R2 R3 R4 **R**5

R6

R7

R8 R9

R10

R11

R12

R13

R14

R15

R16

R17

R18

R19 R20

R21 R22

R23

R28

R29

R30

R31

R32

R33

R34

R35

R36

R37

R38

R39

R40

R41

R42

R43

R44

R45

R46

R47

R48

R49

R50

R51



Agriculture

Key Messages:

- Many crops show positive responses to elevated carbon dioxide and lower levels of warming, but higher levels of warming often negatively affect growth and yields.
- Extreme events such as heavy downpours and droughts are likely to reduce crop yields because excesses or deficits of water have negative impacts on plant growth.
- · Weeds, diseases, and insect pests benefit from warming, and weeds also benefit from a higher carbon dioxide concentration, increasing stress on crop plants and requiring more attention to pest and weed control.
- Forage quality in pasture and rangeland generally declines with increasing carbon dioxide concentration because of the effects on plant nitrogen and protein content, reducing the land's ability to supply adequate livestock feed.
- Increased heat, disease, and weather extremes are likely to reduce livestock productivity.

I 23 Agriculture in the United States is extremely diverse in the L24 range of crops and animals grown and produces over \$200 I.25billion a year in food commodities, with livestock accounting L26 for more than half. Climate change will increase productivity L27 in certain crops and regions and reduce productivity in others

L28 (see for example *Midwest* and *Great Plains* regions)¹.

1.29

L30 While climate change clearly affects agriculture, climate is L31 also affected by agriculture, which contributes 13.5 percent L32 of all human-induced greenhouse gas emissions globally. In

L33 the United States, agriculture represents 8.6 percent of the L34 nation's total greenhouse gas emissions, including

L35 80 percent of its nitrous oxide emissions and 31

L36 percent of its methane emissions². L37 L38 Increased agricultural productivity will be re-L39 quired in the future to supply the needs of an I 40 increasing population. Agricultural productivity L41 is dependent upon the climatic and land resources. L42 Climate change can have both beneficial and det-I.43 rimental impacts on plants. For example, water is required for plant growth, but too much can cause L44 I.45 flooding and drowned plants. Throughout history I.46 agricultural enterprises have coped with changes L47 in climate through changes in management and in L48 crop or animal selection. However, the projected I.49 climate changes are likely to challenge the United L50 States capacity to as efficiently produce food, L51 feed, fuel, and livestock products.

Relative Contributions to Agricultural Products 2002



1 Dot = \$20 million United States Total \$200.6 billion NASS³



L6

L7

1.8

19

L10

L11

L12

L13

L14

L15

L16

L17

L18

L19

L20

L21

L22

L23

1.24

1.25

L28

1.29

L30

L31

L32

L33

L34

L1Many crops show positive responsesL2to elevated carbon dioxide and lowerL3levels of warming, but higher levels ofL4warming often negatively affect growthL5and yields.

Crop responses in a changing climate reflect the interplay among three factors: changing temperatures, increasing carbon dioxide concentrations, and changing water resources. Warming generally causes plants to grow faster, with obvious benefits. For some plants, such as cereal crops, however, faster growth means there is less time for the grain to grow and mature, reducing their yields¹.

> Higher carbon dioxide levels generally cause plants to grow larger. For some crops, this is not necessarily a benefit because they are often less nutritious, with reduced nitrogen and protein content. Carbon dioxide also makes some plants more water-use efficient, meaning they produce more plant material, such as grain, on less water¹. This is a benefit in water-limited areas and in seasons with less than normal rainfall amounts.

> Plants need adequate water to maintain their temperature within an optimal range. Without water for cooling, plants will suffer heat stress. In many regions, irrigation water is used to maintain adequate temperature conditions for the growth of cool season plants (such as many vegetables), even in warm environments. With increasing demand and competition for freshwater supplies, the water needed for these crops might be increasingly limited. If water supply variability increases, it will

affect plant growth and cause drastically reduced yields. The amount and timing of precipitation during the growing season are also critical, and will be affected by climate change. Changes in season length are also important and affect crops differently¹.

R1

R2

R3

R4

R5

R6

R7

R23

R40

R50

Higher temperatures will mean a longer grow-**R**8 ing season for crops that do well in the heat, such R9 as melon, okra, and sweet potato, but a shorter R10 growing season for crops more suited to cooler R11 conditions, such as potato, lettuce, broccoli, and R12 spinach¹. Higher temperatures also cause plants to R13 use more water to keep cool. This is one example of R14 how the interplay between rising temperatures and R15 water availability is critical to how plants respond R16 to climate change. But fruits, vegetables, and grains R17 can suffer even under well-watered conditions if R18 temperatures exceed the maximum level for pol-R19 len viability in a particular plant; if temperatures R20 exceed the threshold for that plant, it won't produce R21 seed and so it won't reproduce¹. R22

The grain-filling period (the time of grain growth R24 and maturation) of wheat and other small grains R25 shortens dramatically with rising temperatures. R26 R27 Analysis of crop responses suggests that even moderate increases in temperature will decrease R28 yields of corn, wheat, sorghum, bean, rice, cotton, R29 and peanut crops. Further, as temperatures continue R30 to rise and drought periods increase, crops will be R31 more frequently exposed to temperature thresholds R32 at which pollination and grain-set processes begin R33 to fail and quality of vegetable crops decreases. R34 Grain, soybean, and canola crops have relatively R35

low optimal temperatures, and thusR36will have reduced yields and willR37increasingly begin to experienceR38failure as warming proceeds¹.R39

Temperature increases will cause R41 the optimum latitude for cropping R42 systems to move northward, while R43 decreases in temperature will cause R44 shifts toward the equator. Where R45 plants can be efficiently grown de-R46 pends upon the climate resources. R47 of which temperature is one of the R48 major limitations. R49

L35 L36 L37 L38 Corn L39 L40 Plant Growth Rate L41 L42 L43 L44 I.45 50 60 70 80 90 100 Air Temperature (°F) L46 L47 L48 I 49

Corn and Soybean Temperature Response





L50

R2

R3

R4

R5

R6

R7

R8

R9

R10

R11

R12

R13

R14

R15

R16

R17

R18

R19

R20

R21

R22

R29

R30

R31

R32

R33

R34

R35

R36

R37





The graph shows the observed and projected change in percent of very warm nights from the 1950 to 1990 average, in the United States. Under the lower emissions scenario[†], the percentage of very warm nights is projected to increase about 20 percent by 2100; under the higher emissions scenario[†], it is projected to increase by about 40 percent⁴. The projections appear smooth because they are an average of many models.

Some crops are particularly sensitive to high
nighttime temperatures, which have been rising
even faster than daytime temperatures⁴. Nighttime
temperatures are expected to continue to rise in the
future. Common snap beans, for example, show
substantial yield reduction when nighttime temperatures exceed 80°F.

L31 In some cases, adapting to climate change could L32 be as simple as changing planting dates, which can L33 be an effective no- or low-cost option for taking L34 advantage of a longer growing season or avoiding L35 crop exposure to adverse climatic conditions such L36 as high temperature stress or low rainfall periods. L37 Effectiveness will depend on the region, crop, and the rate and amount of warming. It is unlikely to L38 L39 be effective if a farmer goes to market when the L40 supply-demand balance drives prices down. Pre-L41 dicting the optimum planting date for maximum L42 profits will be very challenging in a future with I.43 increased uncertainty regarding climate effects on L44 not only local productivity, but also on supply from L45 competing regions. L46

L47 Another adaptation strategy involves changing to
L48 crop varieties with improved tolerance to heat or
L49 drought, or those that are adapted to take advantage
L50 of a longer growing season. This is less likely to be

cost-effective for perennial crops, for which changing varieties is extremely expensive and new plantings take several years to reach maximum productivity. Even for annual crops, changing varieties is not always a low-cost option. Seed for new stresstolerant varieties can be expensive, and new varieties often require investments in new planting equipment or require adjustments in a wide range of farming practices. In some cases, it is difficult to breed for genetic tolerance to elevated temperature or to identify an alternative variety that is adapted to the new climate and to local soils, practices, and market demands.

Fruits that require long winter chilling periods will experience declines. Many varieties of fruits (such as popular varieties of apples and berries) require between 400 and 1,800 cumulative hours below 45°F each winter to produce abundant yields the fol-

lowing summer and fall. By late this century, under higher emissions scenarios[†], winter temperatures in many important fruit-producing regions such as the Northeast will be too consistently warm to meet these requirements. Cranberries have a particularly high chilling requirement, and there are no known low-chill varieties. Massachusetts and New Jersey supply nearly half the nation's cranberry crop. By the middle of this century, under higher emissions scenarios[†], it is unlikely that these areas will provide cranberries due to a lack of the winter chilling they need^{5.6}.

A seemingly paradoxical impact of warming is that it appears to be increasing the risk of plant frost damage. Mild winters and warm, early springs, which are beginning to occur more frequently as climate warms, induce premature plant development and blooming, resulting in exposure of vulnerable young plants and plant tissues to subsequent late-season frosts. For example, the 2007 spring freeze in the eastern United States caused widespread devastation of crops and natural vegetation because the frost occurred during the flowering period of many trees and during early grain development on wheat plants⁷. Another example is occurring in the Rocky Mountains where in addition to the process described above, reduced snow



R50

R2

R3

R4

R5

R6

R7

R8

R9

R10

R11

R12

R13

R14

R15

R16

R17

R18

R19

R20

R21

R22

R23

R24

R25

R26

R27

R28

R29

R30

R31

R32

R33

R34

R35

R36

R37

R38

R39

R40

R41

R42

R43

R44

R45

R46

R47

R48

R49

R50

L1 1.2 L3 IA L5 L6 L7 1.8 19 L10 L11 L12 L13 L14 L15 L16 L17 L18 L19 L20 L21 L22

L24 125 L26

L28

1.29

L23

L47

L48

I 49

L50

Effects of Increased Air Pollution on Crop Yields

Ground-level ozone (smog) is an air pollutant that is formed when nitrogen oxides emitted from fossil fuel burning interact with other compounds, such as unburned gasoline vapors, in the atmosphere⁹, in the presence of sunlight. Higher air temperatures result in greater concentrations of ozone. Ozone levels at the land surface have risen in rural areas of the United States over the past 50 years, and they are forecast to continue increasing with warming, especially under higher emissions scenarios[†]. Plants are sensitive to ozone, and crop yields are reduced as ozone levels increase. Some crops that are particularly sensitive to ozone pollution include soybeans, wheat, oats, green beans, peppers, and some types of cotton¹.

cover leaves young plants unprotected from spring frosts, with some plant species already beginning to suffer as a result⁸ (see *Ecosystems* sector).

Extreme events such as heavy downpours and droughts are likely to reduce crop yields because excesses or deficits of water have negative impacts on plant growth.

One of the most pronounced effects of climate change is the increase in heavy downpours. Precipitation has become less frequent but more intense, and this pattern is projected to continue across the United States¹⁰. One consequence of excessive rainfall is delayed spring planting, which jeopardizes profits for farmers paid a premium for early season production of high-value crops such as melon, sweet corn, and tomatoes. Field flooding during the growing season causes crop losses due to low oxygen levels in the soil, increased susceptibility to root diseases, and increased soil compaction due to the use of heavy farm equipment on wet soils. In spring 2008, heavy rains caused the Mississippi River to rise to about 7 feet above flood stage, inundating hundreds of thousands of acres of cropland. The flood hit just as farmers were preparing to harvest wheat and to plant corn, soybeans, and cotton. The losses have not yet been estimated but are expected to be large, requiring years of recovery time. The flooding severely eroded upland soils where erosion put some farmers out of business. The flooding also caused an increase in runoff and leaching of agricultural chemicals into surface water and groundwater⁵.

More rainfall concentrated into heavy downpours also increases the likelihood of water deficiencies at other times because of reductions in rainfall frequency. Another impact of heavy downpours is that wet conditions at harvest time result in reduced quality of many crops. Storms with heavy rainfall often are accompanied by wind gusts, and both strong winds and rain can flatten crops, causing significant damage. Vegetable and fruit crops are sensitive to even short-term, minor stresses, and as such are particularly vulnerable to weather extremes¹.

Temperature extremes also will pose problems. Even crop species that are well-adapted to warmth, such as tomatoes, can have reduced yield and/ or quality when daytime maximum temperatures



While technological improvements have resulted in a general increase in corn yields, extreme weather events have caused dramatic reductions in yields in particular years. Increased variation in yield is likely to occur as temperatures increase and rainfall becomes more variable during the growing season. Without dramatic technological breakthroughs, yields are unlikely to continue their historical upward trend as temperatures rise above the optimum level for vegetative and reproductive growth.

R5 R6 R7 **R**8 R9 R10 R11 R12 R13 R14 R15 R16 R17 R18 R19 R20 R21

R1

R2

R3

R4



R22

R23

R28 R29 R30 R31 R32 R33 R34 R35 R36 R37 R38 R39 R40 R41 R42 R43 R44 R45 R46 R47 R48 R49 R50

L1 exceed 90°F for even short periods during critical
L2 reproductive stages¹⁰. For many high-value crops,
L3 just hours or days of moderate heat stress at critical
L4 growth stages can reduce grower profits by negaL5 tively affecting visual or flavor quality, even when
L6 total yield is not reduced¹².

L0 L7

L15

L8 Drought frequency and severity are projected to
L9 increase in the future, particularly under higher
E10 emissions scenarios^{†,13}. Increased drought will be
E11 occurring at a time when crop water requirements
E12 also are increasing due to rising temperatures. WaE13 ter deficits are detrimental for all crops⁵.
E14

L16 Weeds, diseases, and insect pests
L17 benefit from warming, and weeds also
L18 benefit from a higher carbon dioxide
L19 concentration, increasing stress on crop
L20 plants and requiring more attention to
L21 pest and weed control.
L22

L23 Weeds benefit more than cash crops from higher L24 temperatures and carbon dioxide levels¹. One L25 concern with continued warming is the northward L26 expansion of invasive weeds. Southern farmers lose L27 more to weeds than northern farmers. For example, southern farmers lose 64 percent of the soybean L28 1.29 crop to weeds, while northern farmers lose 22 per-L30 cent¹⁴. Some extremely aggressive weeds plaguing

L31 the South (such as kudzu) have historiL32 cally been confined to areas where winter
L33 temperatures do not drop below specific
L34 thresholds. As temperatures continue to
L35 rise, these weeds will expand their ranges
L36 northward into important agricultural
L37 areas¹⁵. Kudzu currently has invaded 2.5

L38 million acres of the Southeast and is aL39 carrier of the fungal disease soybean rust,

L40 which represents a major and expandingL41 threat to U.S. soybean production⁶.

L42

I.43 Controlling weeds currently costs the L44 United States more than \$11 billion a year, I.45 with the majority spent on herbicides¹⁶; L46 so both herbicide use and costs are likely L47 to increase as temperatures and carbon L48 dioxide levels rise. At the same time, the I.49 most widely used herbicide in the United States, glyphosate (RoundUp[®]), loses its L50

efficacy on weeds grown at carbon dioxide levels that are projected to occur in the coming decades. Higher concentrations of the chemical and more frequent spraying thus will be needed, increasing economic and environmental costs associated with chemical use⁵.

Many insect pests and crop diseases thrive due to warming, increasing losses and necessitating greater pesticide use. Warming aids insects and diseases in several ways. Rising temperatures allow both insects and pathogens to expand their ranges northward. In addition, rapidly rising winter temperatures allow more insects to survive over the winter, whereas cold winters once controlled their populations. Some of these insects, in addition to directly damaging crops, also carry diseases that harm crops. Crop diseases in general are likely to increase as earlier springs and warmer winters allow proliferation and higher survival rates of disease pathogens and parasites^{1,6}. The longer growing season will allow some insects to produce more generations in a single season, greatly increasing their populations. Finally, plants grown in higher carbon dioxide conditions tend to be less nutritious, so insects must eat more to meet their protein requirements, causing greater destruction to crops¹.

Due to the increased presence of pests, spraying is already much more common in warmer areas



Current CO₂

Future CO_2 (+300 ppm)

The left photo shows weeds in a plot grown at current carbon dioxide (CO_2) concentration of about 380 parts per million (ppm). The right photo shows a plot in which CO_2 level has been raised to about 680 ppm.

R2

R3

R4

R5

R6

R7

R8

R9

R10

R11

R12

R13

R14

R15

R16

R17

R18

R19

R20

R21

R22

R23

R24

R25

R26

R27

R28

R29

L1 than in cooler areas. For example, 1.2 Florida sweet corn growers spray L3 their fields 15 to 32 times a year to IA fight pests such as corn borer and L5 corn earworm, while New York farmers average zero to five times. L6 L7 In addition, higher temperatures are known to reduce the effective-1.8 19 ness of certain classes of pesticides L10 (pyrethroids and spinosad).

L11

L12

L13

L14

L15

L16

L17

L18

L19

L20

L21

L22

L23

I 24

L28

L29

L30

L31

L32

L33

L34

L35

L36

L37

L38

L39

L40

L41

L42

I.43

L44

I.45

L46

L47

L48

I.49

L50

A particularly unpleasant example of how carbon dioxide tends to favor undesirable plants is found in the response of poison ivy to rising carbon dioxide concentrations.
Poison ivy thrives in air with extra carbon dioxide in it, growing bigger and producing a more toxic form of the oil, urushiol, which causes painful skin reactions in 80 percent of people. Contact with poison ivy is

one of the most widely reported ailments at poison centers in the United States, causing more than 350,000 cases of contact dermatitis each year. The growth stimulation of poison ivy due to increasing carbon dioxide concentration exceeds that of most other woody species. Given continued increases in carbon dioxide emissions, poison ivy is expected to become more abundant and more toxic in the future, with implications for forests and human health⁶.

Higher temperatures, longer growing seasons, and increased drought will lead to increased agricultural water use in some areas. Obtaining the maximum "carbon dioxide fertilization" benefit often requires more efficient use of water and fertilizers that better synchronize plant demand with supply. Farmers are likely to respond to more aggressive and invasive weeds, insects, and pathogens with increased use of herbicides, insecticides, and fungicides. Where increases in water and chemical inputs become necessary, this will increase costs for the farmer, as well as having society-wide impacts by depleting water supply, increasing reactive nitrogen and pesticide loads to the environment, and increasing risks to food safety and human exposure to pesticides.



Temperatures are rising faster in winter than in any other season, especially in many key agricultural regions. This allows many insect pests and crop diseases to expand and thrive, creating increasing challenges for agriculture. As indicated by the map, the Midwest and northern Great Plains have experienced increases of more than $7^{\circ}F$ in average winter temperatures over the past 30 years.

Forage quality in pasture and rangeland generally declines with increasing carbon dioxide concentration because of the effects on plant nitrogen and protein content, reducing the land's ability to supply adequate livestock feed.

Beef cattle production takes place in every state R30 in the United States, with the greatest number R31 raised in regions that have an abundance of native R32 or planted pastures for grazing. Generally, eastern R33 pasturelands are planted and managed, whereas R34 western rangelands are native pastures, which are R35 not seeded and receive much less rainfall. There are R36 transformations now underway in many semi-arid R37 rangelands as a result of increasing atmospheric R38 carbon dioxide concentration and the associated R39 climate change. These transformations involve R40 which species of grasses dominate, as well as qual-R41 ity changes within species. Increases in carbon R42 dioxide generally are reducing the quality of the R43 forage, so that more acreage is needed to provide R44 animals with the same nutritional value, resulting R45 in an overall decline in livestock productivity. In R46 addition, woody shrubs and invasive cheatgrass are R47 encroaching into grasslands, further reducing their R48 forage value¹. The combination of these factors R49 leads to an overall decline in livestock productivity. R50

R2

R3

R4

R5

R6

R7

R8

R9

R10

R11

R12

R13

R14

R15

R16

R17

R18

R19

R20

R21

R22

R23

R28

R29 R30

R31

R32

R33

R34

R35

R36

R37

R38

R39

R40

R41

R42

R43

R44

R45

R46

R47

R48

R49

R50

L1 The rising atmospheric carbon dioxide concentra-1.2 tion affects forage quality because plant nitrogen L3 and protein concentrations often decline with high-IA er concentrations of carbon dioxide¹. This reduction 1.5 in protein reduces forage quality and counters the L6 positive effects of carbon dioxide-enrichment on L7 plant production and carbohydrates. Rising carbon dioxide concentration might reduce the digestibility 1.8 19 of forages that are already of poor quality. Reduc-L10 tions in forage quality could have pronounced L11 detrimental effects on animal growth, reproduction, L12 and survival, and could render livestock production unsustainable unless animal diets are supplemented L13 L14 with protein, adding more costs to the production. L15 On shortgrass prairie, for example, carbon dioxide L16 enrichment reduced the protein concentration of L17 autumn forage below critical maintenance levels L18 for livestock in 3 out of 4 years and reduced the L19 digestibility of forage by 14 percent in mid-summer L20 and by 10 percent in autumn. Significantly, the L21 grass type that thrived the most under excess car-L22 bon dioxide conditions also had the lowest protein L23 concentration¹.

L24

L25 L26 L27 L28

L29

L30 L31

L32

L33

L34

L35

L36

L37

L38

L39

L40

L41

L42

I.43

L44

I.45

L46

L47

L48

I 49

L50

At the scale of a region, the composition of forage plant species is determined mostly by climate and soils. The primary factor controlling the distribution and abundance of plants is water: both the amount of water plants use and water availability over time and space. The ability to anticipate vegetation changes at local scales and over shorter periods is limited because at these scales the response of vegetation to global-scale changes depends on a variety of local processes including the rate of disturbances such as fire and grazing, and the rate at which plant species can move across sometimes-fragmented landscapes. Nevertheless, some general patterns of vegetation change are beginning to emerge. For example, experiments indicate that higher carbon dioxide concentration favors weeds and invasive plant species over native species because invasive species have traits (such as rapid growth rate or prolific seed production) that allow a larger growth response to carbon dioxide. In addition, the effect of a higher carbon dioxide concentration on plant species composition appears to be greatest where the land has been disturbed



2nd Public Review Draft, January 2009 Do Not Cite Or Quote

1.24 1.25 L26 L27L28

1.29

(such as by fire or grazing) and nutrient and light availability are high¹.

Increases in temperature lengthen the growing season, and thus are likely to extend forage production into the late fall and early spring. However, overall productivity remains dependent on precipitation during the growing season¹.

Increased heat, disease, and weather extremes are likely to reduce livestock productivity.

Like human beings, cows, pigs, and poultry are warm-blooded animals that are sensitive to heat. In terms of production efficiency, studies show that the negative effects of hotter summers will outweigh the positive effects of warmer winters. The more the U.S. climate warms, the more production will fall. For example, an analysis of warming in the range of 9 to 11°F (as projected under higher emissions scenarios[†]) projected a 10 percent decline in livestock yields in cow/calf and dairy operations in Appalachia, the Southeast (including the Mississippi Delta), and southern Plains regions, while a warming of 2.7°F caused less than a 1 percent decline. Temperature and humidity interact to cause stress in animals, just as in humans; the higher the heat and humidity, the greater the stress and discomfort, and the larger the reduction in the animals' ability to produce milk, gain weight, and reproduce. Milk production declines in dairy operations, the number of days it takes for cows to reach their target weight grows longer in meat operations, conception rate in cattle falls, and swine growth rates decline due to heat. As a result, swine, beef, and milk production are all projected to decline in a warmer world¹.

The projected increases in air temperatures will negatively affect confined animal operations (dairy, beef, and swine) located in the central United L43 L44 States, increasing summertime economic losses as L45 a result of reductions in performance associated L46 with lower feed intake and increased requirements L47 for energy to maintain healthy livestock. These L48 losses do not account for the costs of increased I 49 death of livestock associated with extreme weather events such as heat waves. Nighttime recovery is L50

an essential element of survival when livestock are R1 stressed by extreme heat. A feature of recent heat R2 waves is the lack of nighttime relief. Large numbers R3 of deaths have occurred in recent heat waves, with R4 individual states reporting losses of 5,000 head of R5 cattle in a single heat wave in one summer¹. R6

Warming also affects parasites and disease pathogens. The earlier arrival of spring and warmer winters allow greater proliferation and survival of para-R10 sites and disease pathogens. In addition, changes in R11 rainfall distributions are likely to lead to changes in R12 diseases sensitive to moisture. Heat stress reduces R13 animals' ability to cope with other stresses, such as R14 diseases and parasites. In addition, changes in rain-R15 fall distributions could lead to changes in diseases R16 sensitive to relative humidity. R17

Maintaining livestock production would require modifying facilities to reduce heat stress on animals, using the best understanding of both the chronic and acute stresses that livestock will encounter to determine the optimal modification strategy.

Changing livestock species as an adaptation strategy is a much more extreme, high-risk, and, in most cases, high-cost option than changing crop varieties. Accurate predictions of climate trends and development of the infrastructure and market for the new livestock products are essential to making this an effective response.

> R33 R34 R35 R36 R37 R38 R39 R40 R41 R42 R43 R44 R45 R46 R47 R48 R49

R50

R7

R8

R9

R18

R19

R20

R21

R22

R23

R24

R25

R26

R27

R28

R29

R30

R31

R32