

Quarterly Status Report

Fire Program Analysis (FPA) Project

For Reporting Period: July 31, 2006 through September 30, 2006

Status, Key Tasks, and Milestones

Management Review:

The <u>Management Review Report</u> has been released. The report is one of several steps to assess how well the FPA process and model have performed in meeting the needs of the

Project Overview

Fire Program Analysis is a common interagency planning and budget system with a cost-effective trade-off analysis incorporating land and resource management objectives

wildland fire management agencies. (Previous steps include the After Action Review and chartering the Executive Oversight Group.)

Recommendations implemented include:

- An Interagency Science Team convened to work with the FPA team to refine the project's proposal to complete the FPA process. A report is forthcoming.
- Responses from the field units identified efficiencies through the collaboration that occurred in the FPA process. Several key benefits were clearly identified, these included: enhanced collaboration, understanding of partner programs, data management, and clarification of protection values.

Interagency Science Team:

Considerable effort has gone into assembling a group of respected scientists that represent broad experience, diverse disciplines, and technical skills. Their input will ensure the project's design incorporates peer reviewed science. The science team will recommend a conceptual architecture for FPA. Their contributions are critical to the credibility and ultimate success of the FPA project.

The FPA team has been meeting with the Interagency Science Team on multiple occasions to discuss, explore and develop the national and fire planning unit (FPU) model concepts for review by the Wildland Fire Leadership Council (WFLC) in early October.

| Science Team Members | Expertise | Agency/Affiliation |
|----------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Danny Lee | Risk Modeling and Science Team Co-lead | USDA Forest Service |
| Miles Hemstrom | Ecology | USDA Forest Service |
| Keith Reynolds | Decision Science | USDA Forest Service |
| Jeremy Fried | Economics, fire preparedness, forest inventory | USDA Forest Service |
| Mark Finney | Fire Behavior | USDA Forest Service |
| Mike Bevers | Operations research | USDA Forest Service |
| Jack Waide | Ecology and Science Team Co-lead | USGS |
| James E. Vogelmann | Land cover characterization, monitoring (LANDFIRE) | USGS |
| Bill Labiosa | Decision Science | USGS |
| Anne M. Wein | Operations Research Analyst | USGS |
| Doug Rideout, | Forest Economist | Colorado State University |
| John Sessions | Quantitative Modeling | Oregon State University |

FPA Team Reorganization:

- A new governance structure is being proposed which is similar to the LANDFIRE
 governance model. The new governance consists of an executive project manager, two
 business leads representing USDA Forest Service and the Department of the Interior,
 and an Executive Oversight Group that represents various disciplines and departmental
 executives. Roles and responsibilities of the FPA steering committee have been
 integrated into the Executive Oversight Group.
- Progress is being made to re-charter the project under the WFLC.

Key Communication Events

• The Interior Appropriations Committee staffers (both House and Senate) and the Office of Management and Budget have been briefed on the management review report, actions taken over the last few months, and the strategy for moving forward.

Employees Changes

Wally Josephson –has accepted the position as the Department of the Interior's Office of Wildland Fire Coordination liaison to the federal wildland fire directors at the National Interagency Fire Center.

Project Spending Summary

FY 2006 Funding \$8,550,000

EOY Expenses and Obligations \$8,531,450 (99.78%)

Project Baseline

The final OMB exhibit 300 was submitted in September. The OBM submission made some updates to the baseline to respond to an Integrated Baseline Review conducted last March and to reflect a new development strategy.

In the new baseline, the scheduled release for the fully integrated FPA and life cycle cost.

| | Original Baseline | Adjusted Request | | |
|------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Deployment Strategy | Release all of preparedness first in June 2007. Add and integrate fuels with a new RFP. Release integrated application in June 2008 | Integrate all program components in a prototype. Release integrated application June 2008 | | |
| Schedule | | | | |
| June 2007 | Release FPA v2 – Preparedness | Functional prototype | | |
| June 2008 | Release FPA v3 – Fuels | Release FPA v2 | | |
| Life Cycle Cost | \$36.224 | \$36.224 | | |

Project Earned Value Report

The project earned value report compares the actual cost and schedule to the planned cost and schedule. The table below shows the active tasks in the FPA-2 project baseline through the end of the fiscal year. Actual costs represent invoiced amounts for each milestone. Obligations against future contract work are not included in the actual costs.

Both the cost performance index (CPI) and schedule performance index (SPI) are within tolerance. The CPI and SPI are 1.03 and 0.97, respectively. These reflect that the project is approximately 3% under budget and 3% behind schedule.

The USDA-OCIO and OMB monitor both the CPI and SPI. A project is placed on the USDA-OCIO watch list if the CPI or SPI OMB "watch list" if the CPI or SPI indicate a deviation of more than 10%. Based on these metrics, the FPA project is within control of both cost and schedule.

FPA-2 Earned Value Report

CPI = 1.03 AS OF 10/1/2006 SPI = 0.97

| ASC | | | SFI = | 0.97 | | | | |
|--|------------|------------------------|-------|---|------------|-----------|------------------|--|
| I.H.4 Actual Performance and Variance from OMB approved baseline (pending) | | | | | | | | |
| | | OMB Baseline (pending) | | | Actual | | | |
| | | Schedule | | | | edule | Actual Cost | |
| Description | Start Date | End Date | Days | Planned Cost | Start Date | End Date | (Sum of | |
| Government Program Management | | | | | | | | |
| FY 05 Program Management | 1/1/2005 | 9/30/2005 | 195 | \$1,291,958 | 1/1/2005 | 9/30/2005 | \$1,291,958 | |
| FY 06 Program Management | 10/1/2005 | 9/30/2006 | 260 | \$985,000 | 10/1/2005 | 9/30/2006 | \$ 807,563.79 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Project Initiation | 1/1/2005 | 9/30/2005 | 195 | \$98,694 | 1/1/2005 | 9/30/2005 | \$98,695 | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Security and C&A | | | | | | | | |
| Security Planning FY 05 | 1/1/2005 | 9/30/2005 | 195 | \$19,004 | 1/1/2005 | 9/30/2005 | \$19,004 | |
| Security Planning FY 06 | 10/1/2005 | 9/30/2006 | 260 | \$24,000 | 10/1/2005 | 9/30/2006 | \$17,714.01 | |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | • | | * | | | | |
| Extend & Enhance Preparedness Module | 1/1/2005 | 9/30/2005 | 195 | \$2,152,212 | 1/1/2005 | 9/30/2005 | \$2,152,212 | |
| | ., .,===== | | | +-,, | | | | |
| Budget Module | 1/1/2005 | 9/30/2006 | 637 | \$1,249,558 | 1/1/2005 | 9/30/2006 | \$1,249,558 | |
| | ., ., _ | 0,00,200 | 00. | ψ.,, | ., .,2000 | 0,00,200 | ψ.,=.ο,σσσ | |
| Capital Planning and Investment Control | | | | | | | | |
| FY 05 CPIC | 1/1/2005 | 9/30/2005 | 195 | \$95,817 | 1/1/2005 | 9/30/2005 | \$95,977 | |
| FY 06 CPIC | 10/1/2005 | 9/30/2006 | 260 | \$120,000 | 10/1/2005 | 9/30/2006 | \$123,660.21 | |
| 1 1 00 01 10 | 10/1/2003 | 3/30/2000 | 200 | Ψ120,000 | 10/1/2003 | 9/30/2000 | Ψ125,000.21 | |
| Development | | | | | | | | |
| IBM Program Mgmt (Task 19.1) FY05 | 1/1/2005 | 9/30/2005 | 195 | \$79,818 | 1/1/2005 | 9/30/2005 | \$79,818 | |
| IBM Program Mgmt (Task 19.1) FY06 | 10/1/2005 | 9/30/2006 | 260 | \$250,000 | 10/1/2005 | 9/30/2006 | \$ 222,365.51 | |
| IBM EVM Reporting (Task 19.2) FY05 | 1/1/2005 | 9/30/2005 | 195 | \$1,000 | 1/1/2005 | 9/30/2005 | \$972 | |
| IBM EVM Reporting (Task 19.2) FY06 | 10/1/2005 | 9/30/2006 | 260 | \$20,000 | 10/1/2005 | 9/30/2006 | \$ 20,657.24 | |
| Enterprise Architecture Plan (Task 19.3) | 7/1/2005 | 9/30/2005 | 66 | \$10,918 | 7/1/05 | 9/30/2005 | \$10,918 | |
| Conceptual Architecture (task 19.4) | 3/1/2006 | 10/30/2006 | 174 | \$214,049 | 3/1/2006 | 9/30/2003 | \$ 179,539.02 | |
| System Requirements (Task 19.5) FY05 | 7/1/2005 | 9/30/2005 | 66 | \$461,715 | 7/1/2005 | 9/30/2005 | \$461,714 | |
| System Requirements (Task 19.5) FY06 | 10/1/2005 | 9/30/2006 | 260 | \$785,144 | 10/1/2005 | 9/30/2006 | \$ 617,149.51 | |
| Functional Prototype (Task 19.6) FY06 | 2/1/2006 | 9/30/2006 | 173 | \$550,000 | 2/1/06 | 9/30/2000 | \$ 439,977.52 | |
| Business Requirements FY05 | 1/1/2005 | 9/30/2005 | 175 | \$97,057 | 1/1/2005 | 9/30/2005 | \$97,057 | |
| Business Requirements FY06 | 10/1/2005 | 9/30/2006 | 260 | \$280,000 | 10/1/2005 | 9/30/2006 | \$236,327 | |
| Design (Task 20.1) | 7/1/2007 | 3/31/2008 | 196 | \$300,000 | 7/1/2007 | 9/30/2000 | Ψ230,321 | |
| User Documentation | 1/1/2007 | 9/30/2009 | 1,238 | \$852,000 | 1/1/2005 | | \$109,061 | |
| USET DOCUMENTATION | 1/1/2005 | 3/30/2009 | 1,230 | φουΖ,000 | 1/1/2003 | <u>I</u> | φ109,061 | |
| Operations & Maintenance | | | | | | | | |
| FY 06 O&M (IBM Task 18) | 10/1/2005 | 9/30/2006 | 260 | \$1,187,402 | 10/1/2005 | 9/30/2006 | \$ 1,275,319.16 | |
| FY 06 HW/SW Maintenance | 10/1/2005 | 9/30/2006 | 260 | \$530,000 | 10/1/2005 | 9/30/2006 | \$292,326 | |
| | | | | ****** | | 3/00/2000 | \$252,020 | |
| Total Project | 1/1/2005 | 9/30/2010 | 2,098 | \$ 36,224,000 | | Total | \$9,899,543 | |
| | | | =,:•• | ,,, | | | , -,, • | |

FPA Quarterly Progress Report 2006: