions because they are cited and explained further in condition S1.a of the revised permit.
- B. Definition for "saturated soil" was added because it was previously omitted:
- "Saturated soil" means soil with all available pore space filled that has reached its maximum retentive capacity as defined in "Qualitative Description of Soil Wetness" (Brady, N. and Weil, R., p. 201).
- C. Three new definitions were added to the permit because they are new terms used in the permit:
  - 1) "Frozen soil" means soil that has a soil temperature of  $32^{\circ}F$  (or  $0^{\circ}C$ ) or less in any 3 continuous inches of the top 12 inches of soil.
  - 2) "State medium confined animal feeding operation" means a confined animal feeding operation that meets the animal numbers specified in any of the following categories:
    - a. 200 to 699 mature dairy cattle, whether milked or dry;
    - b. 300 to 999 veal calves;
    - c. 300 to 999 cattle other than mature dairy cows or veal calves. Cattle includes but is not limited to heifers, steers, bulls and cow/calf pairs;
    - d. 750 to 2,499 swine each weighing 55 pounds or more;
    - e. 3,000 to 9,999 swine each weighing less than 55 pounds;
    - f. 150 to 499 horses;
    - g. 3,000 to 9,999 sheep or lambs;
    - h. 16,500 to 54,999 turkeys;
    - i 9 000 to 29 999 laving hens or broilers if the operation uses waste water control

facilities for wet waste;

- j. 37,500 to 124,999 chickens (other than laying hens), if the operation uses waste water control facilities for wet waste;
- k. 25,000 to 81,999 laying hens if the operation does not use waste water control facilities for wet waste;
- l. 10,000 to 29,999 ducks if the operation does not use waste water control facilities for wet waste: or
- m. 1,500 to 4,999 ducks if the operation uses waste water control facilities for wet waste. A state medium confined animal feeding operation is only required to apply for this permit if it confines for more than 4 months and has a waste water control facility or disposal system for wet or dry wastes.
- 3) "State small confined animal feeding operation" means a confined animal feeding operation with animal numbers below the state medium confined animal feeding operation levels that is not designated as a small concentrated animal feeding operation. A state small confined animal feeding operation is only required to apply for this permit if it confines for more than four (4) months and has a waste water control facility or disposal system for wet wastes.
- D. "100 year, 24 hour rainfall even" was removed because it is no longer referenced in the permit (see the discussion in *New source swine, poultry, and veal large concentrated animal feeding operations*, p. 17, in section 6.5, for more detail).
- E. "Underground water" was removed because the term is not used in the permit.
- F. "Discharge of pollutants" and "manure" were further clarified.

### 6.4 Special Conditions: S1. Permit Coverage

#### S1.A When is a Permit Required?

The intent of this section remains the same as the 2003 general permit, however, the proposed permit was revised to clarify and provide more detail on the types of CAFOs that need permit coverage. The permit now states that any person who owns or operates a *confined animal feeding operation (CAFO)* defined as follows is required to obtain coverage under this permit:

- State small confined animal feeding operation that confines animals for more than four (4) months and has a waste water control facility or disposal system for wet wastes.
- State medium confined animal feeding operation that confines animals for more than four (4) months and has a waste water control facility or disposal system for wet or dry wastes.
- Small, medium, or large concentrated animal feeding operation defined in 40 CFR §122.23

A table similar to Table 1: CAFOs Requiring State Permit Coverage, p. 3, was also inserted into the permit to provided more detail on each of the categories described above. Any person who owns or operates a CAFO as described above is required to obtain coverage under the proposed general permit unless an individual permit is obtained.

#### S1.B Election of Permit Coverage

This permit condition existed in the 2003 general permit and was moved into its own section. It was reworded to clarify that any person who owns or operates a CAFO that is not required to obtain general permit coverage may elect to be covered by the general permit. It also specifies that such person would be subject to the terms and conditions of the permit unless and until permit coverage is terminated.

# S1.C Requirements and Schedule to Obtain Permit Coverage (formerly S1.B Schedule for General Permit Coverage)

This section of the general permit was revised to address renewal applications and remove application schedules that are no longer relevant. No change was made to the application schedule for proposed CAFOs; however, persons electing to be covered by the general permit are now

Proposed Oregon CAFO NPDES General Permit #01 Evaluation Report and Fact Sheet September 11, 2008; p. 14 of 27

required to submit an application at least 180 days before permit coverage is desired. This is an increase from the previous 90 days and better reflects the time needed by ODA to review and approve an AWMP and public notice a new application. The schedule now reads as follows:

#### 1. Application for Proposed CAFO

To obtain permit coverage, any person proposing a new *confined animal feeding operation* that is regulated by this permit or electing to be covered by this permit must submit an ODA *Application to Register* (ATR) and Animal Waste Management Plan (AWMP) at least 180 days prior to the time that the operation commences.

#### 2. Future Renewal of General Permit

To renew permit coverage, the permittee must submit a renewal application by the date specified by ODA but no later than the expiration date of this permit.

In addition, the following two permit conditions were moved into this section from section S1.D (formerly S1.C) to improve the organization of the proposed general permit. Note that condition S1.D.3(f) was expanded (underlined section) from the 2003 general permit to explain how ODA intends to determine the regulatory status of Oregon CAFOs.

- 3. ODA will notify the applicant in writing if permit coverage is approved or denied. Permit coverage does not begin until this written notice is provided by ODA to the applicant. Written notification will include a *Notice of Registration* that will include the following:
  - (a) The owner or operator's name;
  - (b) Facility name and location;
  - (c) Contact information, including mailing address and telephone number;
  - (d) Effective date of permit coverage;
  - (e) Maximum number of animals allowed at the facility; and
  - (f) Regulatory status of the operation. <u>ODA will use the following classifications for regulatory status:</u>
    - (i) Large concentrated AFO as defined in 40 CFR §122.23(b)(4);
    - (ii) Medium concentrated AFO as defined in 40 CFR §122.23(b)(6);
    - (iii) <u>Small concentrated AFO</u> as designated by the director pursuant to OAR 603-074-0012;
    - (iv) State medium confined animal feeding operation as defined in this permit; or
    - (v) State small confined animal feeding operation as defined in this permit.
- 4. Coverage under this permit will be canceled upon the issuance of an individual permit.

#### S1.D What does this Permit Authorize? (formerly S1.C General Permit Coverage)

With the exception of moving the condition of how ODA determines regulatory status discussed above, this section remains unchanged from the previous version. It specifies that the permit

- Authorizes the discharges of only those pollutants resulting from processes, wastes, and operation identified in the AWMP.
- Does not cover activities or discharges otherwise under an individual NPDES of WPCF permit until the individual permit expires or is cancelled and general permit.
- Does not cover disposal of human wastes or systems that mix human wastes.
- Provides that a permittee in compliance with the permit during its term is considered to be in compliance, for purposes of enforcement, with state water quality laws and relevant sections of the CWA except for any toxic effluent standard and prohibitions imposed under section 307 of the CWA and groundwater protection requirements established under OAR 340-040.

#### S1.E Request for Cancellation (formerly S1.D)

This section of the general permit remains mostly unchanged except for a few clarifications. It specifies that the permittee may request in writing that permit be canceled if:

- Conditions or standards have changed so that the source or activity no longer qualifies for general permit coverage; <u>or</u> ("or" was added in the permit condition to indicate that either condition could quality for permit cancellation)
- The facility no longer has animals on site and waste storage facilities have been properly decommissioned according to Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) code 360 (the reference to this code was updated in the permit condition to the January 2006 version); and
- The registrant certifies that it will not commence operations regulated by the general permit at
  the same location without making a new application for registration under the general permit or
  application for an individual permit.

ODA will respond to a written request for cancellation by conducting a site inspection and a review of the permittee's file. ODA will also notify the permittee in writing of its determination to grant or deny the request with an explanation of why the request is denied.

#### S1.F Individual Permit Coverage (formerly S1.E)

Except for renumbering and updating the rule citation, no changes were made to this section of the general permit. This condition specifies that the director may require any person to obtain an individual permit pursuant to OAR 340-045-0033(10).

#### S1.G Request for Confidentiality

This section is new to the general permit. It was added to highlight the requirements in EPA's regulations and Oregon statutes relating to public requests for records relating to registrations under the permit. Under EPA's regulation, the name and address of an applicant or registrant, the application and attachments, and discharge data cannot be kept confidential [40 CFR §122.7(b)and (c)]. Under Oregon law, certain other records, reports or information may be protected as a trade secret under appropriate circumstances if the permit applicant or registrant requests nondisclosure under the procedures set out in the statute [ORS 468.095(2)].

#### S1.H Public Notice and Participation Requirement

As discussed in section 2.4, Animal Waste Management Plans: Public Notice and Enforceable Effluent Limitation, p. 5, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit determined that AWMPs required by NPDES permits must be made available to the public for review. As a result, ODA and DEQ are proposing to provide public notice and participation prior to approving new permit coverage, renewing permit coverage, or approving proposed substantial changes to an AWMP, as detailed in Table 3: Public Notice and Participation Requirement on the following page.

**Table 3: Public Notice and Participation Requirement** 

Permit Actions	Public Participation Process	Contents of Public Notice
(a) Receipt of ATR for existing operation not under permit or new proposed operation	<ul> <li>(i) Public notice of a comment period of at least 35 days provided as follows: <ul> <li>Published in regional newspaper;</li> <li>Posted on ODA and DEQ websites; and</li> <li>Emailed to interested parties list maintained by ODA.</li> </ul> </li> <li>(ii) Opportunity for public hearing.</li> <li>(iii) A written response to relevant comments will be developed by ODA and made available to interested parties.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Name of operation</li> <li>Name of operator or owner if different than operator, mailing address, and telephone number</li> <li>Physical address of operation</li> <li>Type of operation</li> <li>Number of animals proposed</li> <li>Land Use Compatibility Statement (LUCS)</li> <li>Summary of AWMP</li> </ul>
(b) Receipt of renewal application  (c) Receipt of proposed substantial modification	<ul> <li>(i) Public notice of a comment period of at least 35 days provided as follows:</li> <li>Posted on ODA and DEQ websites; and</li> <li>Emailed to interested parties list maintained by ODA.</li> <li>(ii) Opportunity for public hearing.</li> <li>(iii) A written response to relevant comments will be developed by ODA and made available to interested parties.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Name of operation</li> <li>City, county, and zip code</li> <li>Permit registration number</li> <li>Type of operation</li> <li>Action proposed (e.g., renewal or substantial modification)</li> </ul>

Additionally, ODA may batch multiple notices as regionally appropriate. Application and permit documents (e.g., ATR, renewal application, AWMP) will be available for public review at ODA headquarters and appropriate field offices. If available, electronic copies of documents will be provided upon request. Public hearings will be scheduled if written requests for public hearing are received during the comment period from at least ten persons or from an organization or organizations representing at least ten persons. ODA will provide a minimum of 14 days notice of a hearing if one is scheduled and the public notice period will remain open for additional comments for at least 10 days after the public hearing. If a federal regulation is adopted that requires more than 14 days notice of a hearing, then ODA will comply with the federal requirement.

# 6.5 Special Conditions: S2. Discharge Limitations and Prohibitions S2.A Discharge Limitations

The proposed general permit continues to prohibit the discharge of process wastes to surface water or groundwater except as allowed by federal regulation and provided the discharges during the federally-allowed exception events do not cause or contribute to a violation of state water quality standards. There are no changes to this section except for the clarification that process wastes includes manure, litter, and process waste water.

This limitation and the production area limitation discussed below are consistent with OAR 340-051-0020(1), which states that "all confinement areas, manure handling and accumulation areas and disposal areas and facilities must be located, constructed, and operated such that manure, contaminated drainage waters or other wastes do not enter the waters of the state at any time,

Proposed Oregon CAFO NPDES General Permit #01 Evaluation Report and Fact Sheet September 11, 2008; p. 17 of 27

except as may be permitted by the conditions of a specific waste discharge permit issued in accordance with ORS 468B.050."

#### S2.B Production Area Limitations

<u>Confined animal feeding operations</u>, except for new source swine, poultry, and veal *large* <u>concentrated animal feeding operation</u>

The production area limitations for most CAFOs remain unchanged. Except for new source swine, poultry, and veal large concentrated animal feeding operations, CAFOs are prohibited from discharging to surface water except when rainfall events cause an overflow of waste management and storage facilities designed, constructed, operated, and maintained to contain all manure, litter, and process waste water including the runoff and direct precipitation from a 25-year, 24-hour rainfall event (defined by the National Weather Service). This means that if a facility is designed, constructed, operated, and maintained according to these requirements, a discharge from the facility would not automatically be a permit violation provided it does not cause or contribute to an instream violation of state water quality standards. However, if the facility is designed correctly but not properly managed, such a discharge may be considered a permit violation. This means operators must be vigilant in assuring that the waste management system is adequate and operating properly to comply with permit conditions.

The prohibition on discharge except during a 25-year, 24-hour rainfall event was developed by EPA as an "effluent limitation guideline" for *large concentrated animal feeding operations* and is detailed in 40 CFR Part 412. It represents the "best available technology economically achievable" or "BAT" for treatment of process waste water as determined by EPA. In the 2003 general permit, ODA and DEQ expanded this prohibition to all CAFOs regardless of size because ORS 468B.200 requires the "protection of the quality of waters of the state by preventing animal wastes from discharging into waters of the state" and OARs adopted in 1972 have regulated CAFOs to prevent discharges to surface water and groundwater.

In addition, consistent with ORS 468B.200 and OAR 340-051-0020(1), all authorized discharges from the CAFO production area must be properly land applied or otherwise handled in a way that minimizes impacts on surface water or groundwater of the state, and seepage to groundwater from waste storage or animal confinement facilities must not exceed design rates as approved by ODA or violate state groundwater quality protection standards.

New source swine, poultry, and veal large concentrated animal feeding operations.

In 2003, EPA adopted new regulations for "new source swine, poultry, and veal *large concentrated animal feeding operations*." A "new source" is a swine, poultry, and veal *large concentrated animal feeding operations* that commenced discharging after the April 14, 2003 adoption of the new federal regulations. Previously, these types of operations were held to the same standard discussed in the section above: discharge to surface waters was prohibited except when rainfall events caused an overflow of process waste water from a facility designed, constructed, operated, and maintained to contain all process-generated waste water plus the runoff and direct precipitation from a 25-year, 24-hour rainfall event. The new regulation held "new source" swine, poultry, and veal *large concentrated animal feeding operations* to a higher standard: discharge to surface waters is prohibited except when rainfall events cause an overflow from a 100-year, 24-hour rainfall event.

EPA determined that waste water control facilities designed to accommodate the 100-year, 24-hour rainfall event were technologically feasible and would not pose a barrier to entry into the swine, poultry and veal industry. EPA also found that it was common for such operations to construct facilities that keep animals in total confinement (covered housing) that were not exposed to rainfall or storm water runoff. In addition, many new operations are based on manure handling systems

that greatly reduce or eliminate water use, such as hog and poultry high-rise houses, or that contain manure in covered or indoor facilities, such as underhouse pit storage systems and litter storage sheds. New facilities could also choose flush systems with lagoons that are covered or sited and designed to achieve total containment. Consistent with EPA regulation, ODA and DEQ incorporated the 100-year, 24-hour rainfall event exception into the 2003 general permit.

Since the 2003 regulation was adopted, the Second Circuit Court in *Waterkeeper Alliance et al. v. EPA*, 399 F.3d 486 (2<sup>nd</sup> Cir. 2005) directed EPA to clarify the statutory and evidentiary basis for allowing the exception for a 100-year, 24-hour or larger rainfall event. The court noted that substantially preventing discharges is not the same a prohibiting them outright. As a result, EPA proposed on June 30, 2006 (71 Federal Register 37744) to remove the reference to the 100-year rainfall event exception and replace it with a provision that allows the director to establish best management practice (BMP) effluent limitations designed to ensure no discharge. To comply with the court's direction, ODA and DEQ removed the 100-yr rainfall event exception from the general permit. If EPA's proposal to allow the director to develop site-specific BMP effluent limitation is finalized in rule, ODA and DEQ intend to implement the BMP provision through the individual permit process rather than the general permit. The individual permit process would be used because it allows for the site-specific development of effluent limitations.

#### S2.C Land Application Limitations

This section of the permit continues to require that wastes be applied at agronomic rates and discharges to groundwater due to seepage beyond the root zone comply with groundwater standards. The following revisions were made:

- Condition S2.C.1 was clarified to specify that wastes must be applied in agronomic rates "in accordance with its AWMP," not just proper agricultural practices.
- Condition S2.C.4 was expanded to provide additional requirements for applications to frozen soil. The permit now requires that applications to frozen soil to be addressed in the permittee's AWMP. Additionally, the section of this condition that addressed applications during rainfall events was move to a new condition S2.C.5.
- Condition S2.C.5 continues to prohibit applications to saturated soils or during events that are expected to result in saturated soils or runoff; however, it now also recognizes that in some cases such an application may be a desired alternative to allowing waste storage or waste water control facilities to overflow. For example, land application to saturated soils to pond waste water onsite provides for greater protection of surface waters than a direct overflow of a waste storage tank to surface waters. In these cases, condition S2.C.5 clarifies that this type of land application will be considered an upset condition and the permittee must comply with the provisions of G18 Upset [40 CFR §122.41(n)].

#### S2.D Direct Access of Animals to Surface Waters of the State is Prohibited

The permit continues to prohibit direct animal contact with surface waters of the state in the production area of the CAFO. Direct contact means any situation where animals in the production area have free access and are allowed to loiter or drop waste in surface waters. Direct animal contact with surface waters by animals on pasture or rangeland is not, by itself, a violation of the permit.

#### S2.E Waste Storage Facilities

#### **Permit Conditions**

There are no major changes to this section. Permit condition S2.E.1 continues to require that adequate storage capacity for solid and liquid wastes be provided so that land application occurs only during periods when soil and weather conditions allow for agronomic application and are in compliance with the Land Application Limitations in permit condition S2.C.

Proposed Oregon CAFO NPDES General Permit #01 Evaluation Report and Fact Sheet September 11, 2008; p. 19 of 27

Permit condition S2.E.2 continues to require that the permittee site, design, construct, operate, and maintain all waste storage facilities consistent with the waste management plan. New and modified construction of waste facilities must be approved in advance and prior to construction by ODA in conformance with ORS 468B.055 and OARs 340-051 and 603-074

Permit condition S2.E.3 continues to require that the permittee with a *large concentrated animal feeding operation* have depth markers in all surface impoundments to indicate the maximum design volume, minimum capacity necessary to contain the applicable rainfall event, and the depth of manure and process waste water.

#### More Information on Design and Construction Standards

All waste water control facilities and confined animal feeding operations must be designed, constructed, maintained, and operated in accordance with OAR 340-051. ODA will accept design and post-construction certification by a licensed engineer for:

- Earthen impoundments (e.g., ponds, basins and lagoons with permeable or impermeable liners)
- Earthen conveyances (e.g., ditches)
- Animal holding areas (e.g., lots, pens, exercise yards, alleys, and earthen-floored buildings within the production area)
- Primary storage structures for liquid and solid manure (e.g., concrete or steel tanks, earthen- or concrete-surfaced solid manure storage facilities). A primary storage structure is any storage structure intended to hold an operation's waste for a period of five or more days.

For CAFOs intending to use experimental or unproven treatment methods or technology, design and post-certification by a licensed engineer is not allowed. In these cases, the operator must contact ODA prior to construction for approval on a case-by-case basis. For all other modifications or new construction, no approval will be required. However, any such modification or construction must be described in the current, approved waste management plan, or a revised plan must be prepared and submitted to ODA for approval prior to construction.

#### S2.F Prevention of System Overloading

There are no changes to this section of the permit. The permittee may not increase the number of animals over 10% or 25 animals, whichever is greater, of the maximum number assigned by ODA in the *Notice of Registration and General Permit Summary* until an updated plan is approved in writing by ODA. In addition, animal numbers must not exceed the capacity of the waste storage facilities or the maximum number of animals authorized under the permit holder's registration by ODA.

#### S2.G Handling of Animal Mortalities

There are no changes to this section of the permit. The permittee must not dispose of animal mortalities in liquid manure or waste water control facilities. Animal mortalities must be handled in such a way as to prevent discharge of pollutants to surface water or groundwater.

#### **S2.H** Proper Operation and Maintenance

There are no changes to this section of the permit. The permittee must at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems used for process waste collection, storage and utilization, and correct any deficiencies found as soon as possible.

#### S2.I Maintaining Compliance if System Fails

There are no changes to this section of the permit. The permittee must control all applications and discharges upon reduction, loss or failure of the waste storage or utilization facilities until the facilities are restored or an alternative method of storage or utilization is provided. This requirement applies when the primary source of power is reduced, lost, or fails.

#### S2.J Setback Requirement

The setback requirement remains the same for large *concentrated animal feeding operations*. In the land application areas, *large concentrated animal feeding operations* must maintain a setback area within 100 feet of any down-gradient surface waters, open tile line intake structures, sinkholes, agricultural well heads, or other conduits to surface waters where manure, litter, and other process waste waters are prohibited. As a compliance alternative and if demonstrated to the satisfaction of ODA, the *large concentrated animal feeding operation* may:

- a. Establish a 35-foot vegetated buffer where manure, litter, and other process waste waters are prohibited; or
- b. Demonstrate that a setback or vegetated buffer is not necessary or may be reduced.

A setback requirement was included for all other CAFOs of smaller sizes; however, a size requirement has not been specified. ODA expects to review and approve proposed setbacks for smaller CAFOs on a case-by-case basis in individual AWMPs. All CAFOs must include setback distances in their AWMPs.

#### S2.K Manure, Litter, or Process Waste Water Transfers

This condition was developed to incorporate the 2003 general permit condition S4.D and expand on the requirements for manure, litter, and process waste water transfers:

- Condition S2.K.1 now clarifies that the permittee retains responsibility of the manure, litter, or process waste water until the transfer or export is completed with the required documentation.
- Condition S2.K.2 reminds the permittee that records are required as specified in permit condition S4.C.
- Condition S2.K.3 is the former S4.D permit condition requiring that the permittee with a *large* concentrated animal feeding operation provide the recipient of manure, litter, or process waste water with a nutrient analysis prior to the transfer. The condition has expanded to specify that the nutrient analysis is for manure and must be from the previous 12 months.

#### 6.6 Special Conditions: S3. Animal Waste Management Plan

#### S3.A Animal Waste Management Plan (AWMP) Implementation and Compliance

Consistent with the 2003general permit, all permittees must develop and implement an AWMP. Condition S3.A.1 was revised to reflect a change in the federal date for AWMP implementation from December 31, 2006 to February 27, 2009. Note, however, that states may request that plans be submitted sooner and the December 31, 2006 due date was valid for most of the Oregon CAFOs registered to the 2003 general permit. Hence, the February 27, 2009 due date for existing permittees is the date by which existing permittees must revise their AWMPs to satisfy new requirements in the renewed general permit.

In addition to revising the implementation date, condition S3.A.2 was added to clarify that operations commencing after February 27, 2009 are expected to have an ODA-approved AWMP implemented at start-up. This section continues to require permittee compliance with all terms and conditions of its approved AWMP (condition S3.A.3) and inform the permittee that absence of an AWMP or absence of ODA approval of an AWMP does not allow the permittee to violate other permit requirements (condition S3.A.4).

#### S3.B AWMP Submittal and Public Notice

This section was revised to refer the permittee to S1.C for the schedule to submit its AWMP and S1.H for public notice and participation details.

#### S3.C AWMP Elements

Minor revisions were made to this section as follows:

- Condition S3.C.1 continues to require permittees to prepare their AWMPs in accordance with
  the terms and conditions of the permit and guidelines contained in OAR 340-051 and 603-074.
  AMWPs must conform to the Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) conservation
  practice standard guidance 590 for Oregon entitled *Nutrient Management*. 590 has since been
  updated to a May 2008 version and condition S3.C.1 was updated to reflect the new version of
  this guidance. ODA will continue to accept plans from NRCS-certified Comprehensive
  Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) writers.
- Condition S3.C.2 was unchanged; the permit continues to allow AWMPs to include a schedule for improvement projects.
- Condition S3.C.3 was re-worded to clarify that the AWMP must to the extent applicable contain "procedures" to ensure that the elements are met. In addition, more information on the land application protocols that need to be detailed was added. Also, a compost management plan requirement if applicable under OAR 340-096 was included. Finally, a section on requirements for frozen soil applications was added as well as a requirement to include procedures for transfer or export of manure, litter, or process waste water. This condition now reads as follows (major insertions are underlined; for detailed insertions and deletions, see the proposed permit):

The AWMP must to the extent applicable include the following:

- (a) <u>Procedures to</u> ensure adequate collection, handling, and storage of manure, litter, and process waste water. <u>Provide calculations used to determine that sufficient storage capacity exists.</u>
- (b) <u>Procedures to</u> ensure proper operation and maintenance of the storage facilities.
- (c) <u>Procedures to</u> ensure proper management of animal mortalities to ensure that they are not disposed of in a liquid manure, storm water, or process waste water storage or treatment system that is not specifically designed to treat animal mortalities.
- (d) <u>Procedures to</u> ensure that clean water is diverted, as appropriate, from the production area.
- (e) <u>Procedures to prevent direct contact of confined animals with surface waters.</u>
- (f) <u>Procedures to</u> ensure that chemicals and other contaminants handled on-site, are not disposed of in any manure, litter, process waste water, or storm water storage or treatment system unless specifically designed to treat such chemicals and other contaminants.
- (g) Identification of appropriate site-specific conservation practices to be implemented, including as appropriate, buffers, <u>setback areas</u>, or equivalent practices, to control runoff of pollutants to surface water and groundwater.
- (h) Protocols to land apply manure, litter, or process waste water in accordance with site specific nutrient management practices that ensure appropriate agricultural utilization of the nutrients in the manure, litter, or process waste water. <u>The protocols must include the following:</u>
  - (i) Expected crop yields.
  - (ii) <u>Calculations showing the total nitrogen and phosphorus to be applied annually to each field, including sources other than manure, litter, or process waste water.</u>
  - (iii) <u>Annual manure application rates and an explanation of the basis for determining these rates.</u> For large concentrated animal feeding operations,

these rates must be based on actual test data. For other operations, data or "book values" from established reference sources (e.g., Oregon Animal Waste Management program) may be used instead of actual testing.

- (iv) Method(s) used to apply manure, litter, or process waste water.
- (i) For large concentrated animal feeding operations, protocols for appropriate testing of manure, litter, process waste water, and soil. For other operations, references used instead of actual testing data or test protocols if testing.
- (j) <u>If applicable, an Agricultural Compost Management Plan as required by OAR 340-096.</u>
- (k) <u>Frozen soil application procedures if applications of manure, litter, or process waste</u> water will be made to frozen soil. At a minimum, the following must be provided:
  - (i) <u>Description of the potential receiving field(s), estimates of waste amounts and types, and estimated timing of applications.</u>
  - (ii) <u>Aerial photo(s) identifying all areas and surface water bodies within 1,000 ft. of the boundaries of the receiving field(s).</u>
  - (iii) Soil map(s) identifying soil types for receiving field(s).
  - (iv) *Topographic map(s) for receiving field(s)*.
  - (v) <u>Description of the structural practices in place to ensure that no discharges to surface water occur during application and after the soil thaws.</u>
  - (vi) <u>Description of the method used to determine when soil is frozen and</u> <u>management practices to be followed when planning an application and during</u> <u>and after an application to frozen soil.</u>
  - (vii) <u>Description of monitoring and reporting requirements to ensure that the permittee is in compliance with frozen soil application procedures.</u>
- (l) <u>Procedures for transfer or export of manure, litter, or process waste water.</u>
- (m) Identification of specific records that will be maintained to document the implementation and management of the minimum elements described above.

AWMPs must demonstrate that a CAFO will achieve an agronomic balance of nutrients land-applied with nutrients removed in harvested crops. ODA will typically require an agronomic balance for nitrogen and in some cases for phosphorus. A phosphorus balance may be required if a CAFO is within a watershed that is designated by the state as water quality limited for phosphorus and will be required if the NRCS phosphorus index for the soil in land application field(s) is (are) exceeded.

The need for additional or alternative information in an AWMP will continue to be established by ODA on a case-by-case basis as required as part of a corrective order or to account for extraordinary circumstances. The level of detail of information required in AWMPs will depend on the size, complexity, and other specifics of each CAFO.

Once an AWMP is approved by ODA, the CAFO must be managed in compliance with the AWMP at all times. The application of process waste water more frequently than specified in the AWMP or at a concentration in excess of AWMP specifications or at times not specified in the AWMP would be a violation of the permit.

# 6.7 Special Conditions: S4. Monitoring, Inspection, Recordkeeping, and Reporting Requirements

#### S4.A Monitoring Requirements

#### Discharge Monitoring

The discharge monitoring requirements were not changed. Any discharge or runoff that is not allowed by the permit must be recorded and reported to ODA. The record must contain a description and cause of the discharge; the period of discharge, including exact dates, times, and duration of discharge; an estimate of the volume of the discharge; name or location or receiving

water, and corrective steps taken to reduce, eliminate or prevent recurrence. In the event a discharge occurs, ODA must be notified within 24 hours of the event. A written report must be submitted to ODA within five days. In the event of equipment failure, ODA must be notified within 24 hours.

#### **Analytical Monitoring**

Analytical monitoring requirements for manure, litter, process wastewater, and soil are designed to provide the *large concentrated animal feeding operation* with information to assist in the development and evaluation of application rates required in the AWMP. The analytical monitoring requirements were not changed; however, additional information on the specific parameter to be analyzed was provided and references to sample method were included. This section was also reformatted into tables for easier reading. Monitoring is required as follows:

Large concentrated animal feeding operations

Sample Type	Analytical Parameter	Minimum Frequency	Sample Method
Manure, litter, and process waste water	(i) Total nitrogen (ii) Organic nitrogen fraction (iii) Total phosphorus	Annually	Sample according to guidance contained in NRCS 590 or 633 practice standard.
Exported manure, litter, and process waste water	<ul><li>(i) Total nitrogen</li><li>(ii) Organic nitrogen fraction</li><li>(iii) Total phosphorus</li></ul>	Annually	Sample according to guidance contained in NRCS 590 or 633 practice standard.
Soil from land application area(s)	(i) Total nitrogen (ii) Organic nitrogen fraction (iii) Total phosphorus	Once every 5 years from all fields where manure, litter, or process waste water is applied.	Sample according to guidance contained in PNW 570-E, EM 8832-E, or NRCS 590 practice standard.

Other confined animal feeding operations

Sample Type	Analytical Parameter	Minimum Frequency	Sample Method
Soil from land application area(s)	<ul><li>(i) Total nitrogen</li><li>(ii) Organic nitrogen fraction</li><li>(iii) Total phosphorus</li></ul>	Once every 5 years from all fields where manure, litter, or process waste water is applied.	Sample according to guidance contained in PNW 570-E, EM 8832-E, or NRCS 590 practice standard.

#### S4.B Inspection Requirements

The inspection requirements were not changed; however, they were reformatted into a table for easier reading and clarification added that equipment used for land application need only be inspected when in use. Inspections are required as follows:

	Item	Large Concentrated	Other Operations
(a)	Stormwater diversion devices, runoff diversion	Weekly and record	Periodically
	structures, animal waste storage structures, and	results	
	devices channeling contaminated stormwater		
	to waste water and manure storage and		
	containment structures		
(b)	Water lines, including drinking water or	Daily and record results	Periodically
	cooling water lines		
(c)	Equipment used for land application of	Daily when equipment is	Periodically when
	manure, litter, or process waste water	in use and record results	equipment is in use
(d)	Liquid impoundments for manure and process	Weekly and record depth	Periodically
	waste water	of manure and process	
		waste water according to	
		depth marker required by	
		S2.E.3.	

Any deficiencies found as a result of these inspections must be corrected as soon as possible. The permittee with a *large concentrated animal feeding operation* must record any actions taken to correct these deficiencies and, if deficiencies are not corrected within 30 days, provide an explanation of the factors preventing immediate correction.

#### S4.C Recordkeeping and Availability Requirements

The recordkeeping and availability requirements were not changed. All required records must be kept and maintained at the facility for a period of five years and must be available to ODA upon request. Recordkeeping requirements were reformatted into a table for easier reading, and the requirement to maintain animal mortality management and practices was deleted because it is already a requirement in the AWMP. The following recordkeeping is required:

Item or Parameter	Large Concentrated	Other Operations
(a) Expected crop yields.	Required	Required
(b) Date, amount, and nutrient loading of manure litter, or process waste water applied to each field.	Required	Required
(c) Weather conditions at the time of application and 24 hours before and after application.	Required	Not required
(d) Explanation of the basis for determining annual manure application rates, as provided in the technical standards established by ODA.	Required	Required
(e) Calculations showing the total nitrogen and phosphorus to be applied annually to each field, including sources other than manure, litter, or process waste water.	Required	Required
(f) Total amount of nitrogen and phosphorus actually applied annually to each field, including documentation of calculations of the total amount applied.	Required	Required
(g) Method(s) used to apply the manure, litter, or process waste water.	Required	Required

Item or Parameter	Large Concentrated	Other Operations
(h) Total amount of manure or waste water transferred or exported to other persons.	Required. Also include:  (i) Date and amount of each transfer or export  (ii) Name and address of each recipient  (iii) Copy of the manure nutrient analysis conducted provided to the recipient [see S2.K.3]	Required
(i) Description of actions taken to correct deficiencies discovered during inspections.	Required (see S4.B.2)	Not required

#### S4.D Reporting Requirements

#### 24-hour Reporting

There were no changes made to permit condition S4.D.1. As discussed previously in Section 6.7 Monitoring Requirements, p. 22, if a discharge to surface water or groundwater occurs that is not allowed by the permit, the permittee must notify ODA within 24 hours of the discharge. The permittee must also submit a written report within five days to ODA. The information to be submitted is listed in Section 6.7. The permittee must also report to ODA within 24 hours of becoming aware of any significant physical failure at any time of a waste water control facility required under this permit.

#### Annual Report

There were a few minor revisions to this section. Additional reporting requirements were added and several clarifications were made. ODA and DEQ believe the additional requirements are necessary to better determine if a CAFO is in compliance with its AWMP. All facilities must still provide an annual report to ODA by March 15 of each year. The report may be consolidated and incorporated into the annual inspection process, but the operator has the obligation to create and maintain the record and submit it to ODA unless instructed by ODA to do otherwise (e.g., the inspector may collect the report during an annual inspection). The following revisions were made to the annual reporting requirement in condition S4.D.1 (major insertions are underlined; for detailed insertions and deletions, see the proposed permit):

- (a) Maximum number and type of animals <u>approved by ODA in the permittee's Notice of Registration</u>, whether in open confinement or housed under roof (e.g., beef cattle, broilers, layers, swine weighing 55 pounds or more, swine weighing less than 55 pounds, mature dairy cows, dairy heifers, veal calves, sheep and lambs, horses, ducks, turkeys, other).
- (b) Actual number of animals by type at the CAFO averaged over the year.
- (c) Estimated amount of total manure, <u>bedding</u>, litter, process waste water, <u>and other</u> material that comes in contact with manure generated by the CAFO (tons, gallons, cubic feet, or cubic vards).
- (d) Estimated amount of total manure, <u>bedding</u>, litter, process waste water, and <u>other</u> <u>material that comes in contact with manure</u> transferred to other persons by the permittee (tons, gallons, cubic feet, or cubic yards).
- (e) <u>Estimated amount of manure, bedding, litter, process waste water, and other material</u> that comes in contact with manure applied to land by the permittee (tons, gallons, cubic feet, or cubic yards).
- (f) Total number of acres for land application covered by the AWMP developed in

Proposed Oregon CAFO NPDES General Permit #01 Evaluation Report and Fact Sheet September 11, 2008; p. 26 of 27

- accordance with the terms of this permit.
- (g) Total number of acres under control of the permittee that were used for land application of manure, litter, and process waste water in the previous 12 months.
- (h) Summary of all manure, litter, and process waste water discharges from the production area that have occurred, including date, time and approximate volume.
- (i) A statement indicating whether the AWMP was developed or approved by a certified waste management planner.

In addition, the following certification statement was added to the permit as condition S4.D.2.b to ensure that the permittee provides true, accurate, and complete information:

The annual report must be signed and certified by the permittee or permittee's authorized representative with the following statement: "I certify, under penalty of law, that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direct supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment for knowing violations."

### S4.E Additional Monitoring

The permit continues to allow in condition S4.E.1 the establishment of specific monitoring requirements a case-by-case basis for certain facilities, such as those located in groundwater management areas, or those that have been issued a corrective order relating to waste management. ODA may establish these requirements by administrative order.

To provide additional protection to the environment, condition S4.E.2 was added as follows:

If a permittee experiences two or more discharges within a 24 month period that are not associated with a 25-year, 24-hour or greater rainfall event, ODA may require surface water and/or groundwater quality monitoring or transfer the permittee to an individual permit. Monitoring for the following parameters may be required: bacteria, total suspended solids, total Kjeldahl nitrogen, biochemical oxygen demand, and other nutrient indicators. If ODA waives the additional monitoring requirements because such monitoring would be impracticable or not likely to produce useful information, ODA will set out the basis for the decision in writing and make the decision available to interested parties.

#### 6.8 General Conditions

The federal requirements in this section of the general permit that were previously referenced are now detailed. Changes made to the general conditions were not tracked to shorten the proposed permit for review purposes; however, a manual comparison of the 2003 general permit and proposed renewal may still be made. The general conditions are not repeated or discussed in detail in this document because they are standard permit conditions required by 40 CFR §122.41 and §122.42, OAR, or required by the 2003 general permit.

# 7. Public Comment Period and Response to Comments

#### 7.1 Public Comment Period

The public comment period will open on September 11, 2008 and close on October 27, 2008 at 5:00 p.m. During this time period, ODA and DEQ will hold three public hearings as discussed below. No comments will be accepted after the end of the comment period.

#### 7.2 Public Hearings

Three public hearings are scheduled as follows:

**Date:** October 21, 2008

*Time:* 6:00 p.m. - Information Session

6:45 p.m. - Public Hearing

**Location:** Southern Oregon Research and Extension Center

569 Hanley Rd

Central Point, OR 97502

**Date:** October 22, 2008

*Time:* 6:00 p.m. - Information Session

6:45 p.m. - Public Hearing

**Location:** Food Innovation Center

Second Floor Classroom 1207 NW Naito Parkway Portland, OR 97209

**Date:** October 23, 2008

*Time:* 6:00 p.m. - Information Session

6:45 p.m. - Public Hearing

Location: Boulevard Grange No. 389

3890 Hwy 201 Ontario, OR 97914

Informational sessions will be provided at the beginning of each hearing with the opportunity for the public to ask questions about the proposed renewal of the general permit. Oral and written comments will be accepted at the hearings with oral comments recorded on tape.

#### 7.3 Response to Comments

ODA and DEQ will prepare a written response to comments received during the comment period. Once comments have been received and evaluated, ODA and DEQ will decide whether to issue the renewal of the general permit as proposed or make changes.

ODA and DEQ may revise this evaluation report or update it through memorandum depending on the nature of comments and any changes made to the proposed permit as a result of the comments received.