

Assessing Ground-Water Vulnerability to Contamination: Providing Scientifically Defensible Information for Decision Makers

By Michael J. Focazio, Thomas E. Reilly, Michael G. Rupert, Dennis R. Helsel

U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1224

U.S. Department of the Interior
U.S. Geological Survey

U.S. Department of the Interior
Gale A. Norton, Secretary

U.S. Geological Survey
Charles G. Groat, Director

For sale by U.S. Geological Survey, Information Services
Box 25286, Denver Federal Center
Denver, CO 80225

For more information about the USGS and its products:
Telephone: 1-888-ASK-USGS
World Wide Web: <http://www.usgs.gov/>

Any use of trade, product, or firm names in this publication is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government

Reston, Virginia 2002

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Focazio, Michael, J.

Assessing ground-water vulnerability to contamination: Providing
scientifically defensible information for decision makers / By Michael
J. Focazio, Thomas E. Reilly, Michael G. Rupert, Dennis R. Helsel
p. cm. — (U.S. Geological Survey circular ; 1224)

Includes bibliographic references.

ISBN 0-607-89025-8

1. Groundwater resources. 2. Groundwater vulnerability.

TN24.M9 M55 2002

553'.09786'6—dc21

2001051109

Contents

- INTRODUCTION 1
 - Background..... 1
 - Purpose of this report 1

- UNDERSTANDING THE HYDROLOGIC SYSTEM AND THE ASSOCIATED BEHAVIOR OF CONTAMINANTS: A NECESSARY STEP IN SCIENTIFIC ASSESSMENTS OF GROUND-WATER VULNERABILITY 2
 - The Ground-Water-Flow System..... 3
 - a. Description of a ground-water-flow system..... 3
 - b. Factors that control ground-water movement..... 4
 - c. Advective transport of contaminants through the ground-water-flow system..... 6
 - d. Unsaturated zone 7
 - The Geochemical System 7
 - a. Sources of contamination 8
 - b. Chemical properties..... 9
 - c. Diffusion and dispersion..... 9

- OVERVIEW OF METHODOLOGY 10
 - Subjective rating methods 10
 - a. Index methods..... 10
 - b. Subjective hybrid methods 11
 - Statistical and process-based methods 12
 - a. Statistical methods..... 13
 - b. Process-based methods..... 15

SCIENTIFICALLY DEFENSIBLE GROUND-WATER-VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENTS.....	20
Examples of considerations in designing a scientifically defensible assessment	21
Hypothetical Example 1. Regional-scale mapping of ground-water resource vulnerability to a targeted contaminant.	23
Sources of uncertainty and limitations in the index method used for hypothetical example 1	23
Minimizing uncertainty by using sound statistical approaches to meet science objectives of hypothetical example 1.	23
Hypothetical Example 2. Local-scale process-based determination of the vulnerability of a public ground-water supply to a targeted contaminant.	24
Sources of uncertainty and limitations in the fixed radius method used for hypothetical example 2	25
Minimizing uncertainty by using sound process-based approaches to meet science objectives of hypothetical example 2.....	25
Summary of scientifically defensible approaches.....	28
CONCLUSIONS	29
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	29
REFERENCES	30
BOXES	
What is scientifically defensible?	2
Intrinsic susceptibility and vulnerability of ground water.	3
Ground-water flow through fractured rock.....	6
Contributing areas.....	6
Balancing objectivity, complexity, and accuracy under conditions of uncertainty.	19
Interpreting water-quality data in context with other important controls on ground-water vulnerability.	21
Assessing statistical uncertainty.....	21
Scientifically defensible water-resource management objectives require successful completion of the associated science objectives.	22
Chemical processes in the unsaturated zone.....	28

