FINAL

## **2001 AIR EMISSIONS INVENTORY**

## GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NATIONAL PARK TEXAS



## U.S. NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

JUNE 2003

FINAL

#### 2001 AIR EMISSIONS INVENTORY

# **GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS** NATIONAL PARK TEXAS

Prepared for:

National Park Service WASO - Air Resources Division 12795 West Alameda Parkway Denver, CO 80228

Prepared by:

EA Engineering, Science, and Technology, Inc. 15 Loveton Circle Sparks, MD 21152 (410) 771-4950

**JUNE 2003** 

## CONTENTS

#### <u>Page</u>

FIGUI TABL	RES ES	iv iv
1.	INTR	ODUCTION
2.	1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 STAT	Background.    1      Typical Air Emission Sources    1      Inventory Methodology    2      Park Description    3      Air Quality Status    4      IONARY AND AREA SOURCE EMISSIONS    5
	2.1	Stationary Sources
	2.2	2.1.1Space and Water Heating Equipment52.1.2Generators52.1.3Fuel Storage Tanks82.1.4Wastewater Treatment Plants9Area Sources9
		2.2.1Woodstoves/Fireplaces.92.2.2Campfires.92.2.3Wildland Fires and Prescribed Burning.92.2.4Miscellaneous Area Sources.10
	2.3	Summary of Stationary and Area Source Emissions10
3.	MOBI	LE SOURCE EMISSIONS
	3.1	Highway Vehicles
		3.1.1Visitor Vehicles123.1.2GSA/NPS Highway Vehicles14
	3.2	NPS Nonroad Vehicles
	3.3	Summary of Mobile Source Emissions15

## **CONTENTS** (Continued)

#### Page

4.	GUAD	ALUPE MOUNTAINS NP AND REGIONAL EMISSION SUMMARY	17
	4.1	Guadalupe Mountains NP Summary	17
	4.2	Regional Air Emissions	17
5.	COMP	LIANCE AND RECOMMENDATIONS	19
	5.1	Compliance	19
	5.2	Recommendations	. 19
6.	REFE	RENCES	21
APPEN APPEN APPEN APPEN	NDIX A NDIX B NDIX C NDIX D	A - FUEL DATA AND EMISSION FACTORS - EMISSION CALCULATIONS - PUBLIC USE DATA - SELECTED TEXAS AIR QUALITY REGULATIONS	

#### FIGURES

#### Number

<u>Title</u>

1	Guadalupe Mountains National Park Location
2	Guadalupe Mountains National Park
3	Guadalupe Mountains National Park Visitor Center/Headquarters/Campground

#### **TABLES**

<u>Numb</u>	<u>er Title</u>	Page
1	Guadalupe Mountains NP Developed Areas	4
2	2001 Actual Criteria Emissions from Heating Equipment at Guadalupe Mountains NP	6
3	2001 Potential Criteria Emissions from Heating Equipment at Guadalupe Mountains NP	6
4	2001 Actual Guadalupe Mountains NP Generator Criteria Emissions	7
5	2001 Potential Guadalupe Mountains NP Generator Criteria Emissions	8
6	Guadalupe Mountains NP Fuel Tank Emissions	9
7	Wildland Fire and Prescribed Burning Air Emissions from Guadalupe Mountains NP	10
8	Summary of 2001 Stationary and Area Source Emissions at Guadalupe Mountains NP	11
9	Estimated Visitor Vehicle Travel in Guadalupe Mountains NP	12
10	NPS and GSA Road Vehicles at Guadalupe Mountains NP	14
11	NPS Nonroad Vehicles at Guadalupe Mountains NP	15
12	Summary of 2001 Mobile Source Emissions at Guadalupe Mountains NP	16
13	Estimated Annual Emissions from Guadalupe Mountains NP	17
14	Estimated Annual Emissions from Guadalupe Mountains NP, Surrounding County, and the State of New Mexico	18

## 1. INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 BACKGROUND

In August of 1999, the National Park Service (NPS) embarked on the Natural Resource Challenge, a major effort to substantially improve how the NPS manages the natural resources under its care. As part of Natural Resource Challenge, the NPS Air Resources Division (ARD) was tasked with the responsibility of expanding efforts to monitor and understand air quality and related values in the parks. In addition, the NPS Environmental Leadership policy directs the NPS to manage the parks in a manner "that demonstrates sound environmental stewardship by implementing sustainable practices in all aspects of NPS management...." In order to achieve both of these objectives, it is necessary to gain an understanding of air pollution emissions that result from activities within the park. In this regard, development of an in-park air emissions inventory for Guadalupe Mountains National Park (NP) serves three functions. First, it provides an understanding of the sources and magnitude of in-park emissions and a basis for contrasting them with emissions from the surrounding area. Second, it identifies existing and potential strategies to mitigate in-park air emissions. Finally, it evaluates and ensures the compliance status of the park relative to state and federal air pollution regulations.

## 1.2 **TYPICAL** AIR EMISSION **SOURCES**

Typical air emission sources within NPS units include stationary, area, and mobile sources. Stationary sources can include fossil fuel-fired space and water heating equipment, generators, fuel storage tanks, and wastewater treatment plants. Area sources may include woodstoves, fireplaces, campfires, and prescribed burning. Mobile sources may include vehicles operated by visitors, tour operators, and NPS and concessioner employees, and nonroard vehicles and equipment.

The air pollutants that are addressed in this report are summarized in the table below. Of the pollutants noted, ozone is not produced and emitted directly from stationary, area, or mobile sources, but rather it is formed as a result a chemical reaction of NOx and VOC emissions in the presence of sunlight. It is primarily an issue on the East Coast and Southern California, while particulate matter is more of an issue in the West. Carbon dioxide historically has not been considered a pollutant. However, in recent years, there has been much interest in its contribution to global climate warming since it is considered a greenhouse gas.

Pollutant	Characteristics
Particulates (PM~0)	<ul> <li>Mixture of solid particles and liquid droplets; fine particles (less than 2.5 micrometers) produced by fuel combustion, power plants, and diesel buses and trucks</li> <li>Can aggravate asthma, produce acute respiratory symptoms, including aggravated coughing and difficult or painful breathing, and chronic bronchitis</li> <li>Impairs visibility</li> </ul>
Sulfur Dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> )	<ul> <li>Can cause temporary breathing difficulties for people with asthma</li> <li>Reacts with other chemicals to form sulfate particles that are major cause of reduced visibility in many parts of the country</li> </ul>
Nitrogen Oxides (NOx )	<ul> <li>High temperature fuel combustion exhaust product</li> <li>Can be an irritant to humans and participates in the formation of ozone</li> </ul>
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	<ul> <li>Odorless, colorless gas produced by fuel combustion, particularly mobile sources</li> <li>May cause chest pains and aggravate cardiovascular diseases, such as angina</li> <li>May affect mental alertness and vision in healthy individuals</li> </ul>
Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)	<ul> <li>Fuel combustion exhaust product</li> <li>Consists of a wide variety of carbon-based molecules</li> <li>Participates in the formation of ozone</li> </ul>
Ozone (0 <sub>3</sub> )	<ul> <li>Not directly emitted by mobile, stationary, or area sources</li> <li>Formed from complex reactions between NOx and VOC emissions in the presence of sunlight</li> <li>Occurs regionally due to multiplicity of sources</li> <li>Can irritate the respiratory system</li> <li>Can reduce lung function</li> <li>Can aggravate asthma and increase susceptibility to respiratory infections</li> <li>Can inflame and damage the lining of the lungs</li> </ul>
Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> )	<ul> <li>Does not directly impair human health</li> <li>It is a greenhouse gas that traps the earth's heat and contributes to the potential for global warming</li> </ul>

#### AIR POLLUTANTS AND THEIR CHARACTERISTICS

## 1.3 **INVENTORY METHODOLOGY**

The methodology to accomplish the air emissions inventory was outlined in a protocol that was prepared at the initiation of the project (EA Engineering 2001). Tasks consisted of a site survey in January 2003, interviews with Guadalupe Mountains NP personnel, review of applicable park records, emission calculations, review of applicable state and local air quality regulations, an assessment of mitigation measures and potential emission reduction initiatives, and report preparation. The data were used in conjunction with a number of manual and computer software computational tools to calculate emissions. Computational tools included U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) emission factors such as the Factor Infoirnation Retrieval System (FIRE) database, USEPA *TANKS 4.0* model, U.S. Forest Service *First Order Fire Effects Model (FOFEM) 4.0* model, and USEPA *MOBILE6.2* mobile source emissions model. The year 2001

Gorden Bell, Park Geologist (915) 828-3251 Extension 249

was selected as the basis for the air emission inventory since data for that year were the most recent available at the park. It should be noted that emissions are expected to vary from year to year due to fluctuations in visitation, prescribed and wildland fires, and other activities. Additional information on emission estimation methodology, including emission factors, is provided in Appendices A and B.

## 1.4 PARK DESCRIPTION

Guadalupe Mountains NP, authorized by an act of Congress in 1966 and established in 1972, comprises 76,293 acres of mountain and desert land in West Texas. Congress established the park for its scientific and scenic values. The park consists primarily of the highest and southernmost portion of the Guadalupe Mountains, a range that extends northeasterly into New Mexico. Of the area within the park's boundaries, 46,850 acres are Congressionally designated wilderness. This designation precluded extensive development within the park and has limited the uses of much of the park to hiking, horseback riding, backpacking, and approved scientific research.

The park is located on the Texas- New Mexico Border, 110 miles east of El Paso, Texas, and 55 miles southwest of Carlsbad, New Mexico (see Figure 1). Part of the northern boundary adjoins the Lincoln National Forest and lands controlled by the Bureau of Land Management. U.S. Highway 62/180 passes through the southern end of the park and is the primary route by which visitors reach the park (Figure 2). State Road 137 in New Mexico provides access to the northern part of the park. The park is located in an undeveloped and sparsely populated area where the land is used predominantly for cattle and sheep ranching.

Included within the boundaries of the park are the sheer cliffs and peaks more than 8,000 feet high that make up the V-shaped southernmost extension of the Guadalupe Mountains. The mountain range is an uplifted segment of the Capitan reef, a limestone barrier reef that formed some 280 million years ago from algae in a shallow inland sea. The park also includes desert lowlands. The western side of the park encompasses a portion of the salt basin lying between the Guadalupes and the next range of mountains to the west, the Cornudas. These lowlands contain flora and fauna typical of the Chihuahuan desert of which they are a part. Williams Ranch, one of the park's cultural resources and located at the base of the mountains on the west side of the park, gives visitors a sense of the isolation of a rancher's life. On the eastern side, the park does not extend far beyond the base of the mountains. The most developed areas are concentrated on both sides of U.S. Highway 62/180. These include the Visitor Center/Headquarters and Campgrounds on the north side of the highway just within the park boundary (Figure 3) and the maintenance yard and employee residences on the other side of the highway. Table 1 provides a summary of the various facilities and their functions.

Name/Location	Function/Facilities					
Pine Springs	Visitor Center, Headquarters, Campgrounds, Maintenance Yard and Shops, Resource Management Office, Ranger Station, and Employee Residences (19)					
McKittrick Canyon	Ranger Contact Station, Trailhead to Pratt Cabin					
Frijole Ranch House	History Museum					
Dog Canvon	Contact Station, Employee Residence					
Ship On The Desert	Temporary Researchers Housing, Servants Quarters					
Williams Ranch House	Former Cattle Ranch					

#### TABLE 1: GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NP DEVELOPED AREAS

#### 1.5 AIR QUALITY STATUS

The majority of the park, including all developed areas, is located in Culberson County, TX, with the western side of the park in Hudspeth County, TX. The area is in attainment for all the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS). Guadalupe Mountains NP is designated a Class I airshed under the Clean Air Act, which requires the highest level of air-quality protection. Air quality in the park is influenced by that in the City of El Paso, TX, which is approximately 110 miles due west of the park. In particular, the 1-hour ozone level in El Paso has exceeded the standard at least once each year since 1999. The Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) (formerly, the Texas Natural Resources Conservation Commission or TNRCC) is the governing authority for regulating air pollution from stationary sources in Texas.



FICURE 1. GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NATIONAL PARK LOCATION



CPUW FLATS



4

4

Ν

FIGURE 2. GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NATIONAL PARK



#### FIGURE 3. GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NP VISITOR CENTER/HEADQUARTERS/CAMPGROUND

## 2. STATIONARY AND AREA SOURCE EMISSIONS

This section summarizes emissions from stationary sources at the Park for the year 2001. The discussion is divided into sections covering emissions from combustion sources, fuel storage sources, and area sources. The following emissions were calculated for each source: particulate matter ( $PM_{10}$ ), sulfur dioxide ( $SO_2$ ), nitrogen oxides ( $NO_X$ ), carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ), and volatile organic compounds (VOCs).

## 2.1 STATIONARY SOURCES

## 2.1.1 Space And Water Heating Equipment

Heating units in the park are fueled by either propane or electricity. There are approximately thirty-four propane heating units in the park, and criteria emissions were calculated using the appropriate residential emission factors. For example, NO  $_{\rm X}$  emissions from the propane furnace in the Visitor Center/Headquarters building were calculated as follows:

$$1,160 \text{ gallons/yr x} \underbrace{18 \text{ lb } NOx}_{1,000 \text{ gallons}} = 16 \text{ lb } PM10/yr$$

Actual criteria pollutant emissions from the heating equipment are summarized in Table 2. Potential emissions for the propane heating equipment also were calculated by assuming that the heating units were operated continuously during the year, and these emissions are noted in Table 3.

## 2.1.2 Generators

## 2.1.2.1 Generator Emissions - Actual

There are several relatively small emergency generators in the park. Emissions were calculated by multiplying the unit rating (kW) of the generators by an estimated annual run time (hr/yr) to get the kW-hr/yr, and the appropriate emission factors were then applied. For example, NO  $_X$  emissions from the 20 kW generator at the Visitor Center/Headquarters are calculated as:

$$20 \ kW \ \frac{12 \ hours}{year} \ xI \ \frac{r}{kW} \ x \ \frac{1.34 \ hp}{hp - hr} \ 1 \ lb \ NOx/yr$$

Actual generator criteria emissions are summarized in Table 4.

Location (No.)	Fuel	Consumption (gallyr)	PM <sub>t</sub> 0 (Ibs/yr)	SO <sub>2</sub> (Ibs/yr)	NOx (lbs/yr)	CO (lbs/yr)	CO <sub>2</sub> (Ibs/yr)	VOC (lbs/yr)
Employee Housing (10)	Propane	3,221	1	0	45	6	40,268	1
Employee Housing (4)	Propane	966	0	0	14	2	12,081	0
Employee Housing (2)	Propane	445	0	0	6	1	5,557	0
Employee Housing (5)	Propane	886	0	0	12	2	11,074	0
Employee Housing (1)	Propane	264	0	0	4	1	3,302	0
Recreation Hall (I)	Propane	403	0	0	6	1	5,034	0
Auto Shop (3)	Propane	1,691	1	0	24	3	21,141	1
Maintenance Shop Break Room (1)	<sup>p</sup> ropane	354	0	0	5	1	4,430	0
Carpenter Shop (1)	Propane	532	0	0	7	1	6,644	0
Emergency Services (1)	Propane	242	0	0	3	0	3,020	0
Wildland Fire Cache (2)	Propane	1,289	1	0	18	2	16,107	0
Visitor Center/ Headquarters (1)	Propane	1,160	0	0	16	2	14,497	0
Ship on The Desert (1)	Propane	483	0	0	7	1	6,040	0
Ship On the Desert Quarters (I)	Propane	64	0	0	1	0	805	0
	Total	12,000	5	0	168	23	150,000	4

# TABLE 2.2001 ACTUAL AIR EMISSIONS FROMGUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NP HEATING EQUIPMENT

# TABLE 3.2001 POTENTIAL AIR EMISSIONS FROMGUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NP HEATING EQUIPMENT

Location (No.)	Fuel	Consumption (gallyr)	PM <sub>10</sub> (lbs/yr)	SO <sub>2</sub> ( <b>Ibs/yr</b> )	NOx (Ibs/yr)	CO (Ibs/yr)	CO <sub>2</sub> ( <b>Ibs/yr</b> )	VOC (lbs/yr)
Employee Housing (10)	Propane	95,738	38	0	1,340	182	1,196,2	<sup>2</sup> 9
Employee Housing (4)	Propane	28,721	11	0	402	55	359,016	9
Employee Housing (2)	Propane	13,212	5	0	185	25	165,148	4
Employee Housing (5)	Propane	26,328	11	0	369	50	329,098	8
Employee Housing (1)	Propane	7,850	3	0	110	15	98,131	2
Recreation Hall (I)	Propane	11,967	5	0	168	23	149,590	4
Auto Shop (3)	Propane	50,262	20	0	704	95	628,279	15
Maintenance Shop Break Room (1)	propane	10,531	4	0	147	20	131,639	3
Carpenter Shop (1)	Propane	15,797	6	0	221	30	197,459	5
Emergency Services (1)	Propane	7,180	3	0	101	14	89,754	2
Wildland Fire Cache (2)	Propane	38,295	15	0	536	73	478,689	11
Visitor Center/ Headquarters (1)	Propane	34,466	14	0	483	65	430,820	10
Ship on The Desert (1)	Propane	14,361	6	0	201	27	179.508	4
Ship On the Desert Ouarters (1)	Propane	1,915	1	0	27	4	23,934	1
	Total	356,623	38	0	1,347	183	1,202,705	29

Location	Fuel	Rating (kW)	Run Time (hrs/yr)	PM <sub>10</sub> (Ibs/yr)	SO <sub>2</sub> (lbs/yr)	NOx (Ibs/yr)	CO (lbs/yr)	CO <sub>2</sub> (Ibs/yr)	VOC (lbs/yr)
Visitor Center/ Headquarters	Propane	20	12	0	0	1	NA	0	0
McKittrick Contact Station	Propane	20	12	0	0	1	NA	0	0
	1	Р	ropane Totals	0	1	2	NA	1	0
Employee Housing (2)	Gasoline	5.50	12	0	0	2	191	78	4
Employee Housing (3)	Gasoline	4.25	12	0	0	2	221	90	5
Employee Housing	Gasoline	10.00	12	0	0	2	174	71	4
Employee Housing	Gasoline	6.20	12	0	0	1	108	44	2
Employee Housing	Gasoline	14.90	12	0	0	3	259	105	5
B&U and Interpretation	Gasoline	9.00	12	0	0	2	156	64	3
Fire Cache	Gasoline	9.60	12	0	0	2	167	68	3
Pine Springs Comfort Station	Gasoline	4.25	12	0	0	1	74	30	2
	1	1	14	1,349	548	27			
			Park Totals	1	2	16	1,349	549	28

#### TABLE 4: $2001\,$ actual guadalupe mountains NP generator criteria emissions

### 2.1.2.2 Generator Emissions - Potential

Potential emissions were also calculated for the generators, and the same emission factors that were used to calculate the actual emissions were used to calculate these potential emissions. To calculate potential emissions, EPA guidance on the number of hours of operation to assume was adopted:

EPA does not recommend the use of 8,760 hours per year (i.e., full-year operation) for calculating PTE (potential to emit) for emergency generators...The EPA believes that 500 hours is an appropriate default assumption for estimating the number of hours that an emergency generator could be expected to operate under worst-case conditions.

Potential criteria generator emissions are summarized in Table 5.

*Calculating Potential to Emit (PTE) for Emergency Generators,* Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (MD-10), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 06 September 1995.

Location	Fuel	Rating (kW)	Run Time (hrs/yr)	PM <sub>10</sub> (Ibs/yr)	SO <sub>2</sub> (lbs/yr)	NO, (Ibs/yr)	CO (Ibs/yr)	CO <sub>2</sub> (lbs/yr)	VOC (Ibs/yr)
Visitor Center/ Headquarters	Propane	20	500	2	18	47	NA	12	3
McKittrick Contact Station	Propane	20	500	2	18	47	NA	12	3
		Р	ropane Totals	4	36	95	NA	23	5
Employee ,Housing (2)	Gasoline	5.50	500	5	4	81	7,960	3,235	162
Employee Housing (3)	Gasoline	4.25	500	6	5	94	9,226	3,750	188
Employee Housing	Gasoline	10.00	500	5	4	74	7,236	2,941	147
Employee Housing	Gasoline	6.20	500	3	2	46	4,486	1,824	91
Employee Housing	Gasoline	14.90	500	7	6	110	10,782	4,383	220
B&U and Interpretation	Gasoline	9.00	500	4	4	66	6,512	2,647	133
Fire Cache	Gasoline	9.60	500	5	4	71	6,947	2,824	142
Pine Springs Comfort Station	Gasoline	4.25	500	2	2	31	3,075	1,250	63
	37	31	573	56,224	22,854	1,145			
			Park Totals	41	67	667	56,224	22,877	1,150

#### TABLE 5: 2001 POTENTIAL GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NP GENERATOR CRITERIA EMISSIONS

## 2.1.3 Fuel Storage Tanks

Guadalupe Mountains NP has three gasoline and two diesel fuel aboveground fuel storage tanks for NPS vehicles and other motorized equipment. There are no public automotive service stations in the park.

There are two basic types of VOC emissions from storage tanks: working losses and standing losses. Working losses are composed of both withdrawal and refilling loss emissions. Withdrawal loss emissions result from the vaporization of liquid fuel residue on the inner surface of tank walls as the liquid levels in the tank are decreased and air is drawn into the tank. Refilling losses refer to fuel vapor releases to the air during the process of refilling the tank as the liquid level in the tank increases and pressurizes the vapor space. Standing losses describe those tank emissions from the vaporization of the liquid fuel in storage due to changes in ambient temperatures. VOC losses are also a direct function of the annual product throughput or turnovers. Emissions from diesel tanks are extremely small since the volatility of diesel fuel is extremely low compared to gasoline. VOC emissions from the NPS fuel storage tanks were calculated using the USEPA *TANKS* software program. *TANKS* is based on the emission estimation procedures from Chapter 7 of EPA's Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors (AP-42) and uses chemical, meteorological, and other data to generate emission estimates for different types of storage tanks. Table 6 summarizes the calculated emissions from the gasoline tanks.

Location (No.)	Product	Tank Type	Volume (gal)	Throughput (gal/yr)	VOC (lbs/yr)
Pine Springs Maintenance (2)	Gasoline	AST	2,000	10,000	966
Dog Canyon	Gasoline	AST	1,000	1,700	362
			Total	11,700	1,328

## 2.1.4 Wastewater Treatment Plants

The only wastewater facilities in the park are septic tanks.

## 2.2 AREA SOURCES

### 2.2.1 Woodstoves/Fireplaces

There are no woodstoves or fireplaces in the park.

### 2.2.2 Campfires

There is one front-country campground in Pine Springs near the Visitor Center/Headquarters. However, wood and charcoal fires are not allowed due to the fire danger presented by generally dry conditions and intermittent high winds.

## 2.2.3 Wildland Fires and Prescribed Burning

Wildland fires are ignited naturally, usually by lightening and are typically suppressed, while prescribed fires are ignited intentionally in order to achieve fire management objectives. Prescribed burning is a land treatment process to accomplish natural resource management objectives, including reducing the potential for destructive wildfires, eliminating excessive fuel buildup, controlling insects and disease, improving wildlife habitat and forage production,

maintaining natural succession of plant communities, and restoring natural processes. Only prescribed burning emissions are considered as anthropogenic emissions.

Over the 1990-2002 time period, there were prescribed burns of timber and grass/shrub that covered approximately 1,620 acres or 135 acres a year on average. There were an additional 19,180 acres of wildland fires over the same 12-year period. The First Order Fire Effects Model (FOFEM) was used to estimate emissions. FOFEM is a computer program developed by the Intermountain Fire Sciences Lab, U.S. Forest Service to predict the effects of prescribed fire and wildfire in forests and rangelands throughout the U.S. In particular, it quantifies emissions of  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ , CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> for wildland and prescribed fires, which are summarized in Table 7.

Trino	Acros	PM10	PM 2.5	СО	<b>CO</b> <sub>2</sub>	VOC
Туре	Acres	(lbslyr)	(Ibs/yr)	(Ibs/yr)	(lbs/yr)	(lbs/yr)
			Wildland Fire			
Sagebrush- Grass	800	8,800	7,200	3,200	44,800	3,210,400
Mesquite Oak	800	28,800	24,000	9,600	138,400	11,775,200
Total	1,600	37,600	31,200	12,800	183,200	14,985,600
		Pre	escribed Burnii	ıg		
Sagebrush- Grass	67	737	603	268	3,752	268,871
Mesquite Oak	68	2,448	2,040	816	11,764	1,000,892
Total	135	3 185	2.643	1.084	15.516	1.269.763

 TABLE 7: WILDLAND FIRE AND PRESCRIBED BURNING AIR EMISSIONS

 FROM GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NP

As methane

### 2.2.4 Miscellaneous Area Sources

Miscellaneous area sources include food preparation, degreasers, paints and other surface coatings, lighter fluid consumption, consumer solvents, and propane use by visitors in recreational vehicles. However, there are no data on the consumption of these materials whose emissions are negligible.

## 2.3 SUMMARY OF STATIONARY AND AREA SOURCE EMISSIONS

Table 8 summarizes the stationary and area source emissions calculated above in a format that allows comparison between the various sources as well as providing totals for each pollutant or pollutant category under consideration.

#### Guadalupe Mountains National Park, TX

#### TABLE 8: SUMMARY OF' 2001 STATIONARY AND AREA SOURCE EMISSIONS AT GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NP

	Particulate	s (PM 10)	Sulfur Dioxide		Nitrogen Oxides		Carbon Monoxide		Carbon Dioxide		VOCs	
Activity	lbs/yr	tons/Yr	lbs/yr	tons/Yr	lbs/yr	tons/Yr	lbs/yr	tons/yr	lbs/yr	tons/yr	lbs/yr	tons/yr
	Stationary Sources											
Heating Equipment	5	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	168	0.08	23	0.01	150,000	75	4	< 0.01
Generators	1	< 0.01	2	< 0.01	16	0.01	549	0.27	1,349	0.67	28	0.01
Gasoline Storage Tanks											1,328	0.66
Stationary Sources Subtotal	6	< 0.01	2	< 0.01	184	0.09	572	0.29	151,350	75.68	1,360	0.68
				Area So	urces							
Wildland Fires	37,600	18.8					183,200	91.60	14,985,600	7,492.80	12,800	6.40 <sup>1</sup>
Prescribed Burning	3,185	1.59					15,516	7.76	1,269,763	634.88	1,084	$0.54^{+}$
	40,785	20.39					198,716	99.36	16,255,363	8,127.68	13,884	6.94
Totals												
Particulates (PM, 0)			Sulfur Dioxide		Nitrogen	Oxides	Carbon M	lonoxide	Carbon D	Dioxide	VO	Cs
	lbs/yr	tons/yr	lbs/yr	tons/yr	lbs/yr	tons/yr	lbs/yr	tons/yr	lbs/yr	tons/yr	lbs/yr	tonslyr
Totals without Prescribed Burning	6	< 0.01	2	< 0.01	184	0.09	572	0.29	151,350	75.68	1,360	0.68
Totals with Prescribed Burning	3,191	1.60	2	< 0.01	184	0.09	16,088	8.04	1,421,113	710.56	2,444	1.22

As methane

### 3. MOBILE SOURCE EMISSIONS

This section summarizes emissions from mobile sources at Guadalupe Mountains NP for 2001. Mobile emission sources include highway and nonroad vehicles.

## 3.1 HIGHWAY VEHICLES

### 3.1.1 Visitor Vehicles

An estimated 203,000 visitors entered the park during the most recent year. However, the majority of these made a visit just to the Visitor Center that is a hundred or so feet off U.S. Highway 62/180. Of the total visitation, an estimated 30,380 traveled to the McKittrick Canyon trailhead/contact station on a 5-mile paved road, 21,800 visited Frijole Ranch that is approximately one mile on an unpaved road from the U.S. Highway, and 920 made the 7-mile unpaved road trip to Williams Ranch. Assuming a typical NPS visitor to vehicle ratio of 2.8, the estimated visitor vehicles travelling to these points and associated vehicle miles traveled were calculated and are summarized in Table 9.

	Vahialaa	Vehicle Miles Traveled			
Destination	venicies	Paved	Unpaved		
McKittrick Canyon	10,800	108,500			
Frijole Ranch	7,785		15,570		
Williams Ranch	328		4,585		
Total	18,913	108,500	20,155		

#### TABLE 9: ESTIMATED VISITOR VEHICLE TRAVEL IN GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NP

The majority of mobile source emissions can be categorized as either exhaust or evaporative emissions. Exhaust emissions are related to the combustion of fuel in the engine and include VOC, NOx, CO, and PM<sub>10</sub>. Exhaust emissions are dependent on a number of factors, including engine load, engine design and age, combustion efficiency, emissions equipment such as catalytic converters, and other factors. Evaporative emissions, which can occur while the vehicle is running or at rest, are related to the volatilization of fuel from vapor expansion, leaks and seepage, and fuel tank vapor displacement. Evaporative emissions are primarily dependent on daily temperature cycles and fuel volatility. In addition to vehicle exhaust, PKo emissions also result from brake and tire wear, as well as the re-entrainment of dust from paved and unpaved roads (referred to as fugitive dust).

Emission factors produced by the USEPA MOBILE6.2 model were used in conjunction with VMT data in order to estimate mobile source emissions for VOC (both exhaust and evaporative), NOx, CO, and PKo (exhaust, brake, and tire) for visitor vehicles. MOBILE6.2 produces exhaust and evaporative emission factors for light duty gasoline vehicles, light duty gasoline trucks, heavy duty gasoline vehicles, light duty diesel vehicles, light duty diesel trucks, heavy duty diesel vehicles, and motorcycles. It also produces a composite emission factor for all vehicles based on the vehicle VMT mix supplied to the model. Inputs to the model include average vehicle speed, vehicle VMT mix, inspection and maintenance (UM) program information, fuel information, 'ambient temperature data, elevation, and others. Fugitive PM<sub>1</sub>O emissions resulting from tireroadway interaction were based on EPA's road dust emission factors.

The MOBILE6.2 model is typically used to support planning and modeling efforts in urban or regional areas and include default inputs suited for these applications. Therefore, it is suitable for applications over large, regional transportation networks. Application of the MOBILE6.2 model required the utilization of unique inputs that were representative of mobile source activity within the park. In particular, it was necessary to utilize unique inputs for the visitor vehicle VMT mix and the vehicle age distribution. The Center for Environmental Research and Technology within the College of Engineering at the University of California's Riverside Campus (CE-CERT) established park-specific vehicle fleet characterizations in developing air emission inventories for Zion National Park (CE-CERT, 2001). CE-CERT found that the distribution of vehicle ages in the park reflected a larger fraction of newer vehicles compared to the general vehicle population. The park-specific mix vehicle types and vehicle age distribution developed by CE-CERT have been applied in the mobile modeling for Guadalupe Mountains NP.

In addition to park-specific age distribution, CE-CERT also developed park-specific modeling inputs for driving patterns that differ significantly from the default driving patterns typically used in mobile modeling, such as the Federal Test Procedure (FTP). In particular, they found that the FTP reflects both higher speeds and a wider range of speeds than observed in national parks. However, since the MOBILE6.2 model is not designed to readily incorporate unique driving pattern data, the default driving cycle remains the basis for the mobile source emission estimates provided here.

Other important mobile modeling inputs that can significantly affect mobile emission factors are the average speed, fuel characteristics, and UM program parameters. The average speed input to the mobile model was 35 mph, fuel volatility was assumed to be Reid vapor pressure (RVP) of 8.0 in the summer and 11.8 in the winter, and reformulated gasoline (RFG) was not assumed to

be present. Finally, UM program inputs were not included since there are no UM programs in the areas near the park.

In order to account for seasonal differences in mobile emissions, separate MOBILE6.2 runs were perfoinied to produce emission factors for winter and summer. A composite emission factor for each season, reflecting a park specific VMT mix adapted from CE-CERT, served as the basis for mobile source emission estimates. Additional particulate emissions (or entrained road dust) from vehicles operating on paved roads in Guadalupe Mountains NP also were calculated based on VMT. A summary of visitor vehicle emissions is provided in Table 12 at the end of this section.

### 3.1.2 **GSA/NPS Highway Vehicles**

Guadalupe Mountains NP operates a fleet of highway vehicles that are owned by the NPS or leased from the General Services Administration (GSA). Emission factors specific to vehicle classes (e.g., LDGVs) were used to estimate emissions from the NPS and GSA vehicles. Since vehicle mileages were not available, estimates were made based on another southeast park unit whose size is similar to Guadalupe Mountains NP. A summary of NPS and GSA vehicles and their estimated annual mileage is provided in Table 10, and emissions are summarized in Table 12 at the end of this section.

Vehicle Type	Number	Annual Usage (mi/yr)							
Light Duty Gasoline Vehicles (LDGV)									
Autos	7	30,130							
Light Duty Gasoline Trucks (LDGT)									
Pickups	19	91,586							
Sport Utility Vehicles	9	71,729							
Total	28	163,315							
Heavy Duty Gasoline V	vehicles (HDGV	)							
Trucks	7	31,448							
Heavy Duty Diesel T	rucks (HDDT)	·							
Heavy-Duty Trucks	6	21.813							
		·							
Park Total	48	246,706							

#### TABLE 10: NPS AND GSA ROAD VEHICLES AT GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NP

### 3.2 NPS NONROAD VEHICLES

The NPS also owns and operates nonroad motorized equipment that is used to maintain roadsand grounds and for other purposes. There are records of the Guadalupe Mountains NPequipmentinventory, and the larger pieces of equipment are noted in Table 11. Annual usageNational Park Service14June 2003

and emission factors from the USEPA nonroad emission database were used to calculate annual emissions that are provided in Table 12.

Vehicle Type	Number	Annual Usage (hrs/yr)
Grader	1	145
Backhoe	1	50
Sweepers	1	25
Forklift	2	100
Mowers	1	100
Utility Vehicle	2	130
ATVs	4	50
Loader	1	725
Tractor	1	350

TABLE 11: NPS NONROAD VEHICLES AT GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NP

## 3.3 SUMMARY OF MOBILE SOURCE EMISSIONS

Table 12 summarizes the mobile source emissions calculated above in a format that allows comparison between the various sources as well as providing totals for each pollutant or pollutant category under consideration.

#### 2001 Air Emissions Inventory

#### TABLE 12: SUMMARY OF 2001 MOBILE SOURCE EMISSIONS AT GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NP

	Particulates (PM <sub>10</sub> )		Sulfur Dioxide		Nitrogen Oxides		Carbon Monoxide		VOCs	
Activity	lbs/yr	tons/yr	lbs/yr	tons/yr	lbs/yr	tons/yr	lbs/yr	tons/yr	lbs/yr	tons/yr
Road Vehicles										
Visitor Vehicles	12,255	6.13			1,147	0.57	3,464	1.73	209	0.10
NPS/GSA Road Vehicles	<b>487</b> <sup>1</sup>	0.24		-	1,420	0.71	9,231	4.62	489	0.24
Road Vehicle Emission Subtotal	12,742 <sup>1</sup>	6.37			2,567	1.28	12,695	6.35	698	0.35
		]	Nonroad	Vehicles						
NPS Nonroad Vehicles	202	0.10			1,305	0.65	626	0.31	241	0.12
			Tota	ıls						
	Particulat	tes (PM $_i$ 0)	Sulfur	Dioxide	Nitroger	o Oxides	Carbon M	onoxide	VOC	's
	lbs/yr	tons/yr	lbs/yr	tons/yr	lbs/yr	tons/yr	lbs/yr	tons/yr	lbs/yr	tons/yr
Totals	12,944	6.47			3,872	1.94	13,320	6.66	940	0.47

<sup>1</sup> Includes exhaust, brake, and tire PM 10 and dust from paved and unpaved roads

#### 4. GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NP AND REGIONALEMISSION SUMMARY

#### 4.1 **GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NP** SUMMARY

A summary of Guadalupe Mountains NP emissions is provided in Table 13.

Source	$\mathbf{PM}_{I0}$	$SO_2$	NOx (tons)	CO (tons)	VOCs (tons)		
	(10115)	Point Sources	(10115)	(tons)	(10115)		
Heating Equipment	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.08	0.01	< 0.01		
Generators	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.01	0.27	0.01		
Gasoline Storage Tanks					0.66		
Subtotal	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.09	0.29	0.68		
Area Sources							
Wildland Fires	18.8			91.60	6.40		
Prescribed Burning	1.59			7.76	0.54		
Subtotal	20.39			99.36	6.94		
	Ν	Iobile Sources					
Road Vehicles	6.37		1.28	6.35	0.35		
Nonroad Vehicles	0.10		0.65	0.31	0.12		
Subtotal	6.47		1.94	6.66	0.47		
Totals							
Totals	8.06	< 0.01	2.03	14.71	1.69		

As methane

## 4.2 REGIONAL AIR EMISSIONS

Emission estimates for Culberson and Hudspeth Counties and the State of Texas were obtained from the 1999 National Emission Inventory (NEI) maintained by USEPA. It is important to note that differences may exist between the methodologies used to generate the park emission inventory and those used to generate the NET. For example, here gasoline storage tanks have been included as stationary sources, while the NEI treats them as area sources. Table 14 provides a comparison of Guadalupe Mountains NP emissions with those from the surrounding counties and the state. For all pollutants, Guadalupe Mountains NP emissions account for less than 1 percent of the surrounding counties point source emissions.

## TABLE 14:ESTIMATED ANNUAL EMISSIONS FROM GUADALUPE MOUNTAINSNP,SURROUNDING COUNTIES, AND THE STATE OF TEXAS

Area	PM <sub>10</sub> (tons/vr)	SO <sub>2</sub> (tons/yr)	NOx (tons/yr)	CO (tons/vr)	VOC (tons/vr)					
Point Sources										
Guadalupe Mountains NP Totals	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.09	0.29	0.68					
-										
Culberson County	67	5	654	73	7					
Hudspeth County	21	<1	219	13	2					
Surrounding County Totals	88	5	873	86	9					
Texas Totals	54,699	977,386	920,494	463,369	251,840					
	A	rea Sources			=1					
Guadalupe Mountains NP Totals	20			99	71					
		1	1	1	1.15					
Culberson County	914	3	4	9	145					
Hudspeth County	2,385	4	6	54	140					
Surrounding County Totals	3,299	7	10	63	285					
					515.000					
Texas Totals	797,799	8,361	40,542	532,559	545,339					
	Mo	bile Sources			0.47					
Guadalupe Mountains NP Totals	6.47		1.94	6.66	0.47					
				<b>5</b> 0 6 <b>2</b>						
Culberson County	57	55	1,682	5,063	567					
Hudspeth County	100	102	2,897	8,519	969					
Surrounding County Totals	157	157	4,579	13,582	1,536					
Texas Totals	1,873,475	113,121	1,274,494	5,161,011	600,335					

## 5. COMPLIANCE AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## 5.1 COMPLIANCE

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) is the governing authority for regulating air pollution in the park. Park personnel should coordinate with the agency on permit issues relating to stationary sources, as well as prescribed burning activities. Prior to replacing or adding relatively large heating units, generators, and fuel storage tanks, the appropriate agency should be consulted regarding the need to obtain a permit to construct or a permit to operate such sources. For example, the Texas Administrative Code Title 30, Part 1, Chapter 106, Subchapter G, Rule/106.183 and Rule/106.183 exempt from its permit requirements:

- Fuel burning equipment that uses gaseous fuel and has a design rate of less than forty (40) million Btu per hour
- Fuel burning equipment that uses distillate oil as backup fuel only
- Emergency standby generators that are rated at less than 500 hp.

Although campfires and other open burning are not permitted in the park, Rule/111.207 authorizes open burning for "... fires used solely for recreational and ceremonial purposes, or in the noncommercial preparation of food, or used exclusively for the purpose of supplying warmth during cold weather." Regulations pertaining to prescribed burning are addressed in Rule /111.211. Measures to prevent the creation of fugitive dust also must be taken, and regulations require that persons handling, transporting, or storing materials take reasonable precautions to prevent particulate matter from becoming airborne (Rule/111.143). The park appears to be in compliance with the these regulations that are included in Appendix D of this report.

## 5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

Actions to promote sustainable development in the design, retrofit, and construction of park facilities have associated air quality benefits. These include actions that reduce or replace consumption of conventional fossil fuels and/or reduce the consumption of other resources. Reductions in potable and non-potable water consumption also achieve concurrent reductions in energy consumption and associated air emissions. Acquisition of energy efficient appliances whenever possible also is an incremental energy saving measure that has associated air quality benefits.

Current park initiatives include the use of renewable energy resources, energy and water conservation measures, and a recycling program. Photovoltaic systems are in use at the Pratt Cabin in McKittrick Canyon, Pine Top Cabin in the backcountry, and for two radio repeater

sites. There are occupancy sensors in restrooms and at the maintenance shops, and water saving fixtures have been installed in restrooms and employee housing. Waterless urinals are in place at the public restrooms at the Visitor Center, at the Pine Springs Campground, the Maintenance Shop, and the Mckittrick Canyon contact station.

The only air quality issue raised by the park was directed at existing and proposed oil and gas well developments in the Otero Mesa area in New Mexico approximately 40 miles northwest of the park. Although several wildcat wells have been drilled in the greater Otero Mesa Area in the past 80 years, they have never produced a viable commercial operation. However, in the late 1990s, an oil and gas company produced a commercially viable find of natural gas at a depth of 7,100 feet hit. The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) has been working for several years on an Environmental Impact Statement for the Greater Otero Mesa Area. This has been a frequently raised issue by other mid-western and western park. In recent years, the NPS Air Resources Division (ARD) has been monitoring energy developments in these areas and seeks opportunities to engage in the process.
### 6. REFERENCES

- College of Engineering at the University of California's Riverside Campus (CE-CERT). 2001. *Air Emissions Inventory for Zion National Park.*
- EA Engineering, Science, and Technology. 2001. *Air Emission Inventory Preparation Plan*. Prepared for the National Park Service. November.
- Fabry, J.K. 1988. *Guadalupe Mountains National Park: An Administrative History*. National Park Service. December.
- Guadalupe Mountains National Park. 2002. General Management Plan Newsletter 3, Guadalupe Mountains National Park. October.
- National Park Service. 2002. Air Quality in the National Parks. Second edition. September.
- USEPA, 1995a. Compilation of Air Pollution Emission Factors AP-42, Fifth Edition, Volume I: Stationary Point and Area Sources.
- USEPA, 1995b. *Highway Vehicle Particulate Emission Modeling Software "PARTS"*. Office of Transportation and Air Quality.
- USEPA, 2002. User's Guide to MOBILE6.1 and MOBILE6.2 Mobile Source Emission Factor Model. EPA420-R-02-010. Office of Air and Radiation. March.
- USEPA, 2000. *Factor Information REtrieval (FIRE) Data System*. Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards.
- USEPA, 2000b. TANKS 4.09a. Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards.

## **APPENDIX A**

## FUEL DATA AND EMISSION FACTORS

### FUEL DATA

Fuel	Heating Value	Sulfur Content
No. 2 Distillate Fuel Oil/Diesel	140,000 Btu/gal	0.05% by weight
Natural Gas	1,050 Btu/ft <sup>3</sup>	2,000 grains/10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup>
Propane	91,500 Btu/gal	0.18 grains/100 ft <sup>3</sup>

### STATIONARY SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS - BOILERS/HEATING UNITS

DISTILLATE OIL (DF-2) - CRITERIA POLLUTANTS										
	Emission Factor (lb/1,000 gal fuel burned)									
Combustor Type	PM <sup>(a)</sup>	<b>SO</b> <sub>2</sub> <sup>(6)</sup>	NO <sub>X</sub> <sup>(e)</sup>	СО	VOC <sup>(d)</sup>					
Residential Furnace <sup>(e)</sup>	0.4	142S	18	5	0.713					
Boilers < 100 Million Btu/hr (Commercial/Institutional Combust.°)	2	142S	20	5	0.34					
Boilers < 100 Million Btu/hr (Industrial Boilers <sup>(s)</sup> )	2	142S	20	5	0.2					
Boilers > 100 Million Btu/hr (Utility Boilers <sup>(h)</sup> )	2	157S	24	5						
Source: AP-42, 5th Edition, Supplements A, B, C, D, and E, Tables 1.	3-1 and 1	.3-3.								

NATURAL GAS - CRITERIA POLLUTANTS									
Combustor Type	Emission Factor (lb/10 <sup>6</sup> ft <sup>3</sup> fuel burned)								
(MMBtu/hr Heat Input)	РМ@	SO <sub>2</sub>		СО	VOC				
Residential Furnaces (<0.3)									
-Uncontrolled	7.6	0.6	94	40	5.5				
Tangential-Fired Boilers (All Sizes)									
-Uncontrolled	7.6	0.6	170	24	5.5				
-Controlled-Flue gas recirculation	7.6	0.6	76	98	5.5				
Small Boilers (<100)									
-Uncontrolled	7.6	0.6	100	84	5.5				
-Controlled-Low NO $_{\rm X}$ burners	7.6	0.6	50	84	5.5				
-Controlled-Low NO $_{X}$ burners/Flue gas recirculation	7.6	0.6	32	84	5.5				
Large Wall-Fired Boilers (>100)									
-Uncontrolled (Pre-NSPS) <sup>(k)</sup>	7.6	0.6	280	84	5.5				
-Uncontrolled (Post-NSPS) <sup>(k)</sup>	7.6	0.6	190	84	5.5				
-Controlled-Low NO <sub>1</sub> , burners	7.6	0.6	140	84	5.5				
-Controlled-Flue gas recirculation	7.6	0.6	100	84	5.5				
Source: AP-42, 5th Edition, Supplements A, B, C, D, and	E, Tables 1	.4-1 and 1.4	1-2.						

### STATIONARY SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS - BOILERS/HEATING UNITS (Continued)

PROPANE (LPG) - CRITERIA POLLUTANTS									
	Emi	ssion Factor	r (1b/1,000	al fuel bur	ned)				
Combustor Type	PM <sup>(a)</sup>	SO, <sup>(b)</sup>	ΓΑΝΤS   on Factor (lb/1,000 al fuel burned)   SO, <sup>(b)</sup> NO,, <sup>(i)</sup> CO VO   0.105 14 1.9 0.   0.10S 19 3.2 0.	VOC <sup>(a)</sup>					
Commercial Boilers <sup>(f)</sup>	0.4	0.105	14	1.9	0.3				
Industrial Boilers <sup>(g)</sup>	0.6	0.10S	19	3.2	0.3				
Source: AP-42, 5th Edition, Supplements A, B, C, D, and E	, Table 1.5-	1.			·				

### STATIONARY SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS - GENERATORS

		Emissi	on Factor (lb/h	n-hr)						
		Linissi		p m)						
Fuel Type	PM	SO,	NO),	CO	VOC					
DF-2	2.20 E-03	2.05 E-03	0.031	6.68 E-03	2.51 E-03					
Gasoline	7.21 E-04	5.91 E-04	0.011	0.439	0.022					
Natural Gas/Propane	1.54 E-04	7.52 E-03(S)	3.53 E-03	8.6 E-04	1.92 E-04					
Source: AP-42, 5th Editio	Source: AP-42, 5th Edition, Supplements A, B, C, D, and E, Table 3.3-1 and 3.1-1									

For generators rated at less than or equal to 448 kW (600 hp):

### For generators rated at greater than 448 kW (600 hp):

		Emissic	on Factor (lb/hp-	hr)				
Fuel Type	PM	SO <sub>X</sub> ()	NO <sub>X</sub>	СО	VOC			
DF-2	0.0007	(8.09 E-03)S	0.024	5.5 E-03	6.4 E-04			
Source: AP-42, 5th Edition, Supplements A, B, C, D, and E, Table 3.4-1.								

### FIREPLACE EMISSION FACTORS

Fuel Type '		Er	nission Factor (ll	o/ton)					
Fuel Type	PM <sup>@</sup>	PM <sup>@</sup> SO <sub>X</sub>	NW')	CO	VOC				
Wood	34.6	0.4	2.6	252.6	229.0				
Source: AP-42,	Source: AP-42, 5th Edition, Supplements A, B, C, D, and E, Table 1.9-1.								

### WOODSTOVE EMISSION FACTORS

Stove Tupe		En	uission Factor (	lb/ton)					
	PM°	SOX	NO <sub>X</sub> <sup>(&lt;)</sup>	СО	VOC				
Conventional	30.6	0.4	2.8	230.8	53				
Noncatalytic	19.6	0.4		140.8	12				
Catalytic	20.4	0.4	2.0	104.4	15				
Source: AP-42,	Source: AP-42, 5th Edition, Supplements A, B, C, D, and E, Table 1.10-1.								

### STATIONARY SOURCE EMISSION FACTORS - SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS

Surface Coating Type	VOC Emission Factor (lb/gal)					
Paint: Solvent Base	5.6					
Paint: Water Base	1.3					
Enamel: General	3.5					
Lacquer: General	6.1					
Primer: General	6.6					
Varnish/Shellac: General	3.3					
Thinner: General	7.36					
Adhesive: General	4.4					
Source: Calculation Methods for Criteria Air Pollutant Emission Inventories, AL/OE-TR-1994-0049, July 1994. Armstrong Laboratory.						

- (a) PM = Filterable Particulate Matter.
- (b) These factors must be multiplied by the fuel sulfur content (for example, if the sulfur content is 0.05%, then S equals 0.05).
- (c) Expressed as NO<sub>2</sub>.
- (d) Emission factors given in AP-42 are actually for non-methane total organic compounds (NMTOC) which includes all VOCs and all exempted organic compounds (such as ethane, toxics and HAPs, aldehydes and semivolatile compounds) as measured by EPA reference methods.
- (e) Unit Rating <300,000 Btu/hr.
- (f) Unit Rating 3300,000 Btu/hr, but <10,000,000 Btu/hr.
- (g) Unit Rating 310,000,000 Btu/hr, but <100,000,000 Btu/hr.
- (h) Unit Rating 3100,000,000 Btu/hr.
- (i) POM = Particulate POM only.
- (j) PM = Filterable Particulate Matter + Condensible Particulate Matter.
- (k) NSPS = New Source Performance Standard as defined in 40 CFR 60 Subparts D and Db. Post-NSPS units are boilers with greater than 250 MMBtu/hr of heat input that commenced construction, modification, or reconstruction after August 17, 1971, and units with heat input capacities between 100 and 250 MMBtu/hr that commenced construction, modification, or reconstruction after June 19, 1984.
- (1) Emission factors are given on a fuel input basis (]b/MMBtu). To convert to a power output basis (lb/hp-hr), use an average brake-specific fuel consumption (BSFC) of 7,000 Btu/hp-hr.

# **APPENDIX B**

# **EMISSION CALCULATIONS**

Emission	Location	Fuel	Number of	Capacity		Consumption	PM	$SO_2$	NO <sub>X</sub>	СО	$CO_2$	VOC
Source			Sources	(Btu/hr)		(gal/yr)	(Ibs/yr)	(lbs/yr)	(lbs/yr)	(Ibs/yr)	(lbs/yr)	(Ibs/yr)
Furnace	Employee Housing	Propane	10	100,000	1,000,000	3,221	1	0	45	6	40,268	1
Furnace	Employee Housing	Propane	4	75,000	300,000	966	0	0	14	2	12,081	0
Furnace	Employee Housing	Propane	2	69,000	138,000	445	0	0	6	1	5,557	0
Furnace	Employee Housing	Propane	5	55,000	275,000	886	0	0	12	2	11,074	0
Furnace	Employee Housing	Propane	1	82,000	82,000	264	0	0	4	1	3,302	0
Furnace	Recreation Hall	Propane	1	125,000	125,000	403	0	0	6	Ι	5,034	0
Furnace	Auto Shop	Propane	3	175,000	525,000	1,691	1	0	24	3	21,141	1
Furnace	Maintenance Shop Break Room	Propane	1	110,000	110,000	354	0	0	5	1	4,430	0
Furnace	Carpenter Shop	Propane	1	165,000	165,000	532	0	0	7	1	6,644	0
Furnace	Emergency Services	Propane	1	75,000	75,000	242	0	0	3	0	3,020	0
Furnace	Wildland Fire Cache	Propane	2	200,000	400,000	1,289	1	0	18	2	16,107	0
Furnace	Visitor Center/Headquarters	Propane	1	360,000	360,000	1,160	0	0	16	2	14,497	0
Furnace	Ship on The Desert	Propane	1	150,000	150,000	483	0	0	7	1	6,040	0
Furnace	Ship On the Desert Quarters	Propane	1	20,000	20,000	64	0	0	1	0	805	0
		Propane Totals!	34		3,725,000	12,000	5	0	168	23	150,000	4
		Park Totals	34				5	0	168	23	150,000	41

#### 2001 ACTUAL CRITERIA EMISSIONS FROM HEATING UNITS AT GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NATIONAL PARK

Emission Factors (Ibs/1,000 gal)										
Propane	0.4	0.005	14	1.9	12,500	0.3				
No. 2 Oil	0.4	7.1	18	5	21,500	0.713				

Emission	Location	Fuel	Number of	Capacity		Consumption	PM	$SO_2$	NO <sub>X</sub>	СО	CO <sub>2</sub>	VOC
Source			Sources	(Btu/hr)		(gal/yr)	(lbs/yr)	(Ibs/yr)	(lbs/yr)	(Ibs/yr)	(Ibs/yr)	(Ibs/yr)
Furnace	Employee Housing	Propane	10	100,000	1,000,000	95,738	38	0	1,340	182	1,196,721	29
Furnace	Employee Housing	Propane	4	75,000	300,000	28,721	11	0	402	55	359,016	9
Furnace	Employee Housing	Propane	2	69,000	138,000	13,212	5	0	185	25	165,148	4
Furnace	Employee Housing	Propane	5	55,000	275,000	26,328	11	0	369	50	329,098	8
Furnace	Employee Housing	Propane	1	82,000	82,000	7,850	3	0	110	15	98,131	2
Furnace	Recreation Hall	Propane	1	125,000	125,000	11,967	5	0	168	23	149,590	4
Furnace	Auto Shop	Propane	3	175,000	525,000	50,262	20	0	704	95	628,279	15
Furnace	Maintenance Shop Break Room	Propane	1	110,000	110,000	10,531	4	0	147	20	131,639	3
Furnace	Carpenter Shop	Propane	1	165,000	165,000	15,797	6	0	221	30	197,459	5
Furnace	Emergency Services	Propane	1	75,000	75,000	7,180	3	0	101	14	89,754	2
Furnace	Wildland Fire Cache	Propane	2	200,000	400,000	38,295	15	0	536	73	478,689	11
Furnace	Visitor Center/Headquarters	Propane	1	360,000	360,000	34,466	14	0	483	65	430,820	10
Furnace	Ship on The Desert	Propane	1	150,000	150,000	14,361	6	0	201	27	179,508	4
Furnace	Ship On the Desert Quarters	Propane	1	20,000	20,000	1,915	1	0	27	4	23,934	1
		Propane Totals	6		3,725,000	356,623	38	0	1,347	183	1,202,705	29
		Park Totals	6				38	0	1347	183	1,202,705	291

### 2001 POTENTIAL CRITERIA EMISSIONS FROM HEATING UNITS AT CARLSBAD CAVERNS NATIONAL PARK

Emission Factors Ps/1,000 gal)													
Propane	0.4	0.005	14	1.9	12,500	0.3							
No. 2 Oil	0.4	7.1	18	5	21,500	0.713							

Emission	I	E 1	Number of	Rating	Run Time	Output	PM	$SO_2$	NO,,	$CO_2$	CO	VOC
Source	Location	Fuel	Sources	(kW)	(hrs/yr)	(kW-hr/yr)	(lbs/yr)	(lbs/yr)	(lbs/yr)	(Ibs/yr)	(Ibs/yr)	(lbs/yr)
Generator	Visitor Center/Headquarters	Propane	1	20	12	240	0	0	1		0	0
Generator	McKittrick Contact Station	Propane	1	20	12	240	0	0	1		0	0
	Propane Gen	erator Totals	2	40	24	480	0	1	2		1	0
Emission F Formula = 1	actors from AP-42, Chapter 3.1-1 Emission Factor (lb/hp-hr) * 608	for natural g (g/kW-hr / lb	gas large uncor /hp-hr) * Outr	ntrolled gas out (kW-hr/	turbines (lb/hp /yr) / 453.6 (gli	p-hr), S=.18 b)	1.54E-04	7.52E-03*S	3.53E-03		8.60E-04	1.92E-04
Generator	Employee Hosusing	Gasoline	2	5.50	12	132	0	0	2	191	78	4
Generator	Employee Hosusing	Gasoline	3	4.25	12	153	0	0	2	221	90	5
Generator	Employee Hosusing	Gasoline	1	10.00	12	120	0	0	2	174	71	4
Generator	Employee Hosusing	Gasoline	1	6.20	12	74	0	0	1	108	44	2
Generator	Employee Hosusing	Gasoline	1	14.90	12	179	0	0	3	259	105	5
Generator	B&U and Interpretation	Gasoline	1	9.00	12	108	0	0	2	156	64	3
Generator	Fire Cache	Gasoline	1	9.60	12	115	0	0	2	167	68	3
Generator	Pine Springs Comfort Station	Gasoline	1	4.25	12	51	0	0	1	74	30	2
Generator Pine Springs Comfort Station Gasoline Gene		erator Totals	11		96	932	1	1	14	1,349	548	27
Emission F Fommla =	actors from AP-42, Chapter 3.4-1 Output (kW-hr/yr) * 1.34 (hp/kW	for generator) * Emission	ors rated less th a Factor (lb/hp-	an 448 kW -hr)	∕, S=.05		7.10E-04	5.91 E-04	1.10E-02	1.08E+00	4.39E-01	2.20E-02
					Р	ark Totals (lbs/yr)	1	2	16	1,349	549	28
					Pa	rk Totals (tons/yr)	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.67	0.27	0.01

#### 2001 ACTUAL CRITERIA EMISSIONS FROM GENERATORS AT GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS MOUNTAINS NP

Emission	Location	Fuel	Number of	Rating	Run Time	Output	PM	$SO_2$	NO <sub>x</sub>	$CO_2$	СО	VOC
Source	Location	ruer	Sources	(kW)	(hrs/yr)	(kW-hr/yr)	(lbs/yr)	(Ibs/yr)	(Ibs/yr)	(Ibs/yr)	(Ibs/yr)	(lbs/yr)
Generator	Visitor Center/Headquarters	Propane	1	20	500	10,000	2	18	47		12	3
Generator	McKittrick Contact Station	Propane	1	20	500	10,000	2	18	47		12	3
	Propane Gen	erator Totals	2	40	1,000	20000	4	36	95		23	5
Emission Factors from AP-42, Chapter 3.1-1 for natural gas large uncontrolled gas turbines (lb/hp-hr), S=.18 $1.54E-04$ $7.52E-03*S$ $3.53E-03$ $8.60E-04$ $1.92E-04$ Formula = Emission Factor (lb/hp-hr) * 608 (g/kW-hr / lb/hp-hr) * Output (kW-hr/yr) / 453.6 (glib) $1.54E-04$ $7.52E-03*S$ $3.53E-03$ $8.60E-04$ $1.92E-04$												
Generator	Employee Hosusing	Gasoline	2	5.50	500	5,500	5	4	81	7,960	3,235	162
Generator	Employee Hosusing	Gasoline	3	4.25	500	6,375	6	5	94	9,226	3,750	188
Generator	Employee Hosusing	Gasoline	1	10.00	500	5,000	5	4	74	7,236	2,941	147
Generator	Employee Hosusing	Gasoline	1	6.20	500	3,100	3	2	46	4,486	1,824	91
Generator	Employee Hosusing	Gasoline	1	14.90	500	7,450	7	6	110	10,782	4,383	220
Generator	B&U and Interpretation	Gasoline	1	9.00	500	4,500	4	4	66	6,512	2,647	133
Generator	Fire Cache	Gasoline	1	9.60	500	4,800	5	4	71	6,947	2,824	142
Generator	Pine Springs Comfort Station	Gasoline	1	4.25	500	2,125	2	2	31	3,075	1,250	63
	Gasoline Gen	erator Totals	11		4,000	38,850	37	31	573	56,224	22,854	1,145
Emission Factors from AP-42, Chapter 3.4-1 for generators rated less than 448 kW, S=.05 $7.10E-04$ $5.91 E-04$ $1.10E-02$ $1.08E+00$ $4.39E-01$ $2.20E-02$ Formula = Output (kW-hr/yr) * $1.34$ (hp/kW) * Emission Factor (lb/hp-hr) $7.10E-04$ $5.91 E-04$ $1.10E-02$ $1.08E+00$ $4.39E-01$ $2.20E-02$											2.20E-02	
						Totals (Ibs/yr)	41	67	667	56,224	22,877	1,150
						Totals (tons/yr)	0.02	0.03	0.33	28.11	11.44	0.58

### 2001 ACTUAL CRITERIA EMISSIONS FROM GENERATORS AT GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS MOUNTAINS NP

### TANKS 4.0 Emissions Report - Summary Format Tank Identification and Physical Characteristics

#### Identification

Guadalupe Mountains NP El Paso Texas NPS Horizontal Tank
2,000 gallon white AST
12.00
5.50
2,000.00
0.00
5,000.00
N
Ν
White/White
Good
-0.03
0.03

Meteorological Data used in Emissions Calculations: El Paso, Texas (Avg Atmospheric Pressure = 12.79 psia)

### TANKS 4.0 Emissions Report - Summary Format Liquid Contents of Storage Tank

		Daily Temper	Liquid Surf. atures (deg F)		Liquid Bulk Temp.	Vapor	Pressures (psia	)	Vapor Mol.	Liquid Mass	Vapor Mass	Mot.	Basis for Vapor Pressure
Mixture/Component	Month	Avg.	Min.	Max.	(deg F)	Avg.	Min.	Max.	Weight	Fract.	Fract.	Weight	Calculations
Gasoline (RVP 8)	All	65.66	58.37	72.96	63.23	4.5235	3.9140	5.2073	68.0000			92.00	Option 4: RVP=8, ASTM Slope=3

### TANKS 4.0 Emissions Report - Summary Format Individual Tank Emission Totals

#### **Annual Emissions Report**

	Losses(lbs)								
Components	Working Loss	Breathing Loss	Total Emissions						
Gasoline (RVP 8)	36.62	446.21	482.83						

### TANKS 4.0 Emissions Report - Summary Format Tank Identification and Physical Characteristics

#### Identification

User Identification:	Guadalupe Mountains NP2
State:	Texas
Company:	NPS
Type of Tank:	Horizontal Tank
Description:	1000 gallon white AST
Tank Dimensions	
Shell Length (ft):	10.75
Diameter (ft):	4.00
Volume (gallons):	1,000.00
Turnovers:	0.00
Net Throughput (gai/yr):	17,000.00
Is Tank Heated (yin):	N
Is Tank Underground (y/n):	Ν
Paint Characteristics	
Shell Color/Shade:	White/White
Shell Condition:	Good
Breather Vent Settings	
Vacuum Settings (psig):	-0.03
Pressure Settings (psig):	0.03

Meteorological Data used in Emissions Calculations: El Paso, Texas (Avg Atmospheric Pressure = 12.79 psia)

### TANKS 4.0 Emissions Report - Summary Format Liquid Contents of Storage Tank

		Dail <u>)</u> Tempe	y Liquid Surf. eratures (deg F)		Liquid Bulk Temp.	Vapor I	Pressures (psia	a)	Vapor Mol.	Liquid Mass	Vapor Mass	Mol.	Basis for Vapor Pressure
Mixture/Component	Month	Avg.	Min.	Max.	(deg F)	Avg_	Min.	Max.	Weight	Fract.	Fract.	Weight	Calculations
Gasoline (RVP 8)	All	65.66	58.37	72.96	63.23	4.5235	3.9140	5.2073	68.0000			92.00	Option 4: RVP=8, ASTM Slope=3

### TANKS 4.0 Emissions Report - Summary Format Individual Tank Emission Totals

#### **Annual Emissions Report**

		Losses(lbs)						
Components	Working Loss	Breathing Loss	Total Emissions					
Gasoline (RVP 8)	124.50	237.12	361.63					

TITLE: Results of FOFEM model execution on date: 1/23/2003

#### FUEL CONSUMPTION CALCULATIONS

Region: Interior West Cover Type: SAF/SRM - SRM 612 - Sagebrush - Grass Fuel Type: Natural Fuel Reference: FOFEM 461

	FUEL CONSUMPTION TABLE										
Fuel	Preburn	Consumed	Postburn	Percent	Equation						
Component	Load	Load	Load	Reduced	Reference						
Name	(t/acre)	(t/acre)	(t/acre)	( <sup>%</sup> )	Number	Moisture					
Litter	0.07	0.07	0.00	100.0	999						
Wood (0-1/4 inch)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	999						
Wood $(1/4-1 \text{ inch})$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	999	25.0					
Wood (1-3 inch)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	999						
Wood (3+ inch) Sound	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	999	20.0					
3->6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0							
6->9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0							
9->20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0:0							
20->	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0							
Wood (3+ inch) Rotten	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	999	20.0					
3->6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0.;	-						
6->9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0:0							
9->20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0							
20->	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0							
Duff	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	2	100.0					
Herbaceous	0.45	0.45	0.00	100.0	22						
Shrubs	1.26	0.63	0.63	50.0	232						
Crown foliage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	37						
Crown branchwood	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	38						
Total Fuels	1.78	1.15	0.63	64.6							

#### FIRE EFFECTS ON FOREST FLOOR COMPONENTS

Forest Floor	Preburn	Amount	Postburn	Percent	Equation
Component	Condition	Consumed	Condition	Reduced	Number
Duff Depth (in)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6
Min Soil Exp (%)		21.9	21.9	21.9	10

	Emissions flaming	lbs/acre smoldering	total	
PM 10	7	4	11	
PM 2.5	6	3	9	
CH 4	2	2	4	
CO	14	42	56	
CO 2	3841	172	4013	

Со	nsumption	Duration
	tons/acre	hour:min:sec
Flaming:	1.08	00:01:00
Smoldering:	0.07	00:01:00
Total:	1.15	

TITLE: Results of FOFEM model execution on date: 1/27/2003

#### FUEL CONSUMPTION CALCULATIONS

Region: Interior West Cover Type: SAF/SRM - SRM 734 - Mesquite - Oak Fuel Type: Natural Fuel Reference: FOFEM 371

		FUEL C	ONSUMPTION	TABLE		
Fuel Component	Preburn Load	Consumed Load	Postburn Load	Percent Reduced	Equation Reference	
Name	(t/acre)	(t/acre)	(t/acre)	( <sup>9</sup> 6 )	Number	Moisture
Litter	0.20	0.20	0.00	100.0	999	
• Wood (0-1/4 inch)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	999	
Wood $(1/4-1 \text{ inch})$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	999	25.0
Wood $(1-3 \text{ inch})$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	999	
Wood (3+ inch) Sound	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	999	20.0
3->6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0		
6->9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0		
9->20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0		
20->	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0		
Wood (3+ inch) Rotten	0.00	0.00	0.00	;. 0.0	999	20.0
3->6	000	0.00.	. 0.00	0::0		
6->9	0.00	ρ00	0.00	0.0		
9->20	0.00	° 00	0.00	0.0		
20->	0.00	0.00	0>.00	0.0		
Duff:	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	2	100.0
Herbaceous	1.00	1.00	0.00	100.0	22	
Shrubs	5.00	3.00	2.00	60.0	23	
Crown foliage	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	37	
Crown branchwood	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	38	
Total Fuels	6.20	4.20	2.00	67.7		

#### FIRE EFFECTS ON FOREST FLOOR COMPONENTS

Forest Floor	Preburn	Amount	Postburn	Percent	Equation
Component	Condition	Consumed	Condition	Reduced	Number
Duff Depth (in)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	<b>6</b>
Min Soil Exp (%)		21.9	21.9	21.9	10

		Emissions · flaming	lbs/acre smoldering	total
PM	10	25	11	36
PM	2.5	21	9	30
CH	4	6	6	12
CO	2	52	121	173
CO		14228	491	14719

Co	nsumption	Duration
	tons/acre	hour:min:sec
Flaming:	4.00	00:01:00
Smoldering:	0.20	00:01:00
Total:	4.20	

2001 PRESCRIBED FIRE EMISSIONS AT GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NATIONAL PARH
---

			РКо	PM 2.5	$CH_4$	CO	$\mathbf{CO}_2$
Туре	Fuel Type	Acres	(Ibs/yr)	(lbs/yr)	(lbs/yr)	(lbs/yr)	(Ibs/yr)
	Sagahrush Cross	67	707	602	269	0.750	060.071
Prescribed Fires	Sagebrush- Grass	67	131	003	200	3,752	200,071
	Mesquite Oak	68	2,448	2,040	816	11,704	1,000,892
Totals	lbs/yr	135	3,185	2,643	1,084	15,510	1,269,763
	tons/yr		1.59	1.32	0.54	7.76	634.88
Wildland Fires							
Wildidi u Tiles	Sagebrush- Grass	800	8,800	7,200	3,200	44,800	3,210,400
	Mesquite Oak	800	28,800	24,000	9,600	138,400	11,775,200
Totolo	lbs/yr	1,600	37,600	31,200	12,800	183,200	14,985,600
TOLAIS	tons/yr		18.80	15.60	6.40	91.60	7,492.80
All Fire Totals		1,735	40,785	33,843	13,884	198,716	16,255,363
					tons/yr		
			20.39	16.92	6.94	99.36	8,127.68
				Emissior	Factors (lbs/a	acre)	
			<b>PM</b> 10	PM 2.5	CH <sub>4</sub>	СО	CO <sub>2</sub>
Sagebrush- Grass			(Ibs/acre)	(lbs/acre)	(Ibs/acre)	(lbs/acre)	(lbs/acre)
5			11	9	4	56	4,013
Mesquite Oak			36	30	12	173	14,719

- \* Guadelupe M NP Winter Conditions.
- \* File 1, Run 1, Scenario 29.
- M584 Warning:

The user supplied area wide average speed of 35.0 will be used for all hours of the day. 1000 of VMT has been assigned to a fixed combination of freeways, freeway ramps, arterial/collector and local roadways for all hours of the day and all vehicle types.

- \* Reading PM Gas Carbon ZML Levels
- \* from the external data file PMGZML.CSV

\* Reading PM Gas Carbon DR1 Levels

\* from the external data file PMGDR1.CSV

\* Reading PM Gas Carbon DR2 Levels

- \* from the external data file PMGDR2.CSV
- \* Reading PM Diesel Zero Mile Levels
- \* from the external data file PMDZML.CSV
- \* Reading the First PM Deterioration Rates
- \* from the external data file PMDDR1.CSV

\* Reading the Second PM Deterioration Rates

\* from the external data file PMDDR2.CSV

User supplied gasoline sulfur content = 300.0 ppm.

#### M616 Comment:

User has supplied post-1999 sulfur levels.

M 48 Warning:

there are no sales for vehicle class  ${\tt HDGV8b}$ 

Calendar Year: 2001 Month: Jan. Altitude: High Minimum Temperature: 30.0 (F)

Maximum Absolu Nomin We Fuel Sul	Temperature te Humidity al Fuel RVP athered RVP fur Content	: 56.0 ( : 75. g : 11.8 p : 11.8 p : 299. p	F) rains/lb si pm							
Exhaust Evap Refor	I/M Program I/M Program ATP Program mulated Gas	: No : No : No : No								
Vehicle Type: GVWR:	LDGV	LDGT12 <6000	LDGT34 >6000	LDGT (All)	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC	All Veh
VMT Distribution:	0.7002	0.1410	0.1044		0.0060	0.0008	0.0016	0.0180	0.0280	1.0000
Composite Emission Fa Composite VOC : Composite CO Composite NOX :	ctors (g/mi 0.835 16.59 0.796	): 1.055 21.74 1.141	0.953 19.73 1.333	1.012 20.88 1.222	0.942 26.99 3.729	0.433 1.308 1.267	0.439 0.931 1.212	0.509 6.582 16.834	2.63 24.22 1.12	0.922 17.702 1.218
Veh. Type: VMT Mix:	LDGT1 0.0330	LDGT2 0.1080	LDGT3 0.0719	LDGT4 0.0325	LDDT12	LDDT34 0.0016				
Composite Emission Fa Composite VOC : Composite CO Composite NOX :	ctors (g/mi 0.994 20.98 0.896	): 1.074 21.97 1.215	0.929 19.61 1.203	1.005 19.98 1.619	2.424 6.522 2.555	0.391 0.795 1.180				
Veh. Type: VMT Mix:	HDGV2B	HDGV3 0.0000	HDGV4	HDGV5 0.0000	HDGV6	HDGV7 0.0000	HDGV8A 0.0000	HDGV8B		
Composite Emission Fa Composite VOC Composite CO Composite NOX :	ctors (g/mi 0.942 26.99 3.729	): 0.000 0.00 0.000	0.000 0.00 0.000	0.000 0.00 0.000	0.000 0.00 0.000	0.000 0.00 0.000	0.000 0.00 0.000	0.000 0.00 0.000		
Veh. Type:	HDDV2B	HDDV3	HDDV4	HDDV5	HDDV6	HDDV7	HDDV8A	HDDV8E		

VMT Mix:	0.0020	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Composite Emission Fa	ctors (g/mi	i):							
Composite VOC :	0.378	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Composite CO	1.942	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Composite NOX :	4.150	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	

#### 

\* Guadelupe M NP Summer Conditions.

- \* File 1, Run 1, Scenario 30.

The user supplied area wide average speed of 35.0 will be used for all hours of the day. 100% of VMT has been assigned to a fixed combination of freeways, freeway ramps, arterial/collector and local roadways for all hours of the day and all vehicle types.

- \* Reading PM Gas Carbon ZML Levels
- \* from the external data file PMGZML.CSV
- \* Reading PM Gas Carbon DR1 Levels
- \* from the external data file PMGDR1.CSV
- \* Reading PM Gas Carbon DR2 Levels
- \* from the external data file PMGDR2.CSV
- \* Reading PM Diesel Zero Mile Levels \* from the external data file PMDZML.CSV
- \* Reading the First PM Deterioration Rates \* from the external data file PMDDR1.CSV
- \* Reading the Second PM Deterioration Rates \* from the external data file PMDDR2.CSV

User supplied gasoline sulfur content = 300.0 ppm.

M616 Comment:

User has supplied post-1999 sulfur levels.

M 48 Warning:

there are no sales for vehicle class HDGV8b Calendar Year: 2001 Month: July Altitude: High

13.99

0.801

HDGV2B

0.0060

Composite CO

Composite NOX :

Veh. Type:

VMT Mix:

14.50

HDGV3

0.0000

1.073

14.02

HDGV4

0.0000

1.153

Minimum Temperature: 60.0 (F) 89.0 (F) Maximum Temperature: Absolute Humidity: 75. grains/lb Nominal Fuel RVP: 8.0 psi Weathered RVP: 7.8 psi Fuel Sulfur Content: 299. ppm Exhaust I/M Program: No Evap I/M Program: No ATP Program: No Reformulated Gas: No LDGT HDGV LDDV LDDT HDDV MC LDGT12 LDGT34 Vehicle Type: LDGV >6000 (A11) <6000 GVWR: 0.0060 0.0008 0.0016 0.0180 0.0280 0.1044 0.7002 0.1410 VMT Distribution: Composite Emission Factors (g/mi): 0.855 0.857 0.806 0.405 0.461 0.490 3.11 0.705 0.859 Composite VOC : 0.945 6.500 24.55 14.27 21.59 1.277 11.70 14.38 14.11 Composite CO 3.631 1.170 1.239 16.586 0.92 1.279 1.124 1.010 Composite NOX : 0.745 LDDT12 LDDT34 LDGT4 Veh. Type: LDGT1 LDGT2 LDGT3 0.0325 0.0000 0.0016 VMT Mix: 0.0330 0.1080 0.0719 Composite Emission Factors (g/mi): 2.512 0.418 0.871 0.837 0.894 Composite VOC : 0.819

14.30

HDGV5

0.0000

1.558

0.824

1.212

HDGV8A

0.0000

HDGV8B

0.0000

HDGV7

0.0000

6.775

2.574

HDGV6

0.0000

All Veh

1.0000

0.806

12.632

1.147

Composite Emission Factors (g/mi):											
Composite VOC :	0.806	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			
Composite CO	21.59	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
Composite NOX :	3.631	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			
Veh. Type:	HDDV2B	HDDV3	HDDV4	HDDV5	HDDV6	HDDV7	HDDV8A	HDDV8B			
VMT Mix:	0.0020	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			
Composite Emission Fac	ctors (g/m	i):									
Composite VOC	0.374	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			
Composite CO	1.957	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			
Composite NOX :	4.078	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000			

Calen	dar Year: 2001
	Month: Jan.
Gasoline Fuel Sulfur	Content: 299. ppm
Diesel Fuel Sulfur	Content: 500. ppm
Particle Siz	e Cutoff: 10.00 Microns
Reformul	ated Gas: No

Vehicle Type: GVWR:	LDGV	LDGT12 <6000	LDGT34 >6000	LDGT (All)	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC	All Veh
VMT Distribution:	0.7002	0.1410	0.1044		0.0060	0.0008	0.0016	0.0180	0.0280	1.0000
Composite Emission Fa	actors (g/m	 i):								
Lead:	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000				0.0000	0.0000
GASPM:	0.0042	0.0047	0.0044	0.0046	0.0523				0.0205	0.0050
ECARBON:						0.1244	0.0488	0.1250		0.0024
OCARBON:						0.0351	0.0703	0.0997		0.0019
SO4:	0.0028	0.0049	0.0047	0.0048	0.0118	0.0049	0.0106	0.0540	0.0010	0.0043
Total Exhaust PM:	0.0071	0.0096	0.0091	0.0094	0.0640	0.1644	0.1297	0.2786	0.0215	0.0136
Brake:	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125
Tire:	0.0080	0.0080	0.0080	0.0080	0.0080	0.0080	0.0080	0.0115	0.0040	0.0080
Total PM:	0.0276	0.0302	0.0297	0.0300	0.0846	0.1849	0.1503	0.3027	0.0380	0.0341
SO2:	0.0684	0.0804	0.1134	0.0944	0.1603	0.0939	0.2028	0.7715	0.0328	0.0872
NH3:	0.1016	0.1005	0.1015	0.1009	0.0451	0.0068	0.0068	0.0270	0.0113	0.0970
Idle Emissions (g/hr)										
PM Idle:								1.0557		0.0190
Veh. Type:	LDGT1	LDGT2	LDGT3	LDGT4	LDDT12	LDDT34				
VMT Mix:	0.0330	0.1080	0.0719	0.0325	0.0000	0.0016				
Composite Emission Fa	ctors (g/m	 i):								
- Lead:	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000						
GASPM:	0.0047	0.0047	0.0044	0.0044						
ECARBON:					0.1498	0.0464				
OCARBON:					0.2156	0.0668				

SO4:	0.0049	0.0049	0.0047	0.0047	0.0062	0.0107			
Total Exhaust PM:	0.0096	0.0096	0.0091	0.0091	0.3717	0.1238			
Brake:	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125			
Tire:	0.0080	0.0080	0.0080	0.0080	0.0080	0.0080			
Total PM:	0.0302	0.0302	0.0297	0.0297	0.3922	0.1444			
SO2:	0.0804	0.0804	0.1134	0.1134	0.1196	0.2049			
NH3:	0.1005	0.1005	0.1015	0.1015	0.0068	0.0068			
Idle Emissions (g/hr)									
PM Idle:									
Veh. Type:	HDGV2B	HDGV3	HDGV4	HDGV5	HDGV6	HDGV7	HDGV8A	HDGV8B	
VMT Mix:	0.0060	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Composite Emission Fa	.ctors (g/m								
Lead:	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
GASPM:	0.0523	0.0523	0.0503	0.0504	0.0503	0.0503	0.0503	0.0000	
ECARBON:									
OCARBON:									
SO4:	0.0118	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Total Exhaust PM:	0.0640	0.0523	0.0503	0.0504	0.0503	0.0503	0.0503	0.0000	
Brake:	0.0125	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Tire:	0.0080	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Total PM:	0.0846	0.0523	0.0503	0.0504	0.0503	0.0503	0.0503	0.0000	
SO2:	0.1603	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
NH3:	0.0451	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Idle Emissions (g/hr)									
PM Idle:									
Veh. Type:	HDDV2B	HDDV3	HDDV4	HDDV5	HDDV6	HDDV7	HDDV8A	HDDV8B	
VMT Mix:	0.0020	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Composite Emission Fa	ctors (g/m	 i):							
Lead:									
GASPM:									
ECARBON:	0.0514	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
OCARBON:	0.0535	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
SO4:	0.0172	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Total Exhaust PM:	0.1221	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Brake:	0.0125	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Tire:	0.0080	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	

Total	PM: 0	.1426	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
S	02: 0	.2452	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
N	нз: О	.0270	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Idle Emissions	(g/hr)								
PM Id	le: 1	.0617	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

#### 

<sup>E</sup> Guadelupe M NP Summer Conditions.

File 1, Run 1, Scenario 30.

#### Calendar Year: 2001 Month: July Gasoline Fuel Sulfur Content: 299. ppm Diesel Fuel Sulfur Content: 500. ppm Particle Size Cutoff: 10.00 Microns Reformulated Gas: No

Vehicle Type: GVWR:	LDGV	LDGT12 <6000	LDGT34 >6000	LDGT (All)	HDGV	LDDV	LDDT	HDDV	MC	All Veh
VMT Distribution:	0.7002	0.1410	0.1044		0.0060	0.0008	0.0016	0.0180	0.0280	1.0000
Composite Emission Fa	ctors (g/m	 ni):								
Lead:	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000				0.0000	0.0000
GASPM:	0.0042	0.0046	0.0044	0.0045	0.0523				0.0205	0.0050
ECARBON:						0.1192	0.0485	0.1160		0.0023
OCARBON:						0.0336	0.0698	0.0926		0.0018
SO4:	0.0028	0.0049	0.0047	0.0048	0.0120	0.0049	0.0106	0.0540	0.0010	0.0042
Total Exhaust PM:	0.0070	0.0095	0.0091	0.0093	0.0643	0.1576	0.1289	0.2626	0.0215	0.0133
Brake:	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125
Tire:	0.0080	0.0080	0.0080	0.0080	0.0080	0.0080	0.0080	0.0116	0.0040	0.0080
Total PM:	0.0276	0.0300	0.0297	0.0299	0.0848	0.1782	0.1494	0.2867	0.0380	0.0338
SO2:	0.0684	0.0804	0.1134	0.0944	0.1601	0.0929	0.2031	0.7714	0.0328	0.0872
NH3:	0.1016	0.1007	0.1015	0.1010	0.0451	0.0068	0.0068	0.0270	0.0113	0.0970
Idle Emissions (g/hr)										
PM Idle:								1.0472		0.0189
Veh. Type:	LDGT1	LDGT2	LDGT3	LDGT4	LDDT12	LDDT34				
VMT Mix:	0.0330	0.1080	0.0719	0.0325	0.0000	0.0016				

Composite Emission Fa	actors (g/m	i):							
Lead:	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000					
GASPM:	0.0046	0.0046	0.0044	0.0044					
ECARBON:					0.1498	0.0464			
OCARBON:					0.2156	0.0668			
SO4:	0.0049	0.0049	0.0047	0.0047	0.0062	0.0107			
Total Exhaust PM:	0.0095	0.0095	0.0091	0.0091	0.3717	0.1238			
Brake:	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125	0.0125			
Tire:	0.0080	0.0080	0.0080	0.0080	0.0080	0.0080			
Total PM:	0.0300	0.0300	0.0297	0.0297	0.3922	0.1444			
SO2:	0.0804	0.0804	0.1134	0.1134	0.1196	0.2049			
NH3:	0.1007	0.1007	0.1015	0.1015	0.0068	0.0068			
Idle Emissions (g/hr)									
PM Idle:									
Veh. Type:	HDGV2B	HDGV3	HDGV4	HDGV5	HDGV6	HDGV7	HDGV8A	HDGV8B	
VMT Mix:	0.0060	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Composite Emission Fa	actors (g/m	 ni):							
Lead.	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
GASPM:	0.0523	0.0523	0.0506	0.0506	0.0506	0.0506	0.0505	0.0000	
ECARBON:									
OCARBON:									
SO4:	0.0120	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Total Exhaust PM:	0.0643	0.0523	0.0506	0.0506	0.0506	0.0506	0.0505	0.0000	
Brake:	0.0125	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Tire:	0.0080	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Total PM:	0.0848	0.0523	0.0506	0.0506	0.0506	0.0506	0.0505	0.0000	
SO2:	0.1601	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
NH3:	0.0451	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Idle Emissions (g/hr)									
PM Idle:									
Type:	HDDV2B	HDDV3	HDDV4	HDDV5	HDDV6	HDDV7	HDDV8A	HDDV8B	
	0 0000	0 0000						0 0000	
VMT M1X:	0.0020	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Composite Emission Fa	ctors (q/m	i) :							
Lead: -									
GASPM: -									

ECARBON:	0.0503	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
OCARBON:	0.0523	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
SO4:	0.0171	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total Exhaust PM:	0.1198	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Brake:	0.0125	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Tire:	0.0080	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total PM:	0.1403	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
SO2:	0.2450	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
NH3:	0.0270	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Idle Emissions (g/hr) PM Idle:	1.0504	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

#### GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NATIONAL PARK VISITOR VEHICLE EMISSIONS

Paved Road Annual VMT 108,500	U	Inpaved Road Annual VMT 20,155								
	_	Emission Fac	tors (glmi)	- All Vehic		PM	d)			
	NOx	со	VOC	Exhaust, Brake, and Tire	Fugitive	Total	Exhaust, Brake, and Tire	Fugitive	Total	
Summer	4.690	12.181	0.819	0.0938	0.84	0.9338	0.0938	271.25	271.3438	
Winter	4.921	16.840	0.934	0.0950	0.84	0.9350	0.0950	271.25	271.3450	
Average	4.806	14.511	0.877			0.934			271.344	
				Emis	sions (tonsl	yr) - All Vehicles				
_	<u>NOx</u> 0.57	<u>co</u> 1.73	<u>VOC</u> 0.10			Paved <u>PM 10</u> 0.11			Unpaved <u>₽<sup>M</sup> 10</u> 6.02	Total <u>PM</u> <u>10</u> 6.13
	NOx	co	VOC	<u>Emis</u>	<u>Emissions (Ibslyr) - All Vehicles</u> Paved PM				Unpaved	Total
	1,147	3,464	209			<u>10</u> 223			12,032	12,255

		LDGV	LDGT	HDGV	HDDV	Total						
	Total Miles	30,130	163,315	31,448	21,813	246,706						
			Emi	ssion Fact	tors (glmi) - LD	GV						
		NOx	со	VOC	Brake, and Tire	Fugitive	Total					
Cummer		0.7430	11 6200	0.6080	0.0276	0 8400	0 8676					
Summer		0.7430	11.0200	0.0960	0.0270	0.0400	0.0070					
Winter		0.7930	16.5000	0.8260	0.0276	0.8400	0.8676					
Average		0.7680	14.0600	0.7620			0.8676					
		NOx 0.03	Er CO 0.47	missions († <b>VOC</b> 0.03	tonslyr) - LDG\	1	<u>PM,0</u> 0.03					
		Emission <b>Factors</b> (glmi) - LDGT PM,o										
		Nou	00		Exhaust, Brake, and	Eugitive	Total					
		<u>NOX</u>	<u>co</u>	VOC	<u></u>	Fugliive	TOLAL					
Summer		1.096	14.290	0.857	0.030	0.840	0.870					
Winter		1.203	21.090	1.022	0.030	0.840	0.870					
Average		1.150	17.690	0.940			0.870					
			E	missions (	tonslyr) - LDGT							
		<u>NOx</u> 0.21	<u>CO</u> 3.18	<u>VOC</u> 0.17			PM,o 0.16					
			Emi	ssion Fact	ors (glmi) - HD	GV						
					Exhaust,	PM,0						
		NOx	CO	VOC	Brake, and Tire	Fugitive	Total					
		<u></u>				0.840	0.025					
Summer		3.679	22.230	0.829	0.085	0.040	0.925					
Winter		3.786	27.810	0.967	0.084	0.840	0.924					
Average		3.733	25.020	0.898			0.924					
			E	missions (1	tonslyr) - HDG\	/	DM					
		<u>NOx</u> 0.13	<u>CO</u> 0.87	<u>VOC</u> 0.03			Рм <sub>і</sub> о 0.03					
			Emi	ssion Fact	tors (glmi) - HD	DV PM.o						
					Exhaust, Brake, and							
		<u>NOx</u>	<u>co</u>	VOC	<u>Tire</u>	Fugitive	Total					
Summer		14.245	4.397	0.806	0.261	0.840	1.101					
Winter		14.858	4.431	0.810	0.261	0.840	1.101					

4.414 0.808

0.11 0.02

\_\_\_<u>VOC</u>\_\_

<u>CO</u>

<u>\_\_\_\_</u>

9,231

Emissions (tonslyr) - HDDV

CO VOC 4.62 0.24

489

Emissions (Ibslyr) - Total

1.101

PM~o

<u>PM,..</u> 0.24

<u>\_PM,o</u>

487

0.03

14.552

<u>NOx</u>\_\_\_\_\_0.35

<u>NOx</u>

0.71

NOx\_\_\_\_

1,420

Average

#### GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NATIONAL PARK NPS AND GSA VEHICLES

		Emi	ssion Facto	rs (gm/hp-h	r)		Emissions (lbs/yr)					
Vehicle	No.	PM	Nox	CO	VOC	hp	load	hrs/yr	PM	NOx	CO	VOC
Utility Vehicle	2	2.04	1.03	2.31	2.19	15	0.55	200	7.4	3.7	8.4	7.9
Tractors	1	2.04	1.03	2.31	2.19	42.35	0.68	350	45.2	22.8 0.0	51.2 0.0	48.6
Backhoe	1	2.04	1.03	2.31	2.19	77	0.55	50	9.5	4.8	10.8	10.2
Riding Mower	1	1.11	10.3	4.8	1.3	15	0.55	100	2.0	18.7	8.7	2.4
Grader	1	1.06	9.6	3.8	1.43	172	0.61	145	35.5	321.3	127.2	47.9
Sweeper	1	1.7	14	6.06	1.46	30	0.68	25	1.9	15.7	6.8	1.6
Forklift	2	1.06	9.6	3.8	1.43	172	0.61	100	24.5	221.6	87.7	33.0
Front End Loader	1	1.11	10.3	4.8	1.3	77	0.55	725	74.98	695.75	324.23	87.81
ATV	4	2.04	1.03	2.31	2.19	15	0.55	50	1.1	0.6	1.3	1.2
							Totals:	(lbs/yr)	202	1,305	626	241
								(tons/yr)	0.10	0.65	0.31	0.12

#### 2001 GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NP NONROAD VEHICLE EMISSIONS
# **APPENDIX C**

# **PUBLIC USE DATA**

### **GUADALUPE MOUNTAINS NP**

Recreation Visits	This Month	Same Month Last Year	% Change	This Year YTD	Last Year YTD	% Change YTD
Frijole	531	576	-8.0	21,800	17,263	26.3
McKittrick Canyon	1,731	1,742	-0.6	30,380	37,603	-19.2
Pine Springs	8,543	9,404	-9.2	143,843	159,489	-9.8
Dog Canyon	25	290	-91.4	6,888	7,950	-13.4
Total Recreation Visits	10,829	12,012	-9.9	202,911	222,306	-8.7
Williams Ranch	331	52	-36.5	917	969	-5.4
Pine Springs Visitor Center	2,610	1,454	79.5	63,748	72,064	-11.5

### **Report Date: December 2002**

## APPENDIX D

### SELECTED TEXAS AIR QUALITY REGULATIONS

< <prey rule<="" th=""><th><b>Texas Administrative Code</b></th><th><u>Next Rule&gt;&gt;</u></th></prey>	<b>Texas Administrative Code</b>	<u>Next Rule&gt;&gt;</u>
TITLE 30	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	
PART 1	TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTA	L QUALITY
CHAPTER 106	PERMITS BY RULE	
SUBCHAPTER G	COMBUSTION	
RULE §106.183	Boilers, Heaters, and Other Combustion Devic	ces

Boilers, heaters, drying or curing ovens, furnaces, or other combustion units, but not including stationary internal combustion engines or turbines are permitted by rule, provided that the following conditions are met.

(1) The only emissions shall be products of combustion of the fuel.

(2) The maximum heat input shall be 40 million British thermal unit (Btu) per hour with the fuel being:

(A) sweet natural gas;

(B) liquid petroleum gas;

(C) fuel gas containing no more than 0.1 grain of total sulfur compounds, calculated as sulfur, per dry standard cubic foot; or

(D) combinations of the fuels in subparagraphs (A) - (C) of this paragraph.

(3) Distillate fuel oil shall be fired as a backup fuel only. Firing shall be limited to 720 hours per year. The fuel oil shall contain less than 0.3% sulfur by weight and shall not be blended with waste oils or solvents.

(4) All gas fired heaters and boilers with a heat input greater than ten million Btu per hour (higher heating value) shall be designed such that the emissions of nitrogen oxides shall not exceed 0.1 pounds per million Btu heat input.

(5) Records of hours of fuel oil firing and fuel oil purchases shall be maintained on-site on a two-year rolling retention period and made available upon request to the commission or any local air pollution control agency having jurisdiction.

**Source Note:** The provisions of this §106.183 adopted to be effective June 18, 1997, 22 TexReg 5668; amended to be effective September 4, 2000, 25 TexReg 8653

Next Page Previous Page

List of Titles

Back to List

< <prey rule<="" th=""><th><b>Texas Administrative Code</b></th><th><u>Next Rule&gt;&gt;</u></th></prey>	<b>Texas Administrative Code</b>	<u>Next Rule&gt;&gt;</u>
TITLE 30	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	
PART 1	TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTA	L QUALITY
CHAPTER 106	PERMITS BY RULE	
SUBCHAPTER W	TURBINES AND ENGINES	
RULE §106.512	Stationary Engines and Turbines	

Gas or liquid fuel-fired stationary internal combustion reciprocating engines or gas turbines that operate in compliance with the following conditions of this section are permitted by rule.

(1) The facility shall be registered by submitting the commission's Form PI-7, Table 29 for each proposed reciprocating engine, and Table 31 for each proposed gas turbine to the commission's Office of Permitting, Remediation, and Registration in Austin within ten days after construction begins. Engines and turbines rated less than 240 horsepower (hp) need not be registered, but must meet paragraphs (5) and (6) of this section, relating to fuel and protection of air quality. Engine hp rating shall be based on the engine manufacturer's maximum continuous load rating at the lesser of the engine or driven equipment's maximum published continuous speed. A rich-burn engine is a gas-fired spark-ignited engine that is operated with an exhaust oxygen content less than 4.0% by volume. A lean-burn engine is a gas-fired spark-ignited engine that is operated with an exhaust oxygen content of 4.0% by volume, or greater.

(2) For any engine rated 500 hp or greater, subparagraphs (A) - (C) of this paragraph shall apply.

(A) The emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO  $_{x}$ ) shall not exceed the following limits:

(i) 2.0 grams per horsepower-hour (g/hp-hr) under all operating conditions for any gas-fired rich-burn engine;

(ii) 2.0 g/hp-hr at manufacturer's rated full load and speed, and other operating conditions, except 5.0 g/hp-hr under reduced speed, 80-100% of full torque conditions, for any spark-ignited, gas-fired leanburn engine, or any compression-ignited dual fuel-fired engine manufactured new after June 18, 1992;

(iii) 5.0 g/hp-hr under all operating conditions for any spark-ignited, gas-fired, lean-burn two-cycle or four-cycle engine or any compression-ignited dual fuel-fired engine rated 825 hp or greater and manufactured after September 23, 1982, but prior to June 18, 1992;

(iv) 5.0 g/hp-hr at manufacturer's rated full load and speed and other operating conditions, except 8.0 g/hp-hr under reduced speed, 80-100% of full torque conditions for any spark-ignited, gas-fired, lean-burn four-cycle engine, or any compression-ignited dual fuel-fired engine that:

(I) was manufactured prior to June 18, 1992, and is rated less than 825 hp; or

(II) was manufactured prior to September 23, 1982;

(v) 8.0 g/hp-hr under all operating conditions for any spark-ignited, gas-fired, two-cycle lean-burn engine that:

(I) was manufactured prior to June 18, 1992, and is rated less than 825 hp; or

(II) was manufactured prior to September 23, 1982;

(vi) 11.0 g/hp-hr for any compression-ignited liquid-fired engine.

(B) For such engines which are spark-ignited gas-fired or compression-ignited dual fuel-fired, the engine shall be equipped as necessary with an automatic air-fuel ratio (AFR) controller which maintains AFR in the range required to meet the emission limits of subparagraph (A) of this paragraph. An AFR controller shall be deemed necessary for any engine controlled with a non-selective catalytic reduction (NSCR) converter and for applications where the fuel heating value varies more than  $\pm$  50 British theunal unit/standard cubic feet from the design lower heating value of the fuel. If an NSCR converter is used to reduce NO<sub>R</sub>, the automatic controller shall operate on exhaust oxygen control.

(C) Records shall be created and maintained by the owner or operator for a period of at least two years, made available, upon request, to the commission and any local air pollution control agency having jurisdiction, and shall include the following:

(i) documentation for each AFR controller, manufacturer's, or supplier's recommended maintenance that has been performed, including replacement of the oxygen sensor as necessary for oxygen sensor-based controllers. The oxygen sensor shall be replaced at least quarterly in the absence of a specific written recommendation;

(ii) documentation on proper operation of the engine by recorded measurements of NO  $_x$  and carbon monoxide (CO) emissions as soon as practicable, but no later than seven days following each occurrence of engine maintenance which may reasonably be expected to increase emissions, changes of fuel quality in engines without oxygen sensor-based AFR controllers which may reasonably be expected to increase emissions, oxygen sensor replacement, or catalyst cleaning or catalyst replacement. Stain tube indicators specifically designed to measure NO  $_x$  and CO concentrations shall be acceptable for this documentation, provided a hot air probe or equivalent device is used to prevent error due to high stack temperature, and three sets of concentration measurements are made and averaged. Portable NO  $_x$  and CO analyzers shall also be acceptable for this documentation;

(iii) documentation within 60 days following initial engine start-up and biennially thereafter, for emissions of NO  $_x$  and CO, measured in accordance with United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Reference Method 7E or 20 for NO  $_x$  and Method 10 for CO. Exhaust flow rate may be determined from measured fuel flow rate and EPA Method 19. California Air Resources Board Method A-100 (adopted June 29, 1983) is an acceptable alternate to EPA test methods. Modifications to these methods will be subject to the prior approval of the Source and Mobile Monitoring Division of the commission. Emissions shall be measured and recorded in the as-found operating condition; however, compliance determinations shall not be established during start-up, shutdown, or under breakdown conditions. An owner or operator may submit to the appropriate regional office a report of a valid emissions test performed in Texas, on the same engine, conducted no more than 12 months prior to the most recent start of construction date, in lieu of performing an emissions test within 60 days following engine start-up at the new site. Any such engine shall be sampled no less frequently than biennially (or every 15,000 hours of elapsed run time, as recorded by an elapsed run time meter) and upon request of the executive director. Following the initial compliance test, in lieu of performing stack sampling on a biennial calendar basis, an owner or operator may elect to install and operate an elapsed operating time

meter and shall test the engine within 15,000 hours of engine operation after the previous emission test. The owner or operator who elects to test on an operating hour schedule shall submit in writing, to the appropriate regional office, biennially after initial sampling, documentation of the actual recorded hours of engine operation since the previous emission test, and an estimate of the date of the next required sampling.

(3) For any gas turbine rated 500 hp or more, subparagraphs (A) and (B) of this paragraph shall apply.

(A) The emissions of NO $_X$  shall not exceed 3.0 g/hp-hr for gas-firing.

(B) The turbine shall meet all applicable NO  $_x$  and sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) (or fuel sulfur) emissions limitations, monitoring requirements, and reporting requirements of EPA New Source Performance Standards Subpart GG--Standards of Performance for Stationary Gas Turbines. Turbine hp rating shall be based on turbine base load, fuel lower heating value, and International Standards Organization Standard Day Conditions of 59 degrees Fahrenheit, 1.0 atmosphere and 60% relative humidity.

(4) Any engine or turbine rated less than 500 hp or used for temporary replacement purposes shall be exempt from the emission limitations of paragraphs (2) and (3) of this section. Temporary replacement engines or turbines shall be limited to a maximum of 90 days of operation after which they shall be removed or rendered physically inoperable.

(5) Gas fuel shall be limited to: sweet natural gas or liquid petroleum gas, fuel gas containing no more than ten grains total sulfur per 100 dry standard cubic feet, or field gas. If field gas contains more than 1.5 grains hydrogen sulfide or 30 grains total sulfur compounds per 100 standard cubic feet (sour gas), the engine owner or operator shall maintain records, including at least quarterly measurements of fuel hydrogen sulfide and total sulfur content, which demonstrate that the annual SO  $_2$  emissions from the

facility do not exceed 25 tons per year (tpy). Liquid fuel shall be petroleum distillate oil that is not a blend containing waste oils or solvents and contains less than 0.3% by weight sulfur.

(6) There will be no violations of any National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) in the area of the proposed facility. Compliance with this condition shall be demonstrated by one of the following three methods:

(A) ambient sampling or dispersion modeling accomplished pursuant to guidance obtained from the executive director. Unless otherwise documented by actual test data, the following nitrogen dioxide (NO  $_2$ )/NO<sub>x</sub> ratios shall be used for modeling NO $_2$  NAAQS;

#### Attached Graphic

(B) all existing and proposed engine and turbine exhausts are released to the atmosphere at a height at least twice the height of any surrounding obstructions to wind flow. Buildings, open-sided roofs, tanks, separators, heaters, covers, and any other type of structure are considered as obstructions to wind flow if the distance from the nearest point on the obstruction to the nearest exhaust stack is less than five times the lesser of the height, Hb, and the width, Wb, where:

#### Attached Graphic

(C) the total emissions of NO  $_{\rm X}$  (nitrogen oxide plus NO  $_2$  ) from all existing and proposed facilities on

the property do not exceed the most restrictive of the following:

(i) 250 tpy;

(ii) the value (0.3125 D) tpy, where D equals the shortest distance in feet from any existing or proposed stack to the nearest property line.

(7) Upon issuance of a standard peunit for electric generating units, registrations under this section for engines or turbines used to generate electricity will no longer be accepted, except for:

(A) engines or turbines used to provide power for the operation of facilities registered under the Air Quality Standard Permit for Concrete Batch Plants;

(B) engines or turbines satisfying the conditions for facilities permitted by rule under Subchapter E of this title (relating to Aggregate and Pavement); or

(C) engines or turbines used exclusively to provide power to electric pumps used for irrigating crops.

**Source Note:** The provisions of this §106.512 adopted to be effective March 14, 199.7,22 TexReg 2439; amended to be effective September 4, 2000, 25 TexReg 8653; amended to be effective June 13, 2001, 26 TexReg 4108

Next Page Previous Page

List of Titles

Back to List

HONE I TEXAS REGISTER I TEXAS AD/INISTAATIVE CODE I OPEN MEETINGS I HELP I

<< Prey Rule	<b>Texas Administrative Code</b>	<u>Next Rule&gt;&gt;</u>
TITLE 30	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	
PART 1	TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL	QUALITY
CHAPTER 111	CONTROL OF AIR POLLUTION FROM VISIBLE AND PARTICULATE MATTER	EMISSIONS
SUBCHAPTER B	OUTDOOR BURNING	
RULE §111.207	Exception for Fires Used for Recreation, Cerem Cooking, and Warmth	ony,

Outdoor burning shall be authorized for fires used solely for recreational or ceremonial purposes, or in the noncommercial preparation of food, or used exclusively for the purpose of supplying warmth during cold weather. Such burning shall be subject to the requirements of §111.219(7) of this title (relating to General Requirements for Allowable Outdoor Burning).

**Source Note:** The provisions of this §111.207 adopted to be effective September 16, 1996, 21 TexReg -8509.

Next Page

Previous Page

List of Titles

Back to List

HOME I TEXAS REGISTER I TEXAS ADMINISTRATIVE CODE I OPEN MEETINGS I HELP I

<< Prey Rule	<b>Texas Administrative Code</b>	<u>Next Rule&gt;&gt;</u>
TITLE 30	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	
PART 1	TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QU	ALITY
CHAPTER 111	CONTROL OF AIR POLLUTION FROM VISIBLE EN AND PARTICULATE MATTER	MISSIONS
SUBCHAPTER B	OUTDOOR BURNING	
RULE §111.211	Exception for Prescribed Burn	

Outdoor burning shall be authorized for:

(1) Prescribed burning for forest, range and wildland/wildlife management purposes, with the exception of coastal salt-marsh management burning. Such burning shall be subject to the requirements of \$111.219 of this title (relating to General Requirements for Allowable Outdoor Burning), and structures containing sensitive receptors must not be negatively affected by the burn.\_When possible, notification of intent to burn should be made to the appropriate commission regional office prior to the proposed burn. Commission notification or approval is not required.

(2) Coastal salt-marsh management burning conducted in Aransas, Brazoria, Calhoun, Chambers, Galveston, Harris, Jackson, Jefferson, Kleberg, Matagorda, Nueces, Orange, Refugio, and San Patricio Counties. Coastal salt-marsh burning in these counties shall be subject to the following requirements:

(A) All land on which burning is to be conducted shall be registered with the appropriate commission regional office using a United States Geological Survey map or equivalent upon which are identified significant points such as roads, canals, lakes, and streams, and the method by which access is made to the site. For large acreage, the map should be divided into manageable blocks with identification for each defined block. The information must be received for review at least 15 working days before the burning takes place.

(B) Prior to any burning, notification, either verbal or written, must be made to, and authorization must be received from the appropriate commission regional office. Notification must identify the specific area and/or block to be burned, approximate start and end time, and a responsible party who can be contacted during the burn period.

(C) Such burning shall be subject to the requirements of §111.219 of this title.

**Source Note:** The provisions of this §111.211 adopted to be effective September 16, 1996, 21 TexReg 8509.

Next Page Previous Page

List of Titles

Back to List

HONE I TEXAS REGISTER I TEXAS ADMINISTRATIVE CODE I OPEN MEETINGS I HELP I

< <prey rule<="" th=""><th><b>Texas Administrative Code</b></th><th><u>Next Rule&gt;&gt;</u></th></prey>	<b>Texas Administrative Code</b>	<u>Next Rule&gt;&gt;</u>
TITLE 30	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY	
PART 1	TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QU	JALITY
CHAPTER 111	CONTROL OF AIR POLLUTION FROM VISIBLE E AND PARTICULATE MATTER	EMISSIONS
SUBCHAPTER A	VISIBLE EMISSIONS AND PARTICULATE MA'1'1'	ER
<b>DIVISION</b> 4	MATERIALS HANDLING, CONSTRUCTION, ROA STREETS, ALLEYS, AND PARKING LOTS	DS,
RULE §111.143	Materials Handling	

No person may cause, suffer, allow, or permit any material, except for abrasive material for snow and ice control, to be handled, transported, or stored without taking at least the following precautions to achieve maximum control of dust emissions to the extent practicable:

(1) application of water or suitable chemicals or some other covering on materials stockpiles and other surfaces which can create airborne dusts;

(2) installation, maintenance, and proper use of hoods, fans, and filters to enclose, collect and clean the emissions of dusty materials; or

(3) application of water or suitable chemicals, or complete covering of materials contained in openbodied trucks, trailers, or railroad cars transporting such materials which can create airborne particulate matter in areas where the general public has access.

(A) Suitable wetting may be used as an alternative to covering in all areas except the City of El Paso.

(B) Complete covering, at a minimum, is required in the City of El Paso.

Source Note: The provisions of this \$111.143 adopted to be effective July 18, 1989, 14 TexReg 3293.

Next Page Previous Page

List of Titles

Back to List

HOME I TEXAS REGISTER I TEXAS ADMINISTRATIVE CODE I OPEN MEETINGS I HELP I