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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Food Stamp Program (FSP) provides millions of Americans with the means to purchase food for a nutritious diet. The FSP is the largest of the 15 domestic food and nutrition assistance programs administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Food and Nutrition Service (FNS). In an average month in fiscal year 1999, the FSP served approximately 18.2 million people. ${ }^{1}$ This report presents the characteristics of food stamp households nationwide in fiscal year 1999 (October 1998 to September 1999). This information on household characteristics comes from FSP household data for fiscal year 1999 collected by FNS for quality control purposes.

## FSP Participation and Costs

In an average month of fiscal year 1999, the FSP provided benefits to 18.2 million people living in 7.7 million households across the United States. The total cost of the program over fiscal year 1999 was $\$ 17.7$ billion, $\$ 15.8$ billion of which were for food stamp benefits. The average monthly food stamp benefit per household in fiscal year 1999 was $\$ 162$. Compared with fiscal year 1998, the number of FSP participants decreased by 8 percent and FSP benefit costs decreased by 7 percent.

## Characteristics of Food Stamp Households and Participants

In fiscal year 1999, slightly over half of all food stamp participants were children, 39 percent were nonelderly adults, and 9 percent were elderly people. About 68 percent of the children were school age, and 71 percent of adult participants were women.

Approximately 89 percent of food stamp households lived in poverty, as measured by the fiscal year 1999 federal poverty guidelines issued by the Department of Health and Human Services (see Appendix D). Food stamp benefits were concentrated among poorer households: 35 percent of all food stamp households had a gross income less than or equal to half of the poverty guideline and these households received 55 percent of all benefits. If the value of food stamps is included as income, 7 percent of all food stamp households moved above the poverty guideline as a result of receiving food stamps, and 17 percent moved from below to above half of the poverty guideline.

[^0]Of all food stamp households, 89 percent contained either a child or an elderly or disabled person, and these households received 92 percent of all benefits. Households with children received a relatively large average monthly food stamp benefit (\$234), reflecting their relatively large average size (3.3 people compared with 2.4 people, on average, overall). Most of the food stamp households with children were single-adult households. Over half of these single-adult households with children received support from Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF). About 42 percent of all food stamp households with children had earned income; 38 percent of single-adult households with children and 58 percent of multiple-adult households with children had earnings. Eleven percent of all households with children had both TANF and earned income.

Almost 80 percent of food stamp households with an elderly member consisted of an elderly person living alone. These individuals received an average monthly benefit of $\$ 46$, compared with a $\$ 61$ average monthly food stamp benefit for all households containing an elderly person. The relatively smaller benefit level for households containing an elderly person reflects their relatively small size ( 1.3 people).

## CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

The Food Stamp Program (FSP) is a central component of America's anti-poverty program. The major purpose of the FSP is "to permit low-income households to obtain a more nutritious diet . . . by increasing their purchasing power" (The Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, P.L. 95-113). The FSP is the largest of the domestic food and nutrition assistance programs administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Food and Nutrition Service (FNS). According to FNS administrative records, during fiscal year 1999, the FSP served approximately 18.2 million people in an average month at a total cost of $\$ 17.7$ billion.

The FSP is the only low-income assistance program available nationwide to essentially all financially needy households because it imposes few nonfinancial categorical criteria. ${ }^{1}$ The FSP provides benefits through coupons or electronically. These benefits can be redeemed for food in more than 160,000 authorized stores across the nation.

Federal, state, and local governments share the costs and administration of the FSP. Congress authorizes the program and appropriates necessary funds. The Department of Agriculture establishes program regulations under the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended. FNS administers the FSP nationally, while state and local welfare agencies operate the program locally. The federal government fully funds the benefits of the FSP. Administrative costs are shared by the cooperating agencies, with FNS usually paying 50 percent of the costs.

Since food stamps are available to most people who meet the income and resource standards set by Congress, the FSP serves a broad spectrum of the needy population. Using FSP household data collected periodically for quality control review, FNS sponsors several analyses to enhance its understanding of the people served by the FSP. The agency also produces a series of reports to document these analyses (see Appendix K for a list of titles). This report presents a picture of households and individuals participating in the FSP in fiscal year 1999. ${ }^{2}$

Chapter 2 provides an overview of the FSP, including the regulations used to determine eligibility and benefits, and the factors that affect program participation and costs, such as legislative changes and trends in the mational economy. Chapter 3 describes the characteristics of individuals and households participating in the FSP in fiscal year 1999. The appendices include detailed tabulations of household and participant characteristics for the nation and by state; details of changes brought about by the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA), the Balanced

[^1]Budget Act of 1997, and the Agricultural Research, Extension and Education Reform Act of 1998 (AREERA); and a brief description of the sample design and the sampling error associated with the estimates presented in the report.

## CHAPTER 2: AN OVERVIEW OF THE FOOD STAMP PROGRAM

The characteristics of food stamp households and the level of FSP participation change over time in response to economic and demographic trends, and to legislative changes in eligibility requirements. This chapter explains FSP eligibility requirements, application procedures, benefit computation, and food stamp issuance. The chapter then describes program changes from fiscal year 1998 to fiscal year 1999, and concludes with a summary of program participation and costs, including a discussion of how these costs are related to the economy in fiscal year 1999.

## PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

The Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, establishes uniform national eligibility standards for the FSP and defines the basic FSP unit as the "household." The eligibility criteria include gross and net income limits, an asset limit, and various nonfinancial criteria. There are some exceptions to these criteria for certain high-cost areas, such as Alaska and Hawaii, and for certain individuals such as elderly people (age 60 and over) and people with disabilities.

## The Household

Under FSP rules, a household is defined as individuals who live in a residential unit and purchase and prepare food together. ${ }^{1}$ The income and assets of each household member are aggregated to determine eligibility and benefits. Individuals who Ive together in a residential unit but do not purchase and prepare food together can apply as separate household units; thus, their income and assets are considered separately in eligibility and benefit determinations, with some exceptions. For instance, special provisions allow elderly and disabled people who cannot prepare and purchase food because of a substantial disability to apply as a separate household as long as the gross monthly income of the remainder of their residential unit is less than 165 percent of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services poverty guidelines. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ Caseworkers determine whether members of a household are entitled to be certified as a separate unit-for example, those who cannot purchase and prepare food separately, such as elderly or disabled persons.
${ }^{2}$ Federal poverty guidelines for many assistance programs are established annually by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The FSP used 1998 poverty guidelines (published in the February 24, 1998 Federal Register) for all fiscal year 1999 income eligibility tests. These guidelines were developed on the basis of the 1997 Census poverty thresholds. This means that the income eligibility tests applied to food stamp households in fiscal year 1999 are based on 1997 poverty measures. See Appendix D for a listing of the fiscal year 1999 FSP poverty guidelines.

## Income Eligibility Standards

Monthly income is the most important determinant of household eligibility. The majority of households that apply for food stamps must meet two income eligibility standards: a gross income standard and a net income standard.

As defined in the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, gross income includes most cash income (with the exception of specific types of income such as loans) and excludes most noncash income, or in-kind benefits. To be eligible for the FSP, a household without an elderly or disabled member must have a monthly gross income that is at or below 130 percent of the poverty guideline ( $\$ 1,783$ for a family of four in the contiguous United States in fiscal year 1999). Households with elderly or disabled members are not subject to the gross income test. Net income is determined by subtracting deductions permitted under the FSP from monthly gross income. The FSP deducts the following from a household's gross monthly income to arrive at the net monthly income: ${ }^{3}$

- Standard Deduction. All households automatically receive a standard deduction, equal to $\$ 134$ in the contiguous United States in fiscal year 1999. The standard deduction for outlying states and territories varies to reflect price differences between these areas and the contiguous United States (Appendix F).
- Earned Income Deduction. Households with earnings receive a deduction equal to 20 percent of the combined earnings of household members.
- Dependent-Care Deduction. Households with dependents receive a deduction for expenses involved in caring for children and other dependents while other household members work, seek employment, or go to school. The maximum dependent-care deduction in fiscal year 1999 was $\$ 200$ per month per dependent under age 2 and $\$ 175$ per month per dependent age 2 or older (Appendix F).
- Medical Deduction. A medical deduction is available only to households that contain elderly or disabled members. These households can deduct combined out-of-pocket medical costs exceeding $\$ 35$ that are incurred on behalf of elderly or disabled persons in the household. Medical expenses reimbursed by insurance or government programs are not deductible.
${ }^{3}$ There is a distinction between a household's deduction entitlement and the amount actually used to compute food stamp benefits. The entitlement is the deduction that a household would receive on the basis of its earned income and dependent-care, shelter, and medical expenses if the total of these allowable deductions is less than the household's gross income. Because net income cannot be less than zero, households with total deductions greater than their gross income can only claim a portion of their deduction entitlement.
- Child Support Payment Deduction. Households can deduct legally obligated child support payments made to or for a nonhousehold member.
- Excess Shelter Expense Deduction. A household is entitled to a deduction equal to shelter costs (such as rent, mortgage payments, utility bills, property taxes, and insurance) that exceed 50 percent of its countable income after all other potential deductions are subtracted from gross income. This deduction is subject to a limit. However, households that contain elderly or disabled members are entitled to subtract the full value of shelter costs that exceed 50 percent of their adjusted income. The limit on the excess shelter expense deduction for households without elderly or disabled members was $\$ 275$ in fiscal year 1999. The excess shelter expense deduction for outlying states and territories varies to reflect price differences between these areas and the contiguous United States (Appendix F).

To be eligible for the FSP, a household must have a net monthly income at or below 100 percent of the poverty guideline ( $\$ 1,371$ for a family of four in the contiguous United States in fiscal year 1998). The gross and net income eligibility standards vary by household size, as well as for residents of Alaska and Hawaii (see Appendix E). A household is exempt from these income tests (as well as the asset test described below) if all of its members receive TANF income, General Assistance, or Supplemental Security Income (SSI). ${ }^{4}$


#### Abstract

Assets The second most important determinant of FSP eligibility is a household's assets. Households are permitted up to $\$ 2,000$ in countable assets, or $\$ 3,000$ in countable assets if at least one member is age 60 or older. Countable assets include cash, assets that can easily be converted into cash (such as money in checking or savings accounts, savings certificates, stocks or bonds, or lump-sum payments), and nonliquid resources. However, certain types of property such as family homes, tools of a trade, or business property used to earn income are not counted.

Certain vehicles are also not counted as assets for the purpose of determining eligibility. Any vehicle used as a home, to produce income, or as a means to transport disabled people is not included. Vehicles used for other purposes are counted in the following way: for the first vehicle and any additional vehicles used to commute to work or qualifying job training programs, any fair market value exceeding $\$ 4,650$ is counted toward the asset limit; for all other vehicles, the higher of either any fair market value in excess of $\$ 4,650$ or any equity (fair market value minus remaining liens) is counted.


${ }^{4}$ Benefits for these categorically eligible households are determined according to the same rules used for other eligible households.

## Nonfinancial Eligibility Standards

The FSP has some nonfinancial eligibility standards, such as restrictions on the participation of students, strikers, and people who are institutionalized. In addition, as a result of the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996, able-bodied adults without dependents (ABAWDs) became subject to time limits and most legal permanent residents became ineligible for benefits.

Beginning November 1, 1998, eligibility was restored to some permanent resident aliens (PRAs). The Agricultural Research, Extension and Education Reform Act of 1998 restored eligibility to permanent resident aliens who were in the United States when PRWORA was enacted and were over 65 on August 22, 1996, or are currently under age 18 or disabled. The Act also extended the eligibility period for refugees, asylees, and deportees from five to seven years.

Thus, for most of fiscal year 1999, legal aliens meeting one of the following requirements were eligible for benefits:

- Accorded refugee, asylee, or deportee status (eligible for seven years after entering the United States);
- Credited with 40 quarters of work in the United States;
- Serving in the U.S. Armed Forces or veteran of the U.S. Armed Forces;
- Lawfully in the U.S. on August 22, 1996 and under 18 or 65 or older (on August 22, 1996), or disabled.

The spouses and dependent children of eligible permanent resident aliens were also eligible for the FSP. Certain other aliens, such as Hmong or Highland Laotians, American Indians born in Canada, and battered spouses and children, may be eligible, as well. Additionally, permanent resident aliens who became naturalized U.S. citizens were eligible. ${ }^{5}$

ABAWDs can receive benefits only if they work or participate in work-related activities. With certain exceptions, those who do not meet these work requirements are restricted to 3 months of food stamp benefits in any 36 -month period. ABAWDs, who are by definition age 18 to 49 , are required to register for work unless they meet one of the following conditions:

- People who are disabled
- People who are mentally or physically unfit for employment
- Women who are pregnant
- People needed in the home to care for an ill or incapacitated person

[^2]- Relatives or other caretakers of dependent children
- Students meeting FSP eligibility requirements
- People who work at least 20 hours per week
- People who receive unemployment compensation
- People complying with work requirements under another program
- People participating in a drug or alcohol rehabilitation program
- People participating in a work experience program


## APPLICATION PROCEDURES

To apply for food stamps, individuals are required to appear in person at their local food stamp office. However, elderly and disabled people, and people who have transportation problems can be interviewed by telephone or at their home. All states must allow individuals to apply for food stamps when they apply for TANF. Individuals applying for SSI benefits can also simultaneously apply for food stamps.

The Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, requires that local offices process applications for food stamps within 30 days after they are received. However, applications from households without significant income or resources can be processed more quickly through the expedited food stamp eligibility verification procedures, allowing people to receive food stamp benefits within seven days after they apply. Those eligible for expedited service include (1) migrant or seasonal farm workers with assets equal to or less than $\$ 100$, and (2) households with gross income equal to or less than $\$ 150$ and assets equal to or less than $\$ 100$.

FSP participants are required to appear in person at their local food stamp offices periodically for recertification. The certification period varies according to the likelihood of a change in a food stamp household's financial circumstances. In fiscal year 1999, food stamp households were certified for food stamps for an average of almost 10 months.

## BENEFIT COMPUTATION

After a household is certified for food stamps, its monthly food stamp benefit is computed on the basis of its net monthly income, the benefit reduction rate, and the maximum food stamp benefit for its household size and location. The maximum benefit to which a household is entitled is based on the June cost of the Thrifty Food Plan (TFP) for a family of four, adjusted for household size and geographic areas outside the contiguous United States. The cost of the TFP is based on an economical and nutritious diet, adjusted for household size and composition. Maximum benefits are revised annually to reflect changes in the cost of the foods in the TFP. As specified in the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, the maximum benefit was 100 percent of the TFP through 1988, 100.65 percent in 1989, 102.05 percent in 1990, 103 percent from 1991
through 1996, and 100 percent of the TFP beginning in $1997 .{ }^{6}$ Thus, in fiscal year 1999 the maximum monthly benefit for a family of four in the contiguous United States was $\$ 419$ (Appendix G).

The benefit reduction rate is the rate at which benefits are reduced for every additional dollar of net income. The benefit reduction rate is 30 percent, reflecting the assumption that a household will spend 30 percent of its net income on food and that the FSP will provide the difference between that amount and the maximum benefit. Thus, benefits are reduced by 30 cents for every additional dollar of net income.

A household's monthly food stamp benefit is computed by subtracting 30 percent of its net income from the maximum benefit. If a household has zero net income, it receives the maximum food stamp benefit. All eligible one- and two-person households are guaranteed a minimum benefit of at least $\$ 10$ per month (except during the initial month of participation). For new participants, benefits are prorated for the first month.

## FOOD STAMP ISSUANCE

State and local food stamp offices issue food stamp benefits in four main ways:

- ATP Card. An authorization-to-participate (ATP) identification card is mailed to the participant each month; the participant then exchanges the card for food stamps at an authorized issuance office.
- Mail. State and local offices mail the food stamps directly to the participant.
- Manually. The participant goes directly to the food stamp office for coupons.
- On-Line Electronic Benefit Transfer. The participant receives a "debit" card, similar to a bank card, which is used to purchase food at authorized retail stores. The household's monthly benefit is electronically transferred to an account created specifically for FSP benefits. When a purchase is made, the amount of the purchase is debited from the account.
- Off-Line Electronic Benefit Transfer. In 1999, two states (Ohio and Wyoming) were in the process of rolling out statewide EBT systems using "smart cards."
${ }^{6}$ In 1993, the Act was amended to require that 1993 maximum benefit amounts in the contiguous United States remain constant at 1992 values despite a drop in the value of the TFP in June 1992. In 1995, legislation was adopted to freeze maximum benefit amounts for Alaska at their 1994 levels.

Unlike on-line electronic benefit transfer cards, these cards contain food stamp benefit information in a chip on the card.

## PROGRAM CHANGES SINCE THE PREVIOUS FSCAL YEAR

Effective November 1, 1998, the Agricultural Research, Extension and Education Reform Act of 1998 restored eligibility to some permanent resident aliens. More detailed information about this Act can be found in this chapter in the section on Nonfinancial Eligibility Standards, as well as in Appendix C.

## FSP PARTICIPATION AND COSTS

After declining slowly from 1983 through 1989, FSP participation grew substantially during the early 1990s. As illustrated in Figure 2.1, FSP participation increased by 37 percent from fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 1994. Since peaking at 28.0 million people in March 1994, the number of FSP participants has declined steadily. There were 24.6 million participants in the beginning of fiscal year 1997 and 20.8 million participants in the beginning of fiscal year 1998. The number fell to 18.8 million at the beginning of fiscal year 1999 and fell further to 17.7 million participants by the end of fiscal year 1999. Therefore, FSP participation declined by over 37 percent from the 1994 peak to the end of fiscal year 1999.

Recent declines in FSP participation may be associated with the improved economy. Over the past 10 years, trends in FSP participation levels have been similar to trends in major economic indicators (Table 2.1). The increase in FSP participation beginning in 1989 and continuing into 1993 was associated with an economic recession that began in 1990. Major economic indicators for most of this period portray a downturn in the economy. As the economy improved from 1993 to 1999, FSP participation leveled off and then began to decline. These declines have also been associated with declines in AFDC/TANF caseloads since the passage of PRWORA.

Total FSP costs decreased from $\$ 18.9$ billion in fiscal year 1998 to $\$ 17.7$ billion in fiscal year 1999. The reduction in costs was solely a result of the reduction in the FSP caseload because the average monthly benefit per participant remained constant at $\$ 69$ from fiscal year 1998 to fiscal year 1999. The total cost of the FSP in fiscal year 1999 included $\$ 15.8$ billion in benefits and $\$ 1.9$ billion in other costs, including the federal share of state administrative costs and employment and training programs, printing and processing, anti-fraud funding, and program evaluation.

FIGLERE 2.1
HGOIDSTAMP PRGKIEAM PARIITIPANTS, LNI:MPIGYED PERSONS AND POGR PERSONS
(1989-1999)



邓 (1)

Table 2.1--Major Economic Indicators, Calendar Years 1989-1999

| Economic Indicator | Calendar Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 |
| Real GDP Increase ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3.5 | 1.7 | -0.2 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 2.7 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 4.3 | $4.0{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Productivity Increase ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 3.9 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 3.1 |
| Unemployment Rate ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 5.3 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.2 |
| Inflation Rate ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.4 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.2 | $1.5{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| Interest Rate ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 9.3 | 9.3 | 8.8 | 8.1 | 7.2 | 8.0 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 7.0 |
| Persons Below 100 Percent of Poverty Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number in Thousands | 31,528 | 33,585 | 35,708 | 38,014 | 39,265 | 38,059 | 36,425 | 36,529 | 35,574 | 34,476 | 32,258 |
| Percentage of Total Population | 12.8 | 13.5 | 14.2 | 14.8 | 15.1 | 14.5 | 13.8 | 13.7 | 13.3 | 12.7 | 11.8 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Percent change from preceding year.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Percent change from preceding year in output per hour, business sector.
${ }^{c}$ Unemployment rate for all civilian workers.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Percentage change from preceding year in the implicit price deflator for Gross Domestic Product.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Corporate Aaa bond yield.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Average of first three quarters of 1999.
Source for first line of data: Economic Report of the President, Washington, DC, February 2000.
Source for second line of data: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. "Major Sector Productivity and Costs Index."
Source for third through fifth lines of data: Economic Report of the President, Washington, DC, February 2000.
Source for last two lines of data: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Poverty in the United States.
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## CHAPTER 3: CHARACTERISTICS OF FOOD STAMP HOUSEHOLDS AND PARTICIPANTS

The FSP serves the nutritional needs of a broad spectrum of low-income Americans. ${ }^{1}$ In an average month in fiscal year 1999, the FSP provided benefits to 18.1 million people living in 7.7 million households. ${ }^{2}$ Almost all food stamp households lived in poverty (according to the federal poverty guidelines for program eligibility in fiscal year 1999). The vast majority of food stamp households contained either a child (under age 18), an elderly person (over age 59), or a disabled person. The average food stamp household received an average monthly food stamp benefit of $\$ 162$, had an average gross monthly income of $\$ 603$, had an average net monthly income of $\$ 338$, and was entitled to an average total deduction of $\$ 299$ per month. ${ }^{3}$ The average household size was 2.4 people. This chapter discusses the composition and economic status of food stamp households, the characteristics of food stamp participants, and the changes in the characteristics of food stamp households from fiscal year 1998 through fiscal year 1999.

## THE POVERTY STATUS OF FOOD STAMP HOUSEHOLDS ${ }^{4}$

The FSP provides benefits to households in need. In fiscal year 1999, the gross monthly income of 89 percent of food stamp households was less than or equal to 100 percent of the federal

[^3]Table 3.1-- Distribution of Households and Benefits by Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline, Fiscal Year 1999

| Gross Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Percentage of: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Households | All Benefits |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 25\% or less | 16.4 | 25.3 |
| 26-50\% | 18.1 | 29.7 |
| 51-75\% .. | 25.6 | 26.0 |
| 76-100\% | 29.2 | 15.1 |
| 101-130\% | 9.8 | 3.7 |
| $131 \%$ or more | 0.9 | 0.2 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Defined as the fiscal year 1999 poverty guidelines published by the Department of Health and Human Services (see Appendix D).

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.
poverty guideline. ${ }^{5}$ The gross monthly income of over three-fifths of all food stamp households was less than or equal to 75 percent of the poverty guideline, and the income of over one-third of all food stamp households was less than or equal to 50 percent of the guideline (Table 3.1).

The FSP effectively targets benefits to the most needy households; poorer households receive larger food stamp benefits than do households with more income. While only 35 percent of all food stamp households had a gross monthly income less than or equal to 50 percent of the poverty guideline in fiscal year 1999, they received 55 percent of all benefits. In contrast, the 11 percent of households with a gross monthly income over the poverty guideline received only 4 percent of all benefits.

The impact of food stamps on a household's purchasing power is estimated by adding the dollar value of the food stamps to household income and examining the distribution of households by poverty status. ${ }^{6}$ As shown in Table 3.2, the combination of cash and food stamps-an alternative measure of gross income that includes food stamp benefits-yields a significantly different distribution of food stamp households by poverty status. Specifically, when food stamps are counted toward gross income, the resulting increase in income of food stamp households was enough to move 7 percent of them above the poverty guideline. Food stamp benefits had an even greater impact on the poorest food stamp households, moving 17 percent of them above 50 percent of the poverty guideline.

## HOUSEHOLDS WITH SPECIAL NEEDS ${ }^{7}$

The FSP effectively serves many households that contain people with special needs-children, elderly, or disabled people. In fiscal year 1999, 89 percent of all food stamp households had either a child, an elderly person, or a disabled person. These households received 92 percent of all food stamp benefits.

## Households with Children

In fiscal year 1999, the FSP served approximately 9.4 million children each month, representing more than half of all participants. Of all food stamp households, 56 percent had children (Table 3.3). Compared with other food stamp households, those that contained children received a relatively high average food stamp benefit of $\$ 234$ per month (Table 3.4). This relatively high benefit primarily reflects the fact that the average household size among food stamp households with children ( 3.3 people) was larger than the average household size among all food stamp households (2.4 people).

[^4]Table 3.2--Effect of Food Stamp Benefits on the Poverty Status of Food Stamp Households, Fiscal Year 1999

| Gross Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline ${ }^{a}$ | Distribution of Households in Relation to Poverty Guideline |  | Difference in Percentage Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Based on <br> Cash Only | Based on Cash and Food Stamps |  |
| Total ............................................ | 100\% | 100\% | 0 |
| $50 \%$ or less................................... | 34.5 | 17.1 | -17.4 |
| 51-100 ......................................... | 54.8 | 65.4 | 10.6 |
| 101 or more................................... | 10.7 | 17.6 | 6.9 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Defined as the fiscal year 1999 poverty guidelines published by the Department of Health and Human Services (see Appendix D).

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table 3.3-- Household Composition and Selected Characteristics of Participating Households, Fiscal Year 1999

| Households With: | All Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Earned Income |  | Social Security |  | TANF |  | General Assistance |  | SSI |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7,670 | 100.0 | 2,058 | 100.0 | 1,897 | 100.0 | 2,096 | 100.0 | 435 | 100.0 | 2,315 | 100.0 |
| Children ................................................ | 4,275 | 55.7 | 1,782 | 86.6 | 393 | 20.7 | 2,052 | 97.9 | 53 | 12.2 | 623 | 26.9 |
| Single-Adult Household .......................... | 2,928 | 38.2 | 1,103 | 53.6 | 246 | 12.9 | 1,511 | 72.1 | 36 | 8.3 | 414 | 17.9 |
| Multiple-Adult Household ...................... | 964 | 12.6 | 558 | 27.1 | 136 | 7.2 | 339 | 16.2 | 15 | 3.4 | 200 | 8.6 |
| Married Couple Household ................... | 645 | 8.4 | 404 | 19.6 | 82 | 4.3 | 188 | 9.0 | 9 | 2.0 | 118 | 5.1 |
| Other Multiple-Adult Household ........... | 319 | 4.2 | 155 | 7.5 | 55 | 2.9 | 151 | 7.2 | 6 | 1.4 | 81 | 3.5 |
| Children Only ....................................... | 380 | 4.9 | 120 | 5.8 | 11 | 0.6 | 199 | 9.5 | 2 | 0.6 | 9 | 0.4 |
| Unknown .............................................. | 3 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | - | - | 3 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - |
| Elderly ................................................... | 1,543 | 20.1 | 51 | 2.5 | 1,082 | 57.0 | 61 | 2.9 | 76 | 17.5 | 910 | 39.3 |
| Living Alone ......................................... | 1,212 | 15.8 | 25 | 1.2 | 874 | 46.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 60 | 13.9 | 704 | 30.4 |
| Not Living Alone ................................... | 330 | 4.3 | 26 | 1.3 | 208 | 10.9 | 59 | 2.8 | 16 | 3.6 | 206 | 8.9 |
| Disabled ................................................. | 2,031 | 26.5 | 201 | 9.8 | 843 | 44.4 | 371 | 17.7 | 84 | 19.3 | 1,638 | 70.7 |
| Living Alone ......................................... | 1,162 | 15.1 | 65 | 3.1 | 530 | 28.0 | 2 | 0.1 | 54 | 12.4 | 904 | 39.1 |
| Not Living Alone .................................. | 869 | 11.3 | 136 | 6.6 | 313 | 16.5 | 369 | 17.6 | 30 | 6.8 | 734 | 31.7 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {b }}$................................. | 861 | 11.2 | 164 | 7.9 | 1 | 0.0 | 34 | 1.6 | 256 | 58.8 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Single-Person Household ........................ | 786 | 10.3 | 129 | 6.3 | 1 | 0.0 | 25 | 1.2 | 244 | 56.2 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Multi-Person Household ......................... | 75 | 1.0 | 34 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 0.4 | 11 | 2.6 | - | - |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The sum of individual categories does not match the table total because a household can have more than one of the characteristics.
b Households not containing children, elderly persons, or disabled persons

- No sample households are found in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table 3.4-- Average Values of Selected Characteristics by Household Composition, Fiscal Year 1999

|  |  | Average Values |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

${ }^{a}$ Households not containing children, elderly persons, or disabled persons.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Children who received food stamps in fiscal year 1999 tended to live in households that were headed by a single adult. Of all food stamp households with children, 69 percent were headed by a single adult, representing 38 percent of all food stamp households. More than half ( 52 percent) of these single-adult food stamp households received TANF and 38 percent had earnings.

Eleven percent of single-adult households with children received child support, 15 percent received SSI, and 6 percent had no income. In addition, 11 percent of all households with children received a combination of TANF and earnings.

Eight percent of food stamp households contained married couples and children, representing 15 percent of all food stamp households with children. The characteristics of married-couple households with children varied considerably from those of single-adult households with children. The average monthly food stamp benefit for single-adult households was lower than that of married-couple households due to the smaller size of single-adult households. The per capita benefit was higher for people in single-adult households than for people in married-couple households ( $\$ 74$ versus $\$ 60$ ) because single-adult households were poorer. Single-adult households with children had a substantially lower gross monthly income ( $\$ 641$ versus $\$ 1,027$ ). Of all married-couple households with children, 63 percent received income from earnings, and 29 percent received TANF. Overall, households with children constituted 87 percent of all food stamp households with earnings.

## Households with Elderly People

In fiscal year 1999, the FSP served an average of 1.7 million elderly people (age 60 or older) each month. As shown in Table 3.3, food stamp households with elderly members represented 20 percent of all food stamp households. These households received an average food stamp benefit of $\$ 61$ per month (Table 3.4).

Elderly people who received food stamps tended to live alone and thus received relatively small food stamp benefits. In fiscal year 1999, 79 percent of all food stamp households with elderly members were single-person households. These households received an average food stamp benefit of $\$ 46$ per month compared with $\$ 119$ in benefits for households with elderly people not living alone. The average size of households containing elderly people not living alone was 2.5 people.

A majority of food stamp households that contained elderly people received SSI or Social Security income. In fiscal year 1999, 59 percent of all food stamp households with elderly members received SSI, 70 percent received Social Security, and 35 percent received both SSI and Social Security income. Food stamp households with elderly members represented 39 percent of all food stamp households with SSI and 57 percent of food stamp households with Social Security income.

## Households with Disabled People

In fiscal year 1999, the FSP served an average of 2.2 million disabled people. Households that contain disabled people represented 27 percent of all food stamp households (Table 3.3). ${ }^{8}$ These households received an average monthly food stamp benefit of $\$ 100$.

About 57 percent of food stamp households that contained disabled people were single-person households. Households containing a disabled person living alone received a lower average monthly food stamp benefit than did households that contained disabled people not living alone ( $\$ 49$ compared with $\$ 168$ ). Once again, the difference in benefits between the two groups reflects differences in average household size. Disabled people who did not live alone lived in households with an average of 3.3 persons.

## Other Households Served by the FSP

The FSP serves needy households other than those that contain children, elderly people, or disabled people. In fiscal year 1999, 11 percent of all food stamp households consisted solely of one or more nonelderly, nondisabled adults (Table 3.3). These households received an average food stamp benefit of $\$ 121$ per month (Table 3.4). They tended to be single-person households (91 percent) and represented the majority (59 percent) of households that received General Assistance.

Of all food stamp households in fiscal year 1999, 41 percent were individuals who lived alone. These households received an average monthly food stamp benefit of $\$ 114$. Most of these individuals ( 62 percent) were female, and 39 percent were elderly. Compared with all food stamp households, a relatively small proportion of food stamp participants living alone received earnings ( 8 percent), and a relatively high proportion had zero gross income ( 12 percent).

## CHARACTERISTICS OF FSP PARTICIPANTS

The FSP serves a broad spectrum of individuals. In fiscal year 1999, 52 percent of FSP participants were children (younger than 18 years old), and they received 53 percent of pro-rated FSP benefits (Table 3.5). In addition, 39 percent of participants were nonelderly adults (age 18 to 59), and 9 percent were elderly adults. Over two-thirds of the children served by the FSP were school age (age 5 to 17). Seventy-two percent of elderly adults and 71 percent of nonelderly adults were female. The majority ( 68 percent) of nonelderly adult food stamp participants lived

[^5]Table 3.5 -- Food Stamp Benefits of Participants by Selected Demographic Characteristics

| Participant Characteristic | Total Participants |  | Pro-rated Benefits ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dollars } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total .. | 18,149 | 100.0 | 1,240,988 | 100.0 |
| Citizenship ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Citizen ...... | 17,323 | 95.4 | 1,185,053 | 95.5 |
| Non-Citizen | 745 | 4.1 | 50,053 | 4.0 |
| Unknown | 81 | 0.4 | 5,882 | 0.5 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 9,354 | 51.5 | 657,164 | 53.0 |
| Preschool Age Children | 3,025 | 16.7 | 228,713 | 18.4 |
| 0-1 | 1,177 | 6.5 | 91,903 | 7.4 |
| 2-4 | 1,847 | 10.2 | 136,810 | 11.0 |
| School Age Children | 6,329 | 34.9 | 428,451 | 34.5 |
| 5-7 | 1,938 | 10.7 | 135,737 | 10.9 |
| 8-11 | 2,165 | 11.9 | 146,999 | 11.8 |
| 12-15 | 1,604 | 8.8 | 104,842 | 8.4 |
| 16-17 | 623 | 3.4 | 40,873 | 3.3 |
| Nonelderly Adults (18-59) | 7,090 | 39.1 | 506,007 | 40.8 |
| Elderly Adults (60 or more) | 1,699 | 9.4 | 77,410 | 6.2 |
| Unknown Age ................... | 5 | 0.0 | 407 | 0.0 |
| Disabled ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2,229 | 12.3 | 107,299 | 8.6 |
| Children (0-17) ... | 284 | 1.6 | 13,371 | 1.1 |
| Nonelderly Adults (18-59) | 1,707 | 9.4 | 83,083 | 6.7 |
| Elderly Adults (60-64) ....... | 238 | 1.3 | 10,845 | 0.9 |

${ }^{a}$ Percent of all participants.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Pro-rated benefits equal the benefits paid to households multiplied by the ratio of participants with selected characteristic to total household size.
c Because of an administrative change in how citizenship data were collected in the FSPQC, naturalized citizens, permanent resident aliens and individual classifications of permanent resident aliens cannot be identified. Citizenship tables in this report contain information only for citizens and non-citizens and therefore are not directly comparable with tables in previous Characteristics of Food Stamp Households Reports.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Disability status of nonelderly adults age 18 to 64 is determined partially on the basis of SSI and Social Security receipt. Because identifiers such as SSI and Social Security receipt are not valid indicators of disability for adults over age 64 (Social Security is not a valid indicator after age 61), disability status of adults over age 64 cannot be identifed accurately in the Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.
in households with children-approximately 59 percent were single adults and 27 percent were married adults. ${ }^{9}$

With the exception of certain groups of individuals, such as caretakers of small children and people working at least 30 hours per week, all able-bodied nonelderly adult food stamp participants are required to register for work and accept suitable employment as a condition of receiving food stamps. Like participants in the FSP, participants in other assistance programs often are required to register for work. In fiscal year 1999, 17 percent of all food stamp household heads were registered for work under the FSP or another assistance program. ${ }^{10}$ Most food stamp household heads ( 76 percent) were exempt from work registration requirements. Twenty-eight percent of household heads were exempt due to physical or mental disability; 16 percent were exempt because they were too old or too young; 15 percent were exempt because they were caretakers of a child under age 6 or an incapacitated adult; 10 percent were exempt because they were already employed full-time.

## CHANGES IN THE ECONOMIC CONDITIONS OF FOOD STAMP HOUSEHOLDS

The overall economic conditions of the average food stamp household improved from fiscal year 1998 to fiscal year 1999, although not as much as in previous years. The average net income of food stamp households increased by 3 percent in real dollars (Table 3.6), and the percentage of households with zero net income remained fairly constant at 21 percent. The percentage of households with earnings increased slightly from 26 percent in fiscal year 1998 to 27 percent in fiscal year 1999. The percentage of households receiving TANF dropped from 31 percent to 27 percent while the percentage of all FSP households with children decreased slightly from 58 percent in fiscal year 1998 to 56 percent in fiscal year 1999. The percentage of households with disabled persons increased from 24 percent to 27 percent from 1998 to 1999.

The average food stamp benefit decreased in real dollars from \$165 in fiscal year 1998 to $\$ 159$ in fiscal year 1999. The real drop in the average monthly benefit reflects the real increases in average gross and net incomes, along with a slight real decline in the average monthly deduction to which households are entitled.

[^6]Table 3.6--Average Nominal and Real Values of Selected Characteristics, Fiscal Year 1998 and Fiscal Year 1999

| Selected Characteristics | Nominal Values |  |  | Real Values |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fiscal Year 1998 | Fiscal Year 1999 | Percentage Change | Fiscal Year 1999 | Percentage Change |
| Average Gross Income ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> Per Household. $\qquad$ <br> Per Person $\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 584 \\ 298 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 603 \\ 317 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +3.3 \\ & +6.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 590 \\ 310 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +1.0 \\ & +4.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Average Net Income ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> Per Household. <br> Per Person | 321 152 | 338 165 | +5.3 +8.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 331 \\ & 161 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +3.1 \\ & +5.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| Average Total Deduction ${ }^{\text {a }}$............ | 294 | 299 | +1.7 | 293 | -0.3 |
| Average Household Benefit ${ }^{\text {b }}$........ | 165 | 162 | -1.8 | 159 | -3.6 |
| Maximum Coupon Benefit for a Family of Four in the Continental U.S. ${ }^{\text {b }}$. $\qquad$ | 408 | 419 | +2.7 | 411 | +0.7 |
| Consumer Price Index <br> All Items $\qquad$ Food at Home $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 163.0 \\ & 161.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 166.6 \\ & 164.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +2.2 \\ & +1.9 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Real values are in constant fiscal year 1998 dollars. Fiscal year 1999 values were deflated by the change in the CPI-U for all items between fiscal year 1998 and fiscal year 1999 (2.2 percent).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Real values are in constant fiscal year 1998 dollars. Fiscal year 1999 values were deflated by the change in the CPI-U for food at home between fiscal year 1998 and fiscal year 1999 (1.9 percent).

Source of CPI-U average values: Economic Report of the President, Washington, DC, February 2000.
Source of nominal values: Fiscal Year 1998 and Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control samples.

## ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS FOR USE WITH APPENDICES

## ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS FOR USE WITH APPENDICES

## ACRONYMS

| ABAWD | - Able-Bodied Adult Without Dependents |
| :--- | :--- |
| E\&T | - Employment and Training Program |
| FSP | - Food Stamp Program |
| GA | - General Assistance |
| INA | - Immigration and Nationality Act |
| JOBS | - Job Opportunities and Basic Skills |
| PRA | - Permanent Resident Alien |
| PRWORA | - Personal Responsibility and Work |
|  | Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 |
| SSI | - Supplemental Security Income |
| TANF | - Temporary Assistance to Needy Families |
| TFP | - Thrifty Food Plan |
| UI | - Unemployment Insurance |

## DEFINITIONS

Able-Bodied Adult Without Dependents (ABAWD). Individual between 18 and 50 years of age who is not mentally or physically disabled, or responsible for a dependent. In fiscal year 1999, with certain exceptions, ABAWDs were required to work or participate in workrelated activities to be eligible for the FSP.

Alien. Participant who is a non-citizen, including permanent residents, immigrants accorded permanent resident status, refugees, persons granted political asylum, aliens ganted a stay of deportation, aliens residing in the United States under color of law, nonimmigrants admitted for a specified period, Mexican citizens with a "border" card, and undocumented aliens. See also Legal Immigrants, Other Alien, Permanent Resident Alien.

Children. Persons under age 18.
Child Support Payment Deduction. Deduction for households with legally obligated child support payments made to or for a non-household member. See also Deductions.

Countable Resources. Cash on hand and assets that can be easily converted to cash, such as money in checking or savings accounts, savings certificates, stocks or bonds, and lump sum payments. They also include some nonliquid assets, although the family home, one or more family vehicles if necessary to transport disabled persons or to produce income, and
business tools or property are not counted. See also Resource Limit.

Deductions. Allowable deductions from a household's gross monthly income to arrive at FSP net monthly income. The deductions shown in the tables are those to which households were entitled. Some of the deductions may not have been used, however, before a household reached zero net income status. Therefore, total deductions do not equal the difference between gross and net income amounts. See also Total Deduction, Standard Deduction, Earned Income Deduction, Dependent-Care Deduction, Excess Shelter Deduction, and Medical Deduction.

Dependent-Care Deduction. Deduction received by food stamp households for expenses involved in caring for dependents while other members work, seek employment, or go to school. In fiscal year 1999 the deduction was subject to a maximum of $\$ 200$ per month for each dependent under age 2 and $\$ 175$ per month for each dependent age 2 or more. See also Deductions.

Disabled Persons. Disabled persons are defined as persons under age 65 who receive SSI and persons age 18 to 61 who receive Social Security, veterans benefits, or other government benefits as a result of disability. Because identifiers such as Social Security and SSI receipt are not valid indicators of disability for persons over age 64 (Social Security is not a valid indicator for persons over age 61), disability status of adults over age 64 cannot be identified accurately in the FSPQC sample.

Earned Income Deduction. Deduction received by households with earnings, equal to 20 percent of the combined earnings of household members. See also Deductions.

Earned Income. Includes wages, salaries, selfemployment, and farm income.

Elderly. Adults age 60 or older.
Employed Full Time. Employed at least 30 hours per week or receiving weekly earnings equal to or greater than the federal minimum wage multiplied by 30 hours. This estimate is based on an employment status variable.

Employed Part Time. Employed less than 30 hours per week.

Employment and Training (E\&T). Refers to employment and training services received under FSP E\&T programs. Services provided include work experience, educational programs, and job search training.

Entrant Households. Includes households newly certified during fiscal year 1999.

Excess Shelter Deduction. Deduction received by households with shelter costs, equal to those shelter costs that exceed 50 percent of the household's countable income after all other potential deductions are subtracted from gross income. There is a limit on the shelter deduction for households that do not contain elderly or disabled members. See Appendix F. See also Deductions.

Exempt from Work Registration. See Work Registration Status Definitions and Notes below.

Expedited Service Households. Households which initially received expedited service for the certification period in effect during fiscal year 1999.

Gross Income. Total monthly income of household in dollars, before applying deductions.

Gross Income Limit. Food stamp program monthly gross income eligibility standards, determined by household size; equal to 130 percent of the poverty guidelines. See Appendix E.

Households With Preschool-Age Children. Households with at least one member under age 5.

Households With Elderly. Households with at least one member age 60 or older.

Households With Elderly or Disabled. Households in which at least one member is age 60 or over or at least one member is under age 65 and receives SSI, or at least one member is age 18 to 61 and receives Social Security, veterans benefits, or other government benefits as a result of disability.

Households With School-Age Children. Households with at least one member age 5 to 17 .

Households With Disabled. Households with at least one member who is under age 65 and receives SSI or at least one member who is age 18 to 61 and receives

Social Security, veterans benefits, or other government benefits as a result of disability.

Households With Children. Households with at least one member age 17 or less.

Initial Certification Households. Includes both households certified for the first time within the current certification period and previously certified households that have not received benefits for at least 30 days.

Legal Immigrants. All immigrants legally residing in the United States, including all permanent resident aliens, refugees, asylees, and deportees. See also Other Aliens, Permanent Resident Aliens, and Refugees.

Married-Couple Household. Household with two or more persons age 18 or older and at least one spouse.

Maximum Benefit. Based on 100 percent of the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan in the preceding June for a reference family of four, rounded to the lowest dollar increment. Maximum benefit varies from the Continental U.S. in Alaska, Hawaii, Guam and the Virgin Islands. See Appendix G.

Medical Deduction. Deduction available to households that contain elderly or disabled members, equal to all medical expenses incurred by the elderly or disabled person that exceed $\$ 35$. See page 4. See also Deductions.

Minimum Benefit. $\$ 10$ for one- or two-person households.

Multiple-Adult Household. Household with two or more persons age 18 or older.

Net Income. Total monthly income of household in dollars, after applying deductions.

Net Income Limit. FSP monthly net income eligibility standard, determined by household size. See Appendix E.

Nonelderly Adults. Adults age 18 to 59.

Not Employed. Not working and not looking for work, and therefore not part of the labor force.

Other Alien. A nonimmigrant admitted for a specified period, a Mexican citizen with a "border" card, an undocumented alien, or an alien permanently residing
in the United States under color of law. See also Legal Immigrants, Permanent Resident Alien, Refugee.

Permanent Resident Alien. An immigrant lawfully admitted for permanent resident status. See also Legal Immigrants, Other Alien, Refugee.

Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA). This act disqualified many permanent resident aliens and ablebodied adults from the Food Stamp Program.

Poverty Guideline. The poverty guidelines used in fiscal year 1999 were issued by the Department of Health and Human Services and published in the 1998 Federal Register. Dividing these guidelines by 12 yields the monthly net income limits for the FSP. The Bureau of the Census establishes other poverty thresholds which are used primarily for statistical purposes. See Appendix D.

Preschool-Age Children. Children less than 5 years old.

Public Assistance. Includes Temporary Assistance to Needy Families and General Assistance.

Refugee. An alien accorded refugee status, an alien granted political asylum, or an alien granted a stay of deportation. See also Legal Immigrants, Permanent Resident Alien, Other Alien.

Resource Limit. For most households the resource limit was $\$ 2,000$ in fiscal year 1999. Households with at least one member age 60 or older were allowed up to $\$ 3,000$ of resources. See also Countable Resources.

Rural. A household is considered to be located in a rural area if the county in which its local food stamp agency is located is not in a Metropolitan Statistical Area as defined by the Census Bureau.

School-Age Children. Children ages 5 to 17.
Shelter Deduction. See Excess Shelter Deduction.

Single-Adult Household. Food stamp household with one person age 18 or older and no spouse.

Standard Deduction. Deduction received by all households which varies by area to reflect price differences among areas. See Appendix F. See also Deductions.

Student. Participant age 18 or older enrolled at least half time in a recognized school, training program, or institution of higher education.

Thrifty Food Plan. Market basket of goods based on an economical and nutritious diet, adjusted for household size and composition. Used to determine maximum food stamp benefit amounts.

Total Deduction. Includes earned income, child support payment, dependent-care, excess shelter, medical, and standard deductions to which the FSP household is entitled. In some cases this exceeds the amount deducted from gross income because net income cannot be less than zero. See also Deductions.

Unearned Income. Includes Temporary Assis tance to Needy Families, General Assistance, Supplemental Security Income, Social Security, Unemployment Income, Veterans' Benefits, Workers' Compensation, Other Government Benefits, Household Contributions, Household Deemed Income, Educational Loans, Child Support Enforcement Payments, and other unearned income.

Unemployed. Not working but looking for work, and therefore part of the labor force.

Urban. A household is considered to be located in an urban area if the county in which its local food stamp agency is located is in a Metropolitan Statistical Area as defined by the Census Bureau.

## Work Registration Status Definitions and Notes

Required to register for work. Able-bodied food stamp household heads required to register for work under the FSP or JOBS.

Exempt from work registration. Food stamp household heads not required to register for work for one or more of the reasons listed below.

Under the required age. Less than age 18. (However, the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, requires 16 - and 17 -year-olds who are household heads and who are not in school or otherwise exempt to register for work.)

Over the required age. Age 60 or over.

Caretaker. For the FSP, this exemption includes both caretakers of children under 6 and caretakers of children under 18 when
another able-bodied parent is registered for work or exempted because of employment; for the TANF program, this exemption applies to caretakers of children under age 3 (or age 1 as a state option).

Employed full time. Employed at least 30 hours per week or receiving weekly earnings equal to or greater than the federal minimum wage multiplied by 30 hours.

Student. Enrolled at least half time in a recognized school, training program, or institution of higher education.

Program not offered. This exemption applies only to the TANF program. States may exempt household heads from participation in FSP E\&T programs for this reason, but not from FSP work registration.

## APPENDIX A

DETAILED TABLES OF FOOD STAMP HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS

Table A-1. Distribution of Participating Households, Persons, and Benefits by Household Composition, Income Source, and Food Stamp Benefit Amount

| Household Characteristic | Food Stamp Households |  | Participants in Households With Household Characteristic |  | Monthly Food Stamp Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dollars } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total ...................................................... | 7,670 | 100.0 | 18,149 | 100.0 | 1,240,988 | 100.0 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 4,275 | 55.7 | 14,315 | 78.9 | 1,000,055 | 80.6 |
| School Age | 3,273 | 42.7 | 11,796 | 65.0 | 798,052 | 64.3 |
| Preschool Age ..................................... | 2,221 | 29.0 | 7,838 | 43.2 | 566,584 | 45.7 |
| No Children ........................................... | 3,395 | 44.3 | 3,834 | 21.1 | 240,932 | 19.4 |
| Elderly Persons ..................................... | 1,543 | 20.1 | 2,030 | 11.2 | 94,545 | 7.6 |
| No Elderly Persons ................................ | 6,127 | 79.9 | 16,118 | 88.8 | 1,146,442 | 92.4 |
| Disabled Persons .................................... | 2,031 | 26.5 | 4,056 | 22.3 | 202,461 | 16.3 |
| No Disabled Persons .............................. | 5,639 | 73.5 | 14,093 | 77.7 | 1,038,527 | 83.7 |
| Locality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 5,804 | 75.7 | 13,684 | 75.4 | 950,461 | 76.6 |
| Rural ..................................................... | 1,865 | 24.3 | 4,463 | 24.6 | 290,359 | 23.4 |
| Income Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income ......................................... | 7,021 | 91.5 | 16,983 | 93.6 | 1,107,845 | 89.3 |
| No Gross Income .................................. | 649 | 8.5 | 1,166 | 6.4 | 133,143 | 10.7 |
| Net Income .. | 6,090 | 79.4 | 15,145 | 83.5 | 899,961 | 72.5 |
| No Net Income ...................................... | 1,580 | 20.6 | 3,004 | 16.5 | 341,026 | 27.5 |
| Earned Income ... | 2,058 | 26.8 | 6,775 | 37.3 | 398,758 | 32.1 |
| No Earned Income ................................ | 5,612 | 73.2 | 11,374 | 62.7 | 842,230 | 67.9 |
| Unearned Income . | 6,069 | 79.1 | 13,958 | 76.9 | 910,725 | 73.4 |
| No Unearned Income .............................. | 1,601 | 20.9 | 4,191 | 23.1 | 330,263 | 26.6 |
| TANF Income ........................................ | 2,096 | 27.3 | 6,784 | 37.4 | 490,871 | 39.6 |
| No TANF Income .................................. | 5,574 | 72.7 | 11,364 | 62.6 | 750,117 | 60.4 |
| GA Income ............................................ | 435 | 5.7 | 590 | 3.3 | 50,198 | 4.0 |
| No GA Income ...................................... | 7,235 | 94.3 | 17,558 | 96.7 | 1,190,789 | 96.0 |
| SSI | 2,315 | 30.2 | 4,191 | 23.1 | 208,147 | 16.8 |
| No SSI ................................................. | 5,355 | 69.8 | 13,958 | 76.9 | 1,032,840 | 83.2 |
| Social Security Income ........................... | 1,897 | 24.7 | 3,069 | 16.9 | 140,550 | 11.3 |
| No Social Security Income ..................... | 5,773 | 75.3 | 15,080 | 83.1 | 1,100,438 | 88.7 |
| Gross Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No income ............................................. | 649 | 8.5 | 1,166 | 6.4 | 133,143 | 10.7 |
| >0-50\% ................................................ | 1,998 | 26.1 | 5,842 | 32.2 | 549,396 | 44.3 |
| 51-100 ................................................ | 4,200 | 54.8 | 9,200 | 50.7 | 510,679 | 41.2 |
|  | 823 | 10.7 | 1,940 | 10.7 | 47,770 | 3.8 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Benefit ................................... | 743 | 9.7 | 837 | 4.6 | 7,425 | 0.6 |
| Maximum Benefit .................................. | 1,584 | 20.6 | 3,009 | 16.6 | 341,714 | 27.5 |

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-2. Average Gross and Net Income, Total Deduction, Countable Resources, Food Stamp Benefit, Household Size, and Certification Period of Participating Households by Household Composition, Income Source, and Food Stamp Benefit Amount

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Average Monthly Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Gross Income (Dollars) | Net Income (Dollars) | Total Deduction (Dollars) | Countable <br> Resources (Dollars) | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) | Household Size (Persons) | Certification Period (Months) |
| Total | 7,670 | 100.0 | 603 | 338 | 299 | 142 | 162 | 2.4 | 9.7 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 4,275 | 55.7 | 701 | 412 | 319 | 124 | 234 | 3.3 | 8.2 |
| School Age | 3,273 | 42.7 | 753 | 457 | 323 | 132 | 244 | 3.6 | 8.3 |
| Preschool Age .... | 2,221 | 29.0 | 684 | 395 | 321 | 117 | 255 | 3.5 | 7.9 |
| No Children .............. | 3,395 | 44.3 | 481 | 246 | 273 | 165 | 71 | 1.1 | 11.7 |
| Elderly Persons .................. | 1,543 | 20.1 | 605 | 344 | 277 | 245 | 61 | 1.3 | 12.9 |
| No Elderly Persons .............. | 6,127 | 79.9 | 603 | 337 | 304 | 116 | 187 | 2.6 | 8.9 |
| Disabled Persons .............. | 2,031 | 26.5 | 698 | 427 | 281 | 136 | 100 | 2.0 | 11.5 |
| No Disabled Persons ........... | 5,639 | 73.5 | 569 | 306 | 305 | 145 | 184 | 2.5 | 9.1 |
| Locality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban ............................... | 5,804 | 75.7 | 601 | 328 | 307 | 127 | 164 | 2.4 | 9.8 |
| Rural ............................... | 1,865 | 24.3 | 612 | 371 | 272 | 188 | 156 | 2.4 | 9.6 |
| Income Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income ..................... | 7,021 | 91.5 | 659 | 370 | 306 | 149 | 158 | 2.4 | 10.0 |
| No Gross Income ............... | 649 | 8.5 | 0 | 0 | 221 | 65 | 205 | 1.8 | 6.6 |
| Net Income .......... | 6,090 | 79.4 | 716 | 426 | 290 | 160 | 148 | 2.5 | 10.3 |
| No Net Income .................. | 1,580 | 20.6 | 168 | 0 | 332 | 73 | 216 | 1.9 | 7.6 |
| Earned Income ................... | 2,058 | 26.8 | 917 | 529 | 400 | 166 | 194 | 3.3 | 7.5 |
| No Earned Income ............... | 5,612 | 73.2 | 489 | 268 | 261 | 134 | 150 | 2.0 | 10.5 |
| Unearned Income ............... | 6,069 | 79.1 | 629 | 358 | 286 | 149 | 150 | 2.3 | 10.5 |
| No Unearned Income .......... | 1,601 | 20.9 | 507 | 262 | 347 | 115 | 206 | 2.6 | 6.7 |
| TANF Income | 2,096 | 27.3 | 643 | 379 | 276 | 97 | 234 | 3.2 | 9.4 |
| No TANF Income ................ | 5,574 | 72.7 | 588 | 323 | 307 | 159 | 135 | 2.0 | 9.8 |
| GA Income ....................... | 435 | 5.7 | 409 | 172 | 277 | 70 | 115 | 1.4 | 11.1 |
| No GA Income .................. | 7,235 | 94.3 | 615 | 348 | 300 | 147 | 165 | 2.4 | 9.6 |
| TANF or GA Income .......... | 2,520 | 32.9 | 602 | 342 | 276 | 91 | 214 | 2.9 | 9.7 |
| No TANF or GA Income ....... | 5,150 | 67.1 | 604 | 336 | 310 | 167 | 136 | 2.1 | 9.7 |
| SSI .................................... | 2,315 | 30.2 | 660 | 394 | 275 | 135 | 90 | 1.8 | 12.2 |
| No SSI ............................... | 5,355 | 69.8 | 579 | 314 | 309 | 145 | 193 | 2.6 | 8.7 |
| Social Security Income .......... | 1,897 | 24.7 | 668 | 401 | 276 | 235 | 74 | 1.6 | 12.2 |
| No Social Security Income ... | 5,773 | 75.3 | 582 | 318 | 306 | 112 | 191 | 2.6 | 8.9 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Benefit ................. | 743 | 9.7 | 703 | 524 | 180 | 272 | 10 | 1.1 | 12.9 |
| Maximum Benefit ................ | 1,584 | 20.6 | 169 | 0 | 332 | 73 | 216 | 1.9 | 7.6 |

[^7]Table A-3. Distribution of Participating Households With Children, Elderly Persons, and Elderly or Disabled Persons by Amount of Gross and Net Income, Countable Resources, and Gross and Net Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly |  | Elderly or Disabled |  |
|  |  |  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ................................ | 7,670 | 100.0 | 4,275 | 100.0 | 1,543 | 100.0 | 3,302 | 100.0 |
| Gross Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 | 649 | 8.5 | 273 | 6.4 | 22 | 1.4 | 26 | 0.8 |
| 1-199 | 387 | 5.0 | 233 | 5.4 | 15 | 1.0 | 25 | 0.7 |
| 200-399 ... | 998 | 13.0 | 640 | 15.0 | 69 | 4.5 | 119 | 3.6 |
| 400-599 .... | 2,462 | 32.1 | 814 | 19.0 | 843 | 54.6 | 1,708 | 51.7 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 1,344 | 17.5 | 723 | 16.9 | 401 | 26.0 | 787 | 23.8 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 761 | 9.9 | 589 | 13.8 | 131 | 8.5 | 317 | 9.6 |
| 1,000+ ........................... | 1,070 | 13.9 | 1,004 | 23.5 | 61 | 4.0 | 321 | 9.7 |
| Net Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ........ | 1,580 | 20.6 | 759 | 17.8 | 137 | 8.9 | 237 | 7.2 |
| 1-199 | 1,483 | 19.3 | 800 | 18.7 | 257 | 16.6 | 564 | 17.1 |
| 200-399 | 2,022 | 26.4 | 809 | 18.9 | 651 | 42.2 | 1,294 | 39.2 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 1,194 | 15.6 | 716 | 16.8 | 315 | 20.4 | 630 | 19.1 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 671 | 8.7 | 517 | 12.1 | 129 | 8.4 | 294 | 8.9 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 367 | 4.8 | 329 | 7.7 | 35 | 2.3 | 143 | 4.3 |
| 1,000+ ........................... | 353 | 4.6 | 344 | 8.1 | 18 | 1.2 | 140 | 4.2 |
| Countable Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ................................... | 5,195 | 67.7 | 2,978 | 69.7 | 872 | 56.5 | 2,013 | 61.0 |
| 1-500 | 1,679 | 21.9 | 919 | 21.5 | 397 | 25.7 | 839 | 25.4 |
| 501-1,000 ....................... | 416 | 5.4 | 189 | 4.4 | 142 | 9.2 | 246 | 7.5 |
| 1,001-1,500 .................... | 183 | 2.4 | 89 | 2.1 | 62 | 4.0 | 99 | 3.0 |
| 1,501-1,750 ..................... | 68 | 0.9 | 37 | 0.9 | 20 | 1.3 | 30 | 0.9 |
| 1,751-2,000 ..................... | 50 | 0.7 | 29 | 0.7 | 14 | 0.9 | 25 | 0.8 |
| 2,001-3,000 ..................... | 35 | 0.5 | 5 | 0.1 | 28 | 1.8 | 31 | 1.0 |
| 3,001+ ........................... | 12 | 0.2 | 9 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 |
| Gross Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Gross Income .............. | 649 | 8.5 | 273 | 6.4 | 22 | 1.4 | 26 | 0.8 |
| 1-25\% ............................ | 609 | 7.9 | 473 | 11.1 | 13 | 0.9 | 25 | 0.8 |
| 26-50. | 1,389 | 18.1 | 1,116 | 26.1 | 63 | 4.1 | 193 | 5.8 |
| 51-75 | 1,961 | 25.6 | 1,189 | 27.8 | 309 | 20.0 | 965 | 29.2 |
| 76-100 | 2,239 | 29.2 | 786 | 18.4 | 905 | 58.7 | 1,647 | 49.9 |
| 101-125 | 701 | 9.1 | 394 | 9.2 | 196 | 12.7 | 371 | 11.2 |
| 126-130. | 51 | 0.7 | 31 | 0.7 | 10 | 0.6 | 19 | 0.6 |
| 131-150 .......................... | 49 | 0.6 | 7 | 0.2 | 21 | 1.3 | 41 | 1.2 |
| 151+ .............................. | 22 | 0.3 | 7 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.2 | 15 | 0.5 |
| Net Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Net Income ................. | 1,580 | 20.6 | 759 | 17.8 | 137 | 8.9 | 237 | 7.2 |
| 1-25\% ............................. | 1,774 | 23.1 | 1,194 | 27.9 | 223 | 14.5 | 513 | 15.5 |
| 26-50 .............................. | 2,008 | 26.2 | 1,190 | 27.8 | 424 | 27.5 | 1,024 | 31.0 |
| 51-75 ............................. | 1,758 | 22.9 | 814 | 19.0 | 604 | 39.2 | 1,185 | 35.9 |
| 76-100 ............................ | 513 | 6.7 | 308 | 7.2 | 147 | 9.5 | 316 | 9.6 |
| 101-125 .......................... | 24 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.4 | 19 | 0.6 |
| 126-130 .......................... | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 131-150 .......................... | 3 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 151+ .............................. | 7 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 |

[^8]Table A-4. Distribution of Participating Households by Household Size and Amount of Gross and Net Income, Countable Resources, and Gross and Net Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 6+ |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total . | 7,670 | 100.0 | 3,130 | 100.0 | 1,605 | 100.0 | 1,274 | 100.0 | 879 | 100.0 | 444 | 100.0 | 338 | 100.0 |
| Gross Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 .......................... | 649 | 8.5 | 386 | 12.3 | 115 | 7.2 | 79 | 6.2 | 42 | 4.8 | 17 | 3.9 | 9 | 2.7 |
| 1-199. | 387 | 5.0 | 166 | 5.3 | 105 | 6.6 | 75 | 5.9 | 26 | 2.9 | 10 | 2.3 | 4 | 1.3 |
| 200-399 .................... | 998 | 13.0 | 394 | 12.6 | 282 | 17.5 | 176 | 13.8 | 97 | 11.0 | 31 | 7.1 | 18 | 5.3 |
| 400-599 .................... | 2,462 | 32.1 | 1,589 | 50.8 | 418 | 26.0 | 249 | 19.5 | 127 | 14.5 | 52 | 11.7 | 28 | 8.3 |
| 600-799 .................... | 1,344 | 17.5 | 488 | 15.6 | 357 | 22.3 | 253 | 19.9 | 153 | 17.5 | 54 | 12.1 | 38 | 11.3 |
| 800-999 .................... | 761 | 9.9 | 89 | 2.8 | 208 | 13.0 | 204 | 16.0 | 135 | 15.3 | 71 | 15.9 | 54 | 16.0 |
| 1,000+ ...................... | 1,070 | 13.9 | 17 | 0.5 | 120 | 7.5 | 239 | 18.7 | 299 | 34.0 | 208 | 47.0 | 186 | 55.2 |
| Net Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ......... | 1,580 | 20.6 | 836 | 26.7 | 343 | 21.3 | 220 | 17.3 | 118 | 13.4 | 43 | 9.6 | 21 | 6.1 |
| 1-199 | 1,483 | 19.3 | 679 | 21.7 | 377 | 23.5 | 247 | 19.4 | 111 | 12.7 | 47 | 10.5 | 23 | 6.7 |
| 200-399 .................... | 2,022 | 26.4 | 1,153 | 36.8 | 359 | 22.4 | 266 | 20.9 | 149 | 17.0 | 57 | 12.9 | 37 | 10.9 |
| 400-599 .................... | 1,194 | 15.6 | 397 | 12.7 | 280 | 17.5 | 237 | 18.6 | 166 | 18.9 | 68 | 15.4 | 45 | 13.4 |
| 600-799 .................... | 671 | 8.7 | 56 | 1.8 | 188 | 11.7 | 175 | 13.7 | 131 | 14.9 | 67 | 15.1 | 53 | 15.8 |
| 800-999 .................... | 367 | 4.8 | 5 | 0.2 | 48 | 3.0 | 108 | 8.5 | 110 | 12.5 | 53 | 11.9 | 43 | 12.8 |
| 1,000+ ...................... | 353 | 4.6 | 4 | 0.1 | 10 | 0.6 | 22 | 1.8 | 93 | 10.6 | 109 | 24.5 | 116 | 34.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ........................... | 5,195 | 67.7 | 2,111 | 67.5 | 1,129 | 70.4 | 880 | 69.1 | 573 | 65.1 | 291 | 65.6 | 210 | 62.1 |
| 1-500 ....................... | 1,679 | 21.9 | 662 | 21.2 | 333 | 20.8 | 290 | 22.7 | 216 | 24.6 | 97 | 21.8 | 80 | 23.7 |
| 501-1,000 ................. | 416 | 5.4 | 198 | 6.3 | 71 | 4.4 | 48 | 3.8 | 46 | 5.2 | 29 | 6.6 | 23 | 6.8 |
| 1,001-1,500 ............... | 183 | 2.4 | 78 | 2.5 | 32 | 2.0 | 27 | 2.1 | 21 | 2.4 | 12 | 2.8 | 12 | 3.5 |
| 1,501-1,750 .............. | 68 | 0.9 | 25 | 0.8 | 14 | 0.9 | 8 | 0.7 | 7 | 0.8 | 7 | 1.5 | 7 | 1.9 |
| 1,751-2,000 .............. | 50 | 0.7 | 17 | 0.5 | 7 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.8 | 8 | 0.9 | 5 | 1.2 | 2 | 0.6 |
| 2,001-3,000 ............... | 35 | 0.5 | 24 | 0.8 | 6 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.5 |
| 3,001 + ..................... | 12 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.3 |
| Gross Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Gross Income ....... | 649 | 8.5 | 386 | 12.3 | 115 | 7.2 | 79 | 6.2 | 42 | 4.8 | 17 | 3.9 | 9 | 2.7 |
| 1-25\% .................... | 609 | 7.9 | 140 | 4.5 | 148 | 9.2 | 141 | 11.1 | 102 | 11.6 | 44 | 9.9 | 34 | 10.2 |
| 26-50 | 1,389 | 18.1 | 290 | 9.3 | 334 | 20.8 | 319 | 25.0 | 225 | 25.6 | 110 | 24.8 | 111 | 32.8 |
| 51-75 ...................... | 1,961 | 25.6 | 705 | 22.5 | 438 | 27.3 | 357 | 28.0 | 239 | 27.2 | 129 | 29.0 | 93 | 27.6 |
| 76-100 ..................... | 2,239 | 29.2 | 1,293 | 41.3 | 373 | 23.2 | 245 | 19.2 | 177 | 20.1 | 90 | 20.2 | 63 | 18.6 |
| 101-125 .................... | 701 | 9.1 | 245 | 7.8 | 168 | 10.5 | 125 | 9.8 | 87 | 9.9 | 50 | 11.2 | 25 | 7.4 |
| 126-130 .................... | 51 | 0.7 | 18 | 0.6 | 13 | 0.8 | 8 | 0.6 | 6 | 0.7 | 4 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.7 |
| 131-150 ................... | 49 | 0.6 | 38 | 1.2 | 8 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 |
| 151+ ....................... | 22 | 0.3 | 14 | 0.5 | 8 | 0.5 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - |
| Net Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Net Income .......... | 1,580 | 20.6 | 836 | 26.7 | 343 | 21.3 | 220 | 17.3 | 118 | 13.4 | 43 | 9.6 | 21 | 6.1 |
| 1-25\% ..................... | 1,774 | 23.1 | 556 | 17.8 | 436 | 27.2 | 374 | 29.4 | 218 | 24.8 | 107 | 24.1 | 83 | 24.6 |
| 26-50 ....................... | 2,008 | 26.2 | 748 | 23.9 | 382 | 23.8 | 352 | 27.6 | 270 | 30.8 | 137 | 30.9 | 119 | 35.1 |
| 51-75 ....................... | 1,758 | 22.9 | 820 | 26.2 | 313 | 19.5 | 242 | 19.0 | 194 | 22.1 | 108 | 24.3 | 80 | 23.7 |
| 76-100 ..................... | 513 | 6.7 | 149 | 4.7 | 117 | 7.3 | 86 | 6.7 | 78 | 8.8 | 49 | 11.1 | 34 | 10.2 |
| 101-125 ................... | 24 | 0.3 | 14 | 0.5 | 9 | 0.5 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 |
| 126-130 .................... | 2 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 131-150 ................... | 3 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 151+ ........................ | 7 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

[^9]Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-5. Average Gross and Net Income, Average Gross and Net Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline, and Average Countable Resources of Participating Households by Household Composition and Size

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Average Monthly Values |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Gross Income (Dollars) | Net Income (Dollars) | Gross Income <br> as a <br> Percentage of Poverty Guideline (Percent) | Net Incomeas aPercentage ofPovertyGuideline(Percent) | Countable Resources (Dollars) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Over All <br> Households | Over <br> Households With Countable Resources |
| Total ......................................... | 7,670 | 100.0 | 603 | 338 | 61.9 | 33.3 | 142 | 445 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 4,275 | 55.7 | 701 | 412 | 56.9 | 32.4 | 124 | 413 |
| School Age ............................. | 3,273 | 42.7 | 753 | 457 | 58.7 | 34.6 | 132 | 419 |
| Preschool Age ......................... | 2,221 | 29.0 | 684 | 395 | 53.4 | 29.6 | 117 | 420 |
| No Children .............................. | 3,395 | 44.3 | 481 | 246 | 68.2 | 34.5 | 165 | 479 |
| Elderly or Disabled <br> Persons | 3,302 | 43.1 | 658 | 390 | 80.0 | 45.3 | 184 | 476 |
| No Elderly or Disabled Persons .. | 4,367 | 56.9 | 562 | 299 | 48.2 | 24.2 | 110 | 411 |
| Elderly Persons ......................... | 1,543 | 20.1 | 605 | 344 | 81.6 | 45.4 | 245 | 567 |
| No Elderly Persons .................... | 6,127 | 79.9 | 603 | 337 | 56.9 | 30.3 | 116 | 399 |
| Disabled Persons ....................... | 2,031 | 26.5 | 698 | 427 | 79.0 | 45.6 | 136 | 388 |
| No Disabled Persons .................. | 5,639 | 73.5 | 569 | 306 | 55.7 | 28.9 | 145 | 468 |
| Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 .............................................. | 3,130 | 40.8 | 443 | 215 | 66.0 | 32.1 | 151 | 469 |
| 2 ............................................ | 1,605 | 20.9 | 548 | 292 | 60.4 | 32.2 | 127 | 434 |
| 3 ........................................... | 1,274 | 16.6 | 654 | 363 | 57.4 | 31.8 | 117 | 383 |
| 4 ............................................. | 879 | 11.5 | 808 | 496 | 58.8 | 36.1 | 139 | 404 |
| 5 ............................................. | 444 | 5.8 | 978 | 636 | 60.8 | 39.6 | 174 | 508 |
| 6 ............................................. | 211 | 2.8 | 1,093 | 756 | 59.4 | 41.1 | 189 | 486 |
| 7 ............................................. | 73 | 0.9 | 1,132 | 817 | 54.4 | 39.3 | 161 | 486 |
| 8+ ........................................... | 54 | 0.7 | 1,304 | 983 | 52.0 | 39.1 | 230 | 607 |

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-6. Distribution of Participating Households With Children, Elderly Persons, and Elderly or Disabled Persons by Type of Income

| Type of Income | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Percent | Children |  | Elderly |  | Elderly or Disabled |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total | 7,670 | 100.0 | 4,275 | 100.0 | 1,543 | 100.0 | 3,302 | 100.0 |
| Earned Income | 2,058 | 26.8 | 1,782 | 41.7 | 51 | 3.3 | 241 | 7.3 |
| Wages and Salaries | 1,914 | 25.0 | 1,676 | 39.2 | 37 | 2.4 | 212 | 6.4 |
| Self-Employment ......................................... | 130 | 1.7 | 98 | 2.3 | 11 | 0.7 | 21 | 0.6 |
| Other Earned Income | 41 | 0.5 | 32 | 0.7 | 4 | 0.2 | 10 | 0.3 |
| Unearned Income ......................................... | 6,069 | 79.1 | 3,192 | 74.7 | 1,507 | 97.7 | 3,260 | 98.7 |
| TANF | 2,096 | 27.3 | 2,052 | 48.0 | 61 | 3.9 | 412 | 12.5 |
| General Assistance | 435 | 5.7 | 53 | 1.2 | 76 | 4.9 | 145 | 4.4 |
| Supplemental Security Income ...................... | 2,315 | 30.2 | 623 | 14.6 | 910 | 59.0 | 2,315 | 70.1 |
| Social Security | 1,897 | 24.7 | 393 | 9.2 | 1,082 | 70.1 | 1,782 | 54.0 |
| Unemployment Income ................................. | 115 | 1.5 | 93 | 2.2 | 3 | 0.2 | 8 | 0.2 |
| Veterans' Benefits ........................................ | 113 | 1.5 | 18 | 0.4 | 72 | 4.7 | 98 | 3.0 |
| Workers' Compensation | 27 | 0.4 | 16 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.2 |
| Other Government Benefits .......................... | 51 | 0.7 | 19 | 0.5 | 22 | 1.4 | 39 | 1.2 |
| Household Contributions | 263 | 3.4 | 211 | 4.9 | 18 | 1.2 | 42 | 1.3 |
| Household Deemed Income | 3 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Educational Loans ..... | 7 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Child Support Enforcement Payments ............. | 490 | 6.4 | 484 | 11.3 | 10 | 0.7 | 88 | 2.7 |
| Other Unearned Income ................................ | 508 | 6.6 | 278 | 6.5 | 161 | 10.5 | 243 | 7.4 |
| TANF or GA Income | 2,520 | 32.9 | 2,095 | 49.0 | 136 | 8.8 | 552 | 16.7 |
| TANF and Earnings ...................................... | 491 | 6.4 | 488 | 11.4 | 5 | 0.3 | 38 | 1.2 |
| TANF and SSI ..... | 362 | 4.7 | 355 | 8.3 | 36 | 2.3 | 362 | 11.0 |
| TANF or SSI or GA | 4,381 | 57.1 | 2,352 | 55.0 | 972 | 63.0 | 2,412 | 73.0 |
| (TANF or SSI or GA) and Earnings ................ | 653 | 8.5 | 569 | 13.3 | 23 | 1.5 | 181 | 5.5 |
| TANF and Child Support | 118 | 1.5 | 117 | 2.7 | 4 | 0.2 | 30 | 0.9 |
| SSI and Social Security ................................ | 928 | 12.1 | 166 | 3.9 | 544 | 35.3 | 928 | 28.1 |
| SSI or Social Security .................................. | 3,283 | 42.8 | 850 | 19.9 | 1,447 | 93.8 | 3,169 | 96.0 |
| SSI and Earnings ......................................... | 174 | 2.3 | 103 | 2.4 | 19 | 1.3 | 174 | 5.3 |
| GA and Earnings ......................................... | 24 | 0.3 | 12 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 |
| Earnings and Child Support ........................... | 214 | 2.8 | 213 | 5.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 13 | 0.4 |
| No Income .................................................. | 649 | 8.5 | 273 | 6.4 | 22 | 1.4 | 26 | 0.8 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The sum of individual income sources does not add to the total because households can receive income from more than one source.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-7. Average Income, Total Deduction, Food Stamp Benefit, and Household Size of Participating Households by Type of Income

| Type of Income | Total Households |  | Average Monthly Values |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Percent | Gross Income (Dollars) | Net Income (Dollars) | Income ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> Source <br> (Dollars) | Total Deduction (Dollars) | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) | Household Size (Persons) |
| Total | 7,670 | 100.0 | 603 | 338 | - | 299 | 162 | 2.4 |
| Earned Income | 2,058 | 26.8 | 917 | 529 | 736 | 400 | 194 | 3.3 |
| Wages and Salaries | 1,914 | 25.0 | 933 | 541 | 755 | 404 | 192 | 3.3 |
| Self-Employment ... | 130 | 1.7 | 719 | 386 | 391 | 364 | 239 | 3.3 |
| Other Earned Income | 41 | 0.5 | 847 | 505 | 459 | 356 | 173 | 2.9 |
| Unearned Income | 6,069 | 79.1 | 629 | 358 | 513 | 286 | 150 | 2.3 |
| TANF | 2,096 | 27.3 | 643 | 379 | 374 | 276 | 234 | 3.2 |
| General Assistance | 435 | 5.7 | 409 | 172 | 223 | 277 | 115 | 1.4 |
| Supplemental Security Income | 2,315 | 30.2 | 660 | 394 | 395 | 275 | 90 | 1.8 |
| Social Security | 1,897 | 24.7 | 668 | 401 | 503 | 276 | 74 | 1.6 |
| Unemployment Income | 115 | 1.5 | 790 | 524 | 531 | 277 | 191 | 3.2 |
| Veterans' Benefits ... | 113 | 1.5 | 667 | 416 | 251 | 261 | 67 | 1.5 |
| Workers' Compensation | 27 | 0.4 | 790 | 502 | 521 | 294 | 155 | 2.8 |
| Other Government Benefits | 51 | 0.7 | 651 | 410 | 318 | 257 | 121 | 2.1 |
| Household Contributions | 263 | 3.4 | 623 | 346 | 199 | 307 | 211 | 2.9 |
| Household Deemed Income | 3 | 0.0 | 640 | 369 | 306 | 296 | 148 | 2.1 |
| Educational Loans . | 7 | 0.1 | 651 | 426 | 247 | 255 | 190 | 2.9 |
| Child Support Enforcement Payments | 490 | 6.4 | 783 | 487 | 227 | 310 | 232 | 3.6 |
| Other Unearned Income | 508 | 6.6 | 715 | 421 | 155 | 312 | 141 | 2.4 |
| TANF or GA Income | 2,520 | 32.9 | 602 | 342 | 349 | 276 | 214 | 2.9 |
| TANF and Earnings | 491 | 6.4 | 944 | 600 | 888 | 349 | 200 | 3.5 |
| TANF and SSI . | 362 | 4.7 | 932 | 673 | 788 | 261 | 177 | 3.6 |
| TANF or SSI or GA | 4,381 | 57.1 | 604 | 342 | 410 | 277 | 155 | 2.3 |
| (TANF or SSI or GA) and Earnings | 653 | 8.5 | 969 | 621 | 920 | 352 | 179 | 3.4 |
| TANF and Child Support | 118 | 1.5 | 750 | 480 | 470 | 274 | 250 | 3.7 |
| SSI and Social Security .... | 928 | 12.1 | 638 | 387 | 585 | 259 | 67 | 1.5 |
| SSI or Social Security .. | 3,283 | 42.8 | 670 | 400 | 569 | 280 | 87 | 1.8 |
| SSI and Earnings ........ | 174 | 2.3 | 1,116 | 760 | 948 | 358 | 122 | 3.2 |
| GA and Earnings | 24 | 0.3 | 907 | 549 | 746 | 368 | 135 | 2.5 |
| Earnings and Child Support ............. | 214 | 2.8 | 1,040 | 653 | 957 | 390 | 195 | 3.7 |
| No Income | 649 | 8.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 221 | 205 | 1.8 |

[^10]Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-8. Distribution of Participating Households With Children, Elderly Persons, and Elderly or Disabled Persons by Earned and Unearned Income Amounts

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly |  | Elderly or Disabled |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ................................ | 7,670 | 100.0 | 4,275 | 100.0 | 1,543 | 100.0 | 3,302 | 100.0 |
| Earned Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 .................. | 5,612 | 73.2 | 2,493 | 58.3 | 1,492 | 96.7 | 3,061 | 92.7 |
| 1-199 | 246 | 3.2 | 147 | 3.4 | 18 | 1.2 | 70 | 2.1 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 274 | 3.6 | 209 | 4.9 | 12 | 0.8 | 52 | 1.6 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 325 | 4.2 | 273 | 6.4 | 9 | 0.6 | 37 | 1.1 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 352 | 4.6 | 318 | 7.4 | 5 | 0.3 | 26 | 0.8 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 323 | 4.2 | 307 | 7.2 | 3 | 0.2 | 27 | 0.8 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 538 | 7.0 | 528 | 12.4 | 4 | 0.2 | 30 | 0.9 |
| Unearned Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ................................. | 1,601 | 20.9 | 1,082 | 25.3 | 35 | 2.3 | 43 | 1.3 |
| 1-199 ............................. | 683 | 8.9 | 541 | 12.7 | 15 | 1.0 | 29 | 0.9 |
| 200-399 ......................... | 1,167 | 15.2 | 830 | 19.4 | 69 | 4.5 | 131 | 4.0 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 2,467 | 32.2 | 822 | 19.2 | 850 | 55.1 | 1,802 | 54.6 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 1,057 | 13.8 | 489 | 11.4 | 401 | 26.0 | 777 | 23.5 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 415 | 5.4 | 271 | 6.4 | 122 | 7.9 | 301 | 9.1 |
| 1,000+ ........................... | 281 | 3.7 | 239 | 5.6 | 51 | 3.3 | 221 | 6.7 |
| TANF Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ................................. | 5,574 | 72.7 | 2,223 | 52.0 | 1,482 | 96.1 | 2,890 | 87.5 |
| 1-199 ............................. | 445 | 5.8 | 436 | 10.2 | 26 | 1.7 | 143 | 4.3 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 810 | 10.6 | 781 | 18.3 | 22 | 1.4 | 172 | 5.2 |
| 400-599 | 569 | 7.4 | 564 | 13.2 | 6 | 0.4 | 64 | 1.9 |
| 600-799 | 186 | 2.4 | 186 | 4.3 | 4 | 0.3 | 24 | 0.7 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 59 | 0.8 | 59 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.2 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 26 | 0.3 | 26 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 |
| GA Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 .................................. | 7,235 | 94.3 | 4,222 | 98.8 | 1,467 | 95.1 | 3,157 | 95.6 |
| 1-199 ............................. | 178 | 2.3 | 21 | 0.5 | 47 | 3.1 | 99 | 3.0 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 226 | 3.0 | 17 | 0.4 | 24 | 1.6 | 40 | 1.2 |
| 400-599 | 21 | 0.3 | 11 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.1 |
| 600-799 | 5 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 4 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 1,000+ ........................... | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TANF or GA Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 .................................. | 5,150 | 67.1 | 2,180 | 51.0 | 1,407 | 91.2 | 2,750 | 83.3 |
| 1-199 ............................. | 613 | 8.0 | 446 | 10.4 | 72 | 4.7 | 236 | 7.2 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 1,034 | 13.5 | 796 | 18.6 | 46 | 3.0 | 211 | 6.4 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 591 | 7.7 | 576 | 13.5 | 8 | 0.5 | 70 | 2.1 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 192 | 2.5 | 190 | 4.4 | 6 | 0.4 | 26 | 0.8 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 64 | 0.8 | 60 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.2 |
| 1,000+ ........................... | 27 | 0.3 | 27 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 |
| SSI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ................................. | 5,355 | 69.8 | 3,652 | 85.4 | 633 | 41.0 | 988 | 29.9 |
| 1-199 .............................. | 608 | 7.9 | 80 | 1.9 | 365 | 23.7 | 607 | 18.4 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 330 | 4.3 | 62 | 1.4 | 174 | 11.3 | 330 | 10.0 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 1,196 | 15.6 | 397 | 9.3 | 300 | 19.4 | 1,196 | 36.2 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 90 | 1.2 | 23 | 0.5 | 49 | 3.2 | 90 | 2.7 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 43 | 0.6 | 23 | 0.5 | 15 | 1.0 | 43 | 1.3 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 48 | 0.6 | 37 | 0.9 | 6 | 0.4 | 48 | 1.5 |

Table A-9. Distribution of Participating Households by Type of Deduction and Household Composition, Income Source, and Food Stamp Benefit Amount

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Type of Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number(000) | Percent | Earned Income |  | Dependent Care |  |  | Excess Shelter |  |  | Medical |  | Child Support |  |
|  |  |  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Percent With Maximum ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Percent With Maximum ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ................................... | 7,670 | 100.0 | 2,055 | 26.8 | 318 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 4,690 | 61.1 | 17.6 | 324 | 4.2 | 66 | 0.9 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 4,275 | 100.0 | 1,781 | 41.7 | 311 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 2,588 | 60.5 | 26.3 | 36 | 0.8 | 46 | 1.1 |
| School Age | 3,273 | 100.0 | 1,379 | 42.1 | 209 | 6.4 | 2.7 | 1,964 | 60.0 | 26.3 | 30 | 0.9 | 36 | 1.1 |
| Preschool Age .................. | 2,221 | 100.0 | 964 | 43.4 | 218 | 9.8 | 5.0 | 1,316 | 59.3 | 27.6 | 10 | 0.4 | 24 | 1.1 |
| No Children ....................... | 3,395 | 100.0 | 273 | 8.1 | 7 | 0.2 | - | 2,102 | 61.9 | 7.0 | 288 | 8.5 | 20 | 0.6 |
| Elderly Persons .................... | 1,543 | 100.0 | 51 | 3.3 | 3 | 0.2 | - | 925 | 59.9 | 0.3 | 219 | 14.2 | 4 | 0.3 |
| No Elderly Persons .............. | 6,127 | 100.0 | 2,004 | 32.7 | 315 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 3,765 | 61.5 | 21.9 | 105 | 1.7 | 62 | 1.0 |
| Disabled Persons ................. | 2,031 | 100.0 | 199 | 9.8 | 20 | 1.0 | 4.1 | 1,278 | 62.9 | 0.1 | 124 | 6.1 | 19 | 1.0 |
| No Disabled Persons ........... | 5,639 | 100.0 | 1,856 | 32.9 | 299 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 3,412 | 60.5 | 24.2 | 200 | 3.5 | 47 | 0.8 |
| Income Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income ...................... | 7,021 | 100.0 | 2,055 | 29.3 | 314 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 4,426 | 63.0 | 16.0 | 323 | 4.6 | 64 | 0.9 |
| No Gross Income ................ | 649 | 100.0 | - | - | 4 | 0.6 | 2.7 | 264 | 40.7 | 45.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.3 |
| Net Income ......................... | 6,090 | 100.0 | 1,844 | 30.3 | 278 | 4.6 | 4.5 | 3,598 | 59.1 | 12.2 | 298 | 4.9 | 52 | 0.9 |
| No Net Income ................... | 1,580 | 100.0 | 211 | 13.4 | 40 | 2.6 | 7.3 | 1,092 | 69.1 | 35.5 | 26 | 1.6 | 14 | 0.9 |
| Earned Income ..................... | 2,058 | 100.0 | 2,055 | 99.8 | 290 | 14.1 | 5.0 | 1,166 | 56.7 | 27.0 | 15 | 0.7 | 35 | 1.7 |
| No Earned Income ............... | 5,612 | 100.0 | - | - | 29 | 0.5 | 3.3 | 3,524 | 62.8 | 14.6 | 309 | 5.5 | 31 | 0.6 |
| Unearned Income ................ | 6,069 | 100.0 | 1,103 | 18.2 | 175 | 2.9 | 3.3 | 3,835 | 63.2 | 14.0 | 323 | 5.3 | 46 | 0.8 |
| No Unearned Income .......... | 1,601 | 100.0 | 952 | 59.5 | 144 | 9.0 | 6.7 | 855 | 53.4 | 34.2 | 1 | 0.0 | 20 | 1.2 |
| TANF Income .................... | 2,096 | 100.0 | 491 | 23.4 | 57 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 1,315 | 62.7 | 24.6 | 11 | 0.5 | 10 | 0.5 |
| No TANF Income ................ | 5,574 | 100.0 | 1,564 | 28.0 | 261 | 4.7 | 5.3 | 3,375 | 60.5 | 15.0 | 313 | 5.6 | 56 | 1.0 |
| GA Income ......................... | 435 | 100.0 | 24 | 5.5 | 2 | 0.5 | - | 311 | 71.5 | 21.2 | 3 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.3 |
| No GA Income ................... | 7,235 | 100.0 | 2,031 | 28.1 | 316 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 4,379 | 60.5 | 17.4 | 321 | 4.4 | 65 | 0.9 |
| TANF or GA Income ........... | 2,520 | 100.0 | 513 | 20.4 | 59 | 2.3 | 2.6 | 1,622 | 64.3 | 24.0 | 14 | 0.6 | 11 | 0.5 |
| No TANF or GA Income ..... | 5,150 | 100.0 | 1,541 | 29.9 | 260 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 3,068 | 59.6 | 14.3 | 310 | 6.0 | 55 | 1.1 |
| SSI .................................... | 2,315 | 100.0 | 172 | 7.4 | 18 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 1,447 | 62.5 | 0.2 | 77 | 3.3 | 14 | 0.6 |
| No SSI .............................. | 5,355 | 100.0 | 1,883 | 35.2 | 300 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 3,243 | 60.6 | 25.4 | 247 | 4.6 | 52 | 1.0 |
| Social Security <br> Income | 1,897 | 100.0 | 119 | 6.3 | 14 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 1,142 | 60.2 | 1.3 | 297 | 15.7 | 15 | 0.8 |
| No Social Security Income ... | 5,773 | 100.0 | 1,936 | 33.5 | 304 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 3,548 | 61.5 | 22.9 | 27 | 0.5 | 51 | 0.9 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Benefit ................ | 743 | 100.0 | 89 | 11.9 | 4 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 190 | 25.6 | 0.1 | 90 | 12.2 | 2 | 0.2 |
| Maximum Benefit ............... | 1,584 | 100.0 | 212 | 13.4 | 40 | 2.6 | 7.3 | 1,094 | 69.1 | 35.4 | 26 | 1.6 | 14 | 0.9 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Percent of households with deduction that receive the maximum.

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-10. Average Values of Deductions of Participating Households by Household Composition, Income Source, and Food Stamp Benefit Amount

| Household Characteristic | Total Households (000) | Average Amount of Deduction (Dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Earned Income |  | Dependent Care |  | Excess Shelter |  | Medical |  | Child Support |  |
|  |  | All <br> Households | With Deduction | All <br> Households | With Deduction | All <br> Households | With Deduction | All <br> Households | With Deduction | All <br> Households | With Deduction |
| Total ............................. | 7,670 | 39 | 147 | 5 | 122 | 113 | 184 | 5 | 125 | 2 | 180 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children ....................... | 4,275 | 66 | 158 | 9 | 120 | 107 | 177 | 1 | 122 | 2 | 158 |
| School Age ................. | 3,273 | 69 | 164 | 8 | 127 | 108 | 180 | 1 | 124 | 2 | 153 |
| Preschool Age ............ | 2,221 | 71 | 163 | 12 | 120 | 102 | 172 | 0 | 84 | 2 | 170 |
| No Children .................. | 3,395 | 6 | 75 | 0 | 213 | 120 | 194 | 11 | 125 | 1 | 231 |
| Elderly Persons ............. | 1,543 | 3 | 83 | 0 | 204 | 121 | 203 | 16 | 114 | 2 | 557 |
| No Elderly Persons | 6,127 | 49 | 149 | 6 | 121 | 110 | 180 | 3 | 147 | 2 | 154 |
| Disabled Persons ........... | 2,031 | 10 | 103 | 2 | 165 | 125 | 199 | 9 | 143 | 1 | 135 |
| No Disabled Persons ..... | 5,639 | 50 | 152 | 6 | 119 | 108 | 179 | 4 | 114 | 2 | 199 |
| Income Source |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income ............... | 7,021 | 43 | 147 | 5 | 122 | 115 | 183 | 6 | 125 | 2 | 180 |
| No Gross Income ......... | 649 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 98 | 85 | 210 | 0 | 102 | 0 | 189 |
| Net Income ................... | 6,090 | 48 | 159 | 5 | 117 | 96 | 163 | 5 | 95 | 1 | 134 |
| No Net Income ............. | 1,580 | 6 | 48 | 4 | 155 | 176 | 254 | 8 | 472 | 3 | 355 |
| Earned Income .............. | 2,058 | 147 | 147 | 17 | 124 | 97 | 171 | 2 | 209 | 3 | 161 |
| No Earned Income ........ | 5,612 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 118 | 189 | 7 | 121 | 1 | 202 |
| Unearned Income .......... | 6,069 | 23 | 127 | 3 | 118 | 116 | 184 | 7 | 125 | 1 | 183 |
| No Unearned Income .... | 1,601 | 101 | 170 | 11 | 126 | 98 | 184 | 0 | 102 | 2 | 174 |
| TANF Income .............. | 2,096 | 27 | 117 | 3 | 102 | 110 | 175 | 0 | 60 | 1 | 143 |
| No TANF Income ......... | 5,574 | 44 | 157 | 6 | 126 | 114 | 188 | 7 | 127 | 2 | 187 |
| GA Income ................... | 435 | 6 | 105 | 1 | 152 | 134 | 187 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 64 |
| No GA Income .............. | 7,235 | 41 | 148 | 5 | 122 | 111 | 184 | 6 | 125 | 2 | 182 |
| TANF or GA Income .... <br> No TANF or GA | 2,520 | 24 | 116 | 2 | 102 | 114 | 177 | 0 | 62 | 1 | 135 |
| Income | 5,150 | 47 | 157 | 6 | 126 | 112 | 188 | 8 | 128 | 2 | 190 |
| SSI .............................. | 2,315 | 8 | 105 | 1 | 175 | 124 | 199 | 5 | 160 | 1 | 244 |
| No SSI ......................... | 5,355 | 53 | 151 | 7 | 119 | 108 | 178 | 5 | 114 | 2 | 163 |
| Social Security Income | 1,897 | 6 | 90 | 1 | 166 | 114 | 189 | 19 | 122 | 1 | 145 |
| No Social Security ........ | 5,773 | 51 | 151 | 6 | 120 | 112 | 183 | 1 | 152 | 2 | 191 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Benefit .......... | 743 | 14 | 119 | 1 | 165 | 21 | 81 | 9 | 75 | 0 | 123 |
| Maximum Benefit ......... | 1,584 | 6 | 48 | 4 | 155 | 176 | 254 | 8 | 472 | 3 | 352 |

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-11. Distribution of Participating Households by Selected Household Characteristics and Amount of Deduction

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly |  | Disabled |  | Earned Income |  | TANF or GA Income |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ...... | 7,670 | 100.0 | 4,275 | 100.0 | 1,543 | 100.0 | 2,031 | 100.0 | 2,058 | 100.0 | 2,520 | 100.0 |
| Total Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 134 | 1,925 | 25.1 | 860 | 20.1 | 497 | 32.2 | 603 | 29.7 | 1 | 0.0 | 626 | 24.8 |
| 135-150 | 207 | 2.7 | 94 | 2.2 | 62 | 4.0 | 71 | 3.5 | 30 | 1.5 | 72 | 2.9 |
| 151-200 | 682 | 8.9 | 319 | 7.5 | 181 | 11.7 | 210 | 10.4 | 129 | 6.3 | 247 | 9.8 |
| 201-250 | 737 | 9.6 | 382 | 8.9 | 163 | 10.6 | 216 | 10.6 | 175 | 8.5 | 295 | 11.7 |
| 251-300 | 764 | 10.0 | 433 | 10.1 | 136 | 8.8 | 205 | 10.1 | 260 | 12.6 | 295 | 11.7 |
| 301-350 | 729 | 9.5 | 443 | 10.4 | 125 | 8.1 | 175 | 8.6 | 288 | 14.0 | 233 | 9.2 |
| 351-400 | 571 | 7.4 | 365 | 8.5 | 89 | 5.8 | 146 | 7.2 | 240 | 11.7 | 169 | 6.7 |
| 401-450 | 901 | 11.7 | 632 | 14.8 | 70 | 4.5 | 114 | 5.6 | 219 | 10.6 | 381 | 15.1 |
| 451-500 | 339 | 4.4 | 230 | 5.4 | 45 | 2.9 | 79 | 3.9 | 230 | 11.2 | 73 | 2.9 |
| 501+ ........................... | 813 | 10.6 | 514 | 12.0 | 174 | 11.2 | 212 | 10.4 | 486 | 23.6 | 129 | 5.1 |
| Earned Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1-50 | 312 | 4.1 | 195 | 4.6 | 21 | 1.4 | 67 | 3.3 | 312 | 15.1 | 109 | 4.3 |
| 51-100 | 371 | 4.8 | 299 | 7.0 | 14 | 0.9 | 45 | 2.2 | 371 | 18.0 | 123 | 4.9 |
| 101-150 | 432 | 5.6 | 379 | 8.9 | 7 | 0.5 | 31 | 1.5 | 432 | 21.0 | 130 | 5.2 |
| 151-200 | 410 | 5.3 | 387 | 9.1 | 4 | 0.3 | 29 | 1.4 | 410 | 19.9 | 88 | 3.5 |
| 201-250 | 272 | 3.5 | 265 | 6.2 | 2 | 0.1 | 16 | 0.8 | 272 | 13.2 | 42 | 1.7 |
| 251-300 ....................... | 146 | 1.9 | 144 | 3.4 | 1 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.4 | 146 | 7.1 | 14 | 0.6 |
| 301+ .......................... | 113 | 1.5 | 112 | 2.6 | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 | 113 | 5.5 | 8 | 0.3 |
| Dependent Care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1-50 | 93 | 1.2 | 91 | 2.1 | 1 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.2 | 79 | 3.8 | 24 | 1.0 |
| 51-100 | 74 | 1.0 | 73 | 1.7 | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 | 69 | 3.3 | 13 | 0.5 |
| 101-150 | 55 | 0.7 | 54 | 1.3 | - | - | 3 | 0.2 | 52 | 2.5 | 8 | 0.3 |
| 151-200 | 49 | 0.6 | 48 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.2 | 46 | 2.2 | 6 | 0.2 |
| 201+ ...... | 48 | 0.6 | 44 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.3 | 45 | 2.2 | 7 | 0.3 |
| Medical |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 7,346 | 95.8 | 4,239 | 99.2 | 1,324 | 85.8 | 1,907 | 93.9 | 2,043 | 99.3 | 2,506 | 99.4 |
| \$1-25 | 99 | 1.3 | 20 | 0.5 | 61 | 4.0 | 46 | 2.3 | 4 | 0.2 | 9 | 0.3 |
| 26-50 | 36 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.1 | 27 | 1.8 | 10 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 51-75 | 38 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.1 | 27 | 1.7 | 13 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 76-100 | 32 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.0 | 24 | 1.6 | 9 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 101-150 | 38 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.1 | 28 | 1.8 | 11 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 151-200 | 22 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.0 | 14 | 0.9 | 9 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 201-300 ...................... | 29 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.0 | 21 | 1.4 | 8 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 301+ .......................... | 30 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.0 | 15 | 1.0 | 16 | 0.8 | 4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Excess Shelter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 2,980 | 38.9 | 1,687 | 39.5 | 618 | 40.1 | 753 | 37.1 | 892 | 43.3 | 899 | 35.7 |
| \$1-50 .......................... | 697 | 9.1 | 386 | 9.0 | 159 | 10.3 | 192 | 9.5 | 187 | 9.1 | 237 | 9.4 |
| 51-100 ... | 729 | 9.5 | 388 | 9.1 | 151 | 9.8 | 205 | 10.1 | 175 | 8.5 | 252 | 10.0 |
| 101-150 | 671 | 8.7 | 360 | 8.4 | 132 | 8.5 | 192 | 9.4 | 168 | 8.1 | 241 | 9.6 |
| 151-200 ....................... | 602 | 7.9 | 312 | 7.3 | 119 | 7.7 | 169 | 8.3 | 135 | 6.6 | 206 | 8.2 |
| 201-249 ....................... | 448 | 5.8 | 244 | 5.7 | 90 | 5.8 | 133 | 6.5 | 111 | 5.4 | 148 | 5.9 |
| 250 .............................. | 19 | 0.2 | 12 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.2 |
| 251-275 ... | 999 | 13.0 | 767 | 17.9 | 36 | 2.3 | 64 | 3.2 | 355 | 17.2 | 434 | 17.2 |
| 276-300 ...................... | 80 | 1.0 | 18 | 0.4 | 25 | 1.6 | 56 | 2.8 | 5 | 0.3 | 14 | 0.5 |
| 301+ .......................... | 445 | 5.8 | 100 | 2.3 | 210 | 13.6 | 263 | 13.0 | 27 | 1.3 | 84 | 3.3 |
| None ............................ | 2,980 | 38.9 | 1,687 | 39.5 | 618 | 40.1 | 753 | 37.1 | 892 | 43.3 | 899 | 35.7 |
| Less Than Cap .............. | 3,347 | 43.6 | 1,794 | 42.0 | 688 | 44.6 | 958 | 47.2 | 822 | 40.0 | 1,142 | 45.3 |
| Equal to Cap ................. | 828 | 10.8 | 681 | 15.9 | 3 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 315 | 15.3 | 388 | 15.4 |
| Benefit < Max ............ | 440 | 5.7 | 416 | 9.7 | 2 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 228 | 11.1 | 237 | 9.4 |
| Benefit = Max ............ | 388 | 5.1 | 266 | 6.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 87 | 4.2 | 152 | 6.0 |
| Greater Than Cap ......... | 515 | 6.7 | 112 | 2.6 | 234 | 15.2 | 318 | 15.7 | 29 | 1.4 | 91 | 3.6 |

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-12. Average Total Deduction for Participating Households by Amount of Gross Income and Household Size

| Gross Income | Average Total Deduction by Household Size (Dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All Households (Dollars) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ |  |
| Total ........................................... | 270 | 291 | 320 | 336 | 360 | 349 | 327 | 332 | 299 |
| \$0 .............................................. | 196 | 239 | 267 | 273 | 292 | 291 | 272 | 333 | 221 |
| 1-99 ........................................ | 200 | 201 | 257 | 282 | 309 | 325 | - | 344 | 219 |
| 100-199 ................................... | 239 | 237 | 242 | 287 | 250 | 304 | 257 | 241 | 242 |
| 200-299 .................................... | 254 | 265 | 265 | 252 | 260 | 258 | 283 | 379 | 260 |
| 300-399 .................................... | 291 | 274 | 263 | 285 | 245 | 214 | 282 | 236 | 279 |
| 400-499 ................................... | 276 | 283 | 287 | 282 | 294 | 285 | 266 | 218 | 281 |
| 500-599 ................................... | 264 | 277 | 312 | 278 | 286 | 247 | 291 | 320 | 270 |
| 600-699 ................................... | 324 | 307 | 296 | 307 | 310 | 317 | 243 | 206 | 312 |
| 700-799 .................................... | 310 | 278 | 316 | 292 | 328 | 282 | 263 | 267 | 298 |
| 800-899 ................................... | 394 | 346 | 344 | 333 | 349 | 284 | 295 | 318 | 347 |
| 900-999 .................................... | 480 | 341 | 358 | 330 | 338 | 310 | 272 | 254 | 351 |
| 1000+ ........................................ | 413 | 397 | 413 | 415 | 420 | 407 | 376 | 362 | 410 |

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-13. Distribution of Participating Households by Selected Household Characteristics and Food Stamp Benefit Amount, Food Stamp Benefit as a Percentage of the Maximum Benefit, and Certification Period

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly |  | Disabled |  | Earned Income |  | TANF Income |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total | 7,670 | 100.0 | 4,275 | 100.0 | 1,543 | 100.0 | 2,031 | 100.0 | 2,058 | 100.0 | 2,096 | 100.0 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10 or less ... | 749 | 9.8 | 64 | 1.5 | 427 | 27.7 | 323 | 15.9 | 92 | 4.5 | 35 | 1.7 |
| 11-25. | 431 | 5.6 | 46 | 1.1 | 204 | 13.2 | 226 | 11.2 | 42 | 2.0 | 20 | 0.9 |
| 26-50. | 543 | 7.1 | 103 | 2.4 | 242 | 15.7 | 261 | 12.9 | 84 | 4.1 | 45 | 2.2 |
| 51-75 | 544 | 7.1 | 173 | 4.0 | 179 | 11.6 | 257 | 12.7 | 125 | 6.1 | 78 | 3.7 |
| 76-100 | 517 | 6.7 | 201 | 4.7 | 147 | 9.5 | 210 | 10.3 | 143 | 7.0 | 86 | 4.1 |
| 101-150... | 1,625 | 21.2 | 579 | 13.5 | 235 | 15.2 | 328 | 16.2 | 386 | 18.8 | 278 | 13.3 |
| 151-200 ...... | 688 | 9.0 | 618 | 14.5 | 46 | 3.0 | 140 | 6.9 | 308 | 15.0 | 325 | 15.5 |
| 201-300 | 1,369 | 17.9 | 1,294 | 30.3 | 48 | 3.1 | 172 | 8.4 | 501 | 24.3 | 668 | 31.9 |
| 301 or more .................. | 1,204 | 15.7 | 1,197 | 28.0 | 15 | 1.0 | 114 | 5.6 | 377 | 18.3 | 560 | 26.7 |
| Benefit as a Percentage of the Maximum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum | 743 | 9.7 | 58 | 1.4 | 427 | 27.7 | 318 | 15.7 | 89 | 4.3 | 31 | 1.5 |
| < $25 \%$ a . | 884 | 11.5 | 333 | 7.8 | 324 | 21.0 | 408 | 20.1 | 237 | 11.5 | 130 | 6.2 |
| 25-50. | 1,406 | 18.3 | 851 | 19.9 | 315 | 20.4 | 536 | 26.4 | 593 | 28.8 | 343 | 16.4 |
| 51-75. | 1,637 | 21.3 | 1,182 | 27.7 | 227 | 14.7 | 458 | 22.5 | 578 | 28.1 | 632 | 30.2 |
| 76-99 ... | 1,417 | 18.5 | 1,089 | 25.5 | 112 | 7.3 | 196 | 9.6 | 349 | 16.9 | 700 | 33.4 |
| Maximum | 1,584 | 20.6 | 761 | 17.8 | 137 | 8.9 | 115 | 5.7 | 212 | 10.3 | 259 | 12.4 |
| Months in Certification Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 36 | 0.5 | 24 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.2 | 10 | 0.5 | 8 | 0.4 |
| 2 | 85 | 1.1 | 53 | 1.2 | 3 | 0.2 | 7 | 0.3 | 32 | 1.5 | 15 | 0.7 |
| 3 | 1,174 | 15.3 | 896 | 20.9 | 39 | 2.5 | 126 | 6.2 | 636 | 30.9 | 180 | 8.6 |
| 4 .................................. | 293 | 3.8 | 204 | 4.8 | 11 | 0.7 | 33 | 1.6 | 131 | 6.3 | 50 | 2.4 |
| 5 .................................. | 161 | 2.1 | 120 | 2.8 | 10 | 0.7 | 30 | 1.5 | 54 | 2.6 | 60 | 2.8 |
| 6. | 1,057 | 13.8 | 819 | 19.2 | 90 | 5.8 | 260 | 12.8 | 305 | 14.8 | 454 | 21.6 |
| 7 .................................... | 173 | 2.3 | 138 | 3.2 | 11 | 0.7 | 37 | 1.8 | 50 | 2.4 | 93 | 4.4 |
| 8 .................................... | 53 | 0.7 | 39 | 0.9 | 7 | 0.5 | 12 | 0.6 | 13 | 0.6 | 23 | 1.1 |
| 9 .................................. | 40 | 0.5 | 23 | 0.5 | 7 | 0.5 | 11 | 0.6 | 10 | 0.5 | 13 | 0.6 |
| 10 ................................ | 63 | 0.8 | 31 | 0.7 | 17 | 1.1 | 20 | 1.0 | 12 | 0.6 | 19 | 0.9 |
| 11 .................................. | 145 | 1.9 | 46 | 1.1 | 51 | 3.3 | 49 | 2.4 | 23 | 1.1 | 29 | 1.4 |
| 12 ................................ | 3,733 | 48.7 | 1,729 | 40.4 | 1,023 | 66.3 | 1,149 | 56.6 | 715 | 34.7 | 1,052 | 50.2 |
| 13+. | 627 | 8.2 | 139 | 3.2 | 263 | 17.1 | 283 | 13.9 | 64 | 3.1 | 91 | 4.3 |
| Unknown ......................... | 29 | 0.4 | 14 | 0.3 | 9 | 0.6 | 9 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.1 | 9 | 0.5 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Does not include households with the minimum benefit.
Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-14. Distribution of Participating Households by Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline and Food Stamp Benefit as a Percentage of the Maximum

| Gross Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline | Total Households |  | Benefit as a Percentage of the Maximum Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Minimum |  | $<25^{\text {a }}$ |  | 25-50 |  | 51-75 |  | 76-99 |  | Maximum |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| All Households |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ................ | 7,670 | 100.0 | 743 | 100.0 | 884 | 100.0 | 1,406 | 100.0 | 1,637 | 100.0 | 1,417 | 100.0 | 1,584 | 100.0 |
| No Income ........ | 649 | 8.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 649 | 41.0 |
| 1-50\% ............ | 1,998 | 26.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 345 | 21.1 | 937 | 66.1 | 716 | 45.2 |
| 51-100 ........... | 4,200 | 54.8 | 450 | 60.7 | 618 | 70.0 | 1,216 | 86.5 | 1,247 | 76.2 | 461 | 32.5 | 207 | 13.1 |
| 101-130 ......... | 752 | 9.8 | 240 | 32.4 | 259 | 29.3 | 186 | 13.2 | 43 | 2.6 | 14 | 1.0 | 10 | 0.7 |
| 131+ ............... | 71 | 0.9 | 52 | 7.0 | 7 | 0.7 | 4 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Households With Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ................ | 4,275 | 100.0 | 58 | 100.0 | 333 | 100.0 | 851 | 100.0 | 1,182 | 100.0 | 1,089 | 100.0 | 761 | 100.0 |
| No Income ........ | 273 | 6.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 273 | 35.9 |
| 1-50\% ............ | 1,588 | 37.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 297 | 25.1 | 829 | 76.1 | 463 | 60.8 |
| 51-100 ........... | 1,975 | 46.2 | 12 | 20.6 | 113 | 34.0 | 705 | 82.9 | 861 | 72.9 | 258 | 23.7 | 25 | 3.3 |
| 101-130 ......... | 425 | 9.9 | 36 | 61.3 | 218 | 65.3 | 145 | 17.1 | 24 | 2.0 | 2 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.1 |
| 131+ ............... | 13 | 0.3 | 10 | 18.1 | 2 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Households With Elderly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ................ | 1,543 | 100.0 | 427 | 100.0 | 324 | 100.0 | 315 | 100.0 | 227 | 100.0 | 112 | 100.0 | 137 | 100.0 |
| No Income ........ | 22 | 1.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 22 | 16.3 |
| 1-50\% ............ | 76 | 4.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 22 | 9.5 | 23 | 20.4 | 32 | 23.0 |
| 51-100 ........... | 1,214 | 78.7 | 287 | 67.2 | 292 | 90.1 | 289 | 91.6 | 193 | 84.9 | 78 | 69.7 | 76 | 55.1 |
| 101-130 ......... | 206 | 13.3 | 128 | 30.0 | 30 | 9.1 | 23 | 7.4 | 11 | 4.9 | 7 | 6.2 | 7 | 4.8 |
| 131+ ............... | 24 | 1.6 | 12 | 2.8 | 2 | 0.7 | 3 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.6 | 4 | 3.8 | 1 | 0.8 |
| Households With Disabled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ................ | 2,031 | 100.0 | 318 | 100.0 | 408 | 100.0 | 536 | 100.0 | 458 | 100.0 | 196 | 100.0 | 115 | 100.0 |
| No Income ........ | 4 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 3.6 |
| 1-50\% ............ | 152 | 7.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 67 | 14.7 | 55 | 27.9 | 30 | 26.3 |
| 51-100 ........... | 1,624 | 80.0 | 191 | 60.1 | 341 | 83.7 | 504 | 94.0 | 377 | 82.3 | 135 | 68.8 | 76 | 65.9 |
| 101-130 ......... | 215 | 10.6 | 98 | 30.7 | 64 | 15.6 | 31 | 5.9 | 12 | 2.7 | 6 | 3.1 | 4 | 3.6 |
| 131+ ............... | 35 | 1.7 | 29 | 9.2 | 3 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Households With Earned Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ................ | 2,058 | 100.0 | 89 | 100.0 | 237 | 100.0 | 593 | 100.0 | 578 | 100.0 | 349 | 100.0 | 212 | 100.0 |
| 1-50\% ............ | 422 | 20.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 56 | 9.6 | 182 | 52.1 | 185 | 87.0 |
| 51-100 ........... | 1,183 | 57.5 | 15 | 17.0 | 34 | 14.4 | 447 | 75.5 | 499 | 86.2 | 161 | 46.3 | 26 | 12.3 |
| 101-130 ......... | 421 | 20.4 | 49 | 55.0 | 199 | 84.2 | 144 | 24.3 | 24 | 4.1 | 3 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.6 |
| 131+ ............... | 32 | 1.6 | 25 | 28.0 | 3 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.7 | - | - |
| Households With TANF Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ................ | 2,096 | 100.0 | 31 | 100.0 | 130 | 100.0 | 343 | 100.0 | 632 | 100.0 | 700 | 100.0 | 259 | 100.0 |
| 1-50\% ............ | 1,032 | 49.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 212 | 33.5 | 572 | 81.6 | 248 | 95.8 |
| 51-100 ........... | 949 | 45.3 | 7 | 22.6 | 64 | 49.0 | 324 | 94.5 | 416 | 65.8 | 128 | 18.3 | 11 | 4.2 |
| 101-130 ......... | 103 | 4.9 | 14 | 44.8 | 65 | 49.8 | 19 | 5.5 | 4 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - |
| 131+ ............... | 12 | 0.6 | 10 | 32.6 | 2 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

[^11]Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-15. Average Food Stamp Benefit of Participating Households by Amount of Gross Income and Household Size

| Gross Income | Average Food Stamp Benefit by Household Size (Dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | All Households (Dollars) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ |  |
| Average Food Stamp Benefit Per Household | 67 | 146 | 222 | 272 | 309 | 374 | 421 | 546 | 162 |
| \$0 ........................................... | 126 | 230 | 330 | 421 | 499 | 599 | 659 | 789 | 205 |
| 1-99 | 125 | 231 | 330 | 420 | 498 | 597 | - | 794 | 213 |
| 100-199 ................................. | 125 | 228 | 325 | 417 | 497 | 596 | 659 | 826 | 234 |
| 200-299 ................................. | 116 | 217 | 315 | 406 | 485 | 583 | 648 | 774 | 231 |
| 300-399.. | 101 | 204 | 297 | 393 | 463 | 556 | 629 | 787 | 211 |
| 400-499 | 64 | 176 | 280 | 365 | 449 | 547 | 600 | 750 | 181 |
| 500-599 ................................. | 45 | 151 | 260 | 338 | 420 | 508 | 580 | 782 | 95 |
| 600-699 ................................. | 40 | 127 | 226 | 318 | 395 | 497 | 539 | 620 | 147 |
| 700-799 .................................. | 26 | 88 | 200 | 282 | 371 | 457 | 516 | 695 | 142 |
| 800-899 | 29 | 80 | 179 | 268 | 358 | 431 | 497 | 623 | 178 |
| 900-999 .................................. | 32 | 55 | 153 | 233 | 318 | 413 | 455 | 655 | 174 |
| 1000+ | 16 | 37 | 99 | 159 | 200 | 275 | 311 | 461 | 165 |

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-16. Distribution of Participating Households by Type of Most Recent Action and Expedited Service

| Most Recent Action and Expedited Service | Total Households |  | Entrants |  | Other Households |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total | 7,670 | 100.0 | 402 | 100.0 | 7,268 | 100.0 |
| Initial Certification . | 1,810 | 23.6 | 402 | 100.0 | 1,408 | 19.4 |
| Expedited Service ........................ | 301 | 3.9 | 125 | 31.0 | 176 | 2.4 |
| No Expedited Service .................... | 1,510 | 19.7 | 278 | 69.0 | 1,232 | 17.0 |
| Recertification .. | 4,545 | 59.3 | - | - | 4,545 | 62.5 |
| Expedited Service ........................ | 163 | 2.1 | - | - | 163 | 2.2 |
| No Expedited Service .................... | 4,382 | 57.1 | - | - | 4,382 | 60.3 |

- By definition these are mutually exclusive categories; therefore, no households will be found in these categories.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-17. Distribution of Participating Households, Persons, and Benefits by Household Composition

| Household Composition | Food Stamp Households |  | Participants in Households With Household Characteristic |  | Monthly Food Stamp Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Dollars (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7,670 | 100.0 | 18,149 | 100.0 | 1,240,988 | 100.0 |
| Children | 4,275 | 55.7 | 14,315 | 78.9 | 1,000,055 | 80.6 |
| Single-Adult Household ................. | 2,928 | 38.2 | 9,145 | 50.4 | 671,801 | 54.1 |
| Multiple-Adult Household ............. | 964 | 12.6 | 4,391 | 24.2 | 264,053 | 21.3 |
| Married Couple Household ......... | 645 | 8.4 | 2,990 | 16.5 | 176,690 | 14.2 |
| Other Multiple-Adult Household | 319 | 4.2 | 1,401 | 7.7 | 87,363 | 7.0 |
| Children Only .............................. | 380 | 4.9 | 770 | 4.2 | 63,363 | 5.1 |
| Unknown .................................... | 3 | 0.0 | 9 | 0.1 | 837 | 0.1 |
| Elderly ......................................... | 1,543 | 20.1 | 2,030 | 11.2 | 94,545 | 7.6 |
| Living Alone ............................... | 1,212 | 15.8 | 1,212 | 6.7 | 55,346 | 4.5 |
| Not Living Alone ......................... | 330 | 4.3 | 818 | 4.5 | 39,199 | 3.2 |
| Disabled | 2,031 | 26.5 | 4,056 | 22.3 | 202,461 | 16.3 |
| Living Alone ............................... | 1,162 | 15.1 | 1,162 | 6.4 | 56,698 | 4.6 |
| Not Living Alone ......................... | 869 | 11.3 | 2,894 | 15.9 | 145,763 | 11.7 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {b }}$.. | 861 | 11.2 | 944 | 5.2 | 104,148 | 8.4 |
| Single-Person Household ............... | 786 | 10.3 | 786 | 4.3 | 89,904 | 7.2 |
| Multi-Person Household ................ | 75 | 1.0 | 157 | 0.9 | 14,244 | 1.1 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The sum of individual categories does not match the table total because a household can have more than one of the characteristics in the table.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Households not containing children, elderly persons, or disabled persons.
Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-18. Average Gross and Net Income, Total Deduction, Countable Resources, Food Stamp Benefit, Household Size, and Certification Period of Participating Households by Household Composition

| Household Composition | Total Households |  | Average Monthly Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Gross Income (Dollars) | Net Income (Dollars) | Total Deduction (Dollars) | Countable <br> Resources <br> (Dollars) | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) | Household Size (Persons) | Certification Period (Months) |
| Total ................................... | 7,670 | 100.0 | 603 | 338 | 299 | 142 | 162 | 2.4 | 9.7 |
| Children | 4,275 | 55.7 | 701 | 412 | 319 | 124 | 234 | 3.3 | 8.2 |
| Single-Adult Household ....... | 2,928 | 38.2 | 641 | 361 | 309 | 106 | 229 | 3.1 | 8.1 |
| Multiple-Adult Household ... | 964 | 12.6 | 987 | 646 | 363 | 210 | 274 | 4.6 | 7.7 |
| Married Couple Household Other Multiple-Adult | 645 | 8.4 | 1027 | 669 | 381 | 253 | 274 | 4.6 | 7.5 |
| Household ....................... | 319 | 4.2 | 907 | 598 | 327 | 123 | 274 | 4.4 | 8.1 |
| Children Only ..................... | 380 | 4.9 | 432 | 213 | 280 | 47 | 167 | 2.0 | 9.5 |
| Unknown ........................... | 3 | 0.0 | 529 | 192 | 342 | 14 | 259 | 2.9 | 10.1 |
| Elderly ............................... | 1,543 | 20.1 | 605 | 344 | 277 | 245 | 61 | 1.3 | 12.9 |
| Living Alone ...................... | 1,212 | 15.8 | 551 | 291 | 277 | 246 | 46 | 1.0 | 13.4 |
| Not Living Alone ................ | 330 | 4.3 | 802 | 536 | 278 | 242 | 119 | 2.5 | 11.1 |
| Disabled ............................. | 2,031 | 26.5 | 698 | 427 | 281 | 136 | 100 | 2.0 | 11.5 |
| Living Alone ...................... | 1,162 | 15.1 | 549 | 277 | 284 | 133 | 49 | 1.0 | 13.4 |
| Not Living Alone ................ | 869 | 11.3 | 898 | 626 | 278 | 139 | 168 | 3.3 | 9.1 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {a }}$.............. | 861 | 11.2 | 194 | 55 | 246 | 60 | 121 | 1.1 | 7.5 |
| Single-Person Household ..... | 786 | 10.3 | 173 | 44 | 238 | 49 | 114 | 1.0 | 7.5 |
| Multi-Person Household ...... | 75 | 1.0 | 422 | 173 | 332 | 172 | 191 | 2.1 | 6.9 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Households not containing children, elderly persons, or disabled persons.
Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-19. Distribution of Participating Households by Income Type and Household Composition

| Household Composition | Total Households |  | Income Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number(000) | Percent | Earned Income |  | Unearned Income |  | TANF Income |  | GA Income |  | SSI |  | Social Security Income |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$..................... | 7,670 | 100.0 | 2,058 | 100.0 | 6,069 | 100.0 | 2,096 | 100.0 | 435 | 100.0 | 2,315 | 100.0 | 1,897 | 100.0 |
| Children ................ | 4,275 | 55.7 | 1,782 | 86.6 | 3,192 | 52.6 | 2,052 | 97.9 | 53 | 12.2 | 623 | 26.9 | 393 | 20.7 |
| Single-Adult <br> Household | 2,928 | 38.2 | 1,103 | 53.6 | 2,290 | 37.7 | 1,511 | 72.1 | 36 | 8.3 | 414 | 17.9 | 246 | 12.9 |
| Multiple-Adult <br> Household | 964 | 12.6 | 558 | 27.1 | 642 | 10.6 | 339 | 16.2 | 15 | 3.4 | 200 | 8.6 | 136 | 7.2 |
| Married Couple Household $\qquad$ Other | 645 | 8.4 | 404 | 19.6 | 390 | 6.4 | 188 | 9.0 | 9 | 2.0 | 118 | 5.1 | 82 | 4.3 |
| Multiple-Adult Household | 319 | 4.2 | 155 | 7.5 | 252 | 4.2 | 151 | 7.2 | 6 | 1.4 | 81 | 3.5 | 55 | 2.9 |
| Children Only ......... | 380 | 4.9 | 120 | 5.8 | 257 | 4.2 | 199 | 9.5 | 2 | 0.6 | 9 | 0.4 | 11 | 0.6 |
| Unknown ............... | 3 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Elderly ................... | 1,543 | 20.1 | 51 | 2.5 | 1,507 | 24.8 | 61 | 2.9 | 76 | 17.5 | 910 | 39.3 | 1,082 | 57.0 |
| Living Alone .......... | 1,212 | 15.8 | 25 | 1.2 | 1,185 | 19.5 | 1 | 0.1 | 60 | 13.9 | 704 | 30.4 | 874 | 46.1 |
| Not Living Alone ... | 330 | 4.3 | 26 | 1.3 | 322 | 5.3 | 59 | 2.8 | 16 | 3.6 | 206 | 8.9 | 208 | 10.9 |
| Disabled ................. | 2,031 | 26.5 | 201 | 9.8 | 2,023 | 33.3 | 371 | 17.7 | 84 | 19.3 | 1,638 | 70.7 | 843 | 44.4 |
| Living Alone .......... | 1,162 | 15.1 | 65 | 3.1 | 1,158 | 19.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 54 | 12.4 | 904 | 39.1 | 530 | 28.0 |
| Not Living Alone ... | 869 | 11.3 | 136 | 6.6 | 865 | 14.3 | 369 | 17.6 | 30 | 6.8 | 734 | 31.7 | 313 | 16.5 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 861 | 11.2 | 164 | 7.9 | 380 | 6.3 | 34 | 1.6 | 256 | 58.8 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Single-Person ......... | 786 | 10.3 | 129 | 6.3 | 349 | 5.8 | 25 | 1.2 | 244 | 56.2 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Multi-Person .......... | 75 | 1.0 | 34 | 1.7 | 31 | 0.5 | 9 | 0.4 | 11 | 2.6 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The sum of individual categories does not match the table total because a household can have more than one of the characteristics in the table.
b Households not containing children, elderly persons, or disabled persons.

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-20. Distribution of Participating Households With Children, Elderly Persons, and Disabled Persons by Selected Characteristics

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Household With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Children |  | School Age Children |  | Preschool Age Children |  | Elderly |  | Disabled |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total | 7,670 | 100.0 | 4,275 | 100.0 | 3,273 | 100.0 | 2,221 | 100.0 | 1,543 | 100.0 | 2,031 | 100.0 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 4,275 | 55.7 | 4,275 | 100.0 | 3,273 | 100.0 | 2,221 | 100.0 | 109 | 7.1 | 692 | 34.1 |
| School Age | 3,273 | 42.7 | 3,273 | 76.6 | 3,273 | 100.0 | 1,219 | 54.9 | 100 | 6.5 | 602 | 29.6 |
| Preschool Age ................... | 2,221 | 29.0 | 2,221 | 52.0 | 1,219 | 37.2 | 2,221 | 100.0 | 24 | 1.5 | 252 | 12.4 |
| Elderly Persons .................... | 1,543 | 20.1 | 109 | 2.6 | 100 | 3.1 | 24 | 1.1 | 1,543 | 100.0 | 271 | 13.4 |
| Disabled Persons ................ | 2,031 | 26.5 | 692 | 16.2 | 602 | 18.4 | 252 | 11.3 | 271 | 17.6 | 2,031 | 100.0 |
| Income Source and Countable Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income ...................... | 7,021 | 91.5 | 4,002 | 93.6 | 3,103 | 94.8 | 2,057 | 92.6 | 1,520 | 98.6 | 2,027 | 99.8 |
| No Gross Income ................. | 649 | 8.5 | 273 | 6.4 | 169 | 5.2 | 164 | 7.4 | 22 | 1.4 | 4 | 0.2 |
| Net Income | 6,090 | 79.4 | 3,516 | 82.2 | 2,774 | 84.8 | 1,791 | 80.6 | 1,406 | 91.1 | 1,916 | 94.3 |
| No Net Income ................... | 1,580 | 20.6 | 759 | 17.8 | 499 | 15.2 | 430 | 19.4 | 137 | 8.9 | 115 | 5.7 |
| Earned Income | 2,058 | 26.8 | 1,782 | 41.7 | 1,380 | 42.2 | 964 | 43.4 | 51 | 3.3 | 201 | 9.9 |
| Unearned Income ................ | 6,069 | 79.1 | 3,192 | 74.7 | 2,514 | 76.8 | 1,592 | 71.7 | 1,507 | 97.7 | 2,023 | 99.6 |
| TANF Income | 2,096 | 27.3 | 2,052 | 48.0 | 1,562 | 47.7 | 1,077 | 48.5 | 61 | 3.9 | 371 | 18.3 |
| GA Income ......................... | 435 | 5.7 | 53 | 1.2 | 42 | 1.3 | 21 | 1.0 | 76 | 4.9 | 84 | 4.1 |
| SSI ..... | 2,315 | 30.2 | 623 | 14.6 | 542 | 16.6 | 226 | 10.2 | 910 | 59.0 | 1,638 | 80.7 |
| Social Security Income ......... | 1,897 | 24.7 | 393 | 9.2 | 356 | 10.9 | 120 | 5.4 | 1,082 | 70.1 | 843 | 41.5 |
| Countable Resources ........... | 2,441 | 31.8 | 1,277 | 29.9 | 1,023 | 31.3 | 619 | 27.9 | 665 | 43.1 | 706 | 34.8 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Deduction .................. | 7,670 | 100.0 | 4,275 | 100.0 | 3,273 | 100.0 | 2,221 | 100.0 | 1,543 | 100.0 | 2,031 | 100.0 |
| Earned Income Deduction .... | 2,055 | 26.8 | 1,781 | 41.7 | 1,379 | 42.1 | 964 | 43.4 | 51 | 3.3 | 199 | 9.8 |
| Dependent Deduction .......... | 318 | 4.1 | 311 | 7.3 | 209 | 6.4 | 218 | 9.8 | 3 | 0.2 | 20 | 1.0 |
| Excess Shelter Deduction ..... | 4,690 | 61.1 | 2,588 | 60.5 | 1,964 | 60.0 | 1,316 | 59.3 | 925 | 59.9 | 1,278 | 62.9 |
| Medical Deduction .............. | 324 | 4.2 | 36 | 0.8 | 30 | 0.9 | 10 | 0.4 | 219 | 14.2 | 124 | 6.1 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10 or Less ........................ | 749 | 9.8 | 64 | 1.5 | 50 | 1.5 | 15 | 0.7 | 427 | 27.7 | 323 | 15.9 |
| 11-100 ............................... | 2,035 | 26.5 | 523 | 12.2 | 390 | 11.9 | 213 | 9.6 | 772 | 50.1 | 955 | 47.0 |
| 101-200 | 2,313 | 30.2 | 1,197 | 28.0 | 871 | 26.6 | 553 | 24.9 | 281 | 18.2 | 468 | 23.0 |
| 201-300 ............................. | 1,369 | 17.9 | 1,294 | 30.3 | 932 | 28.5 | 685 | 30.9 | 48 | 3.1 | 172 | 8.4 |
| 301 or More ....................... | 1,204 | 15.7 | 1,197 | 28.0 | 1,029 | 31.4 | 754 | 34.0 | 15 | 1.0 | 114 | 5.6 |
| Minimum Benefit ................ | 743 | 9.7 | 58 | 1.4 | 44 | 1.3 | 14 | 0.6 | 427 | 27.7 | 318 | 15.7 |
| Maximum Benefit ............... | 1,584 | 20.6 | 761 | 17.8 | 500 | 15.3 | 431 | 19.4 | 137 | 8.9 | 115 | 5.7 |
| Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 ....................................... | 3,130 | 40.8 | 144 | 3.4 | 79 | 2.4 | 65 | 2.9 | 1,212 | 78.6 | 1,162 | 57.2 |
| 2 ...................................... | 1,605 | 20.9 | 1,220 | 28.5 | 715 | 21.8 | 556 | 25.0 | 248 | 16.1 | 350 | 17.2 |
| 3 ...................................... | 1,274 | 16.6 | 1,254 | 29.3 | 946 | 28.9 | 639 | 28.8 | 42 | 2.7 | 203 | 10.0 |
| 4 ....................................... | 879 | 11.5 | 875 | 20.5 | 774 | 23.6 | 473 | 21.3 | 19 | 1.3 | 142 | 7.0 |
| 5 ....................................... | 444 | 5.8 | 443 | 10.4 | 426 | 13.0 | 256 | 11.5 | 12 | 0.7 | 93 | 4.6 |
| 6+ ..................................... | 338 | 4.4 | 337 | 7.9 | 333 | 10.2 | 233 | 10.5 | 9 | 0.6 | 81 | 4.0 |

[^12]Table A-21. Average Values of Selected Characteristics for Participating Households With Children, Elderly Persons, and Disabled Persons

| Household Characteristic | Average Monthly Values for Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Children | School Age Children | Preschool Age Children | Elderly | Disabled |
| Income and Countable Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income .................................... | 603 | 701 | 753 | 684 | 605 | 698 |
| Net Income ...... | 338 | 412 | 457 | 395 | 344 | 427 |
| Earned Income ................................. | 198 | 330 | 346 | 354 | 14 | 50 |
| Unearned Income ............................... | 406 | 370 | 406 | 330 | 591 | 648 |
| TANF Income | 102 | 180 | 189 | 186 | 12 | 54 |
| GA Income .... | 13 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 5 |
| SSI ... | 119 | 71 | 82 | 53 | 190 | 352 |
| Social Security Income ...................... | 124 | 47 | 55 | 27 | 354 | 212 |
| Countable Resources ........................... | 142 | 124 | 132 | 117 | 245 | 136 |
| Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guildeline |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income ..................................... | 61.9 | 56.9 | 58.7 | 53.4 | 81.6 | 79.0 |
| Net Income ........................................ | 33.3 | 32.4 | 34.6 | 29.6 | 45.4 | 45.6 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Deduction ................................. | 299 | 319 | 323 | 321 | 277 | 281 |
| Earned Income Deduction .................... | 39 | 66 | 69 | 71 | 3 | 10 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 147 | 158 | 164 | 163 | 83 | 103 |
| Dependent Deduction .......................... | 5 | 9 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 2 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 122 | 120 | 127 | 120 | 204 | 165 |
| Excess Shelter Deduction .................... | 113 | 107 | 108 | 102 | 121 | 125 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 184 | 177 | 180 | 172 | 203 | 199 |
| Medical Deduction .............................. | 5 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 9 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 125 | 122 | 124 | 84 | 114 | 143 |
| Food Stamp Benefit ................................. | 162 | 234 | 244 | 255 | 61 | 100 |
| Household Size ....................................... | 2.4 | 3.3 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 2.0 |
| Certification Period ............................... | 9.7 | 8.2 | 8.3 | 7.9 | 12.9 | 11.5 |

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-22. Distribution of Participating Households With Earned Income and Unearned Income by Selected Characteristics

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Income Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Earned Income |  | Unearned Income |  | TANF Income |  | GA Income |  | TANF or GA Income |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ................................... | 7,670 | 100.0 | 2,058 | 100.0 | 6,069 | 100.0 | 2,096 | 100.0 | 435 | 100.0 | 2,520 | 100.0 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 4,275 | 55.7 | 1,782 | 86.6 | 3,192 | 52.6 | 2,052 | 97.9 | 53 | 12.2 | 2,095 | 83.1 |
| School Age | 3,273 | 42.7 | 1,380 | 67.0 | 2,514 | 41.4 | 1,562 | 74.6 | 42 | 9.7 | 1,595 | 63.3 |
| Preschool Age .................. | 2,221 | 29.0 | 964 | 46.9 | 1,592 | 26.2 | 1,077 | 51.4 | 21 | 4.9 | 1,094 | 43.4 |
| Elderly Persons .................... | 1,543 | 20.1 | 51 | 2.5 | 1,507 | 24.8 | 61 | 2.9 | 76 | 17.5 | 136 | 5.4 |
| Disabled Persons ................. | 2,031 | 26.5 | 201 | 9.8 | 2,023 | 33.3 | 371 | 17.7 | 84 | 19.3 | 450 | 17.8 |
| Income Source and Countable Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income | 7,021 | 91.5 | 2,058 | 100.0 | 6,069 | 100.0 | 2,096 | 100.0 | 435 | 100.0 | 2,520 | 100.0 |
| No Gross Income .... | 649 | 8.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Net Income ......................... | 6,090 | 79.4 | 1,847 | 89.7 | 5,293 | 87.2 | 1,837 | 87.7 | 263 | 60.5 | 2,090 | 82.9 |
| No Net Income ................... | 1,580 | 20.6 | 211 | 10.3 | 776 | 12.8 | 259 | 12.3 | 172 | 39.5 | 430 | 17.1 |
| Earned Income . | 2,058 | 26.8 | 2,058 | 100.0 | 1,106 | 18.2 | 491 | 23.4 | 24 | 5.5 | 514 | 20.4 |
| Unearned Income | 6,069 | 79.1 | 1,106 | 53.7 | 6,069 | 100.0 | 2,096 | 100.0 | 435 | 100.0 | 2,520 | 100.0 |
| TANF Income | 2,096 | 27.3 | 491 | 23.9 | 2,096 | 34.5 | 2,096 | 100.0 | 11 | 2.4 | 2,096 | 83.2 |
| GA Income ......................... | 435 | 5.7 | 24 | 1.2 | 435 | 7.2 | 11 | 0.5 | 435 | 100.0 | 435 | 17.3 |
| SSI Income . | 2,315 | 30.2 | 174 | 8.5 | 2,315 | 38.1 | 362 | 17.3 | 98 | 22.5 | 455 | 18.0 |
| Social Security Income ......... | 1,897 | 24.7 | 121 | 5.9 | 1,897 | 31.2 | 139 | 6.6 | 48 | 11.1 | 185 | 7.4 |
| Countable Resources ........... | 2,441 | 31.8 | 805 | 39.1 | 1,959 | 32.3 | 434 | 20.7 | 80 | 18.3 | 510 | 20.2 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Deduction ................... | 7,670 | 100.0 | 2,058 | 100.0 | 6,069 | 100.0 | 2,096 | 100.0 | 435 | 100.0 | 2,520 | 100.0 |
| Earned Income Deduction .... | 2,055 | 26.8 | 2,055 | 99.8 | 1,103 | 18.2 | 491 | 23.4 | 24 | 5.5 | 513 | 20.4 |
| Dependent Deduction .......... | 318 | 4.1 | 290 | 14.1 | 175 | 2.9 | 57 | 2.7 | 2 | 0.5 | 59 | 2.3 |
| Excess Shelter Deduction ..... | 4,690 | 61.1 | 1,166 | 56.7 | 3,835 | 63.2 | 1,315 | 62.7 | 311 | 71.5 | 1,622 | 64.3 |
| Medical Deduction .............. | 324 | 4.2 | 15 | 0.7 | 323 | 5.3 | 11 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.6 | 14 | 0.6 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10 or Less | 749 | 9.8 | 92 | 4.5 | 734 | 12.1 | 35 | 1.7 | 42 | 9.7 | 76 | 3.0 |
| 11-100 ............................... | 2,035 | 26.5 | 395 | 19.2 | 1,872 | 30.8 | 229 | 10.9 | 101 | 23.2 | 328 | 13.0 |
| 101-200 | 2,313 | 30.2 | 694 | 33.7 | 1,592 | 26.2 | 604 | 28.8 | 255 | 58.7 | 856 | 33.9 |
| 201-300 .............................. | 1,369 | 17.9 | 501 | 24.3 | 1,020 | 16.8 | 668 | 31.9 | 23 | 5.2 | 689 | 27.3 |
| 301 or More | 1,204 | 15.7 | 377 | 18.3 | 851 | 14.0 | 560 | 26.7 | 14 | 3.2 | 571 | 22.7 |
| Minimum Benefit ................ | 743 | 9.7 | 89 | 4.3 | 727 | 12.0 | 31 | 1.5 | 41 | 9.5 | 72 | 2.9 |
| Maximum Benefit ............... | 1,584 | 20.6 | 212 | 10.3 | 779 | 12.8 | 259 | 12.4 | 173 | 39.7 | 432 | 17.1 |
| Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 ....................................... | 3,130 | 40.8 | 257 | 12.5 | 2,607 | 43.0 | 96 | 4.6 | 352 | 81.0 | 448 | 17.8 |
| 2 ....................................... | 1,605 | 20.9 | 431 | 20.9 | 1,281 | 21.1 | 676 | 32.3 | 48 | 11.0 | 721 | 28.6 |
| 3 ....................................... | 1,274 | 16.6 | 528 | 25.6 | 950 | 15.7 | 586 | 28.0 | 16 | 3.6 | 600 | 23.8 |
| 4 ....................................... | 879 | 11.5 | 430 | 20.9 | 652 | 10.7 | 390 | 18.6 | 9 | 2.0 | 396 | 15.7 |
| 5 ....................................... | 444 | 5.8 | 238 | 11.6 | 320 | 5.3 | 190 | 9.1 | 6 | 1.4 | 195 | 7.7 |
| 6+ ..................................... | 338 | 4.4 | 175 | 8.5 | 259 | 4.3 | 158 | 7.5 | 5 | 1.1 | 161 | 6.4 |

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-23. Average Values of Selected Characteristics for Participating Households With Earned and Unearned Income

| Household Characteristic | Average Monthly Values for Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Earned Income | Unearned Income | TANF Income | GA Income | TANF or GA Income |
| Income and Countable Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income .................................... | 603 | 917 | 629 | 643 | 409 | 602 |
| Net Income | 338 | 529 | 358 | 379 | 172 | 342 |
| Earned Income | 198 | 736 | 116 | 137 | 29 | 119 |
| Unearned Income | 406 | 180 | 513 | 506 | 380 | 483 |
| TANF Income .................................... | 102 | 72 | 129 | 374 | 8 | 311 |
| GA Income ........................................ | 13 | 3 | 16 | 1 | 223 | 38 |
| SSI ................................................... | 119 | 36 | 151 | 86 | 89 | 86 |
| Social Security Income ....................... | 124 | 25 | 157 | 27 | 48 | 30 |
| Countable Resources .......................... | 142 | 166 | 149 | 97 | 70 | 91 |
| Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income .................................... | 61.9 | 76.3 | 67.0 | 53.8 | 52.7 | 53.5 |
| Net Income ....................................... | 33.3 | 42.4 | 36.6 | 30.4 | 20.5 | 28.6 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Deduction ................................ | 299 | 400 | 286 | 276 | 277 | 276 |
| Earned Income Deduction ..................... | 39 | 147 | 23 | 27 | 6 | 24 |
| Over Households With Deduction ........ | 147 | 147 | 127 | 117 | 105 | 116 |
| Dependent Deduction .......................... | 5 | 17 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 122 | 124 | 118 | 102 | 152 | 102 |
| Excess Shelter Deduction .................... | 113 | 97 | 116 | 110 | 134 | 114 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 184 | 171 | 184 | 175 | 187 | 177 |
| Medical Deduction .............................. | 5 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 125 | 209 | 125 | 60 | 74 | 62 |
| Food Stamp Benefit ................................ | 162 | 194 | 150 | 234 | 115 | 214 |
| Household Size ...................................... | 2.4 | 3.3 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 2.9 |
| Certification Period ................................ | 9.7 | 7.5 | 10.5 | 9.4 | 11.1 | 9.7 |

Table A-24. Distribution of Participating Households With Selected Household Characteristics by the Race and Citizenship of the Household Head

| Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Children |  | Elderly |  | Disabled |  | Earned Income |  | TANF or GA Income |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total | 7,670 | 100.0 | 4,275 | 100.0 | 1,543 | 100.0 | 2,031 | 100.0 | 2,058 | 100.0 | 2,520 | 100.0 |
| Race of Household Head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| African-American | 2,542 | 33.1 | 1,512 | 35.4 | 426 | 27.6 | 668 | 32.9 | 693 | 33.7 | 937 | 37.2 |
| Hispanic | 980 | 12.8 | 611 | 14.3 | 215 | 14.0 | 186 | 9.1 | 272 | 13.2 | 410 | 16.3 |
| Asian .... | 176 | 2.3 | 92 | 2.1 | 64 | 4.2 | 26 | 1.3 | 47 | 2.3 | 82 | 3.2 |
| Native American | 88 | 1.1 | 62 | 1.5 | 10 | 0.6 | 16 | 0.8 | 27 | 1.3 | 37 | 1.5 |
| Unknown ....... | 478 | 6.2 | 439 | 10.3 | 20 | 1.3 | 30 | 1.5 | 154 | 7.5 | 239 | 9.5 |
| Citizenship of Household Head ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Citizen .................................... | 6,822 | 88.9 | 3,641 | 85.2 | 1,390 | 90.1 | 1,929 | 95.0 | 1,813 | 88.1 | 2,130 | 84.5 |
| Non-Citizen | 369 | 4.8 | 189 | 4.4 | 136 | 8.8 | 68 | 3.3 | 95 | 4.6 | 148 | 5.9 |
| Unknown | 479 | 6.2 | 445 | 10.4 | 17 | 1.1 | 34 | 1.7 | 150 | 7.3 | 243 | 9.6 |

[^13]Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-25. Distribution of Participating Households With Presence of a Household Member With Selected Characteristics

| Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number(000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly |  | Disabled |  | Earned Income |  | TANF or GA Income |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ...................................................... | 7,670 | 100.0 | 4,275 | 100.0 | 1,543 | 100.0 | 2,031 | 100.0 | 2,058 | 100.0 | 2,520 | 100.0 |
| Employment Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Migrant Worker | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Military Employee ................................. | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Striker ................................................... | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Student ................................................ | 191 | 2.5 | 163 | 3.8 | 8 | 0.5 | 28 | 1.4 | 72 | 3.5 | 90 | 3.6 |
| Non-citizens ......................................... | 464 | 6.0 | 270 | 6.3 | 151 | 9.8 | 80 | 3.9 | 137 | 6.7 | 189 | 7.5 |
| Exemption Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In Waived Area ..................................... | 309 | 4.0 | 145 | 3.4 | 10 | 0.6 | 43 | 2.1 | 84 | 4.1 | 111 | 4.4 |
| Exempt Based on 15 Percent Option ......... | 20 | 0.3 | 12 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.2 | 6 | 0.3 | 7 | 0.3 |
| In First 3 Months ................................... | 62 | 0.8 | 12 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.3 | 15 | 0.7 | 6 | 0.2 |
| In Second 3 Months ................................ | 13 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.1 | - | - | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Exhausted Time Limits ........................... | 8 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Meeting work requirements .................... | 84 | 1.1 | 34 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.2 | 10 | 0.5 | 49 | 2.4 | 21 | 0.8 |
| In Non-Waived Area .............................. | 30 | 0.4 | 22 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.3 | 6 | 0.3 | 16 | 0.6 |

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-26. Distribution of Participating Households and Persons by Household Composition

| Household Composition | Participating Households |  | Participants in Households With Household Characteristic |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total | 7,670 | 100.0 | 18,149 | 100.0 |
| Single Adult Without Children ... | 2,985 | 38.9 | 2,985 | 16.4 |
| Female Head ......................... | 1,880 | 24.5 | 1,880 | 10.4 |
| Male Head | 1,094 | 14.3 | 1,094 | 6.0 |
| Unknown | 11 | 0.1 | 11 | 0.1 |
| Multiple Adults Without Children | 408 | 5.3 | 846 | 4.7 |
| Female Head, No Spouse ...... | 104 | 1.4 | 219 | 1.2 |
| Male Head, No Spouse ...... | 20 | 0.3 | 42 | 0.2 |
| Female Head, Spouse Present .. | 123 | 1.6 | 257 | 1.4 |
| Male Head, Spouse Present ...... | 159 | 2.1 | 325 | 1.8 |
| Unknown ............................. | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Single Adult With Children | 2,928 | 38.2 | 9,145 | 50.4 |
| Female Head | 2,741 | 35.7 | 8,565 | 47.2 |
| Male Head | 124 | 1.6 | 354 | 1.9 |
| Unknown | 63 | 0.8 | 226 | 1.2 |
| Multiple Adults With Children | 964 | 12.6 | 4,391 | 24.2 |
| Female Head, No Spouse ....... | 292 | 3.8 | 1,285 | 7.1 |
| Male Head, No Spouse ..... | 22 | 0.3 | 93 | 0.5 |
| Female Head, Spouse Present | 466 | 6.1 | 2,180 | 12.0 |
| Male Head, Spouse Present ...... | 176 | 2.3 | 796 | 4.4 |
| Unknown . | 8 | 0.1 | 36 | 0.2 |
| Children Only | 380 | 4.9 | 770 | 4.2 |
| Unknown | 5 | 0.1 | 12 | 0.1 |

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-27. Distribution of Participating Households by Household Size, Number of Elderly Persons, Disabled Persons, Children, Preschool Age Children, and School Age Children

| Household Characterictic | Total Households (000) | Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | $6+$ |
| Total ............................... | 7,670 | 3,130 | 1,605 | 1,274 | 879 | 444 | 338 |
| Number of Elderly |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 ................................ | 6,127 | 1,918 | 1,357 | 1,232 | 860 | 432 | 329 |
| 1 ................................. | 1,386 | 1,212 | 106 | 35 | 15 | 10 | 8 |
| 2 ................................. | 156 | * | 142 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| 3+ ................................ | 0 | * | * | - | 0 | - | - |
| Number of Disabled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 ................................. | 5,639 | 1,969 | 1,255 | 1,071 | 737 | 351 | 256 |
| 1 ................................. | 1,850 | 1,162 | 290 | 167 | 108 | 69 | 54 |
| 2 ................................. | 166 | * | 60 | 34 | 31 | 20 | 20 |
| 3+ ................................ | 15 | * | * | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 |
| Number of Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 ................................. | 3,395 | 2,986 | 385 | 20 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 ................................. | 1,489 | 144 | 1,075 | 239 | 27 | 3 | 1 |
| 2 ................................. | 1,412 | * | 144 | 964 | 281 | 21 | 2 |
| 3 ................................. | 809 | * | * | 52 | 542 | 197 | 18 |
| 4 ................................. | 348 | * | * | * | 25 | 216 | 107 |
| 5+ ............................... | 216 | * | * | * | * | 6 | 210 |
| Number of School Age Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 .................................. | 4,397 | 3,051 | 890 | 328 | 105 | 18 | 4 |
| 1 .................................. | 1,460 | 79 | 655 | 447 | 205 | 61 | 13 |
| 2 ................................ | 1,028 | * | 60 | 480 | 315 | 128 | 45 |
| 3 .................................. | 484 | * | * | 19 | 243 | 143 | 78 |
| 4 ................................. | 194 | * | * | * | 11 | 92 | 91 |
| 5+ ............................ | 107 | * | * | * | * | 2 | 105 |
| Number of Preschool Age Children |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 ................................... | 5,449 | 3,065 | 1,049 | 635 | 407 | 188 | 105 |
| 1 ................................. | 1,532 | 65 | 521 | 425 | 272 | 133 | 114 |
| 2 ............................... | 586 | * | 34 | 209 | 165 | 93 | 85 |
| 3 ................................. | 93 | * | * | 4 | 35 | 28 | 25 |
| 4 .................................. | 10 | * | * | * | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| 5+ ................................ | 1 | * | * | * | * | - | 1 |

* By definition these are mutually exclusive categories; therefore, no households will be found in these categories.
- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-28. Gender and Food Stamp Benefits of Participants by Selected Demographic Characteristic

| Participant Characteristic | Total Participants |  | Female Participants |  | Male Participants |  | Pro-rated Benefits ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Number (000) | Percent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Number (000) | Percent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dollars } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total ............................... | 18,149 | 100.0 | 10,878 | 59.9 | 7,226 | 39.8 | 1,240,988 | 100.0 |
| Citizenship ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Citizen ............................ | 17,323 | 95.4 | 10,400 | 57.3 | 6,885 | 37.9 | 1,185,053 | 95.5 |
| Non-Citizen ..................... | 745 | 4.1 | 421 | 2.3 | 317 | 1.7 | 50,053 | 4.0 |
| Unknown ......................... | 81 | 0.4 | 57 | 0.3 | 23 | 0.1 | 5,882 | 0.5 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Child | 9,354 | 51.5 | 4,654 | 25.6 | 4,676 | 25.8 | 657,164 | 53.0 |
| 4 or Less ......................... | 3,025 | 16.7 | 1,527 | 8.4 | 1,494 | 8.2 | 228,713 | 18.4 |
| 5-17 ......... | 6,329 | 34.9 | 3,127 | 17.2 | 3,183 | 17.5 | 428,451 | 34.5 |
| Nonelderly Adult ............... | 7,090 | 39.1 | 5,006 | 27.6 | 2,066 | 11.4 | 506,007 | 40.8 |
| 18-35 ............................. | 3,785 | 20.9 | 2,895 | 16.0 | 881 | 4.9 | 278,980 | 22.5 |
| 36-59 | 3,305 | 18.2 | 2,111 | 11.6 | 1,185 | 6.5 | 227,027 | 18.3 |
| Elderly (60 or More) .......... | 1,699 | 9.4 | 1,217 | 6.7 | 482 | 2.7 | 77,410 | 6.2 |
| Unknown Age ................... | 5 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 407 | 0.0 |
| Disabled ${ }^{\text {d }}$......................... | 2,229 | 12.3 | 1,317 | 7.3 | 912 | 5.0 | 107,299 | 8.6 |
| Children (0-17) ................ | 284 | 1.6 | 105 | 0.6 | 179 | 1.0 | 13,371 | 1.1 |
| Nonelderly Adults (18-59) | 1,707 | 9.4 | 1,055 | 5.8 | 651 | 3.6 | 83,083 | 6.7 |
| Elderly Adults (60-64) ....... | 238 | 1.3 | 157 | 0.9 | 81 | 0.4 | 10,845 | 0.9 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White .............................. | 7,363 | 40.6 | 4,412 | 24.3 | 2,948 | 16.2 | 481,524 | 38.8 |
| African-American ............ | 6,543 | 36.1 | 4,085 | 22.5 | 2,454 | 13.5 | 460,000 | 37.1 |
| Hispanic ......................... | 3,279 | 18.1 | 1,873 | 10.3 | 1,376 | 7.6 | 227,898 | 18.4 |
| Asian ............................. | 564 | 3.1 | 286 | 1.6 | 271 | 1.5 | 41,959 | 3.4 |
| Native American .............. | 281 | 1.5 | 155 | 0.9 | 126 | 0.7 | 20,546 | 1.7 |
| Unknown Race ................. | 119 | 0.7 | 66 | 0.4 | 51 | 0.3 | 9,060 | 0.7 |

[^14]c Because of an administrative change in how citizenship data were collected in the FSPQC, naturalized citizens, permanent resident aliens and individual classifications of permanent resident aliens cannot be identified. Citizenship tables in this report contain information only for citizens and non-citizens and therefore are not directly comparable with tables in previous Characteristics of Food Stamp Households Reports.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Disability status of nonelderly adults age 18 to 64 is determined partially on the basis of SSI and Social Security receipt. Because identifiers such as SSI and Social Security receipt are not valid indicators of disability for adults over age 64 (Social Security is not a valid indicator after age 61), disability status of adults over age 64 cannot be identifed accurately in the Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-29. Distribution of Participants by Thrifty Food Plan Sex-Age Groups and Household Size

| Participant Characteristic | Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ |
| Total ................................... | 18,149 | 3,130 | 3,209 | 3,823 | 3,516 | 2,219 | 1,268 | 508 | 475 |
| Children Under Age 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-2 years ....................... | 1,793 | 44 | 381 | 507 | 402 | 232 | 127 | 53 | 46 |
| 3-5 years ........................ | 1,900 | 31 | 303 | 524 | 473 | 286 | 163 | 56 | 63 |
| 6-8 years ........................ | 1,883 | 30 | 214 | 452 | 493 | 343 | 202 | 78 | 71 |
| 9-11 years ...................... | 1,552 | 14 | 151 | 343 | 421 | 283 | 179 | 87 | 74 |
| Females | 10,878 | 1,950 | 2,093 | 2,393 | 2,027 | 1,210 | 691 | 269 | 245 |
| 0-2 years ....................... | 894 | 22 | 200 | 254 | 194 | 116 | 62 | 24 | 23 |
| 3-5 years ........................ | 966 | 12 | 146 | 277 | 242 | 151 | 85 | 27 | 26 |
| 6-8 years ........................ | 928 | 11 | 97 | 228 | 243 | 166 | 104 | 42 | 35 |
| 9-11 years ....................... | 746 | 7 | 73 | 172 | 199 | 133 | 86 | 42 | 34 |
| 12-14 years ..................... | 613 | 5 | 77 | 132 | 158 | 107 | 72 | 30 | 33 |
| 15-19 years .................... | 778 | 31 | 177 | 182 | 160 | 94 | 68 | 32 | 34 |
| 20-50 years ..................... | 4,199 | 589 | 988 | 1,069 | 797 | 423 | 203 | 70 | 58 |
| 51+ years ......................... | 1,753 | 1,272 | 335 | 79 | 32 | 19 | 11 | 2 | 2 |
| Unknown Age .................. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - | - |
| Males | 7,226 | 1,176 | 1,107 | 1,420 | 1,479 | 1,004 | 577 | 233 | 230 |
| 0-2 years ........................ | 896 | 21 | 181 | 253 | 207 | 115 | 65 | 30 | 23 |
| 3-5 years ......................... | 931 | 19 | 154 | 247 | 232 | 134 | 78 | 30 | 37 |
| 6-8 years ........................ | 951 | 18 | 116 | 223 | 249 | 175 | 98 | 36 | 36 |
| 9-11 years ...................... | 800 | 7 | 77 | 171 | 219 | 151 | 93 | 43 | 40 |
| 12-14 years ..................... | 626 | 6 | 83 | 139 | 142 | 119 | 74 | 29 | 34 |
| 15-19 years .................... | 621 | 15 | 101 | 139 | 144 | 104 | 65 | 27 | 26 |
| 20-50 years ..................... | 1,588 | 627 | 152 | 202 | 251 | 191 | 98 | 36 | 32 |
| 51+ years ......................... | 812 | 462 | 243 | 44 | 35 | 15 | 7 | 3 | 2 |
| Unknown Age .................. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | - | - |

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-30. Distribution of Household Heads, All Participants, and Nonelderly Adult Participants by Work Registration Status and Employment Status

| Employment/Work Registration Status | Household Heads ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | All Participants |  | Nonelderly Adult Participants |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ............................................................... | 7,670 | 100.0 | 18,149 | 100.0 | 7,090 | 100.0 |
| Work Registration Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Registered for Work | 1,322 | 17.2 | 1,729 | 9.5 | 1,683 | 23.7 |
| Not Registered for Work and Not Exempt ............ | 104 | 1.4 | 180 | 1.0 | 158 | 2.2 |
| Exempt | 5,799 | 75.6 | 15,907 | 87.6 | 5,236 | 73.8 |
| Under or Over Required Age ............................ | 1,234 | 16.1 | 9,667 | 53.3 | 47 | 0.7 |
| Under 18 and Student, Working, or in E\&T Program | 7 | 0.1 | 498 | 2.7 | 41 | 0.6 |
| Disabled | 2,135 | 27.8 | 2,502 | 13.8 | 2,136 | 30.1 |
| Complying with Work for Another Program ........ | 173 | 2.3 | 223 | 1.2 | 205 | 2.9 |
| Caretaker of Ill or Incapacitated Person .............. | 83 | 1.1 | 113 | 0.6 | 110 | 1.5 |
| Caretaker of Dependent Child Under Age 6 ......... | 1,071 | 14.0 | 1,244 | 6.9 | 1,198 | 16.9 |
| Recipient of UI | 55 | 0.7 | 77 | 0.4 | 76 | 1.1 |
| In Drug and Alcohol Treatment ......................... | 39 | 0.5 | 41 | 0.2 | 39 | 0.6 |
| Employed at Least 30 Hours per Week ............... | 756 | 9.9 | 1,027 | 5.7 | 1,019 | 14.4 |
| Student ............................................................ | 51 | 0.7 | 187 | 1.0 | 117 | 1.6 |
| Other | 194 | 2.5 | 329 | 1.8 | 248 | 3.5 |
| Unknown | 445 | 5.8 | 332 | 1.8 | 14 | 0.2 |
| Workfare Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Participating on Workfare Program .................... | 199 | 2.6 | 251 | 1.4 | 233 | 3.3 |
| Participating in Comparable Program ................ | 147 | 1.9 | 231 | 1.3 | 174 | 2.5 |
| Not Participating ............................................ | 6,818 | 88.9 | 17,017 | 93.8 | 6,600 | 93.1 |
| Unknown ....................................................... | 506 | 6.6 | 651 | 3.6 | 83 | 1.2 |
| Employment Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employed Full-Time ........................................ | 738 | 9.6 | 966 | 5.3 | 957 | 13.5 |
| Employed Part-Time ....................................... | 576 | 7.5 | 717 | 4.0 | 676 | 9.5 |
| Employed, Hours Unspecified ........................... | 101 | 1.3 | 125 | 0.7 | 120 | 1.7 |
| Migrant Farm Labor | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Primarily Self-Employed, Farming ................... | 4 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.1 |
| Primarily Self-Employed, Nonfarming | 50 | 0.6 | 75 | 0.4 | 72 | 1.0 |
| Active Duty Military Service | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Unemployed ................................................... | 367 | 4.8 | 535 | 2.9 | 439 | 6.2 |
| Not Employed ................................................ | 4,965 | 64.7 | 13,588 | 74.9 | 4,301 | 60.7 |
| Unknown | 868 | 11.3 | 2,134 | 11.8 | 516 | 7.3 |

[^15]Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-31. Distribution of Participants by Age-Related Characteristics

| Age-Related Characteristic | Participants |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total .................... | 18,149 | 100.0 |
| Children | 9,354 | 51.5 |
| Preschool Age ................................................ | 3,025 | 16.7 |
| School Age .................................................... | 6,329 | 34.9 |
| Nonelderly Adults ........................................... | 7,090 | 39.1 |
| Adults with Children ........................................ | 4,838 | 26.7 |
| Single Adults | 2,871 | 15.8 |
| Disabled | 304 | 1.7 |
| Living With Disabled | 130 | 0.7 |
| Other ......................................................... | 2,437 | 13.4 |
| Married Adults ............................................... | 1,315 | 7.2 |
| Living With Elderly ..................................... | 23 | 0.1 |
| Disabled | 139 | 0.8 |
| Living With Disabled | 152 | 0.8 |
| Other | 1,001 | 5.5 |
| Other Multiple-Adults | 652 | 3.6 |
| Living With Elderly | 28 | 0.2 |
| Disabled ..... | 78 | 0.4 |
| Living With Disabled | 95 | 0.5 |
| Other | 451 | 2.5 |
| Adults without Children | 2,253 | 12.4 |
| Single Adults | 1,773 | 9.8 |
| Disabled | 988 | 5.4 |
| Other | 786 | 4.3 |
| Married Adults | 255 | 1.4 |
| Living With Elderly | 48 | 0.3 |
| Disabled | 92 | 0.5 |
| Living With Disabled .................................. | 47 | 0.3 |
| Other ................ | 68 | 0.4 |
| Other Multiple-Adults ................................... | 224 | 1.2 |
| Living With Elderly ..................................... | 37 | 0.2 |
| Disabled | 59 | 0.3 |
| Living With Disabled | 39 | 0.2 |
| Other ....................................................... | 88 | 0.5 |
| Elderly Adults ................................................ | 1,699 | 9.4 |
| Unknown Age ................................................. | 5 | 0.0 |

[^16]| Time Period | Total Households (000) | Percentage of Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Zero Gross Income | Zero Net Income | Minimum Food Stamp Benefit | Elderly | Children | School Age Children | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFDC/ } \\ & \text { TANF } \end{aligned}$ | Earnings | SSI | Any NonCitizen |
| Fiscal Year 1989 .... | 7,217 | 7.1 | 18.3 | 7.5 | 19.3 | 60.4 | 46.1 | 41.9 | 19.6 | 20.6 | 9.8 |
| Fiscal Year 1990 ..... | 7,811 | 7.4 | 19.3 | 5.0 | 18.1 | 60.3 | 45.3 | 42.0 | 19.0 | 19.6 | 10.3 |
| Fiscal Year $1991 . . .$. | 8,863 | 8.3 | 20.5 | 4.1 | 16.5 | 60.4 | 44.8 | 40.5 | 19.8 | 18.6 | 11.8 |
| Fiscal Year 1992 ..... | 10,059 | 9.6 | 21.9 | 3.6 | 15.4 | 62.2 | 43.5 | 39.5 | 20.2 | 18.4 | 10.4 |
| Fiscal Year $1993 . . .$. | 10,791 | 9.7 | 23.7 | 4.0 | 15.5 | 62.1 | 43.7 | 39.4 | 20.6 | 19.4 | 11.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1994.... | 11,091 | 10.2 | 23.8 | 4.5 | 15.8 | 61.1 | 43.0 | 38.1 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 10.7 |
| Fiscal Year $1995 . . .$. | 10,883 | 9.7 | 25.0 | 4.3 | 16.0 | 59.7 | 42.5 | 38.3 | 21.4 | 22.6 | 10.7 |
| Fiscal Year $1996 . . .$. | 10,552 | 10.2 | 24.9 | 4.5 | 16.2 | 59.5 | 43.0 | 36.6 | 22.5 | 24.1 | 10.5 |
| Fiscal Year $1997 . . .$. | 9,452 | 9.2 | 22.7 | 6.6 | 17.6 | 58.3 | 43.5 | 34.6 | 24.2 | 26.5 | 8.4 |
| Fiscal Year $1998 . . .$. | 8,246 | 8.8 | 20.8 | 8.3 | 18.2 | 58.3 | 44.2 | 31.4 | 26.3 | 28.1 | 4.3 |
| Fiscal Year $1999 . . .$. | 7,670 | 8.5 | 20.6 | 9.7 | 20.1 | 55.7 | 42.7 | 27.3 | 26.8 | 30.2 | 4.8 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Fiscal year analysis files were not developed for the years prior to 1989 .
Source: Fiscal Year 1989 to 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control samples.

Table A-33. Comparison of Average Nominal and Real Values of Key Food Stamp Household Characteristics for Fiscal Years 1989 to 1999

|  | Average Monthly Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Household Size (Persons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross Income (Dollars) |  | Net Income (Dollars) |  | Total Deduction (Dollars) |  | Countable Resources (Dollars) |  | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) |  | Gross Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guidance (Percent) |  |
| Time Period | Nominal Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Real } \\ \text { Value }^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | Nominal Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Real } \\ \text { Value }^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | Nominal Value | Real Value ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Nominal Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Real } \\ \text { Valuea }^{a} \end{gathered}$ | Nominal Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Real } \\ \text { Value } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Fiscal Year 1989 | 442 | 594 | 247 | 332 | 216 | 290 | 79 | 106 | 132 | 175 | 60 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1990 ....... | 453 | 577 | 251 | 320 | 225 | 287 | 79 | 101 | 150 | 186 | 59 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1991 ....... | 464 | 568 | 253 | 309 | 235 | 287 | 78 | 95 | 162 | 196 | 58 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1992 ........ | 478 | 568 | 258 | 306 | 250 | 297 | 78 | 93 | 170 | 204 | 57 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1993 ....... | 490 | 565 | 258 | 297 | 262 | 302 | 77 | 89 | 170 | 199 | 56 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1994 ........ | 507 | 570 | 268 | 301 | 272 | 306 | 81 | 91 | 168 | 191 | 57 | 2.5 |
| Fiscal Year 1995 ........ | 514 | 562 | 265 | 290 | 283 | 309 | 83 | 91 | 172 | 190 | 56 | 2.5 |
| Fiscal Year 1996 ....... | 528 | 561 | 275 | 292 | 287 | 305 | 93 | 99 | 174 | 185 | 57 | 2.5 |
| Fiscal Year 1997 ........ | 558 | 579 | 299 | 310 | 291 | 302 | 92 | 95 | 169 | 176 | 58 | 2.4 |
| Fiscal Year 1998 ....... | 584 | 597 | 321 | 328 | 294 | 300 | 118 | 121 | 165 | 168 | 60 | 2.4 |
| Fiscal Year $1999 . . . . . .$. | 603 | 603 | 338 | 338 | 299 | 299 | 142 | 142 | 162 | 162 | 62 | 2.4 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Real values are in constant 1999 dollars adjusted by changes in the CPI-U for all items.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Real values are in constant 1999 dollars adjusted by changes in the CPI-U for food at home.
Source of CPI-U values: Economic Report of the President, Washington, DC, February 2000.
Source of nominal values: Fiscal Year 1989 to 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control samples.

Table A-34. Comparison of Number of Food Stamp Participants in Thousands by Gender and Age for Fiscal Years 1989 to 1999

|  | Total <br> Participants ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ <br> (000) | Female |  |  |  | Male |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0-17 | 18--59 | 60+ | Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0-17 | 18-59 | 60+ | Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | 18,956 | 4,681 | 5,359 | 1,132 | 11,334 | 4,761 | 2,262 | 429 | 7,612 |
| Fiscal Year 1990 ...................... | 20,440 | 4,998 | 5,802 | 1,139 | 12,169 | 5,141 | 2,442 | 435 | 8,265 |
|  | 22,988 | 5,952 | 6,556 | 1,171 | 13,679 | 6,008 | 2,840 | 452 | 9,300 |
| Fiscal Year 1992 ....................... | 25,775 | 6,618 | 7,348 | 1,235 | 15,204 | 6,746 | 3,350 | 468 | 10,566 |
| Fiscal Year 1993 ....................... | 27,595 | 7,080 | 7,855 | 1,334 | 16,276 | 7,131 | 3,643 | 536 | 11,316 |
|  | 28,009 | 7,102 | 7,949 | 1,389 | 16,453 | 7,305 | 3,666 | 566 | 11,552 |
| Fiscal Year 1995 ....................... | 26,955 | 6,927 | 7,714 | 1,369 | 16,025 | 6,952 | 3,403 | 554 | 10,926 |
|  | 25,926 | 6,573 | 7,427 | 1,354 | 15,373 | 6,639 | 3,355 | 541 | 10,549 |
| Fiscal Year 1997 ....................... | 23,117 | 5,950 | 6,588 | 1,328 | 13,880 | 5,918 | 2,796 | 506 | 9,233 |
|  | 19,969 | 5,258 | 5,505 | 1,197 | 11,967 | 5,258 | 2,236 | 430 | 7,926 |
|  | 18,149 | 4,654 | 5,006 | 1,217 | 10,878 | 4,676 | 2,066 | 482 | 7,226 |

9
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Total participants may not equal the sum of male and female participants if there are persons whose sex was not coded on the file.
Source: Fiscal Year 1989 to 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control samples.

## APPENDIX B

DETAILED TABLES OF FOOD STAMP HOUSEHOLDS BY STATE

Table B-1. Distribution of Participating Households by State

| State | Number (000) | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$................ | 7,670 | 100.0 |
| Alabama .................. | 159 | 2.1 |
| Alaska .................... | 14 | 0.2 |
| Arizona ................... | 95 | 1.2 |
| Arkansas .................. | 100 | 1.3 |
| California ................ | 746 | 9.7 |
| Colorado .................. | 76 | 1.0 |
| Connecticut ............. | 88 | 1.1 |
| Delaware ................ | 14 | 0.2 |
| Dist. of Col. ............. | 37 | 0.5 |
| Florida ................... | 427 | 5.6 |
| Georgia .................... | 251 | 3.3 |
| Guam ...................... | 6 | 0.1 |
| Hawaii .................... | 56 | 0.7 |
| Idaho ..................... | 23 | 0.3 |
| Illinois | 352 | 4.6 |
| Indiana ..................... | 126 | 1.6 |
| Iowa ....................... | 54 | 0.7 |
| Kansas .................... | 52 | 0.7 |
| Kentucky ................ | 159 | 2.1 |
| Louisiana ................. | 198 | 2.6 |
| Maine ..................... | 54 | 0.7 |
| Maryland ................. | 117 | 1.5 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 122 | 1.6 |
| Michigan ................. | 297 | 3.9 |
| Minnesota ................ | 94 | 1.2 |
| Mississippi .............. | 115 | 1.5 |
| Missouri ................. | 172 | 2.2 |
| Montana ................. | 25 | 0.3 |
| Nebraska ................. | 38 | 0.5 |
| Nevada ................... | 29 | 0.4 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 18 | 0.2 |
| New Jersey .............. | 170 | 2.2 |
| New Mexico ............ | 66 | 0.9 |
| New York ................ | 749 | 9.8 |
| North Carolina ......... | 215 | 2.8 |
| North Dakota ............ | 14 | 0.2 |
| Ohio ........................ | 293 | 3.8 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 113 | 1.5 |
| Oregon .................... | 107 | 1.4 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 373 | 4.9 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 34 | 0.4 |
| South Carolina ......... | 127 | 1.6 |
| South Dakota ............ | 16 | 0.2 |
| Tennessee ................ | 220 | 2.9 |
| Texas ...................... | 515 | 6.7 |
| Utah ........................ | 35 | 0.5 |
| Vermont .................. | 21 | 0.3 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 5 | 0.1 |
| Virginia .................. | 159 | 2.1 |
| Washington .............. | 137 | 1.8 |
| West Virginia ........... | 103 | 1.3 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 72 | 0.9 |
| Wyoming ................ | 9 | 0.1 |

${ }^{a}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-2. Average Monthly Values of Selected Characteristics by State

| State | Average Monthly Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross Income (Dollars) | Net Income (Dollars) | Total Deduction (Dollars) | Countable <br> Resources <br> (Dollars) | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) | Household Size (Persons) | Certification Period (Months) |
| Total | 603 | 338 | 299 | 142 | 162 | 2.4 | 9.7 |
| Alabama ................ | 599 | 335 | 305 | 110 | 182 | 2.6 | 10.7 |
| Alaska .................... | 951 | 587 | 418 | 188 | 267 | 2.9 | 11.1 |
| Arizona ................... | 576 | 317 | 297 | 104 | 205 | 2.8 | 4.8 |
| Arkansas .................. | 594 | 360 | 277 | 138 | 173 | 2.6 | 14.5 |
| California ................ | 631 | 400 | 261 | 187 | 181 | 2.8 | 12.3 |
| Colorado .................. | 600 | 332 | 304 | 226 | 153 | 2.2 | 9.5 |
| Connecticut ............. | 587 | 336 | 294 | 145 | 138 | 2.1 | 14.1 |
| Delaware ................. | 580 | 295 | 327 | 74 | 182 | 2.5 | 9.8 |
| Dist. of Col. .............. | 402 | 223 | 219 | 17 | 181 | 2.2 | 11.3 |
| Florida .................... | 573 | 304 | 301 | 241 | 144 | 2.1 | 7.6 |
| Georgia ................... | 590 | 342 | 283 | 118 | 171 | 2.5 | 7.1 |
| Guam ...................... | 599 | 262 | 402 | 50 | 422 | 3.2 | 6.3 |
| Hawaii .................... | 690 | 388 | 327 | 293 | 275 | 2.3 | 11.9 |
| Idaho ...................... | 730 | 452 | 331 | 192 | 148 | 2.6 | 7.7 |
| Illinois .................... | 563 | 311 | 292 | 117 | 162 | 2.3 | 12.6 |
| Indiana .................... | 586 | 333 | 294 | 211 | 167 | 2.4 | 7.9 |
| Iowa ........................ | 620 | 370 | 277 | 269 | 146 | 2.3 | 11.2 |
| Kansas .................... | 640 | 377 | 292 | 153 | 138 | 2.3 | 12.4 |
| Kentucky ................. | 589 | 376 | 241 | 189 | 152 | 2.4 | 11.5 |
| Louisiana ................. | 559 | 316 | 277 | 111 | 191 | 2.6 | 9.7 |
| Maine ..................... | 642 | 347 | 321 | 136 | 125 | 2.0 | 7.9 |
| Maryland ................. | 537 | 281 | 297 | 74 | 174 | 2.3 | 8.0 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 646 | 356 | 311 | 135 | 135 | 2.1 | 11.2 |
| Michigan ................. | 648 | 331 | 349 | 69 | 156 | 2.3 | 12.8 |
| Minnesota ................ | 463 | 274 | 295 | 230 | 151 | 2.0 | 11.8 |
| Mississippi .............. | 615 | 396 | 242 | 132 | 158 | 2.5 | 10.5 |
| Missouri .................. | 591 | 342 | 286 | 134 | 159 | 2.4 | 8.5 |
| Montana .................. | 618 | 332 | 331 | 255 | 163 | 2.4 | 11.6 |
| Nebraska ................. | 654 | 381 | 300 | 160 | 149 | 2.4 | 10.6 |
| Nevada ................... | 564 | 279 | 324 | 80 | 153 | 2.1 | 8.3 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 671 | 361 | 339 | 225 | 142 | 2.2 | 7.6 |
| New Jersey .............. | 563 | 267 | 327 | 47 | 169 | 2.2 | 9.4 |
| New Mexico ............ | 654 | 406 | 272 | 125 | 169 | 2.7 | 6.5 |
| New York ................ | 642 | 266 | 412 | 30 | 153 | 2.1 | 10.1 |
| North Carolina ......... | 606 | 365 | 267 | 178 | 152 | 2.4 | 7.5 |
| North Dakota ............ | 668 | 387 | 314 | 413 | 146 | 2.4 | 8.8 |
| Ohio ....................... | 619 | 369 | 270 | 148 | 132 | 2.2 | 8.1 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 595 | 368 | 264 | 100 | 156 | 2.4 | 9.5 |
| Oregon .................... | 579 | 322 | 302 | 298 | 141 | 2.1 | 8.5 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 615 | 359 | 284 | 186 | 142 | 2.2 | 11.7 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 632 | 371 | 284 | 186 | 142 | 2.2 | 10.5 |
| South Carolina ......... | 599 | 369 | 257 | 116 | 158 | 2.4 | 13.2 |
| South Dakota ............ | 615 | 323 | 335 | 235 | 185 | 2.6 | 14.8 |
| Tennessee ................ | 579 | 351 | 258 | 202 | 142 | 2.2 | 6.9 |
| Texas ...................... | 571 | 326 | 279 | 87 | 195 | 2.7 | 5.7 |
| Utah ........................ | 638 | 354 | 322 | 167 | 186 | 2.7 | 5.8 |
| Vermont .................. | 647 | 353 | 335 | 187 | 133 | 2.1 | 10.7 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 481 | 285 | 247 | 114 | 312 | 2.9 | 6.9 |
| Virginia .................. | 607 | 364 | 268 | 223 | 141 | 2.2 | 7.8 |
| Washington ............. | 635 | 346 | 312 | 129 | 149 | 2.3 | 10.1 |
| West Virginia .......... | 569 | 315 | 293 | 153 | 164 | 2.3 | 9.4 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 696 | 434 | 300 | 172 | 145 | 2.4 | 6.1 |
| Wyoming ................. | 667 | 391 | 307 | 309 | 161 | 2.5 | 6.1 |

[^17]Table B-3. Distribution of Participating Households by Poverty Status and by State

| State | Gross Income as a Percentage of the Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | 50\% or Less |  | 51\%-100\% |  | 101\% or More |  |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$..................... | 7,670 | 100.0 | 2,647 | 34.5 | 4,200 | 54.8 | 823 | 10.7 |
| Alabama ................ | 159 | 100.0 | 56 | 35.4 | 88 | 55.3 | 15 | 9.3 |
| Alaska ..................... | 14 | 100.0 | 4 | 30.5 | 6 | 43.7 | 4 | 25.8 |
| Arizona ................... | 95 | 100.0 | 45 | 47.3 | 41 | 43.3 | 9 | 9.5 |
| Arkansas .................. | 100 | 100.0 | 37 | 37.2 | 52 | 52.2 | 11 | 10.6 |
| California ................ | 746 | 100.0 | 295 | 39.6 | 391 | 52.5 | 59 | 8.0 |
| Colorado .................. | 76 | 100.0 | 26 | 33.8 | 41 | 53.4 | 10 | 12.8 |
| Connecticut .............. | 88 | 100.0 | 33 | 37.6 | 41 | 46.1 | 14 | 16.3 |
| Delaware ................. | 14 | 100.0 | 6 | 43.1 | 7 | 46.6 | 1 | 10.4 |
| Dist. of Col. ............. | 37 | 100.0 | 25 | 66.6 | 11 | 28.5 | 2 | 4.9 |
| Florida .................... | 427 | 100.0 | 132 | 30.9 | 251 | 58.9 | 43 | 10.2 |
| Georgia ................... | 251 | 100.0 | 93 | 37.1 | 130 | 51.9 | 28 | 11.0 |
| Guam ...................... | 6 | 100.0 | 3 | 49.9 | 3 | 42.8 | 0 | 7.3 |
| Hawaii .................... | 56 | 100.0 | 20 | 36.4 | 31 | 55.2 | 5 | 8.5 |
| Idaho ...................... | 23 | 100.0 | 5 | 24.4 | 13 | 57.5 | 4 | 18.1 |
| Illinois .................... | 352 | 100.0 | 136 | 38.6 | 182 | 51.7 | 34 | 9.6 |
| Indiana .................... | 126 | 100.0 | 47 | 37.3 | 63 | 49.9 | 16 | 12.8 |
| Iowa ........................ | 54 | 100.0 | 18 | 33.1 | 29 | 53.9 | 7 | 12.9 |
| Kansas .................... | 52 | 100.0 | 15 | 28.6 | 30 | 57.8 | 7 | 13.6 |
| Kentucky ................. | 159 | 100.0 | 54 | 33.6 | 93 | 58.1 | 13 | 8.3 |
| Louisiana ................. | 198 | 100.0 | 82 | 41.6 | 101 | 51.3 | 14 | 7.2 |
| Maine ..................... | 54 | 100.0 | 13 | 23.5 | 32 | 60.4 | 9 | 16.1 |
| Maryland ................. | 117 | 100.0 | 55 | 46.6 | 53 | 44.8 | 10 | 8.6 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 122 | 100.0 | 34 | 27.7 | 71 | 58.7 | 17 | 13.6 |
| Michigan ................. | 297 | 100.0 | 88 | 29.4 | 175 | 58.9 | 35 | 11.7 |
| Minnesota ................ | 94 | 100.0 | 40 | 42.3 | 44 | 47.0 | 10 | 10.7 |
| Mississippi .............. | 115 | 100.0 | 34 | 29.3 | 72 | 62.8 | 9 | 7.9 |
| Missouri ................. | 172 | 100.0 | 59 | 34.2 | 94 | 54.3 | 20 | 11.5 |
| Montana ................. | 25 | 100.0 | 9 | 34.3 | 14 | 54.5 | 3 | 11.2 |
| Nebraska ................. | 38 | 100.0 | 12 | 30.3 | 21 | 54.6 | 6 | 15.1 |
| Nevada ................... | 29 | 100.0 | 10 | 34.9 | 15 | 52.4 | 4 | 12.6 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 18 | 100.0 | 4 | 23.3 | 11 | 61.6 | 3 | 15.1 |
| New Jersey .............. | 170 | 100.0 | 74 | 43.5 | 80 | 47.1 | 16 | 9.3 |
| New Mexico ............ | 66 | 100.0 | 24 | 36.1 | 36 | 54.6 | 6 | 9.3 |
| New York ................ | 749 | 100.0 | 175 | 23.4 | 479 | 63.9 | 95 | 12.7 |
| North Carolina ......... | 215 | 100.0 | 68 | 31.5 | 123 | 57.3 | 24 | 11.2 |
| North Dakota ............ | 14 | 100.0 | 4 | 28.8 | 8 | 56.1 | 2 | 15.1 |
| Ohio ........................ | 293 | 100.0 | 84 | 28.7 | 174 | 59.3 | 35 | 12.1 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 113 | 100.0 | 40 | 34.9 | 62 | 54.6 | 12 | 10.6 |
| Oregon .................... | 107 | 100.0 | 39 | 36.0 | 56 | 52.2 | 13 | 11.8 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 373 | 100.0 | 131 | 35.0 | 198 | 53.0 | 45 | 12.0 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 34 | 100.0 | 14 | 40.0 | 17 | 50.7 | 3 | 9.2 |
| South Carolina ......... | 127 | 100.0 | 40 | 31.8 | 76 | 59.8 | 11 | 8.4 |
| South Dakota ............ | 16 | 100.0 | 6 | 35.2 | 9 | 52.4 | 2 | 12.4 |
| Tennessee ................ | 220 | 100.0 | 69 | 31.5 | 125 | 56.6 | 26 | 11.9 |
| Texas ...................... | 515 | 100.0 | 220 | 42.7 | 248 | 48.1 | 48 | 9.3 |
| Utah ........................ | 35 | 100.0 | 14 | 39.6 | 18 | 49.8 | 4 | 10.6 |
| Vermont .................. | 21 | 100.0 | 5 | 23.4 | 12 | 59.7 | 4 | 16.9 |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 5 | 100.0 | 3 | 64.2 | 1 | 26.3 | 1 | 9.5 |
| Virginia .................. | 159 | 100.0 | 49 | 30.7 | 91 | 57.4 | 19 | 11.9 |
| Washington ............. | 137 | 100.0 | 41 | 30.1 | 83 | 60.6 | 13 | 9.3 |
| West Virginia .......... | 103 | 100.0 | 37 | 36.1 | 57 | 55.1 | 9 | 8.8 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 72 | 100.0 | 19 | 26.5 | 38 | 52.5 | 15 | 21.0 |
| Wyoming ................. | 9 | 100.0 | 3 | 30.3 | 5 | 54.5 | 1 | 15.2 |

[^18]Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-4. Distribution of Participating Households by Shelter-Related Characteristics and by State

| State | Households With Shelter Deduction |  | Households at the Shelter Cap |  | Average Monthly Shelter Expense (Dollars) | Average Shelter Deduction ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Dollars) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$.... | 4,690 | 61.1 | 828 | 10.8 | 310 | 184 |
| Alabama ............... | 103 | 64.5 | 11 | 7.1 | 280 | 152 |
| Alaska ................ | 7 | 52.7 | 1 | 4.5 | 382 | 232 |
| Arizona .................. | 54 | 57.4 | 11 | 11.1 | 273 | 173 |
| Arkansas ................. | 50 | 50.1 | 6 | 5.7 | 244 | 154 |
| California ................ | 430 | 57.6 | 64 | 8.5 | 273 | 152 |
| Colorado ................. | 49 | 64.1 | 9 | 11.8 | 320 | 181 |
| Connecticut ............. | 54 | 61.2 | 17 | 19.0 | 353 | 220 |
| Delaware .... | 10 | 66.4 | 2 | 16.6 | 330 | 206 |
| Dist. of Col. ............. | 16 | 41.8 | 2 | 4.9 | 176 | 157 |
| Florida .................... | 286 | 67.0 | 34 | 7.9 | 314 | 182 |
| Georgia ................... | 138 | 54.8 | 16 | 6.3 | 257 | 147 |
| Guam ...................... | 3 | 55.2 | 0 | 5.1 | 207 | 154 |
| Hawaii ..................... | 28 | 49.1 | 2 | 4.3 | 258 | 181 |
| Idaho .. | 11 | 50.6 | 2 | 10.3 | 308 | 166 |
| Illinois | 216 | 61.5 | 27 | 7.6 | 297 | 176 |
| Indiana .................... | 76 | 60.8 | 13 | 10.0 | 284 | 163 |
| Iowa ....................... | 30 | 56.1 | 5 | 9.6 | 281 | 157 |
| Kansas ..................... | 33 | 62.5 | 5 | 8.7 | 294 | 154 |
| Kentucky ................. | 74 | 46.7 | 8 | 5.1 | 225 | 131 |
| Louisiana ................ | 110 | 55.6 | 13 | 6.3 | 243 | 147 |
| Maine ..................... | 37 | 68.7 | 6 | 11.9 | 379 | 212 |
| Maryland ................. | 73 | 62.1 | 12 | 10.2 | 287 | 185 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 83 | 68.4 | 18 | 14.6 | 393 | 218 |
| Michigan ................. | 211 | 70.8 | 51 | 17.2 | 377 | 208 |
| Minnesota ................ | 58 | 61.3 | 16 | 17.3 | 293 | 195 |
| Mississippi .............. | 45 | 39.0 | 3 | 3.0 | 199 | 124 |
| Missouri ................. | 107 | 62.1 | 16 | 9.0 | 285 | 156 |
| Montana .................. | 19 | 73.4 | 4 | 13.8 | 347 | 181 |
| Nebraska ................. | 25 | 66.7 | 4 | 10.8 | 316 | 157 |
| Nevada ................... | 20 | 70.0 | 4 | 13.5 | 344 | 202 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 14 | 76.9 | 4 | 20.6 | 444 | 213 |
| New Jersey .............. | 119 | 70.0 | 27 | 15.8 | 364 | 228 |
| New Mexico ............ | 33 | 50.2 | 4 | 6.2 | 250 | 153 |
| New York ................ | 624 | 83.3 | 204 | 27.3 | 540 | 295 |
| North Carolina ......... | 115 | 53.8 | 15 | 7.0 | 258 | 142 |
| North Dakota ............ | 8 | 57.5 | 1 | 8.9 | 289 | 170 |
| Ohio ....................... | 165 | 56.3 | 22 | 7.6 | 288 | 163 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 58 | 51.1 | 8 | 7.4 | 253 | 142 |
| Oregon .................... | 65 | 60.9 | 15 | 13.9 | 299 | 174 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 223 | 59.9 | 32 | 8.7 | 287 | 158 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 19 | 55.6 | 4 | 12.8 | 331 | 207 |
| South Carolina ......... | 64 | 50.8 | 6 | 5.1 | 219 | 128 |
| South Dakota ............ | 11 | 64.3 | 2 | 13.4 | 322 | 195 |
| Tennessee ................ | 112 | 51.1 | 11 | 4.8 | 247 | 147 |
| Texas ...................... | 267 | 51.9 | 34 | 6.6 | 227 | 141 |
| Utah ........................ | 23 | 66.4 | 6 | 16.8 | 348 | 184 |
| Vermont .................. | 14 | 67.2 | 4 | 19.5 | 392 | 224 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 2 | 34.5 | 0 | 5.7 | 134 | 92 |
| Virginia .................. | 84 | 52.9 | 9 | 5.4 | 252 | 147 |
| Washington ............. | 98 | 71.1 | 20 | 14.6 | 362 | 191 |
| West Virginia .......... | 69 | 67.2 | 8 | 7.7 | 292 | 167 |
| Wisconsin ............... | 41 | 57.1 | 9 | 12.5 | 339 | 178 |
| Wyoming ................ | 5 | 54.9 | 1 | 11.1 | 280 | 157 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Over households with a shelter deduction.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.
Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-5. Distribution of Participating Households by Selected Characteristics and by State

| State | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Children |  | Elderly |  | Disabled |  | Earned Income |  | TANF or GA |  |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4,275 | 55.7 | 1,543 | 20.1 | 2,031 | 26.5 | 2,058 | 26.8 | 2,520 | 32.9 |
| Alabama ................ | 98 | 61.7 | 33 | 20.7 | 50 | 31.1 | 49 | 30.8 | 16 | 9.9 |
| Alaska | 9 | 61.9 | 2 | 13.1 | 3 | 18.2 | 5 | 37.6 | 9 | 64.5 |
| Arizona ................... | 63 | 66.4 | 12 | 12.5 | 22 | 23.1 | 32 | 33.5 | 29 | 30.6 |
| Arkansas ................. | 57 | 56.9 | 23 | 22.9 | 28 | 28.1 | 29 | 29.0 | 10 | 10.1 |
| California ................ | 619 | 83.0 | 33 | 4.4 | 10 | 1.3 | 210 | 28.1 | 542 | 72.7 |
| Colorado .................. | 38 | 50.3 | 15 | 19.6 | 26 | 33.6 | 21 | 28.1 | 29 | 37.5 |
| Connecticut ............. | 41 | 47.0 | 15 | 17.0 | 26 | 29.5 | 13 | 15.1 | 43 | 48.6 |
| Delaware ................. | 9 | 62.4 | 1 | 10.4 | 4 | 25.5 | 5 | 31.7 | 5 | 37.3 |
| Dist. of Col. ............. | 20 | 53.3 | 5 | 13.7 | 6 | 16.1 | 4 | 9.4 | 17 | 44.3 |
| Florida .................... | 199 | 46.8 | 136 | 31.8 | 118 | 27.7 | 108 | 25.2 | 79 | 18.6 |
| Georgia ................... | 145 | 57.8 | 58 | 23.0 | 66 | 26.5 | 75 | 30.0 | 51 | 20.5 |
| Guam ...................... | 5 | 75.6 | 1 | 14.4 | 0 | 4.0 | 1 | 20.6 | 3 | 53.8 |
| Hawaii | 28 | 49.1 | 12 | 20.8 | 10 | 17.0 | 15 | 27.2 | 28 | 50.0 |
| Idaho | 13 | 57.9 | 4 | 16.5 | 7 | 31.5 | 10 | 44.8 | 6 | 26.4 |
| Illinois .................... | 171 | 48.6 | 68 | 19.5 | 114 | 32.3 | 100 | 28.4 | 138 | 39.1 |
| Indiana .................... | 71 | 56.9 | 22 | 17.8 | 42 | 33.1 | 36 | 28.7 | 29 | 23.3 |
| Iowa ........................ | 29 | 53.9 | 10 | 18.7 | 16 | 28.9 | 17 | 32.1 | 17 | 31.8 |
| Kansas .................... | 25 | 48.0 | 11 | 21.2 | 19 | 36.1 | 15 | 29.3 | 12 | 22.3 |
| Kentucky ................. | 86 | 53.7 | 32 | 20.0 | 62 | 39.1 | 42 | 26.3 | 35 | 21.7 |
| Louisiana ................. | 120 | 60.5 | 40 | 20.1 | 53 | 27.1 | 61 | 31.0 | 31 | 15.9 |
| Maine | 22 | 40.5 | 14 | 26.9 | 19 | 34.9 | 10 | 19.3 | 13 | 24.9 |
| Maryland ................. | 64 | 54.7 | 21 | 17.8 | 30 | 25.6 | 29 | 24.4 | 39 | 32.9 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 64 | 52.6 | 21 | 17.1 | 42 | 34.4 | 19 | 15.7 | 58 | 47.4 |
| Michigan ................. | 154 | 51.9 | 49 | 16.5 | 101 | 34.0 | 94 | 31.7 | 86 | 28.8 |
| Minnesota ................ | 49 | 51.5 | 17 | 17.9 | 27 | 29.0 | 20 | 21.6 | 15 | 16.3 |
| Mississippi .............. | 63 | 55.0 | 36 | 31.5 | 36 | 31.6 | 33 | 28.7 | 14 | 12.2 |
| Missouri ................. | 87 | 50.4 | 36 | 20.7 | 57 | 32.8 | 46 | 26.8 | 42 | 24.3 |
| Montana ................ | 14 | 53.8 | 4 | 16.0 | 7 | 28.8 | 9 | 35.1 | 6 | 22.0 |
| Nebraska ................. | 21 | 54.7 | 6 | 17.0 | 12 | 30.4 | 13 | 33.0 | 12 | 32.4 |
| Nevada ................... | 13 | 46.2 | 7 | 23.9 | 9 | 30.1 | 6 | 21.2 | 6 | 19.2 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 9 | 49.3 | 4 | 20.7 | 6 | 34.3 | 4 | 20.6 | 7 | 40.7 |
| New Jersey .............. | 84 | 49.5 | 42 | 24.6 | 41 | 24.2 | 27 | 15.7 | 74 | 43.8 |
| New Mexico ............ | 40 | 61.7 | 13 | 20.1 | 15 | 23.0 | 22 | 33.3 | 23 | 34.7 |
| New York ................ | 343 | 45.8 | 189 | 25.2 | 204 | 27.3 | 124 | 16.5 | 346 | 46.2 |
| North Carolina ......... | 115 | 53.8 | 55 | 25.8 | 64 | 30.0 | 57 | 26.8 | 45 | 20.9 |
| North Dakota ............ | 7 | 50.1 | 3 | 24.2 | 4 | 26.2 | 5 | 36.5 | 3 | 20.9 |
| Ohio ........................ | 141 | 48.1 | 60 | 20.6 | 122 | 41.5 | 65 | 22.2 | 87 | 29.6 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 60 | 53.0 | 27 | 23.9 | 30 | 26.1 | 36 | 31.7 | 17 | 15.0 |
| Oregon .................... | 49 | 46.0 | 18 | 16.6 | 30 | 28.3 | 35 | 32.4 | 29 | 27.4 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 181 | 48.6 | 77 | 20.7 | 108 | 28.9 | 105 | 28.2 | 131 | 35.0 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 20 | 59.1 | 7 | 19.1 | 9 | 25.3 | 7 | 19.7 | 17 | 51.0 |
| South Carolina ......... | 72 | 57.1 | 31 | 24.1 | 38 | 30.3 | 37 | 29.1 | 18 | 14.4 |
| South Dakota ............ | 9 | 57.5 | 2 | 14.5 | 6 | 33.9 | 6 | 39.0 | 3 | 19.8 |
| Tennessee ................ | 108 | 49.0 | 60 | 27.3 | 71 | 32.4 | 53 | 24.3 | 47 | 21.3 |
| Texas ...................... | 353 | 68.6 | 100 | 19.5 | 94 | 18.3 | 197 | 38.2 | 113 | 22.0 |
| Utah ........................ | 22 | 63.1 | 4 | 12.6 | 9 | 24.6 | 13 | 36.1 | 10 | 28.9 |
| Vermont .................. | 9 | 44.4 | 5 | 23.3 | 6 | 27.0 | 5 | 23.2 | 9 | 44.9 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 4 | 66.0 | 1 | 25.2 | 0 | 4.4 | 2 | 32.3 | 2 | 29.6 |
| Virginia .................. | 81 | 51.0 | 41 | 26.0 | 50 | 31.2 | 46 | 28.7 | 33 | 20.9 |
| Washington ............. | 71 | 51.7 | 22 | 15.8 | 41 | 29.7 | 33 | 24.3 | 62 | 45.4 |
| West Virginia .......... | 50 | 48.9 | 20 | 19.7 | 41 | 39.4 | 25 | 24.6 | 10 | 9.4 |
| Wisconsin ............... | 41 | 56.6 | 15 | 21.2 | 21 | 29.9 | 22 | 31.3 | 12 | 17.3 |
| Wyoming ................. | 6 | 62.7 | 2 | 16.3 | 2 | 24.5 | 4 | 43.7 | 1 | 8.5 |

[^19]Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-6. Distribution of Participating Households by Selected Income Sources and by State

| State | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TANF |  | GA |  | SSI |  | Social Security |  | Earned Income |  |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2,096 | 27.3 | 435 | 5.7 | 2,315 | 30.2 | 1,897 | 24.7 | 2,058 | 26.8 |
| Alabama | 16 | 9.9 | - | - | 57 | 35.9 | 45 | 28.4 | 49 | 30.8 |
| Alaska .................... | 6 | 39.6 | 4 | 27.7 | 2 | 11.7 | 2 | 17.1 | 5 | 37.6 |
| Arizona ................... | 26 | 27.8 | 3 | 2.8 | 23 | 24.1 | 16 | 16.8 | 32 | 33.5 |
| Arkansas .................. | 10 | 9.9 | 0 | 0.3 | 34 | 33.9 | 32 | 31.6 | 29 | 29.0 |
| California | 493 | 66.1 | 51 | 6.8 | 1 | 0.1 | 23 | 3.0 | 210 | 28.1 |
| Colorado .................. | 13 | 17.8 | 15 | 20.0 | 26 | 34.6 | 23 | 29.7 | 21 | 28.1 |
| Connecticut ............. | 25 | 29.0 | 19 | 21.3 | 24 | 27.5 | 23 | 26.0 | 13 | 15.1 |
| Delaware ................. | 4 | 28.6 | 1 | 9.0 | 3 | 23.5 | 3 | 20.5 | 5 | 31.7 |
| Dist. of Col. ............. | 16 | 43.8 | 0 | 1.0 | 7 | 18.5 | 5 | 13.0 | 4 | 9.4 |
| Florida .................... | 78 | 18.4 | 1 | 0.2 | 149 | 34.9 | 149 | 34.9 | 108 | 25.2 |
| Georgia .................... | 51 | 20.3 | 1 | 0.2 | 78 | 31.2 | 81 | 32.1 | 75 | 30.0 |
| Guam ...................... | 2 | 28.8 | 2 | 25.2 | 0 | 0.6 | 1 | 9.3 | 1 | 20.6 |
| Hawaii .................... | 21 | 38.0 | 7 | 12.1 | 13 | 22.5 | 11 | 19.5 | 15 | 27.2 |
| Idaho ...................... | 1 | 2.2 | 5 | 24.3 | 8 | 33.9 | 6 | 26.6 | 10 | 44.8 |
| Illinois .................... | 94 | 26.7 | 44 | 12.6 | 130 | 36.9 | 72 | 20.4 | 100 | 28.4 |
| Indiana .................... | 29 | 23.3 | - | - | 38 | 30.6 | 37 | 29.8 | 36 | 28.7 |
| Iowa ........................ | 17 | 31.6 | 0 | 0.2 | 16 | 29.3 | 15 | 26.9 | 17 | 32.1 |
| Kansas ..................... | 10 | 19.1 | 2 | 3.2 | 19 | 36.0 | 17 | 32.9 | 15 | 29.3 |
| Kentucky ................ | 34 | 21.5 | 0 | 0.2 | 70 | 44.1 | 45 | 28.5 | 42 | 26.3 |
| Louisiana ................ | 31 | 15.8 | 0 | 0.1 | 66 | 33.6 | 52 | 26.2 | 61 | 31.0 |
| Maine ..................... | 13 | 24.9 | - | - | 20 | 36.7 | 22 | 41.9 | 10 | 19.3 |
| Maryland ................. | 29 | 24.4 | 10 | 8.6 | 32 | 27.6 | 24 | 20.1 | 29 | 24.4 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 45 | 37.3 | 12 | 10.0 | 46 | 38.0 | 28 | 22.9 | 19 | 15.7 |
| Michigan ................. | 81 | 27.2 | 5 | 1.7 | 97 | 32.6 | 73 | 24.7 | 94 | 31.7 |
| Minnesota ................ | 11 | 11.1 | 5 | 5.2 | 31 | 33.0 | 23 | 24.5 | 20 | 21.6 |
| Mississippi .............. | 14 | 12.2 | - | - | 52 | 44.9 | 44 | 38.2 | 33 | 28.7 |
| Missouri ................. | 36 | 20.8 | 6 | 3.6 | 56 | 32.6 | 56 | 32.3 | 46 | 26.8 |
| Montana ................. | 5 | 19.2 | 1 | 3.0 | 6 | 25.1 | 6 | 24.4 | 9 | 35.1 |
| Nebraska ................. | 10 | 26.8 | 2 | 5.7 | 10 | 27.4 | 11 | 30.1 | 13 | 33.0 |
| Nevada ................... | 5 | 17.8 | 0 | 1.4 | 10 | 34.4 | 9 | 32.9 | 6 | 21.2 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 4 | 24.0 | 3 | 17.0 | 5 | 27.5 | 7 | 38.8 | 4 | 20.6 |
| New Jersey .............. | 55 | 32.1 | 20 | 11.9 | 51 | 30.2 | 45 | 26.7 | 27 | 15.7 |
| New Mexico ............ | 21 | 32.7 | 1 | 2.0 | 17 | 25.4 | 16 | 24.4 | 22 | 33.3 |
| New York ................ | 230 | 30.6 | 117 | 15.6 | 278 | 37.1 | 167 | 22.2 | 124 | 16.5 |
| North Carolina ......... | 45 | 20.9 | - | - | 78 | 36.3 | 75 | 34.7 | 57 | 26.8 |
| North Dakota ............ | 3 | 19.4 | 0 | 1.9 | 4 | 30.6 | 5 | 32.5 | 5 | 36.5 |
| Ohio ....................... | 81 | 27.5 | 7 | 2.4 | 127 | 43.3 | 83 | 28.3 | 65 | 22.2 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 17 | 14.6 | 0 | 0.4 | 36 | 32.0 | 35 | 31.0 | 36 | 31.7 |
| Oregon .................... | 13 | 12.4 | 17 | 16.1 | 30 | 27.9 | 27 | 25.4 | 35 | 32.4 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 87 | 23.3 | 45 | 12.1 | 114 | 30.7 | 93 | 25.0 | 105 | 28.2 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 17 | 48.5 | 1 | 2.5 | 10 | 29.3 | 8 | 23.1 | 7 | 19.7 |
| South Carolina ......... | 18 | 14.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 48 | 37.8 | 31 | 24.8 | 37 | 29.1 |
| South Dakota ............ | 3 | 17.0 | 1 | 4.8 | 5 | 29.4 | 5 | 30.9 | 6 | 39.0 |
| Tennessee ................ | 46 | 20.9 | 1 | 0.4 | 79 | 35.9 | 84 | 38.0 | 53 | 24.3 |
| Texas ...................... | 113 | 22.0 | - | - | 129 | 25.1 | 117 | 22.7 | 197 | 38.2 |
| Utah ........................ | 9 | 24.6 | 2 | 4.5 | 8 | 24.0 | 7 | 20.9 | 13 | 36.1 |
| Vermont .................. | 6 | 27.6 | 4 | 19.3 | 5 | 26.4 | 7 | 34.6 | 5 | 23.2 |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 1 | 17.7 | 1 | 12.5 | - | - | 1 | 20.4 | 2 | 32.3 |
| Virginia .................. | 30 | 18.9 | 3 | 2.1 | 56 | 35.5 | 51 | 32.1 | 46 | 28.7 |
| Washington ............. | 49 | 35.7 | 13 | 9.8 | 42 | 30.3 | 27 | 20.0 | 33 | 24.3 |
| West Virginia ........... | 9 | 9.0 | 0 | 0.4 | 41 | 40.0 | 27 | 25.9 | 25 | 24.6 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 12 | 16.7 | 0 | 0.6 | 23 | 32.3 | 22 | 30.2 | 22 | 31.3 |
| Wyoming ................ | 1 | 8.1 | 0 | 0.3 | 2 | 22.3 | 3 | 29.7 | 4 | 43.7 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-7. Average Monthly Values of Selected Income Sources by State

| State | Average Monthly Values ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TANF | GA | SSI | Social Security | Earned Income |
| Total ................. | 374 | 223 | 395 | 503 | 736 |
| Alabama ................. | 149 | - | 379 | 476 | 836 |
| Alaska ................... | 718 | 352 | 348 | 674 | 829 |
| Arizona ................... | 278 | 181 | 389 | 503 | 811 |
| Arkansas .................. | 171 | 135 | 332 | 484 | 868 |
| California ................ | 533 | 225 | 709 | 543 | 660 |
| Colorado .................. | 327 | 161 | 353 | 481 | 711 |
| Connecticut ............. | 473 | 195 | 409 | 514 | 764 |
| Delaware ................. | 311 | 123 | 333 | 479 | 770 |
| Dist. of Col. ............. | 371 | 254 | 384 | 473 | 776 |
| Florida .................... | 224 | 221 | 373 | 510 | 725 |
| Georgia ................... | 251 | 124 | 330 | 502 | 731 |
| Guam ...................... | 563 | 392 | 585 | 471 | 1,048 |
| Hawaii .................... | 527 | 342 | 406 | 547 | 797 |
| Idaho ...................... | 258 | 56 | 347 | 525 | 869 |
| Illinois .................... | 260 | 85 | 426 | 494 | 686 |
| Indiana .................... | 234 | - | 386 | 506 | 736 |
| Iowa ........................ | 349 | 200 | 372 | 506 | 666 |
| Kansas .................... | 318 | 163 | 350 | 509 | 836 |
| Kentucky ................ | 229 | 249 | 403 | 477 | 679 |
| Louisiana ................. | 176 | 138 | 364 | 466 | 751 |
| Maine ..................... | 375 | - | 303 | 551 | 781 |
| Maryland ................. | 350 | 122 | 405 | 522 | 750 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 478 | 318 | 465 | 503 | 668 |
| Michigan ................. | 366 | 222 | 405 | 515 | 780 |
| Minnesota ................ | 300 | 179 | 358 | 513 | 713 |
| Mississippi .............. | 113 | - | 359 | 455 | 765 |
| Missouri ................. | 264 | 92 | 361 | 512 | 759 |
| Montana .................. | 399 | 211 | 382 | 513 | 732 |
| Nebraska ................. | 323 | 61 | 350 | 521 | 784 |
| Nevada ................... | 289 | 205 | 330 | 503 | 865 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 445 | 75 | 349 | 518 | 859 |
| New Jersey .............. | 345 | 175 | 401 | 514 | 815 |
| New Mexico ............ | 390 | 263 | 371 | 512 | 788 |
| New York ................ | 470 | 333 | 470 | 519 | 706 |
| North Carolina ......... | 242 | - | 345 | 494 | 764 |
| North Dakota ........... | 399 | 197 | 310 | 509 | 731 |
| Ohio ........................ | 347 | 128 | 433 | 485 | 710 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 277 | 39 | 326 | 479 | 754 |
| Oregon .................... | 415 | 65 | 357 | 499 | 720 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 338 | 212 | 420 | 504 | 740 |
| Rhode Island ........... | 468 | 338 | 391 | 520 | 645 |
| South Carolina ......... | 156 | 167 | 428 | 493 | 801 |
| South Dakota ............ | 290 | 194 | 325 | 465 | 669 |
| Tennessee ................ | 172 | 136 | 356 | 516 | 688 |
| Texas ...................... | 163 | - | 353 | 481 | 752 |
| Utah ........................ | 349 | 290 | 392 | 499 | 817 |
| Vermont .................. | 470 | 69 | 351 | 532 | 756 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 246 | 124 | - | 456 | 832 |
| Virginia .................. | 262 | 172 | 367 | 514 | 712 |
| Washington ............. | 446 | 343 | 415 | 498 | 734 |
| West Virginia .......... | 238 | 295 | 419 | 515 | 762 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 457 | 264 | 449 | 563 | 745 |
| Wyoming ................ | 294 | 320 | 300 | 562 | 774 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Average values are over households with income source.

- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-8. Distribution of Entrant Households With and Without Expedited Service by State

| State | Total Entrant Households (000) | Entrant Households With Expedited Service |  | Entrant Households Without Expedited Service |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 402 | 125 | 31.0 | 278 | 69.0 |
| Alabama ................ | 8 | 2 | 19.2 | 7 | 80.8 |
| Alaska .................... | 1 | 0 | 14.4 | 1 | 85.6 |
| Arizona ................... | 11 | 1 | 8.7 | 10 | 91.3 |
| Arkansas .................. | 6 | 2 | 31.4 | 4 | 68.6 |
| California .............. | 26 | 14 | 55.8 | 11 | 44.2 |
| Colorado .................. | 5 | 1 | 29.8 | 3 | 70.2 |
| Connecticut ............. | 3 | 1 | 16.7 | 3 | 83.3 |
| Delaware ................. | 1 | 0 | 33.4 | 1 | 66.6 |
| Dist. of Col. ............. | 1 | 1 | 57.9 | 1 | 42.1 |
| Florida .................... | 30 | 12 | 40.1 | 18 | 59.9 |
| Georgia .................... | 21 | 2 | 9.3 | 19 | 90.7 |
| Guam ...................... | 0 | 0 | 39.7 | 0 | 60.3 |
| Hawaii .................... | 2 | 0 | 29.5 | 1 | 70.5 |
| Idaho ...................... | 2 | 1 | 34.0 | 1 | 66.0 |
| Illinois .................... | 22 | 6 | 26.7 | 16 | 73.3 |
| Indiana ..................... | 8 | 2 | 25.3 | 6 | 74.7 |
| Iowa ........................ | 4 | 2 | 41.4 | 2 | 58.6 |
| Kansas ..................... | 3 | 0 | 12.6 | 3 | 87.4 |
| Kentucky ................. | 10 | 3 | 31.0 | 7 | 69.0 |
| Louisiana ................. | 15 | 5 | 32.2 | 10 | 67.8 |
| Maine .................... | 2 | 1 | 33.4 | 1 | 66.6 |
| Maryland ................. | 7 | 2 | 23.9 | 5 | 76.1 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 11 | 3 | 26.3 | 8 | 73.7 |
| Michigan ................. | 15 | 6 | 40.4 | 9 | 59.6 |
| Minnesota .............. | 4 | 1 | 18.2 | 4 | 81.8 |
| Mississippi .............. | 4 | 1 | 14.9 | 4 | 85.1 |
| Missouri ................. | 9 | 5 | 51.4 | 4 | 48.6 |
| Montana .................. | 1 | 1 | 50.9 | 1 | 49.1 |
| Nebraska ................. | 1 | 0 | 33.3 | 1 | 66.7 |
| Nevada ................... | 2 | 0 | 10.2 | 2 | 89.8 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 1 | 0 | 47.7 | 1 | 52.3 |
| New Jersey .............. | 4 | 1 | 15.0 | 4 | 85.0 |
| New Mexico ............ | 4 | 1 | 33.3 | 3 | 66.7 |
| New York ................ | 20 | 8 | 40.8 | 12 | 59.2 |
| North Carolina ......... | 13 | 3 | 25.7 | 10 | 74.3 |
| North Dakota ........... | 1 | 0 | 24.7 | 1 | 75.3 |
| Ohio ....................... | 16 | 2 | 10.6 | 14 | 89.4 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 11 | 4 | 34.5 | 7 | 65.5 |
| Oregon .................... | 5 | 2 | 36.0 | 3 | 64.0 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 16 | 5 | 29.9 | 11 | 70.1 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 1 | 0 | 7.9 | 1 | 92.1 |
| South Carolina ......... | 5 | 0 | 6.7 | 5 | 93.3 |
| South Dakota ............ | 1 | 0 | 39.9 | 1 | 60.1 |
| Tennessee ................ | 11 | 3 | 23.0 | 9 | 77.0 |
| Texas ...................... | 26 | 11 | 41.3 | 16 | 58.7 |
| Utah ........................ | 2 | 1 | 52.0 | 1 | 48.0 |
| Vermont .................. | 1 | 0 | 6.3 | 1 | 93.7 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 0 | 0 | 56.7 | 0 | 43.3 |
| Virginia .................. | 9 | 3 | 34.4 | 6 | 65.6 |
| Washington ............. | 9 | 3 | 35.8 | 6 | 64.2 |
| West Virginia ........... | 3 | 1 | 21.7 | 2 | 78.3 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 5 | 2 | 34.6 | 3 | 65.4 |
| Wyoming ................. | 1 | 0 | 20.7 | 0 | 79.3 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

Table B-9. Distribution of Participating Households by Race/Ethnic Origin of Household Head and by State

| State | Race/Ethnic Origin of Household Head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White |  | African-American |  | Hispanic |  | Other ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3,461 | 45.1 | 2,610 | 34.0 | 1,253 | 16.3 | 346 | 4.5 |
| Alabama ................. | 52 | 32.8 | 106 | 66.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.3 |
| Alaska | 7 | 49.3 | 1 | 6.0 | 0 | 2.1 | 6 | 42.5 |
| Arizona .. | 39 | 41.1 | 7 | 7.3 | 32 | 33.3 | 17 | 18.2 |
| Arkansas .................. | 56 | 56.3 | 42 | 42.2 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 1.0 |
| California ............... | 211 | 28.2 | 151 | 20.3 | 308 | 41.3 | 76 | 10.2 |
| Colorado .................. | 38 | 50.2 | 8 | 10.8 | 28 | 36.4 | 2 | 2.7 |
| Connecticut | 35 | 39.5 | 25 | 28.4 | 27 | 30.4 | 2 | 1.7 |
| Delaware | 5 | 33.5 | 8 | 58.1 | 1 | 7.2 | 0 | 1.1 |
| Dist. of Col. ............. | 1 | 2.4 | 36 | 96.1 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.4 |
| Florida .................... | 148 | 34.6 | 143 | 33.5 | 134 | 31.4 | 2 | 0.5 |
| Georgia ................... | 78 | 31.2 | 170 | 67.7 | 2 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Guam ...................... | 0 | 3.4 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 96.6 |
| Hawaii | 14 | 25.5 | 1 | 1.8 | 1 | 1.1 | 40 | 71.6 |
| Idaho ...................... | 20 | 88.2 | 0 | 0.4 | 2 | 8.9 | 1 | 2.5 |
| Illinois .................... | 134 | 38.0 | 179 | 50.7 | 27 | 7.8 | 12 | 3.4 |
| Indiana .................... | 82 | 65.2 | 40 | 31.7 | 3 | 2.5 | 1 | 0.5 |
| Iowa . | 46 | 85.2 | 5 | 9.2 | 2 | 2.9 | 1 | 2.7 |
| Kansas | 38 | 72.6 | 11 | 20.6 | 2 | 4.5 | 1 | 2.3 |
| Kentucky ................. | 134 | 84.4 | 24 | 15.3 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.3 |
| Louisiana ................. | 57 | 28.7 | 137 | 69.4 | 1 | 0.4 | 3 | 1.5 |
| Maine ..................... | 52 | 97.3 | 1 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 1 | 1.3 |
| Maryland ................. | 39 | 32.8 | 75 | 63.6 | 1 | 1.0 | 3 | 2.6 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 71 | 58.3 | 17 | 13.7 | 29 | 24.0 | 5 | 4.1 |
| Michigan ................. | 148 | 49.7 | 137 | 46.1 | 8 | 2.7 | 4 | 1.5 |
| Minnesota ................ | 58 | 61.5 | 18 | 19.0 | 4 | 3.7 | 15 | 15.7 |
| Mississippi .............. | 29 | 25.5 | 86 | 74.2 | - | - | 0 | 0.3 |
| Missouri .................. | 112 | 64.7 | 58 | 33.5 | 1 | 0.6 | 2 | 1.1 |
| Montana ................. | 19 | 74.9 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 1.7 | 6 | 23.3 |
| Nebraska ................. | 27 | 70.3 | 7 | 17.6 | 2 | 5.6 | 2 | 6.5 |
| Nevada ................... | 18 | 63.3 | 6 | 21.5 | 3 | 10.9 | 1 | 4.3 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 15 | 82.0 | 0 | 1.7 | 1 | 3.0 | 2 | 13.2 |
| New Jersey .............. | 51 | 30.1 | 72 | 42.1 | 43 | 25.2 | 4 | 2.6 |
| New Mexico ............. | 18 | 26.9 | 2 | 3.1 | 38 | 57.7 | 8 | 12.3 |
| New York ................ | 278 | 37.1 | 235 | 31.4 | 199 | 26.6 | 37 | 4.9 |
| North Carolina ......... | 89 | 41.3 | 117 | 54.4 | 3 | 1.4 | 6 | 2.9 |
| North Dakota ............ | 10 | 73.8 | 0 | 1.5 | 0 | 1.6 | 3 | 23.1 |
| Ohio ....................... | 184 | 62.8 | 102 | 34.9 | 5 | 1.5 | 2 | 0.8 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 74 | 65.6 | 25 | 22.1 | 3 | 2.9 | 11 | 9.4 |
| Oregon .................... | 90 | 84.2 | 5 | 4.5 | 8 | 7.3 | 4 | 4.0 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 205 | 54.8 | 132 | 35.4 | 30 | 8.0 | 7 | 1.8 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 21 | 60.7 | 4 | 12.4 | 5 | 14.3 | 4 | 12.6 |
| South Carolina ......... | 34 | 26.6 | 92 | 72.7 | 0 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.5 |
| South Dakota ............ | 10 | 60.9 | 0 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.3 | 6 | 38.2 |
| Tennessee ................ | 137 | 62.5 | 79 | 36.1 | 1 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.8 |
| Texas ...................... | 115 | 22.3 | 118 | 22.8 | 275 | 53.3 | 8 | 1.5 |
| Utah ........................ | 28 | 78.3 | 1 | 2.7 | 4 | 10.8 | 3 | 8.2 |
| Vermont .................. | 20 | 97.6 | 0 | 1.3 | - | - | 0 | 1.1 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 0 | 1.4 | 3 | 64.3 | 1 | 21.9 | 1 | 12.4 |
| Virginia .................. | 73 | 45.8 | 80 | 50.2 | 2 | 1.2 | 4 | 2.8 |
| Washington ............. | 100 | 72.7 | 13 | 9.1 | 11 | 7.7 | 14 | 10.5 |
| West Virginia .......... | 97 | 94.0 | 6 | 5.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 38 | 53.6 | 26 | 36.5 | 4 | 5.5 | 3 | 4.3 |
| Wyoming ................. | 7 | 79.4 | 0 | 0.3 | 1 | 8.6 | 1 | 11.7 |

a Other includes Asian, American Indian and Unknown.
b Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-10. Distribution of Participants by Age and by State

| State | Preschool Age Child |  | School Age Child |  | Nonelderly Adult |  | Elderly Adult |  | Unknown Age |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 3,025 | 16.7 | 6,329 | 34.9 | 7,090 | 39.1 | 1,699 | 9.4 | 5 | 0.0 |
| Alabama | 72 | 17.6 | 144 | 34.9 | 160 | 38.8 | 36 | 8.7 | - | - |
| Alaska .................... | 5 | 13.6 | 15 | 37.9 | 17 | 43.2 | 2 | 5.3 | - | - |
| Arizona ................... | 51 | 19.2 | 100 | 37.5 | 101 | 38.2 | 13 | 5.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Arkansas .................. | 42 | 16.2 | 88 | 34.0 | 103 | 39.8 | 26 | 10.0 | - | - |
| California | 396 | 19.1 | 941 | 45.3 | 701 | 33.8 | 37 | 1.8 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Colorado .................. | 29 | 16.7 | 56 | 32.8 | 70 | 41.1 | 16 | 9.4 | - | - |
| Connecticut ............. | 28 | 15.2 | 59 | 32.3 | 80 | 43.7 | 16 | 8.7 | - | - |
| Delaware ....... | 7 | 20.7 | 12 | 34.1 | 14 | 40.8 | 2 | 4.3 | - | - |
| Dist. of Col. ............ | 13 | 15.4 | 30 | 35.3 | 36 | 42.9 | 5 | 6.4 | - | - |
| Florida ........ | 144 | 16.1 | 281 | 31.5 | 314 | 35.2 | 153 | 17.1 | - | - |
| Georgia .................... | 106 | 17.0 | 223 | 35.6 | 235 | 37.6 | 62 | 9.9 | - | - |
| Guam ...................... | 5 | 24.5 | 8 | 39.4 | 6 | 30.8 | 1 | 5.3 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Hawaii .................... | 21 | 16.2 | 41 | 32.0 | 52 | 41.1 | 14 | 10.7 | - | - |
| Idaho ...................... | 12 | 20.1 | 18 | 31.4 | 24 | 41.6 | 4 | 6.9 | - | - |
| Illinois | 127 | 15.6 | 271 | 33.4 | 338 | 41.8 | 74 | 9.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Indiana | 57 | 18.6 | 103 | 33.7 | 121 | 40.0 | 23 | 7.7 | - | - |
| Iowa ........................ | 21 | 16.5 | 40 | 31.8 | 54 | 42.8 | 11 | 8.8 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Kansas .................... | 20 | 16.6 | 37 | 31.7 | 49 | 41.6 | 12 | 10.0 | - | - |
| Kentucky ................ | 56 | 14.7 | 111 | 29.2 | 178 | 46.6 | 36 | 9.5 | - | - |
| Louisiana ................. | 90 | 17.3 | 190 | 36.5 | 199 | 38.1 | 42 | 8.0 | - | - |
| Maine ..................... | 13 | 12.2 | 28 | 26.5 | 50 | 46.6 | 16 | 14.6 | 0 | 0.2 |
| Maryland ................. | 39 | 14.3 | 103 | 37.4 | 109 | 39.5 | 24 | 8.6 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 43 | 16.5 | 88 | 33.9 | 105 | 40.5 | 24 | 9.1 | - | - |
| Michigan ................. | 115 | 16.8 | 228 | 33.2 | 291 | 42.3 | 53 | 7.7 | - | - |
| Minnesota ................ | 32 | 16.8 | 64 | 33.1 | 78 | 40.6 | 18 | 9.6 | - | - |
| Mississippi .............. | 45 | 15.2 | 108 | 37.1 | 100 | 34.1 | 39 | 13.5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Missouri ................. | 67 | 16.5 | 130 | 32.1 | 170 | 41.9 | 39 | 9.5 | - | - |
| Montana ................. | 10 | 15.9 | 19 | 31.7 | 28 | 45.6 | 4 | 6.8 | - | - |
| Nebraska ................. | 14 | 16.0 | 31 | 34.6 | 37 | 41.5 | 7 | 7.8 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Nevada ............... | 11 | 17.7 | 18 | 30.1 | 25 | 40.5 | 7 | 11.6 | - | - |
| New Hampshire ....... | 7 | 16.7 | 13 | 31.4 | 17 | 42.1 | 4 | 9.9 | - | - |
| New Jersey .............. | 56 | 14.8 | 137 | 35.8 | 142 | 37.1 | 47 | 12.2 | 0 | 0.1 |
| New Mexico ............ | 28 | 16.2 | 64 | 36.5 | 68 | 38.9 | 15 | 8.5 | - | - |
| New York ................ | 212 | 13.7 | 506 | 32.8 | 618 | 40.0 | 206 | 13.3 | 2 | 0.1 |
| North Carolina ......... | 83 | 16.3 | 175 | 34.5 | 188 | 37.1 | 61 | 12.1 | - | - |
| North Dakota ............ | 5 | 15.8 | 11 | 33.1 | 13 | 40.0 | 4 | 11.1 | - | - |
| Ohio ........................ | 117 | 18.5 | 188 | 29.7 | 265 | 41.8 | 63 | 10.0 | - | - |
| Oklahoma ................ | 46 | 17.1 | 86 | 31.7 | 109 | 40.3 | 30 | 10.9 | - | - |
| Oregon .................... | 37 | 16.6 | 66 | 29.0 | 104 | 45.9 | 19 | 8.6 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 119 | 14.3 | 276 | 33.2 | 353 | 42.4 | 83 | 10.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 13 | 17.3 | 28 | 36.6 | 28 | 36.5 | 7 | 9.6 | - | - |
| South Carolina ......... | 44 | 14.1 | 114 | 37.0 | 118 | 38.1 | 33 | 10.8 | - | - |
| South Dakota ............ | 8 | 18.8 | 14 | 33.1 | 18 | 41.9 | 3 | 6.2 | - | - |
| Tennessee ................ | 77 | 15.9 | 140 | 29.1 | 200 | 41.4 | 65 | 13.6 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Texas ...................... | 278 | 19.9 | 523 | 37.4 | 476 | 34.1 | 120 | 8.6 | - | - |
| Utah ....................... | 19 | 20.5 | 34 | 35.4 | 37 | 38.6 | 5 | 5.4 | - | - |
| Vermont .................. | 6 | 14.1 | 12 | 27.8 | 20 | 45.9 | 5 | 12.2 | - | - |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 3 | 18.4 | 6 | 40.5 | 5 | 31.3 | 2 | 9.8 | - | - |
| Virginia .................. | 52 | 14.6 | 117 | 33.1 | 139 | 39.4 | 46 | 12.9 | - | - |
| Washington ............. | 52 | 16.6 | 101 | 32.3 | 134 | 43.0 | 25 | 8.1 | - | - |
| West Virginia ........... | 31 | 13.1 | 65 | 26.9 | 122 | 50.9 | 22 | 9.1 | - | - |
| Wisconsin ................ | 36 | 20.6 | 60 | 34.4 | 62 | 35.3 | 17 | 9.7 | - | - |
| Wyoming ................ | 4 | 18.4 | 8 | 35.2 | 9 | 39.6 | 2 | 6.8 | - | - |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-11. Distribution of Participants by Citizenship Status and State

| State | All Participants ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Citizens ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Non-Citizens |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total | 18,149 | 100.0 | 17,323 | 100.0 | 745 | 100.0 |
| Alabama | 412 | 2.3 | 411 | 2.4 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Alaska | 40 | 0.2 | 40 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Arizona | 265 | 1.5 | 254 | 1.5 | 11 | 1.5 |
| Arkansas | 259 | 1.4 | 243 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.1 |
| California | 2,075 | 11.4 | 1,860 | 10.7 | 215 | 28.8 |
| Colorado | 171 | 0.9 | 165 | 1.0 | 6 | 0.8 |
| Connecticut | 183 | 1.0 | 175 | 1.0 | 5 | 0.7 |
| Delaware | 35 | 0.2 | 35 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Dist. of Col. | 84 | 0.5 | 83 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Florida | 892 | 4.9 | 825 | 4.8 | 62 | 8.3 |
| Georgia ................... | 625 | 3.4 | 619 | 3.6 | 6 | 0.8 |
| Guam .. | 19 | 0.1 | 19 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Hawaii | 127 | 0.7 | 124 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.4 |
| Idaho | 58 | 0.3 | 57 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Illinois | 810 | 4.5 | 789 | 4.6 | 21 | 2.8 |
| Indiana | 304 | 1.7 | 302 | 1.7 | 2 | 0.2 |
| Iowa . | 125 | 0.7 | 123 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.3 |
| Kansas ... | 117 | 0.6 | 115 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.3 |
| Kentucky .... | 382 | 2.1 | 380 | 2.2 | 2 | 0.3 |
| Louisiana | 521 | 2.9 | 517 | 3.0 | 3 | 0.5 |
| Maine | 108 | 0.6 | 106 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Maryland ................. | 275 | 1.5 | 266 | 1.5 | 8 | 1.1 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 260 | 1.4 | 246 | 1.4 | 14 | 1.9 |
| Michigan ................. | 687 | 3.8 | 665 | 3.8 | 14 | 1.8 |
| Minnesota | 192 | 1.1 | 175 | 1.0 | 18 | 2.4 |
| Mississippi .............. | 292 | 1.6 | 292 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Missouri | 407 | 2.2 | 399 | 2.3 | 7 | 0.9 |
| Montana | 61 | 0.3 | 60 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Nebraska .......... | 90 | 0.5 | 89 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Nevada ..... | 60 | 0.3 | 59 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.2 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 40 | 0.2 | 39 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 |
| New Jersey .............. | 382 | 2.1 | 365 | 2.1 | 16 | 2.1 |
| New Mexico ............ | 176 | 1.0 | 170 | 1.0 | 6 | 0.8 |
| New York | 1,545 | 8.5 | 1,381 | 8.0 | 124 | 16.7 |
| North Carolina ......... | 508 | 2.8 | 501 | 2.9 | 5 | 0.7 |
| North Dakota ........... | 33 | 0.2 | 32 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Ohio .. | 633 | 3.5 | 626 | 3.6 | 7 | 0.9 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 270 | 1.5 | 268 | 1.5 | 2 | 0.2 |
| Oregon .................... | 226 | 1.2 | 214 | 1.2 | 12 | 1.7 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 831 | 4.6 | 810 | 4.7 | 21 | 2.9 |
| Rhode Island ........... | 77 | 0.4 | 73 | 0.4 | 4 | 0.5 |
| South Carolina ......... | 309 | 1.7 | 307 | 1.8 | 1 | 0.1 |
| South Dakota ............ | 43 | 0.2 | 42 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Tennessee ....... | 483 | 2.7 | 479 | 2.8 | 3 | 0.4 |
| Texas ............ | 1,397 | 7.7 | 1,310 | 7.6 | 87 | 11.7 |
| Utah. | 95 | 0.5 | 91 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.5 |
| Vermont .................. | 43 | 0.2 | 43 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 16 | 0.1 | 15 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Virginia .................. | 354 | 2.0 | 350 | 2.0 | 4 | 0.5 |
| Washington ............. | 313 | 1.7 | 282 | 1.6 | 30 | 4.1 |
| West Virginia .......... | 240 | 1.3 | 238 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.1 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 175 | 1.0 | 168 | 1.0 | 7 | 1.0 |
| Wyoming ................ | 23 | 0.1 | 23 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ This category includes participants whose citizenship status is unknown or missing; therefore, the total may not equal the sum of the other columns.
b Because of an administrative change in how citizenship data were collected in the FSPQC, naturalized citizens, permanent resident aliens and individual classifications of permanent resident aliens cannot be identified. Citizenship tables in this report contain information only for citizens and non-citizens and therefore are not directly comparable with tables in previous Characteristics of Food Stamp Households Reports.

Table B-12. Distribution of All Non-Citizen ${ }^{\text {a }}$ FSP Participants by State and Age

| State | Total | Children |  | Nonelderly Adult |  | Elderly Adult |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total | 745 | 194 | 26.1 | 369 | 49.6 | 181 | 24.3 |
| Alabama | 1 | 0 | 41.9 | 0 | 19.8 | 0 | 38.3 |
| Alaska | 0 | 0 | 26.1 | 0 | 50.9 | 0 | 23.1 |
| Arizona | 11 | 2 | 18.4 | 7 | 59.6 | 2 | 21.3 |
| Arkansas | 1 | - | - | 0 | 62.5 | 0 | 37.5 |
| California | 215 | 60 | 27.7 | 142 | 66.2 | 13 | 6.1 |
| Colorado | 6 | 2 | 29.6 | 3 | 51.1 | 1 | 19.3 |
| Connecticut | 5 | 1 | 17.0 | 2 | 44.4 | 2 | 38.6 |
| Delaware | 0 | - | - | 0 | 100.0 | - | - |
| Dist. of Col. | 1 | 0 | 34.0 | 1 | 60.8 | 0 | 5.2 |
| Florida .. | 62 | 10 | 15.7 | 25 | 41.1 | 27 | 43.2 |
| Georgia .. | 6 | 2 | 24.3 | 3 | 54.8 | 1 | 21.0 |
| Guam .. | 0 | 0 | 12.6 | 0 | 49.3 | 0 | 38.1 |
| Hawaii | 3 | 1 | 21.5 | 0 | 14.0 | 2 | 64.5 |
| Idaho | 1 | 0 | 8.2 | 1 | 67.0 | 0 | 24.9 |
| Illinois | 21 | 2 | 11.5 | 11 | 53.6 | 7 | 34.9 |
| Indiana | 2 | 1 | 42.9 | 0 | 21.2 | 1 | 35.9 |
| Iowa | 2 | 1 | 29.8 | 1 | 42.8 | 1 | 27.4 |
| Kansas | 2 | 0 | 8.0 | 1 | 67.7 | 0 | 24.3 |
| Kentucky | 2 | 1 | 32.6 | 1 | 62.7 | 0 | 4.7 |
| Louisiana | 3 | 1 | 17.1 | 2 | 49.1 | 1 | 33.9 |
| Maine | 1 | 0 | 34.9 | 1 | 52.7 | 0 | 12.4 |
| Maryland | 8 | 3 | 36.9 | 2 | 25.7 | 3 | 37.5 |
| Massachusetts | 14 | 4 | 30.7 | 5 | 35.1 | 5 | 34.2 |
| Michigan ..... | 14 | 4 | 29.5 | 7 | 50.2 | 3 | 20.3 |
| Minnesota | 18 | 7 | 39.6 | 9 | 49.2 | 2 | 11.2 |
| Mississippi | 0 | 0 | 23.8 | - | - | 0 | 76.2 |
| Missouri | 7 | 2 | 29.1 | 3 | 49.9 | 1 | 21.0 |
| Montana | 0 | 0 | 74.9 | 0 | 25.1 | - | - |
| Nebraska | 1 | 0 | 9.6 | 0 | 47.4 | 0 | 43.0 |
| Nevada .... | 1 | 0 | 13.6 | 1 | 42.8 | 1 | 43.6 |
| New Hampshire | 1 | 0 | 12.7 | 0 | 55.9 | 0 | 31.5 |
| New Jersey .. | 16 | 3 | 19.8 | 6 | 39.2 | 6 | 41.0 |
| New Mexico | 6 | 1 | 14.1 | 4 | 61.3 | 1 | 24.6 |
| New York | 124 | 31 | 24.8 | 45 | 36.1 | 49 | 39.1 |
| North Carolina | 5 | 2 | 43.6 | 2 | 35.1 | 1 | 21.3 |
| North Dakota ... | 1 | 0 | 41.7 | 0 | 45.6 | 0 | 12.8 |
| Ohio | 7 | 2 | 24.1 | 3 | 36.3 | 3 | 39.6 |
| Oklahoma | 2 | 0 | 22.5 | 1 | 44.6 | 1 | 32.9 |
| Oregon .. | 12 | 4 | 35.8 | 5 | 37.3 | 3 | 26.9 |
| Pennsylvania | 21 | 12 | 58.2 | 7 | 31.0 | 2 | 10.8 |
| Rhode Island . | 4 | 1 | 38.2 | 1 | 36.4 | 1 | 25.4 |
| South Carolina | 1 | 0 | 27.1 | - | - | 1 | 72.9 |
| South Dakota . | 0 | 0 | 33.6 | 0 | 66.4 | - | - |
| Tennessee ... | 3 | 1 | 15.5 | 1 | 38.8 | 2 | 45.7 |
| Texas ..... | 87 | 14 | 16.3 | 46 | 53.3 | 26 | 30.4 |
| Utah ..... | 4 | 1 | 32.6 | 2 | 53.4 | 1 | 14.0 |
| Vermont | 0 | 0 | 33.3 | 0 | 66.7 | - | - |
| Virgin Islands ..... | 1 | 0 | 34.4 | 0 | 27.2 | 0 | 38.4 |
| Virginia | 4 | 1 | 31.4 | 1 | 32.4 | 1 | 36.2 |
| Washington .......... | 30 | 12 | 39.5 | 12 | 40.7 | 6 | 19.8 |
| West Virginia ...... | 0 | - | - | 0 | 74.2 | 0 | 25.8 |
| Wisconsin .......... | 7 | 4 | 49.6 | 2 | 32.7 | 1 | 17.8 |
| Wyoming ............... | 0 | 0 | 47.7 | 0 | 52.3 | - | - |

[^20]- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

## APPENDIX C

## CHANGES TO THE FOOD STAMP PROGRAM DUE TO RECENT FEDERAL LEGISLATION

Recent federal legislation has had a significant impact on the FSP, affecting eligibility, program requirements, and benefit levels. The Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA) enacted on August 22, 1996, disqualified many permanent resident aliens, expanded work requirements and set time limits on benefits for able-bodied adults without dependents (ABAWDS), and changed deduction amounts and the maximum benefit calculation.

The Balanced Budget Act of 1997, which took effect in fiscal year 1998, allowed states to exempt a percentage of ABAWDS from the FSP time limits set by PRWORA. The Balanced Budget Act also increased funding for the Food Stamp Employment and Training Program and set up requirements for states' use of those funds.

The Agricultural Research, Extension and Education Reform Act of 1998 (AREERA) reversed another PRWORA provision, restoring eligibility to certain legal aliens.

## Permanent Resident Alien Disqualification

Legally resident aliens are immigrants entitled to live permanently in the United States. They have either chosen not to apply for citizenship, or they do not meet citizenship requirements. Prior to PRWORA, four types of legally resident aliens were eligible to participate in the FSP: immigrants accorded permanent resident status; aliens accorded refugee status; aliens granted political asylum; and aliens granted a stay of deportation. ${ }^{1}$ Title IV of PRWORA made most of the first type of aliens, those with permanent resident status, ineligible for the FSP.

Beginning in September 1996, new FSP applicants were subject to these alien restrictions. Permanent resident aliens already receiving food stamps were not subject to the alien restrictions until their first recertification after March 31, 1997, or one year after the date PRWORA was enacted, whichever came first. If an alien became a naturalized citizen, he or she became eligible for benefits on the same basis as other citizens.

Permanent resident aliens with significant work history and those with military service to the United States were exempt from the alien restrictions on FSP participation in PRWORA. Significant work history is defined as 40 or more quarters ( 10 or more years) of work experience in the United States and could be acquired either through an alien's own work, work by a spouse while married, work by a parent while a minor child, or any combination of the three. Individuals who were serving in the United States Armed Forces and veterans were also exempt, along with their spouses and minor children.

Refugees, asylees and deportees were exempt from the alien provision of PRWORA for five years after they entered the country. At that point, if these aliens had not naturalized, they became subject to the same restrictions as permanent resident aliens.

[^21]Effective November 1, 1998, the Agricultural Research, Extension and Education Reform Act of 1998 restored eligibility to some permanent resident aliens who were living in the United States when PRWORA was enacted. In addition, it extended the eligibility period for refugees, asylees, and deportees from five years to seven years. Thus, for most of fiscal year 1999, the following aliens were eligible for benefits:

Aliens eligible for seven years after admitted or granted status:

- People admitted as refugees
- People granted asylum
- People whose deportation was withheld
- Cuban or Haitian immigrants admitted under the Refugee Education Assistance Act (REEA)
- Amerasian immigrants admitted under the Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Program Appropriations Act

Permanent Resident Aliens (PRAs) eligible indefinitely:

- PRAs credited with 40 quarters of work
- Military connection (veteran, active duty, spouse, and/or children)
- Lawfully in the United States on August 22, 1996 and age 65 or older on August 22, 1996
- Lawfully in the United States on August 22, 1996 and under age 18
- Lawfully in the United States on August 22, 1996 and disabled or blind

Certain non-PRAs may also be eligible, provided they meet one of the criteria listed above. For example, some parolees, conditional entrants, and battered spouses and/or children are eligible if they meet one of the criteria listed above, even though they are not PRAs.

Two additional groups of aliens may be eligible indefinitely, without meeting any of the above criteria:

- Certain Hmong or Highlan Laotians and spouse and children (many are admitted as refugees)
- American Indians born in Canada to whom section 289 of the INA applies, and members of Indian tribes defined in section 4(e) of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act.

Because of an administrative change in how citizenship data were collected in the FSPQC, naturalized citizens, permanent resident aliens, and individual classifications of PRAs cannot be identified. Therefore, citizenship tables in this report include data only for citizens and non-citizens.

## Work Requirements

PRWORA instituted a work requirement on childless, non-disabled FSP participants aged 18 to 49. Individuals subject to the work requirement need to do one of the following: (1) work at least 20 hours per week; (2) participate in an approved employment and training (E\&T) program for at least 20 hours per week; or (3) participate in Workfare or a comparable program. Individuals subject to, but not meeting, the work requirement can only receive food stamp benefits for three months in a 36 -month period.

Most food stamp participants are not subject to the work requirement. Any individual under 18 or over 49, physically or mentally unfit for employment, pregnant, or a parent or other member of a household with responsibility for a dependent child is not subject to the work requirement. Furthermore, people who are also exempt from the FSP work registration provision under subsection (d)(2) of 7 U.S.C. 2015 are not subject to the work requirement. This includes anyone who is:

- Responsible for the care of a dependent child under age 6 or an incapacitated person
- A student who meets FSP eligibility requirements
- A regular participant in a drug addiction or alcoholic treatment and rehabilitation program
- Working at least 30 hours per week (or earning more than what would be earned if working 30 hours per week at the minimum wage)
- Subject to and complying with a work registration requirement under another program (either under Title IV of the Social Security Act or under the federal-state unemployment compensation system).

A FSP participant not meeting any of these criteria is subject to the work requirement. In this report, individuals who are subject to the work requirement are referred to as able-bodied adults without dependents (ABAWDs). ABAWDs who are not meeting the work requirement and who have received food stamps for more than three months are referred to as being "subject-to-sanction." ABAWDs who are meeting the work requirement or are not meeting the work requirement but who have received less than three months of food stamps are referred to as ABAWDs "not subject to sanction."

An ABAWD who, during the preceding 36 months, received food stamps for at least three months while he or she was subject to but not meeting the work requirement is ineligible for food stamps.

An ABAWD who lost eligibility under PRWORA can regain it by working or participating in an E\&T program for 80 or more hours in a 30-day period or by complying with a workfare program for 30 days. An ABAWD who regains eligibility in this way remains eligible as long as he or she continues to meet the work requirement. If, after regaining eligibility, an individual fails to meet the work requirement, he or she remains eligible for three consecutive months starting on the date the individual notifies the state agency that he or she no longer meets the work requirement. An ABAWD may only receive these 3 additional months once in any 36 -month period.

At a state' request, USDA may waive the work requirement for any group of individuals if the Secretary determines that where they live has either an unemployment rate of over 10 percent or an insufficient number of jobs to provide employment. In addition, each state may exempt up to 15 percent of the people who, after applying all other exemptions and waivers, would still lose eligibility because of the work requirement. Figure C shows which FSP participants can lose eligibility under PRWORA.

The Balanced Budget Act of 1997, which took effect in fiscal year 1998, allowed states to exempt 15 percent of the state's ABAWDs from the FSP time limits imposed by PROWRA. In addition, the Balanced Budget Act increased funds for the Food Stamp Employment and Training Program, restricted how the funds could be used and made them available to states until expended. States were required to earmark 80 percent of their federal food stamp employment and training funds to provide approved work or training programs for childless, able-bodied 18- to 49-year-olds.

## Income Deductions and Vehicle Allowance

Before PRWORA, the standard income deduction was typically adjusted for inflation each October. PRWORA froze the standard deduction at the 1996 level of $\$ 134$ for the 48 contiguous states and the District of Columbia. The amounts for Alaska and Hawaii were also frozen (see Appendix F).

The excess shelter deduction remained at $\$ 247$ until December 31, 1996, but rose to $\$ 250$ on January 1, 1997 and $\$ 275$ at the beginning of fiscal year 1999. It is set to rise once more to $\$ 300$ at the beginning of fiscal year 2001, with no additional planned increases.

The vehicle allowance was scheduled to be increased to $\$ 5,000$ in fiscal year 1997, and indexed for inflation beginning in October 1996. However, PRWORA raised the allowance to only $\$ 4,650$ with no scheduled increase.

## Household Definition

Prior to PRWORA, children in the household age 21 and under who were married or parents were excluded from the household. With the enactment of PRWORA, these children and their families must be included in the household.

## Benefit Calculation

Since 1991, the maximum food stamp benefit had been set at 103\% of the Thrifty Food Plan (TFP), which is indexed annually for inflation in food prices. Under PRWORA, beginning in 1997 the maximum benefit was set at $100 \%$ of the TFP, with the requirement that benefits not drop lower than the 1996 levels. The maximum benefit levels in 1998 and 1999 increased at the rate of inflation in food prices.

## FIGURE C

## FOOD STAMP PARTICIPANTS AFFECTED BY PRWORA'S WORK REQUIREMENT AND TIME LIMIT




## APPENDIX D

POVERTY INCOME GUIDELINES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1999

Table D. HHS Poverty Income Guidelines for Fiscal Year 1999 FSP ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Household Size | Continental <br> United States, <br> Guam, and the <br> Virgin Islands | Alaska | Hawaii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 8,050$ | $\$ 10,070$ | $\$ 9,260$ |
| 2 | 10,850 | 13,570 | 12,480 |
| 3 | 13,650 | 17,070 | 15,700 |
| 4 | 16,450 | 20,570 | 18,920 |
| 5 | 19,250 | 24,070 | 22,140 |
| 7 | 22,050 | 27,570 | 25,360 |
| 7 | 27,850 | 31,070 | 28,580 |
| 7 | $+2,800$ | 34,570 | 31,800 |
| Each Additional Member |  | $+3,500$ | $+3,220$ |

${ }^{\text {a}}$ These numbers, which were used as poverty guidelines for the FSP in fiscal year 1999, were issued by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) and published in the February 1998 Federal Register. Dividing these 1998 HHS guidelines by 12 and rounding up to the nearest dollar value produces the fiscal year 1999 monthly net income limits for the FSP (see Appendix E). The Bureau of the Census establishes different poverty thresholds which are used primarily for statistical purposes.

Source: 63 Federal Register 36, February 24, 1998.

## APPENDIX E

FSP MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE GROSS AND NET MONTHLY INCOME ELIGIBILITY STANDARDS IN

FISCAL YEAR 1999

Table E-1. FSP Maximum Allowable Gross Monthly Income Eligibility Standards in Fiscal Year 1999

| Household Size | Continental <br> United States, <br> Guam, and the <br> Virgin Islands | Alaska | Hawaii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 873$ | $\$ 1,091$ | $\$ 1,004$ |
| 2 | 1,176 | 1,471 | 1,352 |
| 3 | 1,479 | 1,850 | 1,701 |
| 4 | 1,783 | 2,229 | 2,050 |
| 5 | 2,086 | 2,608 | 2,399 |
| 6 | 2,389 | 2,987 | 2,748 |
| 7 | 2,693 | 3,366 | 3,097 |
| 8 | +304 | 3,746 | 3,445 |
| Each Additional Member |  | +380 | +349 |

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Table E-2. FSP Maximum Allowable Net Monthly Income Eligibility Standards in Fiscal Year 1999

| Household Size | Continental <br> United States, <br> Guam, and the <br> Virgin Islands | Alaska | Hawaii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 671$ | $\$ 840$ | $\$ 772$ |
| 2 | 905 | 1,131 | 1,040 |
| 3 | 1,138 | 1,423 | 1,309 |
| 4 | 1,371 | 1,715 | 1,577 |
| 5 | 1,605 | 2,006 | 1,845 |
| 6 | 1,838 | 2,298 | 2,114 |
| 7 | 2,071 | 2,590 | 2,382 |
| 8 | +234 | 2,881 | 2,650 |
| 2 | +292 | +269 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The fiscal year 1999 FSP net income limits are based on the 1998 poverty guidelines which were issued by the Department of Health and Human Services and published in the February 1998 Federal Register. FNS derived the fiscal year 1999 net income limits by dividing the 1998 poverty guidelines by 12 and rounding up to the nearest dollar. The 1998 poverty guidelines were developed on the basis of the 1997 Census poverty thresholds. This means that the net income limits applied to food stamp households in fiscal year 1999 are based on 1997 poverty measures.

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture.

## APPENDIX F

VALUE OF STANDARD, MAXIMUM DEPENDENT-CARE, AND EXCESS SHELTER EXPENSE DEDUCTIONS IN THE CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES AND OUTLYING AREAS IN FISCAL YEAR 1999

Table F. Value of Standard, Maximum Dependent-Care, and Excess Shelter Expense Deductions in the Continental United States and Outlying Areas in Fiscal Year 1999

| Area | Standard ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Maximum Dependent-Care ${ }^{\text {b,c }}$ | Excess Shelter ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Continental United States ......... | \$134 | \$200/\$175 | \$275 |
| Alaska ..................................... | 229 | 200/175 | 478 |
| Hawaii...................................... | 189 | 200/175 | 393 |
| Guam....................................... | 269 | 200/175 | 334 |
| Virgin Islands ........................... | 118 | 200/175 | 203 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Prior to fiscal year 1997, the standard deduction was adjusted each October to reflect changes in the CPI-U for nonfood items. Since fiscal year 1997, the standard deduction has been frozen at fiscal year 1996 levels.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The household limit on the dependent-care deduction is equal to the maximum dependent-care deduction multiplied by the number of dependents in the household.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ The higher dependent-care deduction pertains to dependents under age 2 ; the lower deduction is for dependents age 2 or more.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ The maximum limit for excess shelter expense deductions is adjusted each October 1 to reflect changes in the shelter, fuel, and utilities component of the CPI-U and is effective from October 1, 1998 to September 30, 1999.

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture.

## APPENDIX G

## VALUE OF MAXIMUM FOOD STAMP BENEFIT IN THE CONTINENTAL UNITED STATES AND OUTLYING AREAS IN FISCAL YEAR 1999

Table G. Value of Maximum Food Stamp Benefit in the Continental United States and Outlying Areas in Fiscal Year $1999^{\text {a,b }}$

| Household <br> Size | Continental <br> U.S. | Alaska <br> Urban | Alaska <br> Rural I | Alaska <br> Rural II | Hawaii | Guam | Virgin <br> Islands |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 125$ | $\$ 157$ | $\$ 200$ | $\$ 243$ | $\$ 197$ | $\$ 185$ | $\$ 161$ |
| 2 | 230 | 287 | 367 | 446 | 362 | 339 | 296 |
| 3 | 329 | 412 | 525 | 639 | 518 | 486 | 424 |
| 4 | 419 | 523 | 667 | 812 | 658 | 617 | 538 |
| 5 | 497 | 621 | 792 | 965 | 781 | 733 | 639 |
| 6 | 597 | 746 | 951 | 1,158 | 938 | 879 | 767 |
| 7 | 659 | 824 | 1,051 | 1,279 | 1,036 | 972 | 848 |
| 8 | 754 | 942 | 1,201 | 1,462 | 1,185 | 1,111 | 969 |
| Each Additional |  | +94 | +118 | +150 | +183 | +148 | +139 |
| Member | +121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The maximum benefit values are effective from October 1, 1998 to September 30, 1999 and are based on 100 percent of the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan in the preceding June for a reference family of four, rounded to the lowest dollar increment.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Due to the unusual nature of Alaskan terrain and climate, areas outside major urban centers are less accessible to food distributors. Therefore the value of the maximum benefit is adjusted to account for differences in the estimated cost of the Thrifty Food Plan in various regions. All regions of the state are classified as Rural I, Rural II, or Urban for this purpose.

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture.

## APPENDIX H

## SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF ESTIMATES

## The Sample

The estimates in this report are derived from a sample of households selected for review as part of the Food Stamp Program Quality Control System (FSPQC), an ongoing review of food stamp household circumstances. The FSPQC is designed to determine (1) if households are eligible to participate or are receiving the correct benefit amount and (2) if household participation is correctly denied or terminated. The FSPQC is based on a national probability sample of approximately 50,000 participating food stamp households, and on a somewhat smaller number of denials and terminations. The national sample of participating households collected in the FSPQC is stratified by the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the Virgin Islands. Annual required state samples range from a minimum of 300 to 2,400 reviews, depending on the size of the state's caseload. State agencies select an independent sample each month that is generally proportionate to the size of the monthly participating caseload.

The estimates presented in Appendices A and B of this report are derived from the fiscal year 1999 FSPQC sample of participating food stamp households. Monthly samples from October 1998 through September 1999 make up the fiscal year 1999 data.

## Target Universe

The target universe of this study included all participating households (active cases) subject to quality control review in the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the Virgin Islands. ${ }^{1}$

While almost all participating food stamp households are included in the target universe, certain types of households not amenable to review are not included. Specifically, the universe includes all households receiving food stamps during the review period except those in which the participants (1) died or moved outside the state; (2) received benefits through a disaster certification authorized by FNS; (3) were under investigation for FSP fraud (including those with pending fraud hearings) and/or were appealing a notice of adverse action; or (4) received restored benefits in accordance with the state manual, but were otherwise ineligible. The sampling unit within the universe each month is the active food stamp household as specified in FNS regulations.

## Weighting

The estimates for fiscal year 1999 in this report are based on a sample of 47,553 valid observations. The sample records have been weighted, based on the number of households participating in the food stamp program in each month in fiscal year 1999 as reported to FNS. ${ }^{2}$ Thus, when the sample is weighted, it

[^22]contains the total number of households that actually participated in the FSP over any given time period. A separate person-level weight was not created, however. Therefore, although the number of households in the weighted sample is equal to the number of households found in program operations data provided by FNS, the number of participants in the weighted sample is not exactly equal to the number in program data.

## Comparison to Participation Data

The following table compares the quality control sample-based estimates to aggregate program participation data for fiscal year 1999.

| Average Monthly Value | Fiscal Year 1999 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Program Data | FSPQC Sample |
| Number of households | 7,669,809 | 7,669,809 |
| Number of participants | 18,182,595 | 18,148,850 |
| Value of benefits | \$1,312,812,657 | \$1,240,987,655 |
| Average household size | 2.37 | 2.37 |
| Average benefit per person | \$72.20 | \$68.38 |

## Income Decision Rule

Several household-level income and deduction variables are both reported and can be calculated by summing across reported person-level variables. Gross income is the key variable since the earned income and excess shelter expense deductions and net income depend on gross income, and benefit levels depend on net income. The data on which this report is based were edited to achieve internal consistency. The reported and calculated values for fiscal year 1999 for selected variables are presented in appendix Table H.

## Completion Rates

Failure to complete reviews for all cases subject to review can bias the sample results if the characteristics of unreviewed households are significantly different from those of reviewed households. While there are no direct measures of such differences, the ratio of complete reviews to total sample cases selected for review provides an indication of the magnitude of any potential bias. The number of cases subject to review, the number of valid observations, and the estimated completion rates for fiscal year 1999 are as follows:

FSPQC Sample
Fiscal Year 1999

| Number of cases subject to review | 55,068 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of cases completed | 47,553 |
| Estimated completion rate | 86.4 |

Table H. Comparison of Calculated and Reported Values for Selected Variables of Participating Households, Fiscal Year 1999

| Variable | All <br> Households | Households With: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Earnings | Elderly | Children | Disabled |
| Average Gross Income (Dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calculated.......................................... | 603 | 917 | 605 | 701 | 698 |
| Reported ............................................ | 625 | 948 | 608 | 727 | 713 |
| Average Net Income (Dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calculated.......................................... | 338 | 529 | 344 | 412 | 427 |
| Reported ............................................ | 317 | 507 | 315 | 393 | 401 |
| Average Total Deduction (Dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calculated.......................................... | 299 | 400 | 277 | 319 | 281 |
| Reported .............................................. | 289 | 382 | 276 | 308 | 262 |
| Average Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calculated | 162 | 194 | 61 | 234 | 100 |
| Reported ............................................. | 167 | 206 | 68 | 238 | 108 |
| Percent With Zero Gross Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported | 8.4 8.3 | 0.0 0.2 | 1.4 1.5 | 6.4 6.0 | 0.2 0.3 |
| Percent With Zero Net Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calculated.......................................... | 20.6 | 10.3 | 8.9 | 17.8 | 5.7 |
| Reported ............................................. | 22.5 | 11.5 | 10.5 | 19.4 | 7.6 |
| Percent With Minimum Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calculated.......................................... | 9.7 | 4.3 | 27.7 | 1.4 | 15.7 |
| Reported ............................................ | 6.5 | 2.5 | 29.1 | 0.9 | 11.1 |

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

## APPENDIX I

## SAMPLING ERROR OF ESTIMATES

## Sampling Error

The estimates of the characteristics of food stamp households in this report are based on a sample of households and, consequently, are subject to statistical sampling error. One indicator of the magnitude of the sampling error associated with a given estimate is its standard error. Standard errors measure the variation in estimated values that would be observed if multiple replications of the sample were drawn. The magnitude of the standard errors depends on: (1) the degree of variation in the variable within the population from which the sample is drawn; (2) the design of the sample, including such issues as stratification and sampling probabilities; and (3) the size of the sample on which the estimate is based. This appendix presents estimates of the standard errors associated with key statistics and outlines methods for estimating the standard errors of other statistics for which standard errors have not been directly calculated.

## Standard Errors

The standard error of an estimated proportion of households, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{p}}$, based on a simple random sample is:
(1) $s_{p}=\sqrt{p(1-p) / n}$,
where p is the weighted estimate of the proportion, and n is the sample size. ${ }^{1}$ The standard error of an estimated number of households, $s_{N}$, based on a simple random sample is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{N}=N \sqrt{p(1-p) / n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where N is the number of households in the population. These formulas for the standard errors of estimates based on a simple random sample do not necessarily apply to estimates derived from more complex samples, such as the stratified sample of the FSPQC. In this appendix, standard
${ }^{1}$ More precisely, $n$ is the sample size corresponding to the population that forms the denominator or "base" of the proportion being estimated. When the base is all food stamp households in fiscal year 1999, $n=47,553$. Sample sizes for selected demographic sub groups for fiscal year 1999 are shown in the sample size column of Table I-1. For subgroups not shown in Table I-1, the sample size can be approximated by multiplying the total sample size $(47,553)$ by the ratio of the subgroup population size to the total population size ( $N$ ). For fiscal year 1999, $\boldsymbol{N}=7,670$ thousand. Hence the approximate sample size for elderly households in fiscal year 1999 would be calculated as $(1,543 / 7,670) \times(47,553)=9,566$. In this case the approximation can be compared to the true elderly sample size of 9,572 , as shown in Table I-1.
errors calculated using equations (1) and (2) are referred to as "naive standard errors." Standard errors can be estimated more accurately using a replicate sample method. ${ }^{2}$

The following discussion presents standard errors of selected estimates that were computed using the replicate sample method. It then presents a simple method for approximating standard errors of estimates for which individual standard errors have not been computed.

## Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers of Households

The standard errors of selected estimates of food stamp households in fiscal year 1999 are shown in Table F1. These standard errors can be used to compute confidence intervals for the estimated number of households with a particular characteristic. ${ }^{3}$ For example, the estimated number of food stamp households that receive the minimum benefit is 743,000 (Table A-1), and the corresponding standard error is 13,280 (Table I-1). Therefore, the 95 percent confidence interval extends from 716,400 to 769,600. ${ }^{4}$

For standard errors not shown in Table I-1, the approximate standard error, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}}$, of an estimated number of households for fiscal year 1999 can be calculated using equation (3):
(3) $S_{E}=S_{N} \times d$
where $s_{N}$ is the naive standard error from equation (2) above, and $d$ is the design effect for the population subgroup and characteristic of interest, from Table I-2. The design effect reflects the loss of precision due to the different sampling rates in different strata of the FSPQC sample. It is the ratio of the standard error computed by the replication method (Table F1) to the naive standard error. When the population subgroup (for example, households with elderly) is listed in Table I-2, but the characteristic of interest (for example, zero net income) is not, use the average design effect for the subgroup, from the rightmost column of Table I2. When neither the subgroup nor the characteristic is listed, use the average design effect for all FSP households, 1.32.
${ }^{2}$ Standard errors have been estimated using the replicate sample method described in Leslie Kish, Survey Sampling, 1965, pp. 127-128. Under the replicate sample method, the sample is divided into random subsamples, and the variance of the mean of any given variable in the full sample is based on the variance of the means for that variable across the subsamples.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{~A}$ confidence interval is a range of values that will contain the true value of an estimated characteristic with a known probability. For instance, a 95 percent confidence interval extends approximately two standard errors above and below the estimated value for a characteristic, and 95 percent of all confidence intervals will contain the true value.
${ }^{4}$ Calculated as: $(743-(2 \times 13.28))=716.4$ and $(743+(2 \times 13.28))=769.6$.

For example, to estimate the standard error of the number of households containing an elderly person with zero net income, the first step is to obtain the size of the estimate. As shown in appendix Table A-20, 137,000 elderly households have zero net income. The next step is to calculate the naïve standard error. Using equation (2), the value is $4,486 .{ }^{5}$ Multiplying 4,486 by the design effect (d) of 1.78 (Table I-2) yields an estimated standard error of 7,985 (compared with the replicate sample method standard error from Table I-1, 8,000).

## Standard Errors of Estimated Percentages

Comparing equations (1) and (2), it is apparent that the standard error of an estimated percentage of households, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{p}}$, is equal to the standard error of the corresponding count of households, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{v}}$, divided by the number of households in the population that forms the base of the percentage. That is:

$$
\text { (4) } s_{p}=s_{N} / N
$$

For example, appendix Table A20 shows that, of the 4.3 million households with children, 273,000 ( 6.4 percent) have no gross income. The standard error $\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ of the number of households with children with no gross income is 8,530 (Table I-1). To calculate $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{p}}$, the standard error of the corresponding percentage estimate, simply divide $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}}$ by the number of households in the population that forms the base of the percentageC in this case, 4.3 million households with children. The resulting standard error of the percentage estimate is 0.2 percentage points, and the corresponding 95 percent confidence interval extends from 6.0 to 6.8 percent, around the point estimate of 6.4 percent.

Equation (4) can also be applied to standard errors that are not shown in Table F1. First, calculate the adjusted naive standard error of the number of households using equation (3). Then divide the resulting standard error by the size of the population that forms the base of the percentage. Returning to an earlier example Cof the 1.5 million elderly households, 137,000 ( 8.9 percent) have zero net income. Dividing the adjusted naive standard error (calculated above as 7,985 ) by 1.5 million, yields an adjusted naive standard error of the percentage estimate of 0.5 percentage points.
${ }^{5}$ Calculated as:
$1,543 \times \sqrt{((137 / 1,543) \times(1 \&(137 / 1,543)) / 9,572)}{ }^{\prime} 4.486$,
where 1,543 is the estimated population of elderly households in thousands, 137 is the estimated population of elderly households with zero net income in thousands, 9,572 is the sample size of elderly households (Table I-1), and 4.486 is the standard error in thousands.

## Standard Errors of Estimated Means

The standard errors for selected estimated means for fiscal year 1999 are provided in Table I-3. For example, the standard error of the mean gross income for all food stamp households in fiscal year 1999 is $\$ 2.47$ (Table I-3), and the mean itself is $\$ 603$ (Table A-21). Therefore, a 95 percent confidence interval extends from approximately $\$ 598$ to $\$ 608$.

Generalized approximation methods such as the one used in equation (3) work well for standard errors of estimated numbers and percentages, because the standard errors depend only on the sample size, the estimated proportion, and the design effects. Generalized methods are less appropriate for standard errors of means because the standard error depends on the variance as well as the sample size and design effects. Nevertheless, a rough approximation of the magnitude of standard errors of means not included in Table I-3 can be obtained from Table I-4. Table F4 shows for each variable in Table I-3 the average, minimum, and maximum value of that variable's standard error as a percentage of the variable's mean value. These three values are shown for all food stamp households and for selected subgroups. The standard errors in Table I-4 include design effects.

|  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sample Size | Estimated Population (Thousand s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Zero } \\ \text { Gross } \\ \text { Income } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Zero } \\ \text { Net } \\ \text { Income } \end{gathered}$ | Minimum Benefits | Earned Income | Elderly | $\begin{gathered} \text { Childre } \\ \mathrm{n} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | School- <br> Age <br> Children | Disabled |  |  |
| All FSP Households .... | 12.87 | 19.87 | 13.28 | 21.25 | 18.56 | 22.56 | 23.65 | 19.36 | 47,553 | 7,670 |
| With Elderly ................ | 3.03 | 8.00 | 9.91 | 4.00 | 18.56 | 5.65 | 5.42 | 8.42 | 9,572 | 1,543 |
| Without Elderly .......... | 12.58 | 18.82 | 9.39 | 21.10 | NA | 22.74 | 23.60 | 18.53 | 37,981 | 6,127 |
| With Children ............... | 8.53 | 13.71 | 4.71 | 20.38 | 5.65 | 22.59 | 23.65 | 12.70 | 25,952 | 4,275 |
| With School Age ......... | 6.75 | 11.36 | 4.13 | 18.69 | 5.42 | 23.65 | 23.65 | 11.99 | 19,602 | 3,273 |
| Without Children ......... | 10.04 | 15.87 | 12.51 | 8.49 | 17.99 | NA | NA | 16.64 | 21,601 | 3,395 |
| With Earnings .............. | NA | 7.48 | 5.20 | 21.25 | 4.00 | 20.38 | 18.69 | 7.17 | 13,119 | 2,058 |
| With Disabled ............. | 1.16 | 6.33 | 8.84 | 7.17 | 8.42 | 12.70 | 11.99 | 19.36 | 13,510 | 2,031 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Standard errors were estimated using the replicate sample method.
$\mathrm{NA}=$ not applicable.
Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table I-2. Design Effects (d) for Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers or Percentages of Food Stamp Households, Fiscal Year 1999a

| Base of Estimated Number | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average Design Effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Zero Gross Income | Zero Net Income | Minimum Benefits | Earned <br> Income | Elderly | Children | School-Age Children | Disabled |  |
| All FSP Households ...... | 1.31 | 1.40 | 1.28 | 1.36 | 1.32 | 1.29 | 1.36 | 1.35 | 1.32 |
| With Elderly .................. | 1.62 | 1.78 | 1.40 | 1.42 | NA | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.49 |
| W ithout Elderly ............. | 1.32 | 1.41 | 1.35 | 1.43 | NA | 1.55 | 1.50 | 1.30 | 1.41 |
| With Children ................ | 1.31 | 1.35 | 1.53 | 1.56 | 1.35 | NA | 2.10 | 1.30 | 1.50 |
| With School Children .... | 1.30 | 1.35 | 1.53 | 1.62 | 1.35 | NA | NA | 1.32 | 1.41 |
| Without Children ........... | 1.38 | 1.60 | 1.35 | 1.34 | 1.58 | NA | NA | 1.47 | 1.46 |
| With Earnings............... | NA | 1.37 | 1.42 | NA | 1.43 | 3.33 | 2.21 | 1.34 | 1.85 |
| With Disabled............... | 1.50 | 1.57 | 1.39 | 1.37 | 1.42 | 1.53 | 1.50 | NA | 1.47 |

${ }^{a}$ The design effect is the ratio of the standard error computed by the replication method to the naive standard error for the specific cell of the table. The average design effect for each row is a simple arithmetic average of the design effects for each cell in the row.
$\mathrm{NA}=$ not applicable.
Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table I-3. Standard Errors of Estimated Means, Fiscal Year 1999a

| Base of Estimated Mean | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross Income | Net Income | Benefits | All Deduction s | Total <br> Resources | Household Size | Certification Period | Earnings ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | TANF ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SSI ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Shelter Deduction b |
| All FSP <br> Households | 2.47 | 2.10 | 0.77 | 1.08 | 2.54 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 5.31 | 3.20 | 2.50 | 1.12 |
| With Elderly ........... | 2.89 | 3.22 | 0.89 | 3.12 | 6.34 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 26.84 | 25.10 | 4.27 | 3.76 |
| Without Elderly ...... | 2.89 | 2.51 | 0.88 | 1.15 | 2.75 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 5.36 | 3.23 | 2.99 | 1.11 |
| With Children......... | 3.79 | 3.24 | 1.07 | 1.41 | 3.64 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 5.73 | 3.25 | 4.99 | 1.27 |
| With School <br> Children $\qquad$ | 4.42 | 3.85 | 1.30 | 1.67 | 4.20 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 6.77 | 4.07 | 5.56 | 1.53 |
| Without Children.... | 2.34 | 1.96 | 0.50 | 1.74 | 3.47 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 9.36 | 10.53 | 2.83 | 2.06 |
| With Earnings ......... | 5.30 | 4.77 | 1.49 | 1.89 | 4.96 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 5.31 | 6.29 | 8.28 | 1.80 |
| With Disabled ......... | 3.53 | 3.74 | 1.13 | 2.34 | 3.65 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 14.31 | 5.50 | 2.82 | 2.70 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Standard errors were estimated using the replication method.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ For households with a nonzero amount.
Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table I-4. Range of Standard Errors of Mean Amounts Expressed as a Percentage of the Mean Amount, Fiscal Year 1999 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Number of Households <br> in Base of Mean <br> (Thousands) | Standard Error as <br> Percent of Mean Amount |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.7 | Lowest $^{\mathrm{c}}$ | Highest $^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| 8,246 (Average ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 0.3 | 1.8 |  |
| 1,500 (Households With Elderly)..... | 2.3 | 0.5 | 8.1 |
| 4,806 (Households With Children)... | 0.8 | 0.3 | 2.9 |
| 2,167 (Households With Earnings).. | 1.2 | 0.5 | 3.0 |
| 2,015 (Households With Disabled).. | 1.3 | 0.4 | 2.8 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Standard errors from table I-3 and mean amounts from applicable text tables.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Average standard error across all 11 variables in table I-3 expressed as a percentage of the mean amount.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Lowest of the standard errors across all 11 variables in table I-3 expressed as a percentage of the mean amount.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Highest of the standard errors across all 11 variables in table I-3 expressed as a percentage of the mean amount.

Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The figure of 18.2 million people is based on FNS administrative records. The participant count of 18.1 million cited later in the report and the other figures provided throughout the report are estimates from the Food Stamp Program Quality Control (FSPQC) sample. For an explanation of the difference in the counts see Appendix H.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The FSP eligibility requirements include nonfinancial categorical criteria for certain groups. Specifically, many able-bodied, childless adults and legal permanent resident aliens are ineligible for food stamps. See Appendix C for more details on these individuals.
    ${ }^{2}$ Prior to the fiscal year 1995 report, reports in this series did not concentrate on the full fiscal year. Rather, reports were based on a subset of the year, such as the summer months.

[^2]:    ${ }^{5}$ See Appendix C for more details on alien eligibility.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ The information in this chapter and the estimates in Appendices A and B are based on a sample of 47,553 households that participated in the FSP in fiscal year 1999. The sample was drawn from food stamp households in the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the Virgin Islands. Households in Puerto Rico and the Northern Mariana Islands were not included in the sample because Puerto Rico has its own Nutritional Assistance Program, which replaced the FSP there in July 1982, and the Northern Mariana Islands participate in another block grant program instead of the FSP.
    ${ }^{2}$ The figure of 18.1 million participants differs from the number of food stamp participants according to FNS administrative records, 18.2 million people, because the sample estimate is weighted by households rather than by individuals (see Appendix H).
    ${ }^{3}$ This figure reflects the entire deduction to which households are entitled. Because households cannot deduct more than their gross income, this figure is greater than the average deduction actually received by households.
    ${ }^{4}$ For more information on the economic status of food stamp households, see Appendix Tables A-3 through A-8.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ See Appendix D for the poverty guidelines.
    ${ }^{6}$ This comparison assumes that program participants value their food stamp benefits at face value.
    ${ }^{7}$ See Appendices A-3, A-6, A-11, A-13, A-14, A-17, A-18, A20-A-22, and A-28 for more details concerning these households.

[^5]:    ${ }^{8}$ In this report, disabled children are defined as persons under age 18 who are receiving SSI. Disabled adults are defined as individuals age 62 to 64 who receive SSI or age 18 to 61 who receive Social Security, veterans' benefits, or other government benefits as a result of a disability. Because identifiers such as Social Security and SSI receipt are not valid indicators for persons over age 64 (Social Security is not a valid indicator after age 61), disability status of adults over age 64 cannot be identified accurately in the FSPQC sample.

[^6]:    ${ }^{9}$ For more information on FSP participants and household heads, see Appendix Tables A-24 and A-28 through A-31.
    ${ }^{10}$ Reports in this series prior to summer 1989 included as work registrants only people required to register for work under the FSP; the summer 1989 through fiscal year 1997 reports include as work registrants food stamp participants registered for work under the FSP or for the Job Opportunities and Basic Skills (JOBS) program. Beginning with the fiscal year 1998 report, work registrants include those registered for work under the FSP or any other assistance program. For more information on the work registration status of food stamp participants and household heads, see Appendix Table A-30.

[^7]:    Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

[^8]:    Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

[^9]:    - No sample households in this category.

[^10]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The sum of individual income sources does not add to the total because households can receive income from more than one source.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Average value of specified source over households with income from source.

[^11]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Does not include households with the minimum benefit.

    - No sample households in this category.

[^12]:    Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

[^13]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because of an administrative change in how citizenship data were collected in the FSPQC, naturalized citizens, permanent resident aliens and individual classifications of permanent resident aliens cannot be identified. Citizenship tables in this report contain information only for citizens and non-citizens and therefore are not directly comparable with tables in previous Characteristics of Food Stamp Households Reports.

[^14]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Percent of all participants.
    b Pro-rated benefits equal the benefits paid to households multiplied by the ratio of participants with selected characteristic to total household size.

[^15]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Some food stamp units do not have a household head identified, either because the household head is outside the food stamp unit or because the data identifying the head are missing. This table includes such cases in the "Unknown" categories.

[^16]:    Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

[^17]:    Source: Fiscal Year 1999 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

[^18]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

[^19]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

[^20]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because of an administrative change in how citizenship data were collected in the FSPQC, naturalized citizens, permanent resident aliens and individual classifications of permanent resident aliens cannot be identified. Citizenship tables in this report contain information only for citizens and non-citizens and therefore are not directly comparable with tables in previous Characteristics of Food Stamp Households Reports.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ Illegal aliens and non-immigrants (students, tourists, visitors and people with work visas) have never been eligible for benefits.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ Participating households in Guam and the Virgin Islands have been included in the target universe since fiscal year 1993. Prior to that year the universe excluded households in those areas.
    ${ }^{2}$ Case record sample weights of states that had disproportionately stratified quality control sample designs were adjusted to reflect the stratification.

