## The Directors of Speech and Hearing Programs in State Health and Welfare Agencies (DSHPSHWA) Data Reporting Form Reporting Year 2004 – Due by November 1, 2005

Please remember to mark if the data is actual (Act) or estimated (Est). Thank you for completing this form.

State/Territory Reporting	g: Reporting Yea	Reporting Year: 2004				
	viders required by the state to report hearing screening information? nformation are hospitals/facilities/providers required to report?	☐ Yes	☐ No			
	Screening Information	======	:=====			
2) Number of occurent live births (in	☐ Act	☐ Est				
• Source of the data (i.e. state rep	ort?):					
B) Total number of infants born betw	☐ Act	☐ Est				
a) Number screened prior to dis	☐ Act	☐ Est				
b) Number screened after discha	☐ Act	☐ Est				
• Source of the data:						
	Referral Information	======	:=====			
1) Number of infants born between 1	☐ Act☐ Es					
<ul><li>Source of the data:</li></ul>						
5) Number of infants born between 1	☐ Ac	t 🗌 Est				
a) Number of infants receiving a	☐ Ac	t 🗌 Est				
Source of the data:						
	Case Information	======	:=====			
	ween 1/01/04 – 12 /31 /04 that were identified with a Permanent Child e identified through a newborn hearing screening program and late id		ring Est			
	en born between <b>1/01/04 – 12/31/04</b> who were identified with a PCHL rough a Newborn Hearing Screening Program:	, Act	☐ Est			
7) Average, Median, and Age Range	e of Children when they are diagnosed with a PCHL:					
a) Average Age (Months):	c) Minimum Age (Months)					
b) Median Age (Months):	d) Maximum Age (Months)					
3.75 months, 4.50 months, 6.25 mor	born in 2004 with a PCHL with the following age of diagnosis: 1.00 m of the average for 2004 would be: $1.00 + 1.25 + 3.75 + 4.50 + 6.25 = 0.000$ g these ages, the median age for 2004 would be 3.75 months (age where the same of the s	= 16.75 di\	vided by			
Did your state use the DSHPSHWA previous values:  If you answered no, please expla	formula (please see the 2004 DSHPSHWA Explanations document) t in:	o determin Yes				
B) Total number of children born in 2	☐ Act	☐ Est				
a) Number born in <b>2004</b> with PCH	☐ Act	☐ Est				

**Intervention:** Refers to services specifically for children who are deaf or hard of hearing and may include, but are not limited to monitoring, speech-language therapy, early intervention programming on a regular basis by a parent-infant specialist, medical or surgical treatment, etc (please see the 2004 DSHPSHWA Explanations document for more information).

======	=======	======	Hospital	======= Reporting & S	======================================	rmation	=======	=======	=====			
9) Number of birthing hospitals/facilities:								☐ Ac	t 🗌 Est			
10) Number of birthing hospitals/facilities with Universal Newborn Hearing Screening (UNHS):									t 🗌 Est			
11) Does your state/territory define a UNHS birthing hospital/facility by the percent of infants screened:												
12) How do birthing hospitals/facilities report hearing screening information to the state / territory (check all that apply):												
<ul><li>☐ Auris</li><li>☐ Blood Spot Card</li><li>☐ Custom/State Developed</li><li>☐ Electronic Birth Certificate (EBC)</li></ul>			<ul> <li>☐ Electronic Forms</li> <li>☐ HI*Track</li> <li>☐ Hospitals do not report</li> <li>☐ Other (please specify)</li> <li>☐ QS Technologies</li> <li>☐ Paper Reporting Form</li> <li>☐ OZ System</li> </ul>									
		y, does <b>the</b>	state/territo	ory use to track	hearing screening	and follo	w-up informa	ation for				
infants/children:  Auris  Custom/State Developed  HI*Track			□ Neometr	Technologies ics ease specify)	☐ None ☐ OZ System							
======	=======	======	======		Type & Saverity	:=====	=======	======	======			
DSHPSH	NA uses the	following cri	iteria to clas	_	Type & Severity							
DSHPSHWA uses the following criteria to classify hearing loss:  Mild: 21 – 40dB HL Severe: 71 – 90dB HL  Moderate: 41 – 70dB HL Profound: 91 + dB HL												
14) Does your state/territory use the DSHPSHWA system to classify the severity of a hearing loss?												
• If you	answered "N	lo" to the pre	vious quest	on, please spe	ecify the classification	on criteria	used.					
Mild (dB): Severe (dB):												
M	oderate (dB)	):		Prof	ound (dB):							
	e complete tl with a PCHL		tables if you	r state/territory	recorded the type	and seve	rity of hearing	g loss for ch	ildren			
UNILATE	RAL HEARI	NG LOSSES	S:									
SENSORINEURAL (Total # )			CONDUCTIV		MIXED (Total # )							
Mild	Moderate	Severe	Profound	Mild	Moderate	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Profound			
BILATERAL HEARING LOSSES:												
SENSORINEURAL (Total # )			CONDUCTI		MIXED (Total #							
Mild	Moderate	Severe	Profound	Mild	Moderate	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Profound			
•				•	plans to record this			☐ Ye	s 🗌 No			

## Year 2003 EHDI Data Update

Note: This section is only for states that provided data for last year's DSHPSHWA form (i.e., reporting year 2003). Only those

states are requested to update the information they provided for reporting year 2003. If you did not return a 2003

DSHPSHWA form last year, please to do not complete this section. 6) Number of Children Identified with Permanent Childhood Hearing Loss (PCHL) in birthing Year 2003: ☐ Act ☐ Est 7) Average, Median, and Age Range of Children when they are diagnosed with PCHL a) Average Age (Months): ☐ Act ☐ Est ☐ Act ☐ Est b) Median Age (Months): ☐ Act ☐ Est c) Minimum Age (Months): ☐ Act ☐ Est d) Maximum Age (Months): **Example**: Supposing that in 2003, 3 additional children born in 2002 are identified with the following ages of diagnosis: 3.50 months, 7.75 months, and 13.00 months. The average age of diagnosis for 2002 would change to: 1.00 + 1.25 + 3.75 + 4.50 +6.25 + 3.50 + 7.75 + 13.00 = 41.00 divided by 8 = 5.13 months. The median age would change to 5.4 months based on:  $1.00\ 1.25\ 3.75\ 4.50\ 6.25\ 3.50\ 7.75\ 13.00$ . The midpoint is half of the difference between  $4.50\ \text{and}\ 6.25 = 5.4\ \text{months}$ ☐ Act ☐ Est 8) Number of Children born in 2003 with PCHL receiving intervention:

Additional Comments: