3.2. TERRESTRIAL SEQUESTRATION 3.2.1 LAND MANAGEMENT

3.2.1.1 CROPLAND MANAGEMENT AND PRECISION AGRICULTURE

Technology Description

Cropland management practices can increase the amount of carbon stored in agricultural soils by increasing plant biomass inputs or reducing the rate of loss of soil organic matter to the atmosphere as CO₂. Precision agriculture is a form of site-specific management used to increase productivity. This approach can be adapted for improving soil carbon sequestration through a customized carbon sequestering management plan.

System Concepts

- Each production system will have its own particular set of practices that optimize carbon sequestration while maintaining profitable crop production.
- Precision agriculture can be used to develop the most appropriate suite of technologies for specific sites.
- Most agricultural soil management practices that promote carbon sequestration provide additional environmental and yield benefits.
- Use of genetically modified crops to enhance yields and reduce fertilizer use.
- Use of genetically modified microorganisms to enhance carbon uptake.

Representative Technologies

- Conservation tillage, especially no-till.
- Residue management.
- Reducing fallow.
- Cover crops.
- Nutrient management.
- Manure and organic matter additions.
- Water management.
- Erosion control.
- Apply advanced information technologies (e.g., global positioning systems, remote sensing, computer modeling) for efficient application of management treatments.
- Herbicide-tolerant crops that advance conservation tillage.
- Genetically modified crops that increase utilization of soil nutrients and/or fertilizer.
- Technologies that increase agricultural productivity (e.g. by increasing yields, minimizing crop losses, minimizing spoilage and increasing shelf life, because each would minimize area under cultivation).

Technology Status/Applications

- Each of these technologies and management practices has been researched and implemented for purposes other than carbon sequestration (for soil conservation, erosion control, and crop yield increases).
- Soil carbon data has been collected from hundreds of long-term field studies and used to estimate the soil carbon sequestration potential of different management practices.
- Additional studies are underway to explicitly investigate the potential of various management practices to sequester soil carbon.
- Technical support is available on how to implement these technologies for conservation and yield-enhancing purposes.
- Specialized equipment for implementing management practices (no-till drills, global positioning systems, etc.) is commercially available.

Current Research, Development, and Demonstration

RD&D Goals

- Quantify the carbon sequestration potential of each technology and management practice for various crop production systems, climates, and soils.
- Develop the combinations of practices that optimize soil carbon sequestration, crop production, and profits for various crop production systems; soil types; and geographical areas.

- Determine the applicability of precision agriculture for enhancing carbon sequestration.
- Develop decision support tools for farmers, other land managers, and policy makers that provide guidance for land-management decisions. For example, create databases that answer questions about how changing from one land-use practice to another will affect carbon sequestration, production, and profits.

RD&D Challenges

- Measuring and monitoring procedures need to be improved for accurate, low-cost, more-efficient determination of cropland soil carbon status.
- Increasing cropland soil carbon without increasing emissions of other greenhouse gases, especially nitrous oxide and methane.
- Research on the effect on carbon sequestration of specific management practices, climate and weather
 factors, soil properties, and cropping systems is needed to develop recommendations and improve models
 and decision support tools.

Recent Progress

- Research programs have been established in the USDA (Carbon Cycle Component of Agriculture Research Service's Global Change National Program), Consortium for Agricultural Soils Mitigation of Greenhouse Gases, DOE Office of Science (Carbon Sequestration in Terrestrial Ecosystems project CSiTE), DOE Office of Fossil Energy, and U.S. Geological Survey to conduct research on soil carbon sequestration.
- More data are becoming available to improve the quantification of the cropland carbon sequestration.
- Preliminary models and decision support systems have been developed.
- Research on precision agriculture has been initiated.

Commercialization and Deployment Activities

Carbon sequestration markets are being developed.

Market Context

• Ranges from 10%-80 % of cropland acreage.

3.2.1.2 CONVERTING CROPLANDS TO RESERVES AND BUFFERS

Technology Description

Converting croplands to other less-intensive land uses such as conservation reserve and buffer areas increases soil carbon because soils are not subjected to tillage and other disturbances that lead to soil carbon losses.

System Concepts

- Conversion of croplands to reserves and buffers provides environmental benefits by removing potentially degradable land from production, but competes with crop production needs and markets.
- Reserves receive minimal long-term management and may be converted back to cultivation.
- Soil carbon can be rapidly lost if reserves or buffers are converted back to cultivation.
- Reduce land under cultivation, which then would directly or indirectly free up land for conservation purposes.

Representative Technologies

- *Conservation Reserve Program.* Converts cropland in environmentally sensitive areas to grass or forest land for a contractual time period (e.g. 5-15 years).
- Riparian Buffers. Land adjacent to streams is converted from cropland into grass and forest land.
- Technologies that increase agricultural productivity (e.g. by increasing yields or minimizing spoilage and increasing shelf life, because each would minimize area under cultivation.

Technology Status/Applications

• Almost 34 million acres of land have been entered into the Conservation Reserve Program as of 2002.

Current Research, Development, and Demonstration

RD&D Goals

- Quantify the carbon sequestration potential of buffer and reserve programs for various climates and soils.
- Develop the combination of practices (e.g., plant species, siting, establishment practices) that optimize carbon sequestration and minimize production losses for various types of reserves and buffers.
- Develop decision support tools for farmers, other land managers, and policy makers to inform which areas to put into reserves and the relative costs and benefits of different land conservation approaches, both in terms of carbon sequestration and production.

RD&D Challenges

- Improve measuring and monitoring procedures for accurate, low-cost, efficient carbon inventories.
- Determine the effects of conservation reserves on non-CO₂ greenhouse gases.
- Develop the optimal combination of practices for each system for each area of the country and soil type.
- Develop better models and decision support systems.

Recent Progress

- Estimates of the potential for reserve and buffer area soils to sequester soil carbon have been published and provide a baseline for future activities.
- DOE's Carbon Sequestration in Terrestrial Ecosystems project is investigating carbon sequestration in prairie restoration.
- Ongoing programs have been established in USDA to promote and assist in buffer and conservation reserve programs.
- Preliminary models and decision support systems have been developed.

Commercialization and Deployment Activities

- USDA has an established Conservation Reserve Program and riparian buffer program.
- Technical support is available from USDA on how to implement technologies and practices.

Market Context

- The market for implementing land conservation through reserves and buffers will be driven by other conservation priorities such as erosion control and water quality, and crop commodity prices.
- Possible synergies with developing markets for ecosystem services.

3.2.1.3 ADVANCED FOREST AND WOOD PRODUCTS MANAGEMENT

Technology Description

Advanced forest and wood products management represent large carbon sequestration opportunities that can also produce other environmental benefits, such as improved water quality and habitat. The application of advanced technology can improve forest and wood product management in these areas: (1) data collection, assimilation, and analysis, (2) design, development, and management of forest systems, and (3) deployment of acceptable operations. Potential technologies include information systems for collecting and using increasingly detailed site-specific data. Traditional silvicultural tools are integrated with newer technologies to better design and manage forest production. In addition, these systems provide for improved understanding, control, and manipulation of woody tree growth, resource requirements and acquisition, and microbial processes that control carbon, water, and nutrient flows. Energy-efficient, low-impact systems can be used to apply treatments optimized to achieve specific resource outcomes. Durable wood products in use and wood disposed of in landfills can provide a mechanism to allow forestlands to continually add to and increase the amount of sequestered carbon. Advances in developing wood products, substitutions, recycling technologies, and wood waste management provide pathways to increase carbon sequestration. These systems provide an integrated capability to improve environmental quality while enhancing economic productivity by increasing energy efficiency, optimizing fertilization and other site treatments, and conserving and enhancing soil and water resources.

System Concepts

- Global positioning, measurement infrastructure, and remote and in situ sensors for soil, plant, and microclimate characterization and monitoring.
- Process-based growth models, data, and information analysis.
- Variable-rate application control systems and smart materials for prescription delivery.
- Advanced management systems for wood products in use and in landfills and advanced wood products development.
- Low-impact, energy-efficient access and harvest systems.

Representative Technologies

- Integrated forest carbon dynamics, inventory, modeling, and prediction systems.
- Global positioning satellites and ground systems, satellite and aircraft based remote sensing, in situ electrical, magnetic, optical, chemical, and biological sensors.
- Advanced information networking technologies; autonomous control systems; selected and designed genetic plant stock; materials responsive to soils, plants, moisture, pests, and microclimates.
- Biological and chemical methods for plant and microbial process manipulation.
- Wood product development, substitution, and management pathways.

Technology Status/Applications

- Many first-generation precision technologies can be used in silvicultural systems, especially in plantations with
 little modification. Application to mixed-age and/or mixed-species forest types will require additional research.
 LIDAR, IKONOS, and RADAR remote-sensing methods are being tested for 3-D imaging of forest structure.
 Nonimaging Synthetic Aperture RADAR (SAR) is being tested for measuring bole volume at a landscape scale.
- Information management and networking tools; rapid soil monitoring and characterization sensors; tree stress and growth sensors; systematic integration of all technologies are not yet available for application to silvicultural projects.
- Understanding of soil nutrient processes exists in the forestry, energy, and university research communities.
- Further advances are required to understand the relationship between nutrient cycling and carbon assimilation and allocation.
- The capability exists for genetic characterization performance testing of plant stocks, developing smart materials, and methods for microbial manipulation.

Current Research, Development, and Demonstration

RD&D Goals

- Technologies that improve silviculture operation efficiencies and reduce energy consumption from road building to milling processes and transportation.
- Economic and biophysical modeling to better understand the economics of achieving certain GHG mitigation goals through tree planting and improved forest management.
- Remote and field deployed sensors/monitors and information management systems for accurate, real-time monitoring and analysis of plant growth, soils, water, fertilizer, and pesticide/herbicide efficiency.
- Smart materials for prescription release.
- Advanced fertilizers and technologies to improve fertilizer efficiency and reduce nitrogen fertilizer inputs.
- Methods of manipulating system processes to increase efficiency of nutrient availability and uptake to increase CO₂ uptake and sequestration and reduce emissions.
- Wood product management and substitution strategies.
- Initial systems models and prototype operation on major plantation types by 2007.
- Deploy first-generation integrated system models and technology by 2010.

RD&D Challenges

- Site-specific silviculture requires advances in rapid, low-cost, and accurate soil nutrient and physical
 property characterization; real-time water and nutrient demand characterization, photosynthesis and
 allocation characterization, and insect and pest infestation characterization; autonomous control systems;
 and integrated physiological model and data/information management systems, as well as efficient, lowimpact access and harvest systems.
- Smart materials that will release chemicals based on soil and plant status depend on breakthroughs in materials technology.
- Improved understanding of forest processes is required to support development of management systems.
- Couple plant physiology and soil process models and improving the temporal resolution of process representation.
- Improve understanding of the pathways by which methane is produced and consumed in soils, and by which nitrate is reduced to gaseous nitrogen, which is required to support scaling trace gas emissions.
- Research on sensors, information sciences, materials, and above- and below-ground forest processes.

RD&D Activities

- Efforts are underway in both public and private sectors.
- Sponsors include USDA, universities, forest industry, DOE (Office of Science and Office of Fossil Energy), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration. Principle funding is from USDA, Forest Service, and forest industry.

Recent Progress

- Improved planting stock with better quality wood formation and resistance to insects and diseases.
- Management systems for the efficient production of wood and other valuable products.
- Research programs are in place that can (1) provide an inventory of carbon stocks; (2) understand biological processes; (3) model and predict climate impacts and management strategies, and (4) develop effective, low-cost management systems.
- Partnerships have development among government, university, and private research organizations to better understand, develop, and implement good management practices for carbon sequestration.
- The USDA and DOE are formally collaborating in the Biobased Products and Bioenergy research program to develop more ways to store carbon or use renewable bioenergy to offset carbon emissions.

Commercialization and Deployment Activities

- High-quality planting stock is commercially available.
- Fertilization systems for irrigation and nutrient delivery to individual trees are commercially available.

Market Context

- Development of energy-efficient, low-impact equipment for all forest operations.
- Market for improved planting stock for feedstock production.
- Market entry for resource-efficient durable wood products as substitutions for more energy-intensive products in building.
- Expansion of wood energy feedstocks.
- Potential demand for carbon accounting in forest and wood product production nationally and internationally.
- The market for energy-efficient forest production systems is substantial, nationally and internationally.

3.2.1.4 GRAZING MANAGEMENT

Technology Description

Most grazing land soils can sequester carbon with alternative management technologies and practices. These practices increase the amount of carbon in the soil by increasing biomass production and reducing the amount of carbon lost to erosion. The production of methane by domestic ruminants also can be reduced. Methane production depends on the quality of forage ingested and the efficiency of the digestive process – and can be reduced with improved diet and the use of supplements. These practices increase production efficiency while reducing methane emissions. Environmental and production benefits are high in all cases.

System Concepts

- Increasing carbon storage on grazing lands depends on implementing management technologies (e.g. fire, grazing, seeding) to achieve an appropriate mix of plants that optimize the use of available sunlight, water, and nutrients in biomass production.
- Pasturelands use more fertilizer and water than rangelands, and mesic rangelands have a relatively high sequestration potential.
- Nitrous oxide emissions from fertilizer application on pastures can be dramatically reduced by split applications or applications when plants are actively growing.
- Reduction of methane production by ruminant animals has been demonstrated in grazing systems where improved diet quality and herd management practices have been implemented. In addition, organisms in grassland soils decompose methane into the less-potent greenhouse gas CO₂ and water.

Representative Technologies

- Alternative grazing practice.
- Livestock herd management.
- Vegetation management.
- Water management.
- Erosion control.

Technology Status/Applications

- Each of these technologies has been researched and implemented for purposes other than carbon sequestration, primarily conservation.
- These technologies have generally been demonstrated to be economically feasible.
- Some soil carbon data has been gathered while these practices were investigated for their conservation and yield benefits.

Current Research, Development, and Demonstration

RD&D Goals

- Construct quantitative models that describe site-specific interactions among grazing systems, vegetation, soil and climate, and the effects on greenhouse gas dynamics.
- Develop and optimize the combination of practices that maximize carbon sequestration for various grazing systems and geographical areas.
- Develop decision support tools for ranchers, technical assistance providers, and policy makers to inform the relative costs and benefits of different grassland management scenarios for carbon sequestration and other conservation benefits.
- Demonstrate and refine decision-support tools through pilot projects.

RD&D Challenges

- Develop and implement measurement and monitoring technologies and protocols with sufficient site specificity and acceptable cost-benefit ratios.
- Determine the effectiveness of practices and systems in sequestering carbon.
- Quantify the effects of land and livestock management on carbon sequestration and CO₂, methane, and nitrous oxide emissions across a variety of climates, soils, and production systems.
- Address difficulties in quantifying and verifying additional GHG reductions from grazing-land systems for use in accounting regimes.

Recent Progress

- Estimates of the potential for range and pastureland soils to sequester soil carbon have been published and provide a baseline for future activities.
- Development of the Pasture Land Management System (PLMS) decision-support tool, a joint project of EPA, National Resources Conservation Service, and Virginia Tech.
- Research programs already have been established in the USDA Agricultural Research Service, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Land Grant Universities, DOE Office of Science, and U.S. Geological Survey to study soil carbon sequestration.
- New technologies for the measurement of greenhouse gas fluxes have been developed.

Commercialization and Deployment Activities

- USDA has provided technical assistance to landowners for implementing these technologies.
- Commercial application of grazing land restoration has been successful but is limited in extent.

Market Context

• Virtually 100% of rangeland and grazing lands could increase carbon storage.

3.2.1.5 RESTORATION OF DEGRADED RANGELANDS

Technology Description

Degraded rangelands have low levels of soil carbon and diminished potential for biomass production to increase storage, but represent potentially large carbon sinks. Degradation is usually the result of inappropriate management, especially during extended periods of drought or unusual weather events. Symptoms of degradation include poor soil cover, dominance of undesirable species, low soil quality, or, in the extreme, topsoil erosion. In many arid and semi-arid rangelands, the cost of restoring land may far exceed the potential returns from livestock production. In addition, restoration technologies are unreliable in environments where precipitation is unpredictable. In more mesic areas, many rangelands are occupied by invasive species, which may be native or exotic. Technologies for managing invasive species to increase carbon storage in rangelands are expensive and require significant investment as well as careful post-treatment management.

- Increasing carbon storage on degraded arid and semi-arid rangelands depends on reestablishing vegetation in areas that have lost productivity.
- In many cases, soil may be intact, but beneficial microbial activity has been lost and must be restored simultaneously with vegetation reestablishment.
- In more mesic areas, rangeland degradation is due largely to the dominance of invasive species. The association between increased competition of shrubs and carbon fluxes and other greenhouse gas emissions in rangelands is poorly understood and very difficult to manage.

Representative Technologies

- Reestablishment of vegetation.
- Vegetation management.
- Restoring soil function.

Technology Status/Applications

• Each of these technologies has been researched and implemented for purposes other than carbon sequestration, primarily to prevent erosion and conserve soil.

Current Research, Development, and Demonstration

RD&D Goals

- Gain reliable understanding of the relationship between soil microbes and vegetation establishment and growth in arid and semi-arid areas.
- Develop low-cost, reliable technologies for the restoration of vegetation on degraded arid and semi-arid rangelands.
- Improve decision support for the application of low-cost technologies, such as fire, to control invasive species and to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from mesic rangelands.
- Develop seed production technology to produce low-cost seeds for reestablishing desired rangeland species. Currently costs are high and seed supply is limited for many cultivars.
- Develop new risk management and liability tools for use in prescribed burning systems on rangelands.

RD&D Challenges

- Measuring and monitoring procedures need to be improved for accurate, efficient, and low-cost
 determination of range and pasture land soil carbon status and determination of the effectiveness of carbon
 sequestration practices.
- Integrate complex and multisource data to develop better models and decision support systems.
- Develop more accurate estimates of the impacts of these management practices on soil carbon, particularly for the purpose of monitoring carbon sequestration following management adjustments.
- Develop new technologies to restore semi-arid and arid rangelands suffering from degradation, including soil-quality microbe interactions.

Recent Progress

- Estimates of the potential for range and pastureland soils to sequester soil carbon have been published and provide a baseline for future activities.
- Research programs already have been established in the USDA Agricultural Research Service, Natural

Resources Conservation Service, Land Grant Universities, DOE Office of Science, and U.S. Geological Survey to study soil carbon sequestration.

- New technologies for the measurement of greenhouse gas fluxes have been developed.
- New herbicide technologies and fire management practices have the potential to reduce the high costs associated with pretreating restoration sites.

Commercialization and Deployment Activities

- While current costs of rangeland restoration are high, restoration is likely to be economically feasible if there is demand for carbon sequestration.
- Currently, the cost of most seeds is high for species and varieties that are needed in grazing land restoration.
- USDA has provided technical assistance to landowners for implementing these technologies.

3.2.1.6 WETLAND RESTORATION, MANAGEMENT, AND CARBON SEQUESTRATION

Technology Description

Wetlands, including coastal zones, estuaries and marshes, northern tundra and peatlands, total about 2.8 x 10⁹ ha, about 7% of the Earth's land surface and 11.6% of the United States. Wetlands present an important opportunity for carbon sequestration and greenhouse gas offsets by virtue of their potential for restoration using known and innovative land management methods. Equally important is protection of wetlands in northern and temperate latitudes from carbon loss with global warming. Because they are inherently highly productive and accumulate large below-ground stocks of organic carbon, restoring lost wetlands and protecting those that remain clearly represents an immediate and large opportunity for enhancing terrestrial carbon sequestration.

System Concepts

- Wetlands are inherently among the most productive ecosystems on earth, with 7% of total land area contributing 10% of global net primary productivity.
- Climatic condition is the single most important factor in determining success in protecting carbon stored in existing wetlands. Fire, permafrost melt, sea-level rise, and more frequent droughts will affect wetlands.
- Carbon sequestration can be enhanced through application of proven engineered wetlands technology.

Representative Technology or Practices

- Restoration of riparian zones, estuaries and tidal marshes, mangrove forests, bottomland hardwood forests and other wetland systems.
- Management of periodically flooded rice fields and floodplains.
- Protection of existing wetlands, in particular, peatlands, bogs, and other northern latitude wetlands that might otherwise become large sources of GHG emissions.

Technology/Practice Status and Application

- Limited data exist as to the actual quantification of sequestered carbon by wetland type and location.
- Wetland restoration has centered on wildlife habitat, water quality improvement, erosion control, shoreline restoration, but not carbon sequestration.
- Efforts to manage northern wetlands in danger of becoming massive sources of carbon to the atmosphere do not exist.

Current Research, Development, and Demonstration

RD&D Goals

- Evaluate the extent to which various management practices on restored wetlands have enhanced carbon sequestration.
- Delineate and quantify carbon stocks in U.S. wetlands by region and type.
- Assess the vulnerability of wetland carbon stocks to human activity and climate change.
- Develop and demonstrate integrated management strategies for wetland carbon sequestration.
- Identify wetland areas most likely to be impacted by climate change and prioritize areas for protection.

RD&D Challenges

- Quantify carbon accrual in wetlands to enable better estimates of their potential for carbon sequestration in coming decades.
- Identify cost-effective management approaches and technologies to mitigate loss of carbon from wetlands in northern latitudes.
- Construct and verify models that couple hydrology, ecosystem processes and carbon sequestration.
- Devise workable fire management techniques for wetlands that are compatible with wildfire suppression strategies.
- Addressing difficulties in quantifying and verifying additional GHG reductions for use in accounting regimes.

RD&D Activities

- Ongoing research to evaluate wetland restoration methods.
- Demonstration projects are ongoing in select regions, including the lower Mississippi River valley and delta with mixed results. It has proven difficult to recreate native wetland vegetation assemblages.

Recent Progress

- Wetland loss and degradation has been recognized and new programs implemented to regulate development activities that adversely affect wetland functions. Loss of wetlands in the 1990s was 80% lower than the 1980s.
- The U.S. Department of Transportation has established a goal of replacing 1.5 acres for every acre of wetland impacted within 10 years.

3.2.1.7 CARBON SEQUESTRATION ON RECLAIMED MINED LANDS

Technology Description

Hundreds of thousands of hectares of lands are disturbed by extracting minerals, particularly coal, in the United States annually. Topsoils are generally removed prior to mining, resulting in loss of soil organic matter. Stockpiling of the topsoil until it is needed for reclamation of the mined lands also results in a loss of soil organic matter through decomposition with only limited inputs. These degraded lands have a significant potential to sequester carbon once revegetated to grasslands, pastures, cropland, or forest; and, because the land is currently nonproductive, additional GHG benefits are relatively easy to demonstrate.

System Concepts

- Climatic condition is the single most important factor in determining revegetation success.
- Nearly 1.6 million acres in the Unites States have been affected by mining operations, and are currently classified as Abandoned Mined Lands. The soils at these abandoned mining sites only marginally support regrowth of trees and vegetation in the absence of direct management, resulting in erosion and runoff into receiving tributaries.
- Carbon sequestration by these mined lands can be enhanced with organic amendments such as biosolids, sawmill residues, feedlot wastes, and other organic or inorganic byproducts that result in enhanced nutrient status or improved physical characteristics of the restored soil.

Representative Technology or Practices

• Grassland, cropland, and forest restoration on reclaimed or abandoned mine lands.

Technology/Practice Status and Application

- Limited data exist as to the actual quantification of sequestered carbon by reclaimed mined lands.
- These lands should have the potential to sequester carbon at a rate similar to degraded croplands.
- Organic residues have been used on reclaimed mine lands, generally to dispose of the residue rather than consider its benefits in carbon sequestration.

Current Research, Development, and Demonstration

RD&D Goals

- Quantify carbon sequestration on reclaimed mined lands to enable better estimates of the potential of this large land area to sequester carbon.
- Evaluate the extent to which various management practices on reclaimed mined lands enhance carbon sequestration (i.e., measure the effects of organic and inorganic residues, grazing, plant biodiversity, and various shrubs and trees on soil carbon).
- Establish the role of various plant community attributes in carbon sequestration in semi-arid regions of the United States.
- Partner with private organizations and the public sector to sequester carbon and restore impacted lands.
- Develop demonstration projects that promote carbon sequestration and other collateral benefits as primary goals of mine reclamation.

RD&D Challenges

- Establish a sequence of studies across variable climatic zones to adequately address the soil variables, plant community attributes, and response of amendments to the various climatic conditions and management scenarios.
- Changing current industry practices, which encourage compaction of soils.

RD&D Activities

- Ongoing research in the eastern U.S. mining regions evaluates the impacts of planting trees and establishing grasses to reclaim mined lands, and provides estimates of the potential carbon sequestration from these practices.
- Researchers are revising growth and yield models to determine the optimal time of harvest for maximum carbon sequestration.
- Field demonstrations in Kentucky and Virginia are reforesting Abandoned Mined Lands, sponsored through the DOE/FE Sequestration Program.

Recent Progress

- Community-based environmental groups are working with coal and utility companies to reclaim impacted lands, forming successful partnerships.
- Department of Interior, Office of Surface Mining reforestation initiative. Formal agreements between NETL and OSM to produce primer on reforesting mined lands. Active involvement and collaboration between DOE/FE and OSM with industry involvement through the Electric Power Research Institute.