Breakout Sessions 2: Future

- Session 1 Complex Systems
- Session 2 Networks
- Session 3 Environmental Dynamics
- Session 4 Security and Conflict
- Session 5 Infrastructure
- Session 6 Interdisciplinary research and education





- Distinguish merely complicated systems from complex systems
- Need for increased communication to lessen knowledge gap between empirical work and mathematical (computational) modeling work
 - In this connection, need a workshop to talk and achieve a common vocabulary
- Better understanding principles of complex systems
- o ... work on metrics
- More work on interacting (coupled) complex systems



• • Complex Systems

- o Work to achieve better transitioning from research to practice, so that people who run complex systems are not tied to outmoded ideas such as optimality rather than resilience.
- Would be nice to have workshop where take a few case studies and understand the system at all levels
- More work on interacting (coupled) complex systems





- Networks across temporal, spatial, and size scales
 - Methods, tools, and theories that bridge scales
- Sampling, measurement, and boundary problems
 - "Groups" versus "populations"
 - Measurement models, error
- Tie content and its implications
 - Processes on nodes and ties
 - Co-evolution of node-level and tie-level processes
 - Knowledge systems and other structured phenomena
- Translation of network-related concepts/findings to policy and the general public





- Uncertainty: Irreducible, modeling challenges, data reliability/validity; extreme events, responses to, self-organization.
- Systems perspective: Greater emphasis on earth systems and integrative themes (complexity, networks), feedback networks, coupled systems; Resilience and need to *understand how systems* work (e.g., institutions)
- o Perceptions and cognitive perspectives: How people respond to uncertainty and relation to understanding of systems, preservation of wild spaces
- Spatio-temporal scales: Critical for understanding the above three, including threshold events transitions, links to extreme events, linear vs. nonlinear; from individuals to state to global



• • Security and Conflict

- o Some Concrete Activities:
 - Sponsor Conflict Workshops
 - Bring Pls together
- Foster Better Data Infrastructure
 - Create Clearinghouse of existing datasets (what is there)
 - Create "Plug n Play" datasets
 - Publish Best Practices of data collection
 - Publish Open source dataset (but not dumbeddown)
 - Foster ways to collect and disseminate this data
 - Create an Expert Wikipedia



Security and ConflictTopic Areas

- - Beliefs, norms, memes, motivations, propaganda in communication
 - Cultural modeling
 - Predicting conflict (need for longitudinal data) &
 - Predicting the emergence of threat
 - How to fight fair
 - Non-state actors, NGOs, and Humanitarian agencies
- o Intervention studies: what fosters cooperation
- Foster an integrated approach
 - Linking levels of analysis (individual, state, nonstate)
 - Foster international collaborations
- Create an Expert Wikipedia





o Opportunities

- Increasingly vast amounts of data available as by-product of behavior
- Opportunities to apply computational thinking to social science problems
- Leverage NSF investments in social science by sharing data, tools, analyses and by integrating multiple data sets





o Challenges

- Addressing big science problems with little science budgets
- Assumption that social science has smallscale data has led to historic underinvestment in infrastructure
- Need standards to facilitate sharing of data across disciplinary boundaries
- Need to address unique characteristics of social science data (e.g., need for privacy)
- New kinds of data require new approaches to analysis, new institutions to collect and curate





- o Recommendations
 - Encourage projects to make data, tools, analyses available as part of dissemination
 - Provide supplementary funding to support cataloguing and to ensure sustainability of access
 - Consider other mechanisms for supporting sharing, e.g., disciplinary repositories, access to experts





• • • Interdisciplinary research and education

Graduate education

- Interdisciplinary education seems to be training people for a field that doesn't exist
- Creating opportunities for students to interact with people in other fields is at least as important as creating courses or programs that are interdisciplinary
- It depends on the field: How do we identify areas where it is fruitful to collaborate?
- Do we train students in "interdisciplinary work" or in their own field, but with exposure to other fields?





- More of an issue at the graduate level than at the undergraduate level.
- Successful interdisciplinary training/research provides time for learning basic background in other fields, different approaches to analyzing data, etc.
- Workshop mode seems to work well, maybe better than extensively interdisciplinary program, which adds a year or more to students' coursework – students get to know each other across fields, stay in touch.
- Core skills needed in different fields are so different that it may not be optimal to try to train "interdisciplinary" grad students per se.
- Modeling to students
- "just in time" training in other disciplines
- Opportunities for interaction with people in other disciplines is as valuable, perhaps even more valuable, for students than creating full-fledged interdisciplinary program.





- o Forming viable teams
 - Will individuals collaborate?
 - Is there a common interpersonal thread?
 - Considering evidence of prior collaboration?
 - Need to use prior research on successful interdisciplinary collaboration when evaluating (and suggesting guidelines for proposals)
- Supplements to program grants to encourage interdisciplinary components

