Detection of West Nile Virus in Corvidae Feather Pulp

DE Docherty, RR Long, KM Griffin and EK Saito*



WNV in North American Birds

High mortality

Current testing methods





Viruses in Feather Pulp: History

◆EEE ◆Avian Leucosis Virus (ALV) ◆WNV



Methods

*** 2002 WNV Surveillance** program American Crows and Blue Jays Samples: Kidney/spleen pool □ Cloacal swab Immature flight feather





Immature Flight Feather







Methods (2)

✤VI, RT-PCR

Determine virus quantity





Results: Virus Isolation

65/84 (77%) positive
All 65 positive in feather pulp
If negative on feather pulp, also negative in K/S and cloacal swab
Confirmed by RT-PCR
Feather pulp more sensitive than cloacal swab or k/s pool (p<0.001)
K/S vs. Cloacal Swab: p>0.5



Results: Virus quantity

<u>Sample</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>Median (range) (log PFU/0.1mL)</u>
K/S pool	7	1.0 (<1.0-3.3)
Cloacal Swab	12	1.9 (<1.0-4.0)
Feather pulp	12	4.9 (3.5->7.4)

\oplus More virus in feather pulp than cloacal swab (p=0.0005)



Conclusions

Testing for WNV in feather pulp is relatively sensitive



Considerations for Surveillance

Molting period
Quick, efficient & safer sampling method
Possible non-lethal sampling method
Use in sub-clinical cases or other species?



Acknowledgements

Funding: USGS, CDC

WHC technical support staff: Nathan Ramsay, Michelle Oates, Heather Gutzman, Dottie Johnson

