Proposed List has 3 Major Segments

SEGMENTS OF THE IHS LIST

EXTERNAL BENCHMARK

1.	PERSONAL	HEALTH	CARE SER	VICES	(individuals)	1
----	----------	--------	----------	--------------	---------------	---

Medical Care Services
 FHP BCBS PPO Plan

Dental Care Services
 FHP MetLife PPO Dental Plan

Vision Care Services
 FHP BCBS Blue Vision Plan

Selected supplemental IHS services
 No Benchmark

2. PUBLIC HEALTH PROGRAMS (communities)

Public Health Nursing
 No Benchmark

Community Health Representatives
 No Benchmark

Environmental Health Services
 No Benchmark

Sanitation Facilities Construction
 No Benchmark

3. AUGMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (system/network)

AIAN Health Professionals (loans & scholarships)
 No Benchmark

Self-Determination Partnerships (Tribes & IHS)
 No Benchmark


FEHP Plans with Published Cost Data

- MEDICAL SERVICES: Blue Cross & Blue Shield Preferred Provider Organization (BCBS PPO).
 - This plan is "main-stream" in content and extent of coverage, is available in all states, and is more flexible for how "in-network" provider systems are organized, e.g. more adaptable to diverse circumstances found within the IHS network.
- DENTAL SERVICES: MetLife PPO Dental Plan
 - This is a "main-stream" plan within the new Federal Employees Dental and Vision Insurance Program (FEDVIP), which has characteristics similar to those listed above.
- VISION SERVICES: BCBS Blue Vision Plan
 - This is a "main-stream" plan within the new Federal Employees Dental and Vision Insurance Program (FEDVIP), which has characteristics similar to those listed above.

Cost Forecast Approach

- Task -- estimate costs of the proposed covered services/programs.
- Published Federal Employee Health Program (FEHP) premium statistics are used to estimate costs for proposed services/programs in segment #1 -- personal health care services. FEHP premiums are adjusted for
 - differences in covered services
 - additional co-pay, co-insurance, and deductibles, and
 - usage differences caused by AIAN age, health, and geographic distribution
- Additionally, may
 - Assess costs for selected IHS sites understood to be funded more completely than other IHS sites which are substantially under-funded.
 - Assess service costs for items uniquely provided by the IHS
 - Calculate costs using existing IHS methodologies for other IHS coverage segments (e.g., public health and infrastructure)

Technical Approach




Step 1: Premiums Data and Assumptions

- 1. Self Coverage (single) for enrolling individuals. The full premium relates to 1 person.
- 2. Self Plus One. The premium costs (which are on average 190% of self only) relate to 2 persons
- 3. Family Coverage for the enrollee and immediate family members (.e.g. spouse and children). The premium costs (which are on average 270% of self only) relate to _??_ persons, we assume 3.8 persons.

Source: Center for Financing, Access, and Cost Trends, AHRQ, Insurance Component of the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey, 2004


Step 1: Premiums Data



Step 2: Subtract Non-Covered Items

- 1. FEHP BCBS PPO incompletely covers dental care, e.g., approximately 2/3 of dental costs are out of pocket. Because we cost IHS dental care services separately, we subtract 6% of private insurance expenditures that relate to dental expenses.
- 2. Neither the IHS proposal nor the BCBS PPO includes nursing home care or similar home health care. We subtract 2% of average private insurance expenditures related to these expenses in aggregate cost data.

Private Insurance Healthcare Dollar 2003



Step 3: Add "Out-of-Pocket" Costs

- Premiums cover only a portion of actual health care expenses. These "cost shares" are paid out of pocket. Generally, economic pressures in recent years have caused plans to shift a larger share of total health care expenses to the enrollees.
- Ordinarily, AIAN are not charged out-of-pocket costs because of long standing legal authority and IHS practice. Therefore, estimates of outof-pocket costs typical of FEHP plans are added to premiums in the following categories:
 - 1. Annual Aggregate Deductibles
 - 2. Co-insurance (% paid by enrollee) for certain services
 - 3. Co-pays (fixed \$ amount) for office visits, etc.
 - 4. Co-pays or co-insurance for drugs and medicine



- 1. Average family deductible of private sector employees enrolled in a plan with a deductible in 2004 was \$1,120
- 2. Average co-pay was \$18 for an office visit of private sector employees enrolled in a plan with a co-pay in 2004
- 3. Average coinsurance percentage was 18% employees enrolled in a plan with a coinsurance percentage for an office visits, drugs, and other procedures.

Step 4: Adjust for AIAN Utilization Differences

- Because the covered AIAN population differs from the benchmark population in ways that impact total health care costs, benchmark cost estimates are adjusted where practical. In 1999, the LNF study studied these differences actuarially and set the following cost adjustments for IHS' user population.
- -22% less costly due to a younger AIAN population
- +15% more costly due to a sicker AIAN population
- -6% less costly due to rural location

Older people consume more health care

 The AIAN population is younger on average than the benchmark population covered by the FEHP

Sicker people consume more health care

 The AIAN population has lower health status and more needs for services than for covered persons of similar ages

Costs in rural areas is typically less than in urban areas

 A greater portion of IHS' AIAN service population lives in rural areas where prevailing costs are typically less

Step 5: Subtract Coverage for PI, M, M

u	□ Employer Sponsored Private Insurance □ Medicare □ Medicaid □ SCHIP □ Veterans
	The extent of coverage among AIANs varies place to place and time to time depending on employment rates, income, and family factors.
	IHS collects reimbursement, chiefly M&M, which help to provide supplemental services that would not otherwise be possible.
	AIANs obtain an unknown amount of services outside of the IHS system whose cost value is not known either. Many commentators suggest that AIAN do not fully realize all benefits to which they are entitled.
	There is some disagreement about dollar value of coverage for IHS covered AIAN that would be expected to come from third parties. Since the LNF study in 1999, IHS has used a system-wide rate of 25% for planning, budgeting, and resource allocation with an understanding that this factor is crude and would vary site-to-site.

Per Person Cost Benchmark for Personal Health Care Services (MEDICAL)

		Self-Only		Family			
		Source	Α	mount	Source	A	Amount
#1	Average PPO Premium 2006	2006 average		\$4,385			\$11,765
#2	Coverage Ajustments						
	Deduct Dental Care	6% average in 2004	\$	(263)	6% average in 2004	\$	(706)
	Deduct Nursing Home Care	2% average in 2004	\$	(88)	2% average in 2004	\$	(235)
#3	Out-of-Pocket Payment Adjustmen	nts					
	Add-on for Annual Deductions	avg annual deductions	\$	473	250% of Self-Only Deductions	\$	1,183
	Add-on for Co-Insurance Payments	17% * 33% * Premium	\$	246	250% of Self-Only Co-Ins.	\$	615
	Add-on for Office Visit Co-pays	3 Visits * \$15	\$	45	11 Visits * \$15	\$	165
	Add-on for Drugs/Medicine Co-pays	3 prescriptions * \$15	\$	45	11 prescriptions * \$15	\$	165
#4	AIAN Utilization Adjustments						
	Adj. Cost of Plan	higher avg cost of adults	\$	4,843	lower cost due to children	\$	12,951
	Cost per person		\$	4,843	plan cost / 3.8	\$	3,408
	Self-Only or Family Enrollment %	fewer AIAN are Self-Only		25%	Younger AIAN, more Family		75%
	Blended cost per person	22% lower than seli	f-only		\$3,767		
	Sicker AIAN cost 15% more	15% * blended co	ost		\$565		
	Rural Locations cost 6% less	-6% * blended co	ost		(\$226)		
Pr	ojected Total Cost per Person	younger, sicker, mor	e rura	al	\$4,106		
#5	3rd Party Coveage Adjustment						
	Subtract 25% for other coverage	-25% * AIAN Adj. Full Cos	t per	Person	(\$1,026)		
N	et Cost/AIAN	if 25% paid by oth	ers		\$3,079		

Per Person Cost Benchmark for Personal Health Care Services

	Data Sources Notes
#1: Average PPO Premium 2006	2006 Average for PPO Employee Health Benefits, 2006 Annual Survey 2006 Kaiser/HRET Employer Health Benefits Survey Chart 4, Chart Pack http://www.kff.org/insurance/7527/upload/7561.pdf
#2: Deduct 6% of Premium for Dental Care and Deduct 2% of Premium Nursing Home Care	6% Dental average in 2004 and 2% Nursing Home average in 2004 National Healthcare Trends (CHARTS) Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Publication Private Insurance Healthcare Dollar 2003, Page 9 Adapted from Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (2005c) http://www.bcbs.com/betterknowledge/mcrg/chap1/MCRG_chap1.pdf
#3: Add-On Annual Deductions	average annual deductions Employee Health Benefits, 2006 Annual Survey 2006 Kaiser/HRET Employer Health Benefits Survey 2006 Summary of Findings, Page 3, Employee Cost Sharing http://www.kff.org/insurance/7527/upload/7528.pdf
#3: Add-On Co-Insurance Payments 17% * 33% * Premium	17% average coinsurance rate for inpatient care, surgery, and procedures Employee Health Benefits, 2006 Annual Survey 2006 Kaiser/HRET Employer Health Benefits Survey 2006 Summary of Findings, Page 3, Employee Cost Sharing http://www.kff.org/insurance/7527/upload/7528.pdf 33% average private insurance inpatient spending National Healthcare Trends (CHARTS) Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association Publication Private Insurance Healthcare Dollar 2003, Page 9 Adapted from Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (2005c) http://www.bcbs.com/betterknowledge/mcrg/chap1/MCRG_chap1.pdf
#3: Add-on for Office Visit Co-pays	Assume 3 visits annually \$15 is typical PPO co-pay for office visit
#3: Add-on for Drugs/Medicine Co-pays	Assume 3 prescriptions annually \$15 is typical PPO co-pay for office visit Average varies for generic, preferred, name brand. Assume 75% generic and 25% name brand.

Cost Calculations: MetLife PPO Dental Plan

		Self-Only		Family			
		Source	Α	mount	Source		Amount
#1	FEP MetLife PPO Dental Plan Premium for 2007	Rating Area 3, standard self-only @ \$8.69 bi-weekly		\$226	Rating Area 3, standard family @ \$26.08 bi-weekly		\$678
#2	Coverage Ajustments						
	na	na	\$	-	na	\$	-
#3	Out-of-Pocket Payment Adjustm	ents (in network stan	dar	d optior	1)		
	Class A: Preventive	0% for 30% of costs	\$	-	0% for 30% of costs	\$	-
	Class B: Intermediate	45% for 30% of costs	\$	48	45% for 30% of costs	\$	144
	Class C: Major	65% for 30% of costs	\$	64	65% for 30% of costs	\$	192
	Othodontia	50% for 10% of costs	\$	18	50% for 10% of costs	\$	54
#4	AIAN Utilization Adjustments						
	Cost of Plan	higher avg cost of adults	\$	356	lower cost due to children	\$	1,068
	Cost per person		\$	356	plan cost / 3.8	\$	281
	Self-Only or Family Enrollment %	fewer AIAN are Self-Only		25%	Younger AIAN, more Family		75%
	Age Adj. Cost/AIAN is 16% less	16% lower than self-only due to	youn	ger AIAN	\$300		
	20% more AIAN Dental problems	20% * age adj. cos	st		\$60		
	Rural Locations cost 6% less	-6% * age adj. cos	st		(\$18)		
Fu	III Cost/AIAN	younger, sicker, more	rural		\$342		
#5	3rd Party Coveage Adjustment						
	Subtract 12% for other coverage	Less alternate coverage than	for n	nedical	(\$41)		
Ne	et Cost/AIAN	if 10% paid by othe	rs		\$301		

Cost Calculations: BCBS PPO Vision Plan

		Self-Only		Family			
		Source	Α	mount	Source		Amount
#1	FEP BCBS Vision Plan Premium for 2007	Standard self-only @ \$3.97 bi- weekly		\$103	Standard self + family @ \$11.92 bi-weekly		\$310
#2	Coverage Ajustments na	na	\$		na	\$	-
#3	Out-of-Pocket Payment Adjustment	nts (in network stand	ard	option)			
	1 Routine Vision Exam Annually 1 Pair Lenses Annually	0% 0%	\$ \$	-	0% 0%	\$ \$	- -
	1 Frame (standard type) Annually 1 Pair Contact Lenses (in lieu frames)	0% 0%	\$ \$	-	0% 0%	\$ \$	-
#4	AIAN Utilization Adjustments		•				
	Cost of Plan	higher avg cost of adults	\$	103	lower cost due to children	\$	310
	Cost per person		\$	103	plan cost / 3.8	\$	82
	Self-Only or Family Enrollment %	fewer AIAN are Self-Only		25%	Younger AIAN, more Family		75%
	Age Adj. Cost/AIAN is 16% less	16% lower than self-only due to	youn	ger AIAN	\$87		
	Assume AIAN vision is no different	0% * age adj. cos	t		\$0		
	Assume same cost for urban and rural	-0% * age adj. cos	st		\$0		
Fu	III Cost/AIAN	younger, sicker, more	rural		\$87		
#5	3rd Party Coveage Adjustment						
	Subtract 12% for other coverage	Less alternate coverage than	for m	nedical	(\$10)		
Ne	et Cost/AIAN	if 10% paid by othe	rs		\$77		

Supplemental Personal Health Care Services

- supplement typical BCBS medical benefits with additional services felt important to AIAN health.
 Proposed supplemental services include:
 - Exercise Programs
 - Hearing Aids/exams
 - Infant Car Seats
 - Traditional Healing Services
 - Family Counseling
- No benchmark cost data was available to estimate costs.

Segment 1 Cost Summary

#1: Personal Health Care Services (PHCS)

PHCS	FULL Cost/AIAN	NET Cost/AIAN
Category MEDICAL SERVICES	\$4,106	\$3,079
DENTAL SERVICES	\$342	\$301
VISION SERVICES	\$87	\$77
SUPPLEMENTAL PHCS	?	?
TOTAL PHCS	\$4,535 ?	\$3,457 ?

Reliability of Cost Estimates

- Premiums data for FEHP medical, dental and vision care plans --which correspond to roughly 80% of existing IHS expenditures-- are available to estimate costs the IHS could incur to cover comparable services, adjusting for known differences in coverage, out-of-pocket costs, and utilization. The FEHP data provide a reasonable, market-based, external standard for costing the proposed IHS list of services/programs.
- Of course, no external standard will perfectly predict costs the IHS would actually experience. Moreover, costs predicted from FEHP data are calculated as "point" estimates (e.g., \$4,106 per person). Such apparently precise numbers are better understood as the center of a uncertain cost range that may vary by several hundred dollars. Forecasting costs for individual IHS sites, especially if the population is small should be undertaken with even more caution as the range of uncertainty is wider.
- FEHP premiums correspond to the <u>whole package</u> of covered benefits. Benchmark cost data for individual items within the package is not publicly available. The workgroup categorized proposed IHS services into 3 tiers, but without corresponding benchmark cost data individual cost estimates for each tier are impractical. The work group is considering whether rough "back of the envelop" cost approximations for the tiers might be acceptable for some planning purposes.