

**NEW
FILE
BEGINS**

Doc #72

**B&B INFORMATION & IMAGE MANAGEMENT
300 PRINCE GEORGE'S BOULEVARD
UPPER MARLBORO, MARYLAND 20772 • USA • (301) 249-0110**

Memorandum

TO : SEE BELOW

DATE: March 24, 1967

FROM : Acting Chief, Grants Review Branch, DRMP

SUBJECT: Planning Grants Approved at February Council Meeting

Meetings with representatives of six Regional Medical Programs have been set as follows:

Monday, April 3

MICHIGAN - 1 p.m. - Bldg. #31, Conference Room 5

Tuesday, April 4

MAINE - 1 p.m. - Bldg. #31, Conference Room 5

Wednesday, April 5

BI-STATE - 8:30 a.m.- Bldg. #1, Room #111
MEMPHIS - 1 p.m. - Bldg. #1, Room #111

Thursday, April 6

ARKANSAS - 8:30 a.m.- Bldg. #1, Room #111

Tuesday, April 11

OREGON - 8:30 a.m.- Bldg. #1, Room #114

These meetings provide an opportunity for DRMP staff to convey the concerns expressed and suggestions made by Staff and review groups during the processing of the application; and to explain the application of program policies and procedures for activation of a grant and the activities it supports.

We count on you and your staff to assist us in making sure that these meetings are of the greatest possible value in establishing cordial and mutually profitable relationships between DRMP staff and the principal staff of individual RMPs.

Martha L. Phillips

ADDRESSEES:

Dr. Marston

Dr. Mayer

Mr. Friedlander

Mr. Yordy

Dr. Sloan

Mr. Whaley

Mr. Hilsenroth

Mr. Odoroff

Mr. Ackerman

Mr. Beattie



6

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION
AND WELFARE
PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

National Institutes of Health
Division of Regional Medical Programs

National Advisory Council on
Regional Medical Programs

Minutes of Meeting

February 24-25, 1966

National Institutes of Health
Conference Room "A"
Stone House

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE
NATIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL ON REGIONAL MEDICAL PROGRAMS

Minutes of Second Meeting^{1/}
February 24-25, 1966

The National Advisory Council on Regional Medical Programs convened for its second meeting at 9:05 a.m., Thursday, February 24, 1966, in Conference Room A, Stone House, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland. Dr. Robert Q. Marston, Associate Director, NIH, and Chief, Division of Regional Medical Programs, presided.

The Council members present were:

Dr. Mary I. Bunting (2/24 only)
Dr. Leonidas H. Berry
Dr. Michael E. DeBakey
Dr. Bruce W. Everist
Dr. James T. Howell
Dr. John W. Hurst (2/24 only)

Dr. Clark H. Millikan
Dr. George E. Moore (2/24 only)
Dr. William J. Peebles (2/24 only)
Dr. Robert J. Slater
Dr. Cornelius H. Traeger

The Council member absent was:

Mr. Gordon R. Cumming

Public Health Service members attending some of the sessions included:

Dr. Ernest M. Allen, Grants Policy Officer, OSG, PHS
Mrs. Esther H. Deel, Committee Management Officer, PHS

Liaison members:

Dr. A. B. Baker, NINDB Council
Dr. Edward W. Dempsey, NIGMS Council
Dr. John B. Hickam, NHI Council
(Dr. Sidney Farber, NCI Council--absent)

1/ Proceedings of meetings are restricted unless cleared by the Office of the Surgeon General. The restriction relates to all material submitted for discussion at the meetings, the agenda for the meetings, the supplemental material, and all other official documents.

Others present were:

Mr. Karl D. Yordy, Assistant Chief, DRMP
Mr. Stephen J. Ackerman, Chief, Planning and Evaluation Branch, DRMP
Dr. Carl R. Brewer, Chief, Program Review Branch, DRMP
Mr. Charles Hilsenroth, Executive Officer, DRMP
Dr. Kenneth M. Endicott, Director, NCI
Dr. William J. Zukel, Acting Director, NHI
Dr. Eugene A. Confrey, Chief, DRG
Dr. J. H. U. Brown, NIGMS
Dr. Jerome G. Green, NHI
Dr. Malcolm O. Ray, NINDB
Dr. R. B. Stephenson, OD-NIH
Mr. Daniel Zwick, DRMP
Dr. Samuel Fox, BSS
Dr. Wilfred D. David, BSS
Dr. Benjamin B. Wells, VA Liaison-Observer
Dr. Henry T. Clark, Jr., Consultant, OD-NIH
Dr. William D. Mayer, Consultant, DRMP
Mr. True Steffenhagen, DRFR

I. CALL TO ORDER AND OPENING REMARKS

Dr. Marston called the meeting to order at 9:05 a.m. He introduced Dr. Bunting, who was unable to attend the first Council meeting, and the Public Health Service and NIH staff members.

Dr. Marston stated that the purpose and goals of this meeting were two-fold: (1) To inform the Council of progress in several areas, such as the regulations, the guidelines, and the progress in various areas of the country in the development of programs; and (2) to discuss procedures and activities which will assist the Council in preparing itself for its role in the review and approval process.

II. ANNOUNCEMENTS

Dr. Marston made general announcements about the Service Desk and luncheon. He also read the statements on, "Conflict of Interest," and "Confidentiality of Meetings."

III. CONSIDERATION OF FUTURE MEETING DATES

At the time of the first Council meeting the Regulations were expected to be published in the Federal Register in January. This did not occur, but publication is still expected shortly. Dr. Marston proposed that the meeting scheduled for April 8-9 might be more useful at a later date after regulations and application forms are

available to potential applicants. The Council agreed on the following dates:

April 29-30, 1966 (instead of April 8-9)
June 10-11, 1966 (tentatively)
20-21

IV. CONSIDERATION OF MINUTES OF DECEMBER 1965 MEETING

The Council unanimously recommended approval of the Minutes of the December 21-22, 1965, meeting as written.

V. PROGRESS REPORT ON REGULATIONS--Mr. Yordy

The Regulations are in the process of being transmitted to the Surgeon General and to the Secretary for approval for publication in the Federal Register.

Some changes have been made as a result of several discussions with the Office of the General Counsel, and as a result of some comments which were submitted by the national health organizations which had considered the first draft of the Regulations in December.

Essentially, the Regulations retain the flexible approach of the earlier drafts. However, they contain the following changes:

1. Under Section 66.2, (g) "Definitions", the term, "Practicing Physician" is that which was recommended by the Council at the December meeting;
2. Section 66.2 (k) is a definition which has been added concerning "geographic area." The Law says that the Surgeon General shall determine whether the geographic area fits the purposes of the Law in accordance with the Regulations. The terms, "region" and "geographic area" are synonymous;
3. Section 66.4 (e) "Advisory group; membership; description" has added "dentists, nurses, and representatives of other health professions."

This is not a mandatory requirement for inclusion, but states that the applicant shall describe the selection and membership of the designated advisory group, showing the extent of inclusion of these health professions in addition to the categories listed in the Act.

4. Section 66.5 (f), "Responsible official." This is the responsible official who is listed on the grant application

as the point of contact for the Public Health Service. If there is a change in this designation, the Public Health Service has to be notified.

5. Section 66.6 (d) contains new wording. It adds as a factor in considering a grant award, "The population to be served by the regional medical program and relationships to adjacent or other regional medical Programs." This change was suggested by the experiences around the country in the preliminary planning.
6. Section 66.6 (f) carries out the statement that is made in the Law that these funds shall not replace non-Federal funds. If someone receives a grant under this program, they are to be encouraged to continue to seek funds from other sources for the activities related to the program.
7. Section 66.6 (g), "The geographic distribution of grants throughout the Nation", has been moved to the end of the list of factors considered in making an award since the applicant does not have control over this factor.

The Council recommended that Section 66.4 (e) include general mention of other members of the health professions, but that dentists and nurses not be specifically mentioned. If they are mentioned it might be interpreted as a requirement that each local advisory group include these professions, and it might lead to pressures to specifically include representatives of additional health professions.

The question was raised about the relationship between the activities under this program and those conducted by the Bureau of State Services which relate to this program. These relationships are to be handled through memoranda of agreement between Dr. Marston and his counterpart in the other Bureaus.

Dr. Clark suggested that project site visitors for this program should be broad-gauged and quite knowledgeable of total planning activities. The project site visitors should have a breadth of understanding of Federal programs because they will need to know not only this Law but what is going on in other types of planning activities.

VI. REPORTS FROM LIAISON MEMBERS--Dr. A. B. Baker for NINDB Council; Dr. Dempsey for NIGMS Council; Dr. Endicott for Dr. Farber, NCI Council; and Dr. Hickam for Heart Council.

Dr. Hickam--The Heart Council has not met since the first meeting of the Council on Regional Medical Programs, but the Planning

Committee has met and has discussed the implications of this new activity for the programs of the Heart Council. The Planning Committee is concerned about the relationship between activities in the Heart Institute and the Regional Medical Programs. The matters for consideration by the Heart Council will be simplified when it is possible to understand where the lines will be drawn. There is a complex overlapping of areas of interest of these two groups, and it will be very helpful to have this overlap clarified as soon as possible.

Dr. Endicott--The Cancer Council has not met since the first meeting of this Council, but the Policies Sub-Committee, which was set up specifically to interact with this program, has had one meeting and a joint meeting with representatives of virtually every major cancer research group in the country. They are beginning to arrive at some understanding of what may be in the process of evolving and an appreciation of how the programs of the Cancer Institute and National Advisory Cancer Council can be interdigitated with the regional programs to their mutual benefit.

Three major programs being supported by the Cancer Institute at the present time inevitably will be closely tied in with almost all of the regional medical programs.

The first is a new program this year--the Clinical Training Grant Program--which is aimed at clinical training. This is, in effect, an institutional grant for the development of the institutional approach toward improving the quality of training of medical students, house officers, and practicing physicians.

The second program is one which was launched about five years ago for the development of radiation therapists.

The third program relates to the clinical research centers. About 25 cancer clinical research centers are being funded now, but the distribution is bad from the standpoint of the Council on Regional Medical Programs, which is aiming at the whole country.

The Cancer Institute has been attempting to identify promising locations for the development of cancer centers in gap areas. There are a number of places which have a potential for rapid development, and between the Cancer Council and the Regional Medical Programs Council much can be accomplished to develop strengths where they are not now in existence.

The Cancer Council has concern because the budget has been cut back for the coming year for operating funds. Because of the lack of funds, the NCI is terminating support to many activities

which have been supported for some years. The NCI is concerned about the loss of key personnel as the need may develop to shift people from research budgets to the new budgets, and with the Regional Medical Programs going through a period of several years in which it will be involved primarily in planning rather than operational grants. They are disturbed about what may happen to people whose salaries have been derived from grant support. The Cancer Council and the medical schools are also concerned about those investigators whose orientation is not clinical but more basic. There is worry that the increased attention and support to clinical aspects, with perhaps a diminution of support to the more basic side, may result in much stress and strain.

The Policy Subcommittee reviewed some possible mechanisms whereby an effective liaison might be developed. They perceived that the categorical Institute should develop a capability of interacting with an institution or institutions as well as with individuals in the institution if there are ever to be meaningful management decisions at the Council level. The NCI has made substantial progress in consolidating support to an institution, i.e., contracts, grants, and awards from the NIH into one single cost-sharing grant.

There are arguments for and against this, but from the management standpoint it means that if the Regional Medical Programs Council becomes involved in a regional activity, it will be possible to negotiate and include it with the other support.

The Policy Subcommittee also discussed the desirability of making available to the management of a regional medical program a substantial sum of money with which to encourage and develop cancer research and the training of cancer research personnel within that regional system. This would not be a device to replace project grants, but an additional means for encouraging and developing the research front which the Cancer Council feels must be an essential part of any meaningful medical center.

The Cancer Council wants to know, as soon as possible, what kinds of things are going to be developed by the Regional Medical Programs Council so it can provide full information as to what on-going things are supported by NCI in that region, what the assessment of resources may be, etc.

Drs. Baker and Dempsey did not have a report from their Councils.

VII. REPORT ON LIAISON WITH OTHER GROUPS--Dr. Marston

The staff of the Division of Regional Medical Programs has been working with other Public Health Service programs and groups

throughout the country, and have had discussions with the following groups:

Thirteen national organizations concerning the regulations; Association of State and Territorial Health Officers; Associate Regional Medical Directors of the Public Health Service; Executive Committee of the Association of State Chronic Disease Directors; National Committee of the American Heart Association; American Medical Association; American Hospital Association; National Library of Medicine.

The staff is scheduled to attend many out-of-town meetings within the next few months to discuss the program. The Division will soon have a staff man who will be responsible for maintaining contact with outside organizations.

VIII. USE OF AD HOC ADVISORY GROUPS--Dr. Marston

The Division is starting to call together small groups of individuals with expert knowledge in one of the fields covered by the Regional Medical Programs to help outline the pitfalls and opportunities in these particular fields, and to make suggestions concerning the developments of criteria.

A group of consultants is coming in soon for a meeting to discuss the continuing education as an integral part of regional medical programs, and to identify larger problems in the area of continuing education about which the Council may need to have information. A meeting will also be set up to discuss the hospital aspects of Regional Medical Programs and to identify special policy and administrative problems.

Other groups will be convened to discuss problem areas as the needs arise.

The use of ad hoc advisory committees for the initial review is being considered, with plans to use existing strengths of NIH such as the Councils, the staff, the Study Section and committee mechanism.

IX. REPORT OF ACTIVITIES BY STATES--Mr. Ackerman

Reports were made concerning, "Participation in Pre-Planning for Regional Medical Programs," and "Different Conditions of Regional Medical Programs." It was emphasized that this information does not represent a balanced, accurate picture, because there has not been an opportunity to elicit this type of information. The Division will ultimately have an organized reporting system that will show what is happening around the country.

X. REPORT ON STATUS OF GUIDELINES AND APPLICATION FORMS--Dr.Brewer

Tentative program guidelines for planning grants were developed on the assumption that the proposed regulations on which they are based will be implemented substantially unchanged from the present wording. The guidelines are intended to amplify and clarify the regulations, and to guide the applicants in preparing effective applications.

Because of the urgency of planning activities, the Division has not yet developed program guidelines for the operational programs. It is anticipated that there will be a single set of program guidelines to cover both the planning grants and the operational grants.

The application is still in draft form. The staff believes that this form should be relatively unstructured, that it should leave to the applicant the maximum opportunity to describe local conditions and plans that are most appropriate for those conditions. The application will be distributed as soon as it has received appropriate clearances.

The Council expressed general agreement with the approaches taken on the tentative guidelines.

XI. DISCUSSION OF CRITERIA TO BE USED IN REVIEWING APPLICATIONS FOR
(1) PLANNING GRANTS, AND (2) OPERATIONAL GRANTS

The Council was invited to make comments regarding these two types of grants. The Council wanted assurance that sound planning had occurred prior to the award of an operational grant even if the planning is not financed under this program. Review of both types of applications will be done through the use of ad hoc groups of consultants prior to review by the Council.

The Council was asked for comments about particular areas that would be useful in the writing of operational guidelines, and there was a lengthy discussion concerning this subject. This discussion covered the role of Regional Medical Programs in providing support for training and the relationship of that support to training support provided through other Federal programs. The Council agreed that training was one of the most vital objectives of the Regional Medical Programs, and that there needed to be close collaboration with other programs in meeting the over-all manpower problem. Also considered were the support of full-time staff in the hospital for education and service purposes, the training of new types of medical manpower, the attraction of personnel to be

trained, the emphasis to be placed on determining the feasibility of communication systems before embarking on full-scale support, and the need to work with other programs in the development and support of communication systems.

XII. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 12:00 noon, February 25, 1966.

I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the foregoing minutes and attachments are accurate and complete.



Robert Q. Marston, M.D.
Associate Director, NIH, and
Chief, Division of Regional
Medical Programs

Eva M. Handal, Recording Secretary
Council Assistant, DRMP

REGIONAL MEDICAL PROGRAMS
COUNCIL MEMBERS
1/7/66

Dr. Leonidas H. Berry
Professor, Cook County Graduate
School of Medicine, and
Senior Attending Physician,
Michael Reese Hospital
412 E. 47th Street
Chicago, Illinois 60653

Dr. Mary I. Bunting
President,
Radcliffe College
Cambridge, Massachusetts

Mr. Gordon R. Cumming
Administrator,
Sacramento County Hospital
2315 Stockton Boulevard
Sacramento, California

Dr. Michael E. DeBakey
Professor and Chairman
Department of Surgery
College of Medicine
Baylor University
Houston, Texas 77025

Dr. Bruce W. Everist
Green Clinic
709 South Vienna Street
Ruston, Louisiana 71270

Dr. James T. Howell
Executive Director,
Henry Ford Hospital
Detroit, Michigan

Dr. John Willis Hurst
Professor and Chairman,
Department of Medicine,
Emory University School
of Medicine
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Dr. Clark H. Millikan
Consultant in Neurology
Mayo Clinic
Rochester, Minnesota

Dr. George E. Moore
Director,
Roswell Park Memorial Institute
666 Elm Street
Buffalo 3, New York

Dr. William J. Peebles
Commissioner of Health
State Department of Health
State Office Building
301 West Preston Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Dr. Robert J. Slater
Dean, College of Medicine
University of Vermont
Burlington, Vermont

Dr. Cornelius H. Traeger
799 Park Avenue
New York, New York

Ex Officio Member

Dr. William H. Stewart (Chairman)
Surgeon General
Public Health Service
Washington, D. C. 20201