

# U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

Investigation of Fish Tissue Contaminant Concentrations at Painted Turtle Pond, Occoquan Bay National Wildlife Refuge, Woodbridge, Virginia

*CBFO-C03-05* 

November 2003



# INVESTIGATION OF FISH TISSUE CONTAMINANT CONCENTRATIONS AT PAINTED TURTLE POND, OCCOQUAN BAY NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, WOODBRIDGE, VIRGINIA

Final Report

Publication No. CBFO-C03-05

Prepared by: Alfred E. Pinkney

Under supervision of: Beth L. McGee, Program Leader Tom McCabe, Deputy Field Supervisor John P. Wolflin, Field Supervisor

> U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Chesapeake Bay Field Office 177 Admiral Cochrane Drive Annapolis, MD 21401

> > November 2003

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Painted Turtle Pond is a 1.1-hectare (2.7-acre) man-made pond located on the Occoquan Bay National Wildlife Refuge (NWR), Woodbridge, Virginia. This 234-hectare (579-acre) refuge is part of the Potomac River NWR Complex. The Occoquan Bay NWR was established in 1998, through the transfer of the property from the U.S. Army as a result of the Base Realignment and Closure Act (BRAC). The property was formerly known as the Woodbridge Research Facility. Painted Turtle Pond was formerly referred to as Operable Unit 1 Pond.

Under BRAC, contaminant issues on the Refuge have been investigated under the direction of the BRAC Cleanup Team, which includes representatives of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Chesapeake Bay Field Office (CBFO), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), and U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE). As part of the Long Term Monitoring Program, fish (whole body and fillet) sampling was conducted at Painted Turtle Pond by the COE in 2001. The Service reviewed the results and decided that there was a need to collect additional samples to resolve questions about the quality of the data and to expand the number of species investigated. The Service obtained the necessary funds and conducted the sampling and analysis in 2002. This report describes the sampling program, data analysis, and interpretation.

In March 2002, using an electroshocking boat, the Service obtained channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*), largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*), and bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*). There were five samples of each species; bluegill were composite samples of four fish whereas the other species were sampled as individuals. To the extent possible, the size range followed current U.S. Environmental Protection Agency guidance. Samples were stored at 4 EC, filleted, and shipped to Texas A&M University laboratories for analysis of organochlorine pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) by Aroclor, metals, percent moisture, and percent lipid. Samples met all quality control guidelines. Data were summarized and compared with EPA guidance values and concentrations used by the Virginia Department of Health for establishing consumption advisories.

The greatest human health concern results from the concentrations of mercury in largemouth bass and channel catfish tissues. The mean concentrations were 0.376 ppm and 0.335 parts per million (ppm) wet weight, respectively. One of the five largemouth bass samples had a concentration of 0.682 ppm. This maximum concentration exceeds the Virginia Department of Health (VDH) advisory level of 0.5 ppm. The mean mercury concentrations in bass and catfish also exceed the EPA's 0.3 ppm tissue criterion for the protection of human health, which is an advisory rather than regulatory level. Mean and maximum concentrations in bluegill were less (0.144 and 0.205 ppm, respectively).

Of the other chemicals of potential concern (for which EPA has established risk levels), only total PCBs, total DDT, arsenic, and selenium were detected in the fish tissue samples. Neither mean nor maximum PCB concentrations in any of the species approached the VDH trigger of 0.600 ppm, however the EPA PCB screening value of 0.020 ppm was exceeded. The mean concentrations of total arsenic were 0.147 to 0.190 ppm. If an estimated 10% of total arsenic is considered to be inorganic arsenic, the concentrations approach, but do not exceed, the EPA screening value of 0.026 ppm inorganic arsenic.

Based on these observations, we recommend that this report be submitted to VDH for an evaluation if the Refuge wishes to allow catching and eating of fish from this pond. CBFO recommends that every five years, the Refuge conduct a similar sampling and analysis program to monitor trends in contaminant concentrations and provide updated data to VDH. To avoid the need to estimate inorganic arsenic concentrations, CBFO recommends that fish be analyzed for both inorganic and total arsenic.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The assistance of Gary Swihart, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Gloucester Office for Fisheries Assistance (Gloucester, VA) for the electrofishing is appreciated. Laboratory analyses were conducted by the Texas A&M University Geochemical and Environmental Research Group (GERG) and Trace Element Research Laboratory (TERL), College Station, TX with the oversight of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Patuxent Analytical Control Facility (PACF, Laurel, MD). We appreciate the assistance of Bob Taylor (TERL), Guy Denoux (GERG), and John Moore (PACF).

 $filename:g:\contam\fred\fishtissuereport$ 

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	i
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	v
LIST OF FIGURES	v
LIST OF APPENDICES	v
INTRODUCTION	1
Site Description and History	1
Regulatory Background	1
	• -
MATERIALS AND METHODS	2
Fish Collection	2
Chemical Analysis	3
Data Analysis	3
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	.3
Mercury	4
Arsenic	4
PCBs	5
Comparison of Current Data with COF data	5
RECOMMENDATIONS	5
KETENENUED	

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Summary of fish collection data.

- Table 2. List of parameters to be analyzed and requested detection limits.
- Table 3. Comparison of fish tissue concentrations with EPA and Virginia guidance values (all values in parts per million wet weight.
- Table 4. Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) recommended meals per month forPCBs and mercury based on average concentrations in Painted Turtle Pond fish.
- Table 5. Comparison of bluegill data with COE (2003) bluegill data for contaminants of concern (ppm wet weight).

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1. WRF location map.

- Figure 1-2. Overall site plan.
- Figure 2. Electroshocking at Painted Turtle Pond, Occoquan Bay National Wildlife Refuge, March 22, 2002.
- Figure 3. Largemouth bass collected by electroshocking.

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A. Chain of custody, field notebook, and chemical catalog information.
- APPENDIX B. Laboratory reports, including method descriptions and Excel spread sheet of analytical data.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

#### Site Description and History

The Occoquan Bay National Wildlife Refuge (NWR) is a 234-hectare (579-acre) parcel of land located in Woodbridge, Virginia about 40 kilometers (25 miles) southwest of Washington, DC (Figure 1-1). The refuge is part of the Potomac River NWR Complex. It was created in 1998 with the transfer of the former U.S. Army Woodbridge Research Facility to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service). Concerns about hazardous waste on the Refuge have been investigated by the Base Realignment and Closure Act (BRAC) Cleanup Team, that includes representatives from the Army Corps of Engineers (COE), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Service's Chesapeake Bay Field Office (CBFO), and the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ).

As part of the Long Term Monitoring Plan, fish sampling was conducted by the COE in the 1.1-hectare (2.7-acre) Painted Turtle Pond, formerly known as the Operable Unit 1 pond (Figure 1-2). The purpose of the sampling was to evaluate possible ecological and human health risks from consumption of pond fish. A total of 26 bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*) were collected by angling on June 21 and July 17, 2001 (COE 2003). These results are summarized in the COE (2003).

Through reviewing the document, the Chesapeake Bay Field Office (CBFO) identified the need to sample additional species such as largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*) and channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*), which tend to accumulate higher contaminant concentrations than bluegill. The Service was also concerned about the reported concentrations of arsenic (mean: 1.33 parts per million (ppm) wet weight). This concentration, when multiplied by 10% to estimate the inorganic fraction, yields a concentration of 0.133 ppm inorganic arsenic which is about five times higher than the EPA's 0.026 ppm screening value (EPA 2000). Based on these concerns, the Service conducted its own sampling and analysis of fish from Painted Turtle Pond in March 2002.

The objective of this project was to determine the concentrations of chemical contaminants in fish tissue samples from Painted Turtle Pond. The data were analyzed and interpreted and risks for human consumers are discussed.

#### Regulatory Background

The States (D.C., territories and American Indian tribes included in this category) are primarily responsible for evaluating the safety of fish and shellfish obtained by recreational fishermen. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is responsible for evaluating the safety of commercially-obtained fish. States regularly monitor the chemical concentrations in fish through tissue monitoring programs. They apply risk assessment models to establish the need to place restrictions on consumption to protect the public health. EPA has produced a four volume guidance manual to assist the states in these programs. In Volume I of the guidance, EPA (2000)

developed screening values (SVs) for contaminants commonly measured in tissue monitoring programs. A screening value is defined as a concentration that is of potential health concern. Exceedance of a screening value is intended to trigger a more intensive survey and/or an evaluation of human health risk.

There are five major types of advisories that states issue (EPA 2001a):

1. No consumption advisory for the general population - issued when chemical contamination in certain species and/or size classes poses risks such that the general population is advised to avoid eating locally caught fish.

2. No-consumption advisory for sensitive subpopulations - issued when subpopulations (such as pregnant women and children) are advised to avoid consumption of certain species because chemical contamination poses risks to their health.

3. **Restricted consumption for the general population** - issued when health risks may occur if too much fish is consumed. The public is advised to limit their consumption of certain species because of chemical contamination.

4. **Restricted consumption for sensitive subpopulations** - issued when subpopulations (such as pregnant women and children) are advised to limit consumption of certain species because chemical contamination poses risks to their health.

5. **Commercial fishing ban** - issued when high levels of contaminants are found in fish caught for commercial purposes. Commercial harvest is prohibited.

For many contaminants that bioaccumulate in fish, older and larger fish contain higher concentrations than smaller, younger fish. Restrictions on consumption may be based on specific size classes.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Fish Collection

On March 22, 2002, fish were collected from Painted Turtle Pond (38E N 38'45", 77E W 14'30") by boat electroshocking (Figures 2, 3), under the direction of Dr. Gary Swihart of the Service's Gloucester Office for Fisheries Assistance (Gloucester, VA). Table 1 provides a listing of the collected samples. The samples were wrapped in aluminum foil, placed in plastic bags, kept in iced coolers, chain of custody was prepared, and the coolers were transported to CBFO.

Fish were filleted according to EPA (2000) procedures, which involved including the skin on the belly flap for the bluegill and bass and removing the skin (with a hemastat) for the catfish. Fish were weighed to the nearest gram and measured to the nearest millimeter. Samples were split into two jars, one for metals and one for organic analyses. A catalog of samples and requested analyses was prepared using the Environmental Contaminants Data Management System (ECDMS) database and accompanied the shipment of samples. Chain of custody procedures were followed for all transfer of samples. All jars were stored at -20 EC until shipment, with dry ice. Chain of custody form, field notes, and chemical catalog information are provided in Appendix A.

#### Chemical Analysis

All analyses were contracted through USFWS Patuxent Analytical Control Facility (PACF) and conducted by the Geochemical and Environmental Research Group (GERG), Texas A&M University, College Station, TX (for organics) and the Texas A&M Trace Element Research Laboratory (TERL) (for metals). Samples were analyzed for lipid and moisture content, 19 EPA Priority Pollutant trace metals, 23 organochlorine pesticides, Aroclors and total PCBs. All data are reported as wet weight in parts per million. Minimum requested detection limits are indicated in Table 2. Method summaries are included as part of the laboratory reports provided in Appendix B.

#### Data Analysis

Summary statistics were prepared for all analytes, including the frequency of detection, minimum, maximum, mean, and standard deviation of the mean. Total DDT was determined as the sum of all six o,p'- and p,p'- DDD, DDE, and DDT isomers. Total chlordane was determined as the sum of alpha and gamma chlordane, cis- and trans-nonachlor, and oxychlordane (EPA 2000). Excel spreadsheets prepared from the laboratory data files are provided in Appendix B.

Contaminants of concern (COCs) were determined by comparing the concentrations of the analytes against EPA (2000) screening values. All contaminants for which a single sample exceeded the screening value were designated as COCs and the discussion of risks and various methods for developing advisories are restricted to these COCs. In addition to the EPA screening values, Virginia Department of Health trigger levels, and all available U.S. Food and Drug Administration advisory and tolerance levels are identified and included in the summary tables of the tissue data.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

A description of the length and composite weights of the samples is provided in Table 1. Table 3 gives a comparison of the mean and maximum COC concentrations with federal and state risk values. An example of meal restrictions (using Maryland's protocol) that could be applied to these data is shown in Table 4. The following sections discuss risks for mercury, arsenic, and PCBs, which are the primary chemicals detected that approached or exceeded a risk value.

#### Mercury

The concentrations of mercury reported in channel catfish (average: 0.335 ppm) and largemouth bass (average: 0.376 ppm) raise concern for possible adverse effects on human health. The mean concentrations for both species exceed the 0.3 ppm fish tissue ambient water quality criterion which is an advisory concentration aimed at protecting human health (Table 3). The averages approach the 0.4 ppm concentration used by EPA as a screening value and used as a trigger to limit consumption in many states. The mean concentrations, however, do not exceed the 0.5 ppm concentration used by the Virginia Department of Health for advising the public to limit their consumption. However, the maximum concentration of one of the five bass samples (0.682 ppm) does exceed the VDH limit. As an example of another state's approach regarding mercury, the number of meal restrictions that the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) would recommend are calculated (Table 4). States often use different values in their risk assessment equations (such as exposure duration or loss of chemical through cooking) resulting in varying recommendations on meal restrictions.

Mercury was not detected in pond sediments during the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) sampling in 1995 (Stowers *et al.* 1997). At present, it is presumed that mercury concentrations in the Painted Turtle fish tissues result from atmospheric sources, both regional and global.

#### Arsenic

Mean and maximum concentrations of were 0.162 and 0.188 ppm for bluegill, 0.190 and 0.215 ppm for bass, and 0.147 and 0.158 ppm for channel catfish. All of these concentrations are in terms of total arsenic. The EPA (2000) screening value of 0.026 ppm is as inorganic arsenic. FDA (1993) recommended assuming that inorganic arsenic accounts for 10% of total arsenic in shellfish. EPA (2000) cited one study in six species of freshwater fish in which inorganic arsenic represented from 0.1 to 27 percent of the total arsenic. Thus, for the purposes of this report, the 10% fraction will be used. Both the mean and maximum total arsenic concentrations in the samples collected from Painted Turtle Pond are estimated to be below the 0.026 ppm screening value. Because the estimated concentrations do approach the 0.026 ppm screening value, the Service recommends that any future monitoring of fish at this pond analyze for inorganic as well as total arsenic.

Arsenic was not detected in sediments sampled during the Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) in 1995 (Stowers *et al.* 1997). At present, it is unknown whether the arsenic concentrations in the fish samples should be considered elevated because the concentrations cannot be accurately compared with the screening value.

#### PCBs

Mean and maximum total PCB concentrations were 0.027 and 0.029 ppm for bluegill,0.025 and 0.031 ppm for bass, and 0.061 and 0.110 ppm for channel catfish. The higher concentrations in channel catfish reflected their larger size and greater lipid content (0.8-2.2%) compared with the other species (0.3-0.7%). PCBs and other organochlorine compounds tend to accumulate to a greater extent in fish with higher lipid content (Heber and Keenleyside 1995). All concentrations exceed the EPA screening value of 0.02 ppm but do not exceed the 0.600 ppm concentration used as a trigger by the Virginia Department of Health for restricting consumption. The RI/FS sampling effort did not detect PCBs in pond sediments (Stowers *et al.* 1997).

#### Comparison of Current Data with COE data

The lengths of the bluegills analyzed in COE (2003) are not reported. Since contaminant concentrations are often higher in older fish, it is difficult to directly compare concentrations from the two studies. Data for the COCs are shown in Table 5, however, for the sake of completeness. Mercury concentrations among the two collections of bluegills were similar, with mean concentrations within 20%. There were dramatic differences in arsenic and selenium concentrations. Arsenic concentrations in the COE (2003) data set are nearly ten times higher than those reported here and selenium concentrations are about three times higher.

#### RECOMMENDATION

If the refuge is considering opening Painted Turtle Pond to fishing, CBFO recommends that this report be submitted to the Virginia Department of Health to determine if a health advisory for mercury is warranted. CBFO suggests conducting a similar survey every five years to monitor trends. If such a survey is undertaken, CBFO recommends analyzing the same suite of contaminants, in addition to both total and inorganic arsenic (to allow a more accurate assessment of risk).

#### REFERENCES

- Heber, C.E. and K.A. Keenleyside. 1995. To normalize or not to normalize? Fat is the question. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 14:801-807.
- Stowers, J., P. Thompson, M. Ehlers, M. Elias, J. Choynowski, A.Wood, W. Braunstein, J. Neubauer, K. Huber, W. Barner, K. Moran, and G. McKown. 1997. Woodbridge Research Facility Remedial Investigation/Feasability Study. ICF Kaiser Engineers, Edgewood, MD.

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE) 2003. Long term monitoring report #5. Sampling event -June 2001 at Operable Units 1 & 3 Occoquan Bay National Wildlife Refuge, (Former Woodbridge Research Facility) Woodbridge, VA. U.S. Army Engineer District, Baltimore. Baltimore, MD.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2000. Guidance for assessing chemical contaminant data for use in fish advisories, Volume 1, Fish sampling and analysis, third edition. EPA 823-B-00-007, Office of Water, Washington, DC.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2001a.. Update: National listing of fish and wildlife advisories. EPA-823-F-01-010, Office of Water, Washington, DC.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2001b. Water Quality Criteria: Notice of Availability of Water Quality Criterion for the Protection of Human Health: Methylmercury. Federal Register 66(5), 8 January, 1344-1359.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) 1993. Guidance document for arsenic in shellfish. Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition, Washington, DC.

TABLES

Sample ID	Species	# Fish	Total length (mm)	Fillet total weight (g)
OCBG01	Bluegill	4	180,186,180, 171	48
OCBG02	Bluegill	4	160,171,188,176	49
OCBG03	Bluegill	4	176,183,169,182	60
OCBG04	Bluegill	4	171,172,174,172	48
OCBG05	Bluegill	4	162,173,175,184	41
OCLG01	Largemouth bass	1	354	68
OCLG02	Largemouth bass	1	297	62
OCLG03	Largemouth bass	1	300	68
OCLG04	Largemouth bass	1	344	95
OCLG05	Largemouth bass	1	317	73
OCCC01	Channel catfish	1	360	40
OCCC02	Channel catfish	1	432	61
OCCC03	Channel catfish	1	457	52
OCCC04	Channel catfish	1	392	40
OCCC05	Channel catfish	1	395	40

Table 1. Summary of fish collection data.

Note: Catfish are skinless fillets, other fillets include skin following EPA (2000). Guidance for assessing chemical contaminant data for use in fish advisories, Volume 1, Fish sampling and analysis, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. EPA 823-B-00-007.

Table 2. List of parameters to be analyzed and requested detection limits.

<u>Trace</u>	<u>OC pesticides</u>
<u>elements</u>	p,p'-DDE
Aluminum	p,p'-DDD
Arsenic	p,p'-DDT
Barium	o,p'-DDE
Beryllium	o,p'-DDD
Boron	o,p'-DDT
Cadmium	alpha-BHC
Chromium	beta-BHC
Copper	delta-BHC
Iron	gamma-BHC
Lead	aldrin
Magnesium	dieldrin
Manganese	endosulfan II
Mercury	endrin
Molybdenum	heptachlor
Nickel	heptachlor epoxide
Selenium	oxychlordane
Strontium	alpha-chlordane
Vanadium	gamma-chlordane
Zinc	cis-nonachlor
	trans-nonachlor
	mirex
	toxaphene
	pentachloroanisole
	<u>PCBs</u>
	PCB- total and

PCB- total and Aroclors 1242, 1248, 1254, 1260, 1268

Requested minimum acceptable detection limits: Metals (dry weight ppm): Be, Cd -0.10; Hg - 0.20; As, Se, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, Sr, V - 0.50; Ba, Mn, Zn - 1.0; B, Mo - 2.0; Al, Fe, Mg - 5.0. Organochlorine pesticides (wet weight ppm): 0.01 Total PCBs (wet weight ppm): 0.05, Aroclors: 0.01 ppm

Analyte	Species	Freq. of Detec- tion	Mean	Max.	EPA Screening Value <sup>a</sup>	Virginia Dept of Health <sup>b</sup>	EPA Human Health Criterion <sup>c</sup>
Total PCBs	Bluegill	5/5	0.0268	0.0288	0.02	0.600	
	Largemouth Bass	5/5	0.025	0.031			
	Channel Catfish	5/5	0.061	0.110			
Total DDT	Bluegill	0/5			0.117		
	Largemouth Bass	0/5					
	Channel Catfish	4/5	0.0033	0.0060			
Arsenic	Bluegill	5/5	0.162	0.188	0.026 <sup>d</sup> (inorganic)		
	Largemouth Bass	5/5	0.190	0.215			
	Channel Catfish	5/5	0.147	0.158			
Mercury	Bluegill	5/5	0.144	0.205	0.4	0.5	0.3
	Largemouth Bass	5/5	0.376	0.682			
	Channel Catfish	5/5	0.355	0.447			
Selenium	Bluegill	5/5	0.402	0.412	20		
	Largemouth Bass	5/5	0.405	0.441			
	Channel Catfish	5/5	0.261	0.367			

Table 3. Comparison of fish tissue concentrations with EPA and Virginia guidance values (all values in parts per million wet weight).

<sup>a</sup> EPA (2000) values are default values for states to use when site specific information are not available <sup>b</sup> Concentrations above which Virginia Department of Health issues advisories urging the public to restrict consumption, thus at these concentrations there would be no recommended meal restrictions

<sup>c</sup> EPA (2001b) numeric concentration recommended for protection of human health

<sup>d</sup> Arsenic screening value is based on inorganic arsenic, U.S. Food and Drug Administration (1993) advised that an estimated 10% of total arsenic is in inorganic form.

Analyte	Species	Average concentration	Meals per month						
		(ppm wet weight)	Children (0-6)	Women (18-45)	General Pop.				
PCBs	Bluegill	0.0268	4	4-8	4-8				
	Largemouth Bass	0.025	4-8	4-8	4-8				
	Channel Catfish	0.061	2	3	3				
Mercury	Bluegill	0.144	2	4	4				
	Largemouth Bass	0.376	1	2	2				
	Channel Catfish	0.355	1	2	2				

Table 4. Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) recommended meals per month for PCBs and mercury based on average concentrations in Painted Turtle Pond fish.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Data provided by Joseph Beaman, MDE

Chemical of concern	C	urrent stud	ly	COE (2003)			
	Freq	Mean	Max	Freq	Mean	Max	
tPCBs	5/5	0.0268	0.0288	0/6			
tDDT	0/5			5/6	0.0022	0.0037	
Mercury	5/5	0.144	0.205	6/6	0.12	0.14	
Arsenic	5/5	0.162	0.188	6/6	1.33	1.60	
Selenium	5/5	0.402	0.412	6/6	1.26	1.40	

Table 5. Comparison of bluegill data with COE (2003) bluegill data for contaminants of concern (ppm wet weight).

FIGURES

## **APPENDIX A**

# CHAIN OF CUSTODY, FIELD NOTEBOOK, AND CHEMICAL CATALOG INFORMATION

## **APPENDIX B**

# LABORATORY REPORTS, INCLUDING METHOD DESCRIPTIONS AND EXCEL SPREAD SHEET OF ANALYTICAL DATA

# EXCEL SPREADSHEET





# Figure 2. Electroshocking at Painted Turtle Pond, Occoquan Bay National Wildlife Refuge, March 22, 2002.



# Figure 3. Largemouth bass collected by electroshocking.



#### metals

5020105	Species	Total Length (mm)	% Moisture	AI	As	В	Ва	Be	Ca	Cd	Со	Cr	Cu	Fe	Hg	K
OCBG01M	Bluegill	171-186	80.7	2.26	0.135	0.104	0.0787	0.005	629.	0.004	0.052	0.052	0.122	4.52	0.205	3300.
OCBG02M		160-188	80.1	1.13	0.188	0.105	0.0438	0.005	316.	0.004	0.052	0.052	0.179	2.81	0.122	3360.
OCBG03M		169-183	80.0	4.64	0.149	0.109	0.0654	0.005	388.	0.004	0.055	0.170	0.135	3.98	0.142	3280.
OCBG04M		171-174	79.7	0.550	0.175	0.110	0.298	0.006	286.	0.004	0.055	0.534	0.055	6.44	0.120	3330.
OCBG05M		162-184	79.3	1.65	0.162	0.110	0.337	0.006	292.	0.004	0.055	0.528	0.055	7.29	0.132	3330.
	Freq det			4/5	5/5	0/5	5/5	0/5	5/5	0/5	0/5	3/5	3/5	5/5	5/5	5/5
	min			0.550	0.135		0.0438		286			0.052	0.055	2.81	0.120	3280
	max			4.64	0.188		0.337		629			0.534	0.179	7.29	0.205	3360
	mean			2.05	0.162		0.165		382			0.267	0.109	5.01	0.144	3320
	sd			1.58	0.021		0.141		144			0.246	0.054	1.83	0.035	31
OCCC01M	Channel catfish	360	81.8	13.8	0.135	0.096	0.622	0.005	51.5	0.004	0.048	0.440	0.246	10.5	0.289	2840.
OCCC02M		432	80.1	40.8	0.158	0.111	0.130	0.006	66.9	0.004	0.055	0.055	0.404	6.63	0.229	3280.
OCCC03M		457	81.6	0.496	0.155	0.100	0.0377	0.005	47.7	0.004	0.050	0.125	0.228	6.02	0.359	3420.
OCCC04M		392	82.2	1.21	0.135	0.094	0.215	0.005	48.4	0.003	0.047	0.240	0.214	9.31	0.447	3610.
OCCC05M		395	80.4	0.520	0.151	0.104	0.010	0.005	64.9	0.003	0.052	0.263	0.231	6.51	0.349	3690.
	Freq det					0/5		0/5		0/5	0/5	4/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5
	min			0.496	0.135		0.010		47.7			0.055	0.214	6.02	0.229	2840
	max			40.8	0.158		0.622		66.9			0.440	0.404	10.5	0.447	3690
	mean			11.4	0.147		0.203		55.9			0.225	0.265	7.79	0.335	3368
	sd			17.4	0.011		0.248		9.3			0.147	0.079	1.99	0.082	336
OCLG01M	Largemouth bass	354	78.7	0.575	0.145	0.115	0.0347	0.006	103.	0.004	0.058	0.660	0.161	6.58	0.682	3660.
OCLG02M		297	79.1	0.575	0.192	0.115	0.012	0.006	268.	0.004	0.058	0.259	0.120	3.22	0.238	3510.
OCLG03M		300	79.0	0.575	0.192	0.115	0.011	0.006	194.	0.004	0.058	0.169	0.119	3.23	0.191	3740.
OCLG04M		344	79.5	0.530	0.215	0.106	0.0275	0.005	108.	0.004	0.053	0.273	0.192	3.61	0.478	3420.
OCLG05M		317	79.0	0.565	0.208	0.114	0.0271	0.006	313.	0.004	0.057	0.057	0.124	2.29	0.290	3470.
	Freq det			0/5		0/5		0/5		0/5	0/5	4/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5
	min				0.145		0.0115		103			0.057	0.119	2.29	0.191	3420
	max				0.215		0.0347		313			0.660	0.192	6.58	0.682	3740
	mean				0.190		0.0225		197			0.284	0.143	3.79	0.376	3560
	sd				0.027		0.0105		94			0.228	0.032	1.64	0.203	135

2030.	0.0380	1740.	0.394	l
910.	0.0131	1920.	0.388	1

5020105	Species	Mg	Mn	Мо	Na	Ni	Р	Pb	S	Se	Si	Sr	Ti	V	Zn
OCBG01M	Bluegill	266.	0.232	0.104	593.	0.052	2030.	0.0380	1740.	0.394	0.735	0.836	0.052	0.104	12.3
OCBG02M		277.	0.138	0.105	498.	0.052	1910.	0.0131	1920.	0.388	0.745	0.428	0.052	0.105	11.6
OCBG03M		280.	0.183	0.109	502.	0.055	1990.	0.0193	2040.	0.408	0.740	0.512	0.055	0.109	10.9
OCBG04M		282.	0.171	0.110	467.	0.055	1880.	0.0260	2090.	0.412	0.750	0.359	0.055	0.110	9.78
OCBG05M		282.	0.147	0.110	472.	0.055	1900.	0.0240	2150.	0.410	0.820	0.371	0.055	0.110	10.1
	Freq det	5/5	5/5	0/5	5/5	0/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	0/5	5/5	0/5	0/5	5/5
	min	266	0.138		467		1880	0.0131	1740	0.388		0.359			9.78
	max	282	0.232		593		2030	0.0380	2150	0.412		0.836			12.3
	mean	277	0.174		506		1942	0.0241	1988	0.402		0.501			10.9
	sd	7	0.037		51		65	0.0092	162	0.011		0.197			1.0
OCCC01M	Channel catfish	198.	0.158	0.096	311.	0.113	1630.	0.0608	1580.	0.273	2.13	0.0744	0.116	0.096	4.26
OCCC02M		211.	0.130	0.111	404.	0.055	1920.	0.0870	1910.	0.267	0.765	0.0903	0.055	0.111	5.57
OCCC03M		204.	0.0933	0.100	364.	0.050	1840.	0.0241	1760.	0.210	0.745	0.0734	0.050	0.100	4.08
OCCC04M		201.	0.127	0.094	388.	0.047	1920.	0.0158	1700.	0.189	1.90	0.0543	0.047	0.094	4.93
OCCC05M		229.	0.0958	0.104	308.	0.052	1960.	0.0711	1830.	0.367	0.685	0.0876	0.052	0.104	4.25
	Freq det	5/5	5/5	0/5	5/5	1/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	2/5	5/5	1/5	0/5	5/5
	min	198	0.0933		308		1630	0.0158	1580	0.1890	0.69	0.0543	0.047		4.08
	max	229	0.158		404		1960	0.0870	1910	0.3670	2.13	0.0903	0.116		5.57
	mean	209	0.121		355		1854	0.0518	1756	0.2612	1.25	0.0760	0.064		4.62
	sd	12	0.027		44		133	0.0306	126	0.0693	0.71	0.0143	0.029		0.62
OCLG01M	Largemouth bass	285.	0.102	0.115	426.	0.118	1890.	0.0201	2620.	0.426	0.840	0.0486	0.058	0.115	8.80
OCLG02M		293.	0.0947	0.115	408.	0.058	1900.	0.0151	2780.	0.374	0.750	0.245	0.058	0.115	6.56
OCLG03M		304.	0.0964	0.115	370.	0.058	1930.	0.0160	2810.	0.424	0.800	0.133	0.058	0.115	5.75
OCLG04M		277.	0.0572	0.106	363.	0.053	1880.	0.0683	2380.	0.441	0.750	0.0697	0.053	0.106	6.52
OCLG05M		298.	0.0836	0.114	420.	0.057	1930.	0.0165	2670.	0.361	0.820	0.372	0.057	0.114	6.26
	Freq det	5/5	5/5	0/5	5/5	1/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	5/5	0/5	5/5	0/5	0/5	5/5
	min	277	0.0572		363		1880	0.0151	2380	0.361		0.049			5.75
	max	304	0.1020		426		1930	0.0683	2810	0.441		0.372			8.80
	mean	291	0.0868		397		1906	0.0272	2652	0.405		0.174			6.78
	sd	11	0.0178		29		23	0.0231	171	0.035		0.135			1.18