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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Food Stamp Program (FSP) provides millions of Americans with the means to purchase food for a nutritious diet. The FSP is the largest of the 15 domestic food and nutrition assistance programs administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Food and Nutrition Service (FNS). This report presents an overview of the FSP eligibility requirements and benefit levels, as well as the characteristics of food stamp households and participants nationwide, in fiscal year 2006 (October 2005 to September 2006). The appendices include detailed tabulations of household and participant characteristics for the nation and by State, and a brief description of the sample design and the sampling error associated with the estimates presented in the report.

## FSP Participation and Costs

In an average month in fiscal year 2006, the FSP provided benefits to nearly 26.7 million people living in over 11.7 million households across the United States. ${ }^{1}$ The total cost of the program in fiscal year 2006 was $\$ 32.9$ billion, $\$ 30.2$ billion of which were for food stamp benefits. Compared with fiscal year 2005, the total number of FSP participants increased by 4 percent and FSP benefits increased by 6 percent. The average monthly food stamp benefit for all participants in fiscal year 2006 (including those receiving disaster assistance) was $\$ 214$ per household. The remainder of this report draws on data for participating households eligible for the FSP under normal program rules, and thus does not include information about those affected by the Gulf Coast hurricanes in 2005 who received disaster assistance. ${ }^{2}$

## Characteristics of Food Stamp Households and Participants

In fiscal year 2006, 42 percent of all food stamp participants were nonelderly adults, and 9 percent were elderly people. Forty-nine percent of all participants were children, continuing a slight downward trend since fiscal year 1998. About 66 percent of the children were school age, and 68 percent of nonelderly adult participants were women. Nearly 30 percent of food stamp households had earned income, 27 percent received Supplemental Security Income (SSI), 24 percent received Social Security income, and 13 percent received support from Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF).

Approximately 87 percent of food stamp households lived in poverty, as measured by the federal poverty guidelines issued by the Department of Health and Human Services (see Appendix C). The percentage of households with zero gross income continued to increase in

[^0]fiscal year 2006, rising to 14 percent from 8 percent in fiscal year 2000. Food stamp benefits were concentrated among poorer households- 39 percent of all food stamp households had a gross income less than or equal to half of the poverty guideline, and these households received 56 percent of all benefits. If the value of food stamps is included as income, 9 percent of all food stamp households moved above the poverty guideline as a result of receiving food stamps, and 15 percent moved from below to above half of the poverty guideline.

Of all food stamp households, 84 percent contained either an elderly or disabled person or a child, and these households received 89 percent of all benefits. Households with children received a relatively large average monthly food stamp benefit (\$303), reflecting their larger household size. The average household with children had 3.3 people compared with an average of 1.1 people for households without children. A majority ( 63 percent) of the food stamp households with children were single-adult households. Twenty-six percent of these single-adult households with children received cash benefits from TANF. About 47 percent of all food stamp households with children had earned income; 40 percent of single-adult households with children and 67 percent of married-head households with children had earnings. Five percent of all households with children had both TANF and earned income.

Households with an elderly member received a relatively small average monthly food stamp benefit ( $\$ 91$ ), reflecting their smaller than average size ( 1.3 people) and higher than average incomes, compared to other FSP participants. Eighty percent of food stamp households with an elderly member consisted of an elderly person living alone. These individuals received an average monthly benefit of $\$ 74$ compared with an average monthly benefit of $\$ 157$ for households with elderly people not living alone and $\$ 234$ for households without any elderly people.

## CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

The Food Stamp Program (FSP) is a central component of America's nutrition assistance safety net. The stated purpose of the FSP is "to permit low-income households to obtain a more nutritious diet by increasing their purchasing power" (The Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, P.L. 95-113). The FSP is the largest of the 15 domestic food and nutrition assistance programs administered by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Food and Nutrition Service (FNS). According to FNS administrative records, during fiscal year 2006, the FSP served approximately 26.7 million people in an average month at a total cost of $\$ 32.9$ billion, $\$ 30.2$ billion of which were for food stamp benefits. ${ }^{1}$

The FSP is the only low-income assistance program available nationwide to essentially all financially needy households because it imposes relatively few nonfinancial categorical criteria. ${ }^{2}$ The FSP provides benefits electronically, and the benefits can be redeemed for food in approximately 162,000 authorized stores across the nation.

Federal, State, and local governments share the costs and administration of the FSP. Congress authorizes the program and appropriates necessary funds. The Department of Agriculture establishes program regulations under the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended. FNS administers the FSP nationally, while State and local welfare agencies operate the program locally. The federal government fully funds the benefits of the FSP. Administrative costs are shared by the cooperating agencies, with FNS usually paying about 50 percent of the costs.

Since food stamps are available to most people who meet the income and resource standards set by Congress, the FSP serves a broad spectrum of the needy population. Using FSP household data collected periodically for quality control purposes, FNS sponsors several analyses to enhance its understanding of the people served by the FSP. The agency also produces a series of reports to document these analyses (see Appendix G for a list of titles). This report presents a picture of households and individuals participating in the FSP in fiscal year 2006. The remainder of this report draws on data for households eligible for the FSP under normal program rules, and thus does not include information about those affected by Gulf Coast hurricanes in 2005 that received disaster assistance. ${ }^{3}$

[^1]Chapter 2 provides an overview of the FSP, including the regulations used to determine eligibility and benefits, and the factors that affect program participation and costs, such as trends in the national economy. Chapter 3 describes the characteristics of individuals and households participating in the FSP in fiscal year 2006. Appendix A contains detailed national tables of FSP household characteristics, while Appendix B contains detailed State-by-State tables of FSP household characteristics. Appendix C provides the fiscal year 2006 FSP eligibility standards and maximum benefit amounts. Appendix D is a detailed explanation and evaluation of the source and reliability of the estimates contained in this report, and Appendix E presents the sampling error of the estimates. The data collection instrument used to collect the FSP Quality Control data, which forms the basis of this report, can be found in Appendix F, and a list of the reports in this series for prior years can be found in Appendix G.

## CHAPTER 2: AN OVERVIEW OF THE FOOD STAMP PROGRAM

The characteristics of food stamp households and the level of FSP participation change over time in response to legislative changes to the FSP as well as economic and demographic trends. This chapter explains FSP eligibility requirements, application procedures, benefit computation, and food stamp issuance. The chapter concludes with a summary of program participation and costs, including a discussion of how these costs are related to the economy in fiscal year 2006.

## PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENTS

The Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, establishes uniform national eligibility standards for the FSP. It defines what an FSP "household" is, defines categories of households that are automatically eligible for benefits, and sets gross and net income limits, an asset limit, and various nonfinancial criteria. There are exceptions to the eligibility criteria for certain high-cost areas, such as Alaska and Hawaii, and for certain individuals such as elderly people (age 60 and over) and people with disabilities. ${ }^{1}$

## The Household

Under FSP rules, a household is defined as individuals who share a residential unit and purchase and prepare food together. The income and countable resources of each household member are aggregated to determine eligibility and benefits. Individuals who live together in a residential unit but do not purchase and prepare food together can apply as separate household units and their income and countable resources are considered separately in eligibility and benefit determinations. People who are elderly and disabled and cannot prepare and purchase food because of a substantial disability may apply as a separate household as long as the gross monthly income of the remainder of their residential unit is less than 165 percent of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services poverty guidelines. ${ }^{2}$

[^2]
## Categorical Eligibility

Certain households are categorically eligible for the FSP and therefore not subject to income or asset limits. A household is categorically eligible if all of its members receive Supplemental Security Income (SSI), cash or in-kind Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF), or in some places, General Assistance (GA). ${ }^{3}$ A broader interpretation of categorical eligibility rules implemented on November 21, 2000 requires States to confer categorical eligibility on families receiving or certified as eligible to receive benefits or services that are at least 50 percent funded by TANF or Maintenance of Effort (MOE) funds. States have the option of conferring categorical eligibility on families receiving or certified to receive benefits or services that are less than 50 percent funded by TANF/MOE. They may also confer categorical eligibility on households where at least one member receives the benefit or service, but the State determines that the whole household benefits. If the purpose of the program conferring categorical eligibility is to prevent out-of-wedlock pregnancies or to foster or strengthen marriage, the household's gross income must be under 200 percent of poverty. However, if the purpose of the program is to further work, this income limit does not apply. In some States, virtually all food stamp households are authorized to receive a TANF/MOE-funded benefit that makes them categorically eligible for food stamps. In other States, only certain types of households may be authorized to receive the TANF/MOE-funded benefit.

## Income Eligibility Standards

Monthly income is the most important determinant of household eligibility. The majority of households that apply for food stamps must meet two income eligibility standards: a gross income standard and a net income standard. ${ }^{4}$

As defined in the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, gross income includes most cash income (with the exception of specific types of income such as loans) and excludes most noncash income, or in-kind benefits. To be eligible for the FSP, a household that is not categorically eligible and does not contain an elderly or disabled member must have a monthly gross income that is at or below 130 percent of the poverty guideline ( $\$ 2,097$ for a family of four in the contiguous United States in fiscal year 2006). Households with elderly or disabled members are not subject to the gross income standard. Net income is determined by subtracting deductions permitted under the FSP from monthly gross income. The FSP deducts the following from a household's gross monthly income to arrive at the net monthly income: ${ }^{5}$

[^3]- Standard Deduction. Households receive a standard deduction based on location and household size. A household with 1 to 4 members received $\$ 134$ in the contiguous United States in fiscal year 2006, with a larger standard for larger households. The standard deduction for outlying States and territories varies to reflect price differences between these areas and the contiguous United States (Appendix C). The standard deductions are adjusted annually for cost-of-living increases.
- Earned Income Deduction. Households with earnings receive a deduction equal to 20 percent of the combined earnings of household members.
- Dependent Care Deduction. Households with dependents receive a deduction for expenses involved in caring for children and other dependents while other household members work, seek employment, or go to school. The maximum dependent care deduction in fiscal year 2006 was $\$ 200$ per month per dependent under age 2 and $\$ 175$ per month per dependent age 2 or older.
- Medical Deduction. A medical deduction is available only to households that contain elderly or disabled members. These households can deduct combined out-of-pocket medical costs exceeding $\$ 35$ that are incurred on behalf of elderly or disabled members of the household. Medical expenses reimbursed by insurance or government programs are not deductible.
- Child Support Payment Deduction. Households can deduct legally obligated child support payments made to or for a non-household member. States may choose to exclude child support payments from gross income rather than using the deduction.
- Excess Shelter Expense Deduction. A household is entitled to a deduction equal to shelter costs (such as rent, mortgage payments, utility bills, property taxes, and insurance) that exceed 50 percent of its countable income after all other potential deductions are subtracted from gross income. The limit on the excess shelter expense deduction in the contiguous United States for households without elderly or disabled members was $\$ 400$ in fiscal year 2006. This amount is indexed to inflation increases in subsequent years. Households that contain elderly or disabled members are entitled to subtract the full value of shelter costs that exceed 50 percent of their adjusted income. The limit on the excess shelter expense deduction for outlying States and territories varies to reflect price differences between these areas and the contiguous United States (Appendix C). Some States allow homeless households a deduction of up to $\$ 143$ for shelter costs.

To be eligible for the FSP, a household must have a net monthly income at or below 100 percent of the poverty guideline ( $\$ 1,613$ for a family of four in the contiguous United States in fiscal year 2006). Categorically eligible households are not subject to the net income limit. The gross and net income eligibility standards vary by household size, as well as for residents of Alaska and Hawaii (see Appendix C).

## Resources

The second most important determinant of FSP eligibility is a household's resources. Households are permitted up to $\$ 2,000$ in countable resources, or $\$ 3,000$ in countable resources if at least one member is age 60 or older or disabled. ${ }^{6}$ Countable resources include cash, assets that can easily be converted into cash (such as money in checking or savings accounts, savings certificates, stocks or bonds, or lump-sum payments), and some nonliquid resources such as certain vehicles. ${ }^{7}$ However, some types of property such as family homes, tools of a trade, or business property used to earn income are not counted. Categorically eligible households are not subject to asset limits.

Regulations implemented in January 2001 exclude from the asset test any vehicle with equity below $\$ 1,500$, and exempt from the equity test 1 vehicle per adult in the household as well as any vehicles used by a teenager to drive to work or school. ${ }^{8}$ If there are no qualifying adults or teenagers in the household, 1 vehicle is still exempted from the equity test. For vehicles exempt from the equity test but not excluded entirely from the asset test, any fair market value exceeding $\$ 4,650$ is counted toward the asset limit. For any remaining vehicles, the higher of either any fair market value in excess of $\$ 4,650$ or any equity is counted.

In addition, the fiscal year 2001 Agricultural Appropriations Act (enacted in September 2000 and effective on July 1, 2001) allowed States to use TANF vehicle rules in place of food stamp rules if the TANF rules were more generous. By September 2006, 28 States had adopted policies that excluded the value of all vehicles from the asset test. ${ }^{9}$ Other States adopted policies that excluded the value of 1 vehicle per adult or per household or increased the allowable value of 1 or more vehicles. Only 1 State (Idaho) was still using the federal FSP rules.

These changes were designed to make it easier for low-income workers to keep a vehicle and still receive food stamps.

## Nonfinancial Eligibility Standards

The FSP has some nonfinancial eligibility standards, such as restrictions on the participation of students, strikers, people who are institutionalized, unauthorized immigrants, nonimmigrant visitors to the United States, and some lawful permanent resident noncitizens. In addition, nondisabled nonelderly adults living in households without children are subject to work registration requirements and time limits on benefit receipt.

[^4]The Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA) severely limited eligibility for legal noncitizens. While unauthorized immigrants have never been eligible for the FSP, the 2002 Farm Security and Rural Investment Act restored food stamp benefits to the following groups of legal noncitizens who meet the program's requirements:

- Noncitizens who are receiving disability benefits, effective October 1, 2002
- Noncitizens who have lived in the United States for over five years, effective April 1, 2003
- Noncitizens under age 18 regardless of date of entry, effective October 1, 2003

Those admitted as refugees and those granted asylum or a stay of deportation are also eligible for food stamp benefits.

Nondisabled nonelderly adults living in households without children can receive benefits only if they work or participate in work-related activities. With certain exceptions, those who do not meet these work requirements are restricted to 3 months of food stamp benefits in any 36month period. ${ }^{10}$ Participants age 18 to 49 are subject to this time limit unless they are in one of the following categories:

- People who are disabled
- People who are mentally or physically unfit for employment
- Women who are pregnant
- People needed in the home to care for an ill or incapacitated person
- Relatives or other caretakers of dependent children
- Students meeting FSP eligibility requirements
- People who work at least 20 hours per week
- People complying with work requirements under another program
- People participating in a drug or alcohol rehabilitation program
- People participating in a work experience program

[^5]
## APPLICATION PROCEDURES

To apply for food stamps, individuals are required to appear in person at their local food stamp office. However, elderly and disabled people and people who have transportation problems can be interviewed by telephone or at their home. Six States currently also allow individuals to apply for food stamps online. All States must allow individuals to apply for food stamps when they apply for TANF or SSI benefits.

The Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, requires that local offices process applications for food stamps within 30 days after they are received. However, applications from households with extremely low income or low level of resources can be processed more quickly through the expedited food stamp eligibility verification procedures, allowing people to receive food stamp benefits within seven days after they apply. Those eligible for expedited service include (1) migrant or seasonal farm workers with countable resources equal to or less than $\$ 100$, and (2) households with gross income equal to or less than $\$ 150$ and countable resources equal to or less than $\$ 100$.

FSP participants are required to periodically appear in person at their local food stamp offices or participate in a telephone interview for recertification. The certification period varies according to the likelihood of a change in a food stamp household's financial circumstances. The certification period for households with elderly members can be up to 24 months. In fiscal year 2006, food stamp households were certified for food stamps for an average of 12 months, a rate likely augmented by the longer certification periods for elderly households.

## BENEFIT COMPUTATION

After a household is certified for food stamps, its monthly food stamp benefit is computed on the basis of its net monthly income, the benefit reduction rate, and the maximum food stamp benefit for its household size and location. The maximum benefit to which a household is entitled is based on the June cost of the Thrifty Food Plan (TFP) for a family of four, adjusted for household size and geographic areas outside the contiguous United States. The cost of the TFP is based on an economical and nutritious diet, adjusted for household size and composition. Maximum benefits are revised annually to reflect changes in the cost of the foods in the TFP. As specified in the Food Stamp Act of 1977, as amended, the maximum benefit is 100 percent of the TFP. In fiscal year 2006, the maximum monthly benefit for a family of four in the contiguous United States was $\$ 506$ (Appendix C).

The benefit reduction rate is the rate at which benefits are reduced for every additional dollar of net income. The benefit reduction rate is 30 percent, reflecting the assumption that a household will spend 30 percent of its net income on food and that the FSP will provide the difference between that amount and the maximum benefit. Thus, benefits are reduced by 30 cents for every additional dollar of net income.

A household's monthly food stamp benefit is computed by subtracting 30 percent of its net income from the maximum benefit. If a household has zero net income, it receives the maximum food stamp benefit. All eligible one- and two-person households are guaranteed a minimum benefit of at least $\$ 10$ per month (except during the initial month of participation). For new participants, benefits are prorated for the first month.

## MFIP and SSI-CAP Households

Under the Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP), households in Minnesota that receive TANF have their benefit computed differently from other food stamp households. MFIP participants' FSP benefit is calculated at the same time as the cash assistance benefit by subtracting total income from an income threshold that is based on family size and is larger for families with earnings. If the difference between total income and the threshold is larger than the maximum benefit set by Minnesota, the family receives the full food portion of its benefit for food and possibly an additional cash benefit. As a family's income rises, the cash portion of the benefit is reduced before the food portion of the benefit. Families with income closer to the income threshold may not receive a cash benefit and will receive a smaller food benefit as well. MFIP participants are credited with a 37 percent earnings deduction but receive no other deductions from their income.

Some households with SSI receive benefits that are computed differently from other food stamp households under the SSI Combined Application Program (SSI-CAP). SSI-CAP is a joint FNS-Social Security Administration (SSA) and State project that streamlines the food stamp application process for single-person households that are eligible for SSI (which also makes them categorically eligible for the FSP). In FY 2006, 8 States had SSI-CAP demonstrations: Florida, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, Texas, South Carolina, and Washington. In general, SSI-CAP is limited to one-person elderly households with SSI and no earned income. ${ }^{11}$ In 5 of the States (Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas), SSI-CAP households receive a standard benefit based on whether the State categorizes them as having "high" or "low" shelter expenses (as determined by the State). In 3 of the States (Florida, Massachusetts, and Washington), SSI-CAP households receive a benefit calculated using actual income, the standard deduction, a standard utility allowance, and a standardized "high" or "low" shelter expense. SSI-CAP households do not receive any other deductions from their income.

## FOOD STAMP ISSUANCE

Electronic Benefit Transfer (EBT) systems have been implemented in all 50 States, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. There are two types of EBT systems:

- On-Line EBT. Participant receives a "debit" card, similar to a bank card, which is used to purchase food at authorized retail stores. The household's monthly benefit is electronically transferred to an account created specifically for FSP benefits. When a purchase is made, the amount of the purchase is debited from the account.

[^6]- Off-Line EBT. In 2006, Wyoming was the only State to issue "smart cards." Unlike on-line EBT cards, these cards contain food stamp benefit information in a chip on the card. ${ }^{12}$


## FSP PARTICIPATION AND COSTS

After declining slowly from 1985 through 1989, the number of FSP participants grew substantially during the early 1990s. As illustrated in Figure 2.1, the number of FSP participants increased by 37 percent from fiscal year 1990 to fiscal year 1994. Since peaking at 28.0 million people in March 1994, the number of FSP participants declined steadily through 2000 but began to rise in 2001, and has increased every year through 2006. There were 17.3 million participants in fiscal year 2001, increasing to 25.6 million participants in fiscal year 2006.

The decline in the number of FSP participants from 1994 to 2000 was caused by several factors. Part of the decline is associated with the improved economy in the second half of the 1990's. Major economic indicators generally showed improvement from 1994 to 1998 (Table 2.1), and the number of participants fell during this period of sustained economic growth. Additionally, research suggests that about a third of the total decline in the number of participants occurred because rising income and assets lifted people above the program's eligibility limits. Another 8 percent of the decline reflects welfare reform's restrictions on the eligibility of noncitizens and limits on the time during which nonelderly nondisabled childless unemployed adults can receive benefits. The remainder of the decline-just over half-occurred because fewer eligible people participated in the program. ${ }^{13}$

The increase in the number of FSP participants since 2001 was associated with a rise in the unemployment rate through 2003 and a rise in the poverty rate through 2004. The number of FSP participants continued to grow through 2006 despite a drop in the unemployment rate from 2004 to 2006 and a leveling off of the poverty rate after 2004. This continued growth in food stamp participation, despite improvements in economic indicators, is likely due to expansions in food stamp eligibility and outreach efforts implemented in recent years. For example, under the 2002 Farm Security and Rural Investment Act, eligibility for certain groups of legal noncitizens was restored. In addition, States have taken advantage of opportunities to expand categorical eligibility, relax vehicle rules, and implement simpler reporting requirements, and FNS has encouraged States to conduct outreach efforts. As the number of eligible people increased, the percentage of eligible people choosing to participate in the FSP also rose, from 53.7 percent in FY 2001 to 65.1 in FY $2005 .{ }^{14}$

[^7]Total FSP costs increased from $\$ 31.1$ billion in fiscal year 2005 to $\$ 32.9$ billion in fiscal year 2006. This rise in costs was largely caused by the increase in the FSP caseload and the annual increase in the maximum allotment, which was driven by the increase in the Thrifty Food Plan. Another factor was the large number of people who received disaster assistance, and thus received the maximum allotment, in FY 2006.

FIGURE 2.1

FOOD STAMP PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS, UNEMPLOYED INDIVIDUALS, AND INDIVIDUALS IN POVERTY, 1985-2006

${ }^{a}$ Annual values. Source: Bureau of the Census, Poverty in the United States: 2006.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Average monthly values. Source: Food and Nutrition Service.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Average monthly values. Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics.
Table 2.1. Major Economic Indicators, Calendar Years 1994-2006

| Economic Indicator | Calendar Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 |
| Real GDP Increase ${ }^{\text {a }}$............ | 4.0 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.5 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 3.3 |
| Productivity Increase ${ }^{\text {b }}$.......... | 1.0 | 0.1 | 3.0 | 1.9 | 2.8 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 1.7 |
| Unemployment Rate ${ }^{\text {c }}$........... | 6.1 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.7 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 4.6 |
| Inflation Rate ${ }^{\text {d }}$.................... | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 2.9 |
| Interest Rate ${ }^{\text {e }}$..................... | 8.0 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 7.1 | 7.6 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.2 | 5.6 |
| Individuals Below Poverty Line |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number in Thousands....... | 38,059 | 36,425 | 36,529 | 35,574 | 34,476 | 32,258 | 31,581 | 32,907 | 34,570 | 35,861 | 37,040 | 36,950 | 36,460 |
| Percentage of Total Population $\qquad$ | 14.5 | 13.8 | 13.7 | 13.3 | 12.7 | 11.8 | 11.3 | 11.7 | 12.1 | 12.5 | 12.7 | 12.6 | 12.3 |

[^8]
## CHAPTER 3: CHARACTERISTICS OF FOOD STAMP HOUSEHOLDS AND PARTICIPANTS

The FSP serves the nutritional needs of a broad spectrum of low-income Americans. ${ }^{1}$ In an average month in fiscal year 2006, the FSP provided benefits to 25.6 million people living in 11.3 million households. ${ }^{2}$ The vast majority of food stamp households ( 87 percent) lived in poverty (according to the federal poverty guidelines for program eligibility in fiscal year 2006). Most (84 percent) food stamp households contained a child (under age 18), an elderly person (age 60 and over), or a disabled nonelderly person. The average food stamp household received a monthly food stamp benefit of $\$ 208$, had a gross monthly income of $\$ 673$, had a net monthly income of $\$ 328$, had countable resources of $\$ 137$, and was entitled to a total deduction of $\$ 411$ per month. ${ }^{3,4,5}$ The average household size was 2.3 people.

This chapter discusses the composition and economic status of food stamp households, the characteristics of food stamp participants, and the changes in the characteristics of food stamp households from fiscal year 2005 through fiscal year 2006. Tables 3.1 and 3.2 show the poverty status of participants and the effect of food stamps on poverty among participating households; Tables 3.3 and 3.4 show the sources of income and average monthly income, benefit, and unit size by household composition; Table 3.5 shows demographic characteristics of participants; and Table 3.6 compares the change since last year in average income, deductions, and benefit for participating households in constant 2005 dollars.

[^9]
## THE POVERTY STATUS OF FOOD STAMP HOUSEHOLDS ${ }^{6}$

The FSP provides benefits to households in need. In fiscal year 2006 the gross monthly income of 87 percent of food stamp households was less than or equal to 100 percent of the federal poverty guideline (Table 3.1). ${ }^{7}$ The gross monthly income of 58 percent of all food stamp households was less than or equal to 75 percent of the poverty guideline, and the income of 39 percent of all food stamp households was less than or equal to 50 percent of the guideline.

The FSP effectively targets benefits to the neediest households; poorer households receive larger food stamp benefits than do households with more income. The 39 percent of all food stamp households that had a gross monthly income less than or equal to 50 percent of the poverty guideline in fiscal year 2006 received 56 percent of all benefits. In contrast, the 13 percent of households with a gross monthly income over the poverty guideline received only 6 percent of all benefits (Table A-1).

The impact of food stamps on a household's purchasing power is estimated by adding the dollar value of the food stamps to household income and examining the distribution of households by poverty status. ${ }^{8}$ As shown in Table 3.2, the combination of cash and food stamps yields a significantly different distribution of food stamp households by poverty status. Specifically, when food stamps are included in gross income, the resulting increase in income of food stamp households was enough to move 9 percent of participating households above the poverty guideline. Food stamp benefits had an even greater impact on the poorest food stamp households, moving 15 percent of them above 50 percent of the poverty guideline.

## HOUSEHOLDS WITH SPECIAL NEEDS ${ }^{9}$

The FSP effectively serves many households that contain people with special needschildren, elderly, and disabled people. In fiscal year 2006, 84 percent of all food stamp households contained a child, an elderly person, or a disabled nonelderly person. These households received 89 percent of all food stamp benefits (Table A-14).

[^10]Table 3.1. Distribution of Households and Their Benefits by Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline, Fiscal Year 2006

| Countable Gross Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline ${ }^{a}$ | Percentage of: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Households | All Benefits |
| Total.............................................. | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 25\% or less... | 23.5 | 32.0 |
| $26-50 \%$......................................... | 15.8 | 24.3 |
| $51-75 \%$......................................... | 19.1 | 20.8 |
| $76-100 \%$....................................... | 28.9 | 17.2 |
| $101-130 \%$..................................... | 10.7 | 5.2 |
| 131\% or more ................................... | 2.0 | 0.5 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Defined as the fiscal year 2006 poverty guidelines published by the Department of Health and Human Services (see Appendix D).

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table 3.2. Effect of Food Stamp Benefits on the Poverty Status of Food Stamp Households, Fiscal Year 2006

| Gross Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Distribution of Households in Relation to Poverty Guideline |  | Difference in Percentage and Points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Based on Cash Only | Based on Cash and Food Stamps |  |
| Total..................................... | 100\% | 100\% | 0.0 |
| 50\% or less... | 39.3 | 23.9 | -15.4 |
| 51-100 ................................... | 48.0 | 54.0 | 6.0 |
| 101 or more............................. | 12.7 | 22.1 | 9.4 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Defined as the fiscal year 2006 FSP net income screen (see Appendix C).
Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

## Households with Children

In fiscal year 2006, the FSP served approximately 5.9 million households with children each month, representing more than half ( 52 percent) of all households (Table 3.3). Households with children and earnings constituted 82 percent of all food stamp households with earnings. Twenty-four percent of all households with children received TANF cash benefits, while 5 percent received a combination of TANF and earnings (Table A-6). Compared with other food stamp households, those that contained children received a relatively high average food stamp benefit of $\$ 303$ per month (Table 3.4). This relatively high benefit primarily reflects the fact that the average household size among food stamp households with children (3.3 people) was larger than the average household size among all food stamp households (2.3 people).

Children who received food stamps in fiscal year 2006 tended to live in households that were headed by a single adult, usually a female. Nearly two-thirds ( 63 percent) of all food stamp households with children were headed by a single adult, representing 33 percent of all food stamp households (Table 3.3).

Of the 3.7 million single-adult food stamp households with children, just under a million (26 percent) received TANF, while almost 1.5 million ( 40 percent) had earnings, and about half a million (13 percent) received SSI. Nine percent of all food stamp households contained a married head of household and children, representing 17 percent of all food stamp households with children. Of the slightly over 1 million married-head households with children, 67 percent had earned income and 12 percent received TANF (Table 3.3).

The characteristics of married-head households with children varied considerably from those of single-adult households with children. The average monthly food stamp benefit for singleadult households with children was lower than that of married-head households with children ( $\$ 295$ versus $\$ 346$ ) due to the smaller size of single-adult households (Table 3.4). The per capita benefit was higher for people in single-adult households with children than for people in married-head households with children ( $\$ 99$ versus $\$ 80$ ) in part because single-adult households were poorer. Single-adult households with children had a substantially lower gross monthly income than married-head households with children ( $\$ 715$ versus $\$ 1,185$ ).

Among all households with children, 19 percent received child support, and 11 percent had no countable income (Table A-6).

## Households with Elderly People

In fiscal year 2006, the FSP served an average of 2.0 million households containing elderly people (age 60 and over) each month, representing 18 percent of all households (Table 3.3). These households received an average food stamp benefit of $\$ 91$ per month and had an average household size of 1.3 people (Table 3.4).
Table 3.3-- Household Composition and Selected Characteristics of Participating Households, Fiscal Year 2006

| Households With: | All Households |  | Households With Countable: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Earned Income |  | Social Security |  | TANF |  | General Assistance |  | SSI |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 11,315 | 100.0 | 3,364 | 100.0 | 2,733 | 100.0 | 1,472 | 100.0 | 656 | 100.0 | 3,029 | 100.0 |
| Children | 5,906 | 52.2 | 2,771 | 82.4 | 570 | 20.8 | 1,427 | 96.9 | 154 | 23.4 | 778 | 25.7 |
| Single-Adult Household ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3,717 | 32.9 | 1,488 | 44.2 | 339 | 12.4 | 968 | 65.8 | 107 | 16.3 | 500 | 16.5 |
| Male Adult | 191 | 1.7 | 51 | 1.5 | 34 | 1.2 | 52 | 3.6 | 6 | 0.9 | 26 | 0.9 |
| Female Adult | 3,526 | 31.2 | 1,437 | 42.7 | 306 | 11.2 | 915 | 62.2 | 101 | 15.4 | 473 | 15.6 |
| Multiple-Adult Household ....................... | 1,559 | 13.8 | 964 | 28.6 | 209 | 7.7 | 243 | 16.5 | 35 | 5.3 | 260 | 8.6 |
| Married Head Household ..................... | 1,009 | 8.9 | 680 | 20.2 | 115 | 4.2 | 120 | 8.1 | 16 | 2.4 | 136 | 4.5 |
| Other Multiple-Adult Household ........... | 550 | 4.9 | 284 | 8.4 | 94 | 3.4 | 123 | 8.4 | 19 | 2.9 | 124 | 4.1 |
| Children Only ....................................... | 630 | 5.6 | 319 | 9.5 | 21 | 0.8 | 216 | 14.7 | 12 | 1.8 | 18 | 0.6 |
| Elderly Individuals | 2,024 | 17.9 | 88 | 2.6 | 1,385 | 50.7 | 39 | 2.7 | 110 | 16.8 | 1,111 | 36.7 |
| Living Alone ......................................... | 1,613 | 14.3 | 44 | 1.3 | 1,112 | 40.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 84 | 12.8 | 888 | 29.3 |
| Not Living Alone ................................... | 411 | 3.6 | 45 | 1.3 | 273 | 10.0 | 39 | 2.6 | 26 | 4.0 | 223 | 7.4 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {c }}$............ | 2,619 | 23.1 | 272 | 8.1 | 1,189 | 43.5 | 283 | 19.2 | 139 | 21.2 | 1,964 | 64.9 |
| Living Alone ........................................ | 1,484 | 13.1 | 78 | 2.3 | 749 | 27.4 | 2 | 0.1 | 75 | 11.4 | 1,054 | 34.8 |
| Not Living Alone .................................. | 1,134 | 10.0 | 195 | 5.8 | 440 | 16.1 | 281 | 19.1 | 65 | 9.8 | 911 | 30.1 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {d }}$.................................. | 1,827 | 16.1 | 419 | 12.4 | 16 | 0.6 | 36 | 2.5 | 315 | 48.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Single-Person Household ......................... | 1,666 | 14.7 | 329 | 9.8 | 13 | 0.5 | 28 | 1.9 | 306 | 46.6 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Multi-Person Household ......................... | 162 | 1.4 | 90 | 2.7 | 2 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.6 | 9 | 1.4 | - | - |
| Single-Person Households ....................... | 4,983 | 44.0 | 560 | 16.7 | 1,880 | 68.8 | 108 | 7.4 | 468 | 71.3 | 1,943 | 64.1 |

${ }^{a}$ The sum of individual categories does not match the table total because a household can have more than one of the characteristics.
b Because gender is missing for some individuals in the FSPQC sample, the sum of single-adult households headed by males plus the number headed by females may not add up to the total number of single-adult households.
had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
${ }^{d}$ Households not containing children, elderly individuals, or disabled nonelderly individuals.

- No sample households are found in this category.
Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table 3.4-- Average Values of Selected Characteristics by Household Composition, Fiscal Year 2006

| Households With: | Average Values |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross Monthly Countable Income (Dollars) | Net Monthly Countable Income (Dollars) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Monthly Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) | Household Size <br> (Persons) |
| Total ...................................................... | 673 | 328 | 208 | 2.3 |
| Children | 803 | 411 | 303 | 3.3 |
| Single-Adult Household | 715 | 352 | 295 | 3.1 |
| Male Adult | 632 | 303 | 261 | 2.6 |
| Female Adult | 720 | 354 | 297 | 3.1 |
| Multiple-Adult Household | 1,121 | 642 | 352 | 4.4 |
| Married Head Household .................... | 1,185 | 686 | 346 | 4.4 |
| Other Multiple-Adult Household ............ | 1,004 | 563 | 362 | 4.2 |
| Children Only ........................................ | 533 | 189 | 231 | 2.1 |
| Elderly Individuals ................................. | 715 | 364 | 91 | 1.3 |
| Living Alone .......................................... | 647 | 301 | 74 | 1.0 |
| Not Living Alone ................................... | 985 | 584 | 157 | 2.4 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {b }}$............. | 828 | 440 | 144 | 2.0 |
| Living Alone ......................................... | 667 | 284 | 76 | 1.0 |
| Not Living Alone ................................... | 1,038 | 636 | 235 | 3.3 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {c }}$... | 212 | 62 | 148 | 1.1 |
| Single-Person Household ......................... | 177 | 45 | 140 | 1.0 |
| Multi-Person Household .......................... | 571 | 239 | 224 | 2.1 |
| Single-Person Households ....................... | 482 | 195 | 100 | 1.0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, 31,582 households participating in the Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) and 236,241 households participating in an SSI Combined Application Project (SSI-CAP) in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, or Texas are excluded from this column.
${ }^{b}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
c Households not containing children, elderly individuals, or disabled individuals.
Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Elderly people who received food stamps tended to live alone and thus received relatively small food stamp benefits. In fiscal year 2006, 80 percent of all food stamp households with elderly members were single-person households (Table 3.3). These households received an average food stamp benefit of $\$ 74$ per month compared with $\$ 157$ in benefits for households with elderly people not living alone and $\$ 234$ for households without elderly members (Tables 3.4 and A-2). The average size of households containing elderly people not living alone was 2.4 people.

A majority of food stamp households that contained elderly people received SSI or Social Security income. In fiscal year 2006, 55 percent of all food stamp households with elderly members received SSI and 68 percent received Social Security (Table 3.3). Thirty-one percent of households with elderly members received both SSI and Social Security income (Table A-6). Food stamp households with elderly members represented 37 percent of all food stamp households with SSI and 51 percent of food stamp households with Social Security income (Table 3.3).

## Households with Disabled Nonelderly People

In fiscal year 2006, the FSP served an average of 2.6 million households containing disabled nonelderly people every month (Table 3.3). ${ }^{10}$ Households that contained disabled nonelderly people represented 23 percent of all food stamp households and received an average monthly food stamp benefit of $\$ 144$ (Table 3.4).

About 57 percent of food stamp households that contained disabled nonelderly people were single-person households (Table 3.3). Households containing a disabled nonelderly person living alone received a lower average monthly food stamp benefit than did households containing disabled nonelderly people not living alone (\$76 compared with \$235) (Table 3.4). Again, the difference in benefits between the two groups reflects differences in average household size. Disabled nonelderly people who did not live alone lived in households with an average of 3.3 individuals and a per capita benefit of $\$ 71$. Seventy-five percent of households containing disabled nonelderly people received SSI and 45 percent received Social Security income (Table 3.3).

## Other Households Served by the FSP

The FSP serves needy households other than those that contain children, elderly people, or disabled people. In fiscal year 2006, 16 percent of all food stamp households consisted solely of one or more nonelderly, nondisabled adults with no children (Table 3.3). These households tended to be single-person households ( 91 percent) and were the largest category ( 48 percent) of

[^11]households that received General Assistance. However, 83 percent of these households did not receive General Assistance. These households had a very low average monthly gross income (\$212), and 48 percent had zero gross income (Table A-16). Households consisting solely of one or more nonelderly, nondisabled adults received an average food stamp benefit of $\$ 148$ per month (Table 3.4).

## Single-Person Households

Of all food stamp households in fiscal year 2006, 44 percent were single-person households (Table 3.3). ${ }^{11}$ These households received an average monthly food stamp benefit of $\$ 100$ (Table 3.4). A majority of these individuals ( 59 percent) were female, and 32 percent were elderly while another 30 percent were non-elderly disabled individuals (Tables A. 17 and A.24). Compared with all food stamp households, a relatively small proportion of food stamp participants living alone had earned income ( 11 percent), and a relatively high proportion had zero gross income ( 19 percent) (Tables 3.3 and A-4). By comparison, 44 percent of all multiple person households had earned income, and 10 percent had zero gross income. Not surprisingly, given the high proportion of elderly and disabled individuals making up single-person households, 39 percent of these households receive SSI and 38 percent receive Social Security.

## CHARACTERISTICS OF FSP PARTICIPANTS

In fiscal year 2006, 49 percent of FSP participants were children (younger than 18 years old), and they received 49 percent of pro-rated FSP benefits (Table 3.5). Two-thirds of the children served by the FSP were school age (age 5 to 17). Forty-two percent of participants were nonelderly adults (age 18 to 59 ), and 9 percent were elderly adults.

Sixty-eight percent of both nonelderly adults and elderly adults were female (Table A-23). Seven percent of participants were citizen children living with noncitizens. Four percent of participants were noncitizens-nearly 1 percent were refugees and another 3 percent were other noncitizens.

## CHANGES IN THE ECONOMIC CONDITIONS OF FOOD STAMP HOUSEHOLDS

The overall economic conditions of the average food stamp household remained relatively stable from fiscal year 2005 to fiscal year 2006. While the average gross income increased slightly in real dollars from $\$ 669$ in fiscal year 2005 to $\$ 673$ in fiscal year 2006, the average total deduction to which households are entitled increased more (by 2 percent in real dollars), resulting in a slight decrease in real dollars in average net income (Table 3.6). The percentage of households with zero gross income increased slightly from nearly 14 percent in fiscal year 2005 to 14 percent in fiscal year 2006. The percentage of households with zero net income increased from 30 percent to 31 percent, continuing the consistently upward trend in zero income households since fiscal year 2000, when the rate was 20 percent. The percentage of households with earnings also continued to increase, rising from 29 percent in fiscal year 2005 to 30 percent

[^12]in fiscal year 2006, while the percentage of households with TANF income continued to fall, dropping nearly 2 points, from 15 percent in fiscal year 2005 to 13 percent in fiscal year 2006 (Table A-25).

The average food stamp benefit decreased by 2.3 percent in real dollars, from $\$ 213$ in FY 2005 to $\$ 208$ in FY 2006 (Table 3.6). The drop in the average food stamp benefit reflects the real increase in average per-person net income, which rose by almost 2 percent in FY 2006. Despite the increase in per-person net income, the average household net income decreased slightly (by less than half of a percent) due to a decrease in the average household size by 1.3 percent. With households generally smaller in size and eligible for fewer benefits, the average benefit per household decreased. In addition, the value of the maximum benefit also decreased slightly in real terms between FY 2005 and FY 2006, which contributed to lower average household food stamp benefits.

Table 3.5 -- Food Stamp Benefits of Participants by Selected Demographic Characteristics, Fiscal
Year 2006

| Participant Characteristic | Total Participants |  | Pro-rated Benefits ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Dollars (000) | Percent |
| Total ......................................... | 25,595 | 100.0 | 2,358,293 | 100.0 |
| Citizenship |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Born Citizen | 23,886 | 93.3 | 2,203,865 | 93.5 |
| Naturalized Citizen .................. | 718 | 2.8 | 64,329 | 2.7 |
| Refugee ................................... | 195 | 0.8 | 17,893 | 0.8 |
| Other Noncitizen ....................... | 795 | 3.1 | 72,196 | 3.1 |
| Unknown ................................ | 0 | 0.0 | 10 | 0.0 |
| Citizen Children Living with <br> Noncitizens ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1,815 | 7.1 | 176,864 | 7.5 |
| Nonelderly, Nondisabled, Childless Adults | 989 | 3.9 | 121,524 | 5.2 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| Children ................................... | 12,603 | 49.2 | 1,165,784 | 49.4 |
| Preschool Age Children .............. | 4,243 | 16.6 | 414,927 | 17.6 |
| 0-1 ........................................ | 1,718 | 6.7 | 171,564 | 7.3 |
| 2-4 ........................................ | 2,525 | 9.9 | 243,363 | 10.3 |
| School Age Children ................. | 8,361 | 32.7 | 750,857 | 31.8 |
| 5-7 | 2,353 | 9.2 | 219,249 | 9.3 |
| 8-11 ...................................... | 2,625 | 10.3 | 236,179 | 10.0 |
| 12-15 | 2,405 | 9.4 | 208,785 | 8.9 |
| 16-17 .................................... | 978 | 3.8 | 86,644 | 3.7 |
| Nonelderly Adults (18-59) ......... | 10,763 | 42.1 | 1,034,963 | 43.9 |
| Elderly Adults (60 or more) ........ | 2,229 | 8.7 | 157,543 | 6.7 |
| Unknown Age ........................... | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Pro-rated benefits equal the benefits paid to households multiplied by the ratio of participants with selected characteristic to total household size.
b Noncitizens may be inside or outside the food stamp unit.
Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table 3.6. Nominal and Real Values of Selected Characteristics, Fiscal Year 2005 and Fiscal Year 2006

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fiscal Year } \\ 2005 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fiscal Year } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ |  | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nominal <br> Value | Real Value (in 2006 dollars) | Nominal Value | Change <br> Between <br> Nominal Values | Between 2006 Nominal Values and 2005 Real Values |
| Average Gross Income ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> Per Household <br> Per Person | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 648 \\ 344 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 669 \\ 355 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 673 \\ 362 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +3.9 \\ & +5.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +0.6 \\ & +2.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Average Net Income ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> Per Household <br> Per Person <br> Average Total Deduction ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 319 \\ & 152 \\ & 390 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 329 \\ & 157 \\ & 403 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 328 \\ & 160 \\ & 411 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +2.8 \\ & +5.3 \\ & +5.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -0.3 \\ +1.9 \\ +2.0 \end{array}$ |
| Average Household Benefit ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 209 | 213 | $208$ | -0.5 | -2.3 |
| Maximum Coupon Benefit for a Family of Four in the Continental U.S. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> Consumer Price Index <br> All Items <br> Food at Home | $\begin{array}{r} 499 \\ 195.3 \\ 189.8 \end{array}$ | 508 | $\begin{array}{r} 506 \\ 201.6 \\ 193.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & +1.4 \\ & +3.2 \\ & +1.7 \end{aligned}$ | -0.4 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Real values are in constant fiscal year 2006 dollars. Fiscal year 2005 values were inflated by the change in the CPI-U for all items between fiscal year 2005 and fiscal year 2006 ( 3.2 percent).
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Real values are in constant fiscal year 2006 dollars. Fiscal year 2005 values were inflated by the change in the CPI-U for food at home between fiscal year 2005 and fiscal year 2006 (1.7 percent).

Source of CPI-U average values: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
Source of nominal values: Fiscal Year 2005 and Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control samples.
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This page has been intentionally left blank for double-sided copying.

## ACRONYMS AND DEFINITIONS

## ACRONYMS

| EBT | Electronic Benefit Transfer |
| :---: | :---: |
| FNS | $=$ U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service |
| FSP | Food Stamp Program |
| FSPQC | $=$ Food Stamp Program Quality Control |
| GA | General Assistance |
| HHS | $=$ U.S. Department of Health and Human Services |
| MFIP | $=\underset{\substack{\text { Minnesota Family Investment } \\ \text { Program }}}{\text { Man }}$ |
| MOE | = Maintenance of Effort |
| PRWOR | $=$ Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 |
| SSI | = Supplemental Security Income |
| SSI-CAP | $=$ SSI Combined Application Project |
| TANF | $=\underset{\text { Famporary Assistance to Needy }}{ }$ |
| TFP | $=$ Thrifty Food Plan |
| USDA | $=$ U.S. Department of Agriculture |

## DEFINITIONS

Asylees. Noncitizens granted political asylum. In tables in this report, the term "refugee" includes refugees, asylees, and deportees.

## Categorically Eligible Households.

 Households in which all members receive TANF, SSI, or general assistance. Includes households receiving benefits or services that are at least 50 percent funded by TANF or MOE funds. Some States also confer categorical eligibility based on benefits or services that are less than 50 percent funded by TANF/MOE and on households where at least one member receives a benefit or service, but the State determines that the whole household benefits. If the purpose of the program conferring categorical eligibility is to prevent out-ofwedlock pregnancies or to foster or strengthenmarriage, the household's gross income must be under 200 percent of poverty. However, if the purpose of the program is to further work, this income limit does not apply.

Certification Period. Length of time a household is certified to receive FSP benefits. When the certification period expires, households must be recertified in order to continue receiving benefits.

Children. Individuals under age 18 .
Child Support Payment Deduction. Deduction for households with legally obligated child support payments made to or for a nonhousehold member. States may choose to exclude child support payments from gross income rather than using the deduction. See also Deductions.

Countable Resources. Cash on hand and assets that can be converted easily to cash, such as money in checking or savings accounts, savings certificates, stocks or bonds, and lump sum payments. They also include some nonliquid assets, although the family home, certain family vehicles, and business tools or property are not counted. See also Resource Limit.

Deductions. Allowable deductions from a household's gross monthly income to arrive at FSP net monthly income. The deductions shown in the tables are those to which households were entitled. (MFIP and SSI-CAP participants are subject to different rules.) Some of the deductions may not have been used, however, before a household reached zero net income status. Therefore, total deductions do not equal the difference between gross and net income amounts. See also Child Support Payment Deduction, Dependent Care Deduction, Earned Income Deduction, Excess Shelter Expense Deduction, Medical Deduction, Minnesota Family Investment Program, SSICombined Application Project, Standard Deduction, and Total Deduction.

Dependent Care Deduction. Deduction received by food stamp households for expenses involved in caring for dependents while other members work, seek employment, or go to school. See Appendix C. See also Deductions.

Deportees. Noncitizens granted a stay of deportation. In tables in this report, the term "refugee" includes refugees, asylees, and deportees.

Earned Income Deduction. Deduction received by households with earnings, equal to 20 percent of the combined earnings of household members. (MFIP participants are entitled to a 37 percent earned income deduction.) See also Deductions and Minnesota Family Investment Program.

Earned Income. Includes wages, salaries, and self-employment income.

Elderly People. Adults age 60 or older.
Electronic Benefit Transfer. Means of benefit delivery via electronic "debit" card, similar to a bank card, which is used to purchase food at authorized retail stores.

Entrant Households. Households newly certified during fiscal year 2006 and in their first month of participation.

Excess Shelter Expense Deduction. Deduction received by households with shelter costs, equal to those shelter costs that exceed 50 percent of the household's countable income after all other potential deductions are subtracted from gross income. There is a limit on the shelter deduction for households that do not contain elderly or disabled members. See Appendix C. See also Deductions and Homeless Household Shelter Estimate.

Expedited Service Households. Households which initially received expedited service for the certification period in effect.

Gross Income. Total monthly countable income of household in dollars, before applying deductions.

Gross Income Limit. FSP monthly gross income eligibility standards, determined by household size; equal to 130 percent of the HHS poverty guidelines. See Appendix C.

Homeless Household Shelter Estimate. Some States allow homeless households to deduct a set amount for shelter expenses.

Household. Individuals who live in a residential unit and purchase and prepare food together.

Households With Elderly People. Households with at least one member age 60 or older.

Households With Disabled Nonelderly People. Households with either nonelderly SSI recipients, a medical deduction and no elderly individuals, or nonelderly adults who do not appear to be working and who are receiving Social Security, Veteran's benefits, or Worker's compensation.

Households With Children. Households with at least one member under age 18 .

Initial Certification Households. Includes both households certified for the first time within the current certification period and previously certified households that have not received benefits for at least 30 days.

Lawful Permanent Residents. Noncitizens lawfully admitted for permanent resident status.

Married-Head Households. Households containing a spouse of the household head.

Maximum Benefit. Based on 100 percent of the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan in the preceding June for a reference family of four, rounded to the lowest dollar increment. Maximum benefit varies from the Continental U.S. to Alaska, Hawaii, Guam and the Virgin Islands. See Appendix C.

Medical Deduction. Deduction available to households that contain elderly or disabled members, equal to all unreimbursed medical expenses incurred by the elderly or disabled person that exceed $\$ 35$. See page 4. See also Deductions.

Metropolitan Households. Households whose FSP application was processed at an agency in a Census Bureau-defined Metropolitan Statistical Area. A Metropolitan Statistical Area has at least one urbanized area with population of 50,000 or more and includes adjacent territory that has a high degree of social and economic integration with the core as measured by commuting ties.

Micropolitan Households. Households whose FSP application was processed at an agency in a Census Bureau-defined Micropolitan Statistical Area. A Micropolitan Statistical Area has at least one urban cluster of at least 10,000 but less than 50,000 population and includes adjacent territory that has a high degree of social and economic integration with the core as measured by commuting ties.

Minimum Benefit. \$10 for one- or two-person households.

Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP). Minnesota's cash assistance program, which calculates the food stamp benefit for participating households as a separate component from the cash assistance calculation within the same grant.

Net Income. Total monthly countable income of household in dollars, after applying deductions. Net income is not calculated for MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas.

Net Income Limit. FSP monthly net income eligibility standard, determined by household size, equal to 100 percent of the HHS poverty guidelines. See Appendix C.

Nonelderly Adults. Adults age 18 to 59 .
Nonimmigrant Visitors to the United States. Noncitizens who have been admitted for a specified period, including tourists, students, and foreign nationals with work permits.

Nonparticipating Household Head Households. These households are headed by someone who is ineligible for the FSP, such as an ineligible noncitizen.

Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996 (PRWORA). Legislation enacted in 1996 that made sweeping changes to the nation's public assistance programs. This act disqualified many lawful permanent resident noncitizens and nonelderly nondisabled adults from the FSP. In addition, the legislation changed cash welfare from an entitlement to temporary assistance designed to move parents to work. Since many cash welfare recipients also participate in the FSP, changes to the cash welfare program significantly affect FSP participants.

Poverty Guidelines. The poverty guidelines used by FNS are issued by the Department of Health and Human Services. Dividing these guidelines by 12 yields the monthly net income limits for the FSP. The Bureau of the Census establishes other poverty thresholds which are used primarily for statistical purposes. See Appendix C.

Preschool-Age Children. Children less than 5 years old.

Pure PA. A household is considered to be pure PA if every member of the household either receives SSI income, is covered by a cash TANF benefit, or receives SSI income or GA income or is covered by a cash TANF benefit.

Refugees. Noncitizens accorded refugee status. In tables in this report, the term "refugee" includes refugees, asylees, and deportees. See also Asylees and Deportees.

Resource Limit. For most households the resource limit was $\$ 2,000$ in fiscal year 2004. Households containing an elderly or disabled person were allowed up to $\$ 3,000$ of countable resources. See also Countable Resources.

Rural. A household is considered to be located in a rural area if the county in which its local food stamp agency is located is not in a Metropolitan Statistical Area or a Micropolitan Statistical Area.

School-Age Children. Children ages 5 to 17 .
Shelter Deduction. See Excess Shelter Expense Deduction.

Single-Adult with Children Households. Households with exactly one person age 18 or older, no spouse, and at least one person under age 18 .

Single-Person Households. Households containing exactly one person.

SSI-Combined Application Project (SSICAP). Joint FNS-SSA-State partnerships with a goal of streamlining the procedures for providing food stamp benefits to single-person households that are eligible for Supplemental Security Income (SSI).

Standard Deduction. Deduction received by all households which varies by area and household size to reflect price differences among areas. See Appendix C. See also Deductions.

Student. Participant age 18 or older enrolled at least half time in a recognized school, training program, or institution of higher education.

Thrifty Food Plan (TFP). Market basket of goods based on an economical and nutritious diet, adjusted for household size and composition. Used to determine maximum food stamp benefit amounts.

Total Deduction. Includes child support payment, dependent-care, earned income, excess shelter expense, medical, and standard deductions to which the FSP household is entitled. In some cases this exceeds the amount deducted from gross income because net income cannot be less than zero. See also Deductions.

Unearned Income. Includes TANF, General Assistance, SSI, Social Security, unemployment benefits, Veterans' benefits, Workers' Compensation, other government benefits, contributions, deemed income, educational loans, child support, wage supplementation, energy assistance, State diversion payments, and other unearned income.

Work Registration. Many nonelderly nondisabled FSP participants are required to register for work with their welfare office or State unemployment agency and must agree to accept any suitable job that is offered to them.

Individuals who are exempt from FSP work registration rules include the following:

- All individuals under age 16 or over age 60 , and some individuals age 16 and 17
- Individuals responsible for the care of a dependent child under age 6 or the care of an incapacitated person
- Individuals who are physically or mentally unfit for work
- Individuals complying with work requirements of other assistance programs
- Students enrolled at least half time in a school, training program, or institution of higher education
- Regular participants in a drug addiction or alcoholic treatment program
- Individuals working 30 hours a week or earning more than an amount equal to 30 hours times the minimum wage.

APPENDIX A
DETAILED TABLES OF FOOD STAMP HOUSEHOLD CHARACTERISTICS
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Table A-1. Distribution of Participating Households, Individuals, and Benefits by Household Composition, Locality, Countable Income Source, and Food Stamp Benefit Amount

| Household Characteristic | Food Stamp Households |  | Participants in Households With Household Characteristic |  | Monthly Food Stamp Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dollars } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total ..................................................................................... | 11,315 | 100.0 | 25,595 | 100.0 | 2,358,293 | 100.0 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 5,906 | 52.2 | 19,480 | 76.1 | 1,789,310 | 75.9 |
| School Age ........................................................................ | 4,513 | 39.9 | 15,989 | 62.5 | 1,430,760 | 60.7 |
| Preschool Age ................................................................... | 3,115 | 27.5 | 10,856 | 42.4 | 1,016,050 | 43.1 |
| No Children ......................................................................... | 5,409 | 47.8 | 6,114 | 23.9 | 568,983 | 24.1 |
| Elderly Individuals ................................................................ | 2,024 | 17.9 | 2,611 | 10.2 | 184,220 | 7.8 |
| No Elderly Individuals ........................................................ | 9,291 | 82.1 | 22,984 | 89.8 | 2,174,073 | 92.2 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2,619 | 23.1 | 5,216 | 20.4 | 378,363 | 16.0 |
| No Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ....................................... | 8,696 | 76.9 | 20,379 | 79.6 | 1,979,931 | 84.0 |
| Nonelderly, Nondisabled, Childless Adults ${ }^{\text {b }}$.............................. | 899 | 7.9 | 1,514 | 5.9 | 165,907 | 7.0 |
| No Nonelderly, Nondisabled, Childless Adults .......................... | 10,416 | 92.1 | 24,080 | 94.1 | 2,192,386 | 93.0 |
| Noncitizens | 690 | 6.1 | 1,832 | 7.2 | 158,742 | 6.7 |
| No Noncitizens | 10,625 | 93.9 | 23,763 | 92.8 | 2,199,551 | 93.3 |
| Locality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan | 8,751 | 77.3 | 19,750 | 77.2 | 1,848,302 | 78.4 |
| Micropolitan ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1,436 | 12.7 | 3,277 | 12.8 | 289,760 | 12.3 |
| Rural | 1,093 | 9.7 | 2,533 | 9.9 | 217,533 | 9.2 |
| Countable Income Source Gross Income | 9,734 | 86.0 | 22,763 | 88.9 | 1,967,266 | 83.4 |
| No Gross Income ................................................................................................................ | 1,581 | 14.0 | 2,831 | 11.1 | 1,931,027 | 16.6 |
| Net Income | 7,567 | 66.9 | 18,506 | 72.3 | 1,397,538 | 59.3 |
| No Net Income | 3,480 | 30.8 | 6,762 | 26.4 | 925,508 | 39.2 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {d }}$................................................................... | 268 | 2.4 | 327 | 1.3 | 35,247 | 1.5 |
| Earned Income | 3,364 | 29.7 | 10,493 | 41.0 | 831,468 | 35.3 |
| No Earned Income | 7,951 | 70.3 | 15,102 | 59.0 | 1,526,825 | 64.7 |
| Unearned Income | 7,666 | 67.8 | 16,582 | 64.8 | 1,436,505 | 60.9 |
| No Unearned Income | 3,649 | 32.2 | 9,013 | 35.2 | 921,788 | 39.1 |
| TANF Income | 1,472 | 13.0 | 4,542 | 17.7 | 435,859 | 18.5 |
| No TANF Income .............................................................. | 9,843 | 87.0 | 21,052 | 82.3 | 1,922,434 | 81.5 |
| GA Income ............................................................................. | 656 | 5.8 | 1,031 | 4.0 | 109,403 | 4.6 |
| No GA Income | 10,659 | 94.2 | 24,564 | 96.0 | 2,248,890 | 95.4 |
| SSI | 3,029 | 26.8 | 5,377 | 21.0 | 399,857 | 17.0 |
| No SSI | 8,286 | 73.2 | 20,218 | 79.0 | 1,958,437 | 83.0 |
| Social Security Income .......................................................... | 2,733 | 24.2 | 4,408 | 17.2 | 293,812 | 12.5 |
| No Social Security Income .................................................... | 8,582 | 75.8 | 21,187 | 82.8 | 2,064,481 | 87.5 |
| Gross Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No income | 1,581 | 14.0 | 2,831 | 11.1 | 391,027 | 16.6 |
| >0-50\% | 2,871 | 25.4 | 7,806 | 30.5 | 937,736 | 39.8 |
| 51-100 | 5,429 | 48.0 | 11,472 | 44.8 | 896,403 | 38.0 |
|  | 1,434 | 12.7 | 3,486 | 13.6 | 133,127 | 5.6 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Benefit .................................................................. | 700 | 6.2 | 819 | 3.2 | 7,000 | 0.3 |
| Maximum Benefit ..................................................................... | 3,627 | 32.1 | 6,914 | 27.0 | 948,432 | 40.2 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
b These participants, age 18-49, are subject to work registration and, with some exceptions (for example, those in waiver areas or receiving state exemptions), must meet work requirements or face time limits on benefit receipt.
c A micropolitan area has at least one urban cluster of between 10,000 and 50,000 people and includes adjacent territory with a high degree of social and economic integration with the core as measured by commuting ties.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Net income is not used in the benefit determination of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-2. Average Gross and Net Countable Income, Total Deduction, Countable Resources, Food Stamp Benefit, Household Size, and Certification Period of Participating Households by Household Composition, Locality, Countable Income Source, and Food Stamp Benefit Amount

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Average Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Gross Countable Income (Dollars) | Net Countable Income (Dollars) $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Total Deduction (Dollars) $^{\text {b }}$ | Countable <br> Resources <br> (Dollars) | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Household } \\ \text { Size } \\ \text { (Individuals) } \end{gathered}$ | Certification Period (Months) |
| Total ....................................... | 11,315 | 100.0 | 673 | 328 | 411 | 137 | 208 | 2.3 | 11.8 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 5,906 | 52.2 | 803 | 411 | 455 | 118 | 303 | 3.3 | 8.8 |
| School Age ............................. | 4,513 | 39.9 | 860 | 454 | 464 | 127 | 317 | 3.5 | 8.9 |
| Preschool Age ......................... | 3,115 | 27.5 | 796 | 401 | 460 | 98 | 326 | 3.5 | 8.6 |
| No Children ............................. | 5,409 | 47.8 | 531 | 232 | 360 | 158 | 105 | 1.1 | 15.1 |
| Elderly Individuals .................... | 2,024 | 17.9 | 715 | 364 | 385 | 258 | 91 | 1.3 | 18.8 |
| No Elderly Individuals .............. | 9,291 | 82.1 | 664 | 320 | 416 | 111 | 234 | 2.5 | 10.3 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{c}$ No Disabled Nonelderly | 2,619 | 23.1 | 828 | 440 | 410 | 168 | 144 | 2.0 | 14.6 |
| Individuals ............................ | 8,696 | 76.9 | 626 | 294 | 411 | 128 | 228 | 2.3 | 11.0 |
| Nonelderly, Nondisabled, Childless Adults ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 899 | 7.9 | 365 | 167 | 320 | 56 | 185 | 1.7 | 8.6 |
| No Nonelderly, Nondisabled, Childless Adults | 10,416 | 92.1 | 699 | 342 | 419 | 144 | 210 | 2.3 | 12.1 |
| Noncitizens | 690 | 6.1 | 814 | 406 | 470 | 184 | 230 | 2.7 | 13.2 |
| No Noncitizens ......................... | 10,625 | 93.9 | 664 | 323 | 407 | 134 | 207 | 2.2 | 11.7 |
| Locality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metropolitan ............................. | 8,751 | 77.3 | 668 | 315 | 420 | 135 | 211 | 2.3 | 11.9 |
| Micropolitan ............................ | 1,436 | 12.7 | 689 | 357 | 396 | 150 | 202 | 2.3 | 11.3 |
| Rural ....................................... | 1,093 | 9.7 | 693 | 390 | 362 | 142 | 199 | 2.3 | 11.6 |
| Countable Income Source Gross Income | 9,734 | 86.0 | 782 | 381 | 438 | 151 | 202 | 2.3 | 12.3 |
| No Gross Income ....................... | 1,581 | 14.0 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 52 | 247 | 1.8 | 8.4 |
| Net Income | 7,567 | 66.9 | 895 | 478 | 416 | 168 | 185 | 2.4 | 12.2 |
| No Net Income | 3,480 | 30.8 | 196 | 0 | 402 | 70 | 266 | 1.9 | 9.2 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {e }}$........................ | 268 | 2.4 | 597 | - | 60 | 122 | 132 | 1.2 | 34.2 |
| Earned Income ............... | 3,364 | 29.7 | 1,058 | 529 | 558 | 146 | 247 | 3.1 | 8.7 |
| No Earned Income ..................... | 7,951 | 70.3 | 510 | 240 | 347 | 133 | 192 | 1.9 | 13.1 |
| Unearned Income | 7,666 | 67.8 | 728 | 365 | 399 | 154 | 187 | 2.2 | 13.5 |
| No Unearned Income ................. | 3,649 | 32.2 | 556 | 252 | 436 | 101 | 253 | 2.5 | 8.3 |
| TANF Income | 1,472 | 13.0 | 703 | 353 | 380 | 52 | 296 | 3.1 | 9.9 |
| No TANF Income ...................... | 9,843 | 87.0 | 668 | 324 | 416 | 150 | 195 | 2.1 | 12.1 |
| GA Income | 656 | 5.8 | 487 | 195 | 360 | 47 | 167 | 1.6 | 11.6 |
| No GA Income ......................... | 10,659 | 94.2 | 684 | 336 | 414 | 143 | 211 | 2.3 | 11.8 |
| SSI .......................................... | 3,029 | 26.8 | 762 | 403 | 382 | 151 | 132 | 1.8 | 18.0 |
| No SSI .................................... | 8,286 | 73.2 | 640 | 302 | 421 | 132 | 236 | 2.4 | 9.6 |
| Social Security Income ............... | 2,733 | 24.2 | 809 | 428 | 404 | 250 | 108 | 1.6 | 15.8 |
| No Social Security Income .......... | 8,582 | 75.8 | 630 | 296 | 413 | 101 | 241 | 2.5 | 10.5 |
| Food Stamp Benefit <br> Minimum Benefit | 700 | 6.2 | 934 | 693 | 240 | 277 | 10 | 1.2 | 16.0 |
| Maximum Benefit ...................... | 3,627 | 32.1 | 216 | 0 | 402 | 72 | 261 | 1.9 | 10.4 |

[^13]Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-3. Distribution of Participating Households With Children, Elderly Individuals, and Disabled Nonelderly Individuals by Amount of Gross and Net Countable Income, Countable Resources, and Gross and Net Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ............................... | 11,315 | 100.0 | 5,906 | 100.0 | 2,024 | 100.0 | 2,619 | 100.0 |
| Gross Countable Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ................................. | 1,581 | 14.0 | 636 | 10.8 | 61 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 1-199 | 602 | 5.3 | 311 | 5.3 | 24 | 1.2 | 7 | 0.3 |
| 200-399 ..................... | 1,113 | 9.8 | 720 | 12.2 | 57 | 2.8 | 26 | 1.0 |
| 400-599 ......................... | 1,523 | 13.5 | 792 | 13.4 | 282 | 13.9 | 391 | 14.9 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 2,888 | 25.5 | 756 | 12.8 | 1,047 | 51.7 | 1,237 | 47.2 |
| 800-999 | 1,273 | 11.3 | 705 | 11.9 | 325 | 16.1 | 389 | 14.9 |
| 1,000+ ........................... | 2,334 | 20.6 | 1,986 | 33.6 | 230 | 11.4 | 569 | 21.7 |
| Net Countable Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3,480 | 30.8 | 1,679 | 28.4 | 229 | 11.3 | 256 | 9.8 |
| 1-199 | 1,848 | 16.3 | 903 | 15.3 | 345 | 17.1 | 443 | 16.9 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 1,984 | 17.5 | 842 | 14.3 | 517 | 25.5 | 680 | 26.0 |
| 400-599 | 1,558 | 13.8 | 735 | 12.4 | 441 | 21.8 | 536 | 20.5 |
| 600-799 | 898 | 7.9 | 577 | 9.8 | 203 | 10.0 | 270 | 10.3 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 536 | 4.7 | 447 | 7.6 | 61 | 3.0 | 154 | 5.9 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 743 | 6.6 | 692 | 11.7 | 51 | 2.5 | 219 | 8.4 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {b }}$............... | 268 | 2.4 | 32 | 0.5 | 176 | 8.7 | 60 | 2.3 |
| Countable Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ........ | 7,907 | 69.9 | 4,167 | 70.6 | 1,128 | 55.7 | 1,740 | 66.5 |
| 1-500 ... | 2,406 | 21.3 | 1,298 | 22.0 | 546 | 27.0 | 606 | 23.1 |
| 501-1,000 ....................... | 567 | 5.0 | 253 | 4.3 | 184 | 9.1 | 168 | 6.4 |
| 1,001-1,500 ..................... | 222 | 2.0 | 99 | 1.7 | 80 | 4.0 | 52 | 2.0 |
| 1,501-1,750 ..................... | 73 | 0.6 | 38 | 0.6 | 22 | 1.1 | 14 | 0.5 |
| 1,751-2,000 .................... | 58 | 0.5 | 29 | 0.5 | 17 | 0.9 | 12 | 0.5 |
| 2,001-3,000 ..................... | 61 | 0.5 | 14 | 0.2 | 38 | 1.9 | 19 | 0.7 |
|  | 22 | 0.2 | 9 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.4 | 8 | 0.3 |
| Gross Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Gross Income ............. | 1,581 | 14.0 | 636 | 10.8 | 61 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| >0-25\% ............... | 1,081 | 9.6 | 752 | 12.7 | 29 | 1.4 | 18 | 0.7 |
| 26-50 | 1,790 | 15.8 | 1,360 | 23.0 | 90 | 4.4 | 223 | 8.5 |
| 51-75 | 2,157 | 19.1 | 1,343 | 22.7 | 359 | 17.8 | 725 | 27.7 |
| 76-100. | 3,272 | 28.9 | 1,039 | 17.6 | 1,145 | 56.6 | 1,273 | 48.6 |
| 101-125. | 1,093 | 9.7 | 612 | 10.4 | 255 | 12.6 | 286 | 10.9 |
| 126-130 .. | 113 | 1.0 | 70 | 1.2 | 17 | 0.8 | 25 | 1.0 |
| 131-150 .......................... | 143 | 1.3 | 65 | 1.1 | 46 | 2.3 | 44 | 1.7 |
| 151+ ............................. | 85 | 0.8 | 30 | 0.5 | 22 | 1.1 | 25 | 0.9 |
| Net Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Net Income ................ | 3,480 | 30.8 | 1,679 | 28.4 | 229 | 11.3 | 256 | 9.8 |
| >0-25\% ......... | 2,567 | 22.7 | 1,568 | 26.5 | 376 | 18.6 | 574 | 21.9 |
| 26-50. | 2,517 | 22.2 | 1,321 | 22.4 | 570 | 28.2 | 862 | 32.9 |
| 51-75 ............................. | 1,759 | 15.5 | 898 | 15.2 | 486 | 24.0 | 613 | 23.4 |
| 76-100 ........................... | 641 | 5.7 | 376 | 6.4 | 167 | 8.3 | 223 | 8.5 |
| 101-125 .......................... | 54 | 0.5 | 23 | 0.4 | 12 | 0.6 | 21 | 0.8 |
| 126-130 .......................... | 4 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 131-150 .......................... | 13 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.2 |
| 151+ .............................. | 13 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.2 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {b }}$.............. | 268 | 2.4 | 32 | 0.5 | 176 | 8.7 | 60 | 2.3 |

[^14]Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-4. Distribution of Participating Households by Household Size and Amount of Countable Gross and Net Income, Resources, and Gross and Net Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | 1 |  | 2 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | $6+$ |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total .......................... | 11,315 | 100.0 | 4,983 | 100.0 | 2,281 | 100.0 | 1,807 | 100.0 | 1,219 | 100.0 | 633 | 100.0 | 392 | 100.0 |
| Gross Countable Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0. | 1,581 | 14.0 | 931 | 18.7 | 297 | 13.0 | 183 | 10.1 | 115 | 9.4 | 42 | 6.6 | 14 | 3.6 |
| 1-199 ....................... | 602 | 5.3 | 299 | 6.0 | 142 | 6.2 | 85 | 4.7 | 42 | 3.4 | 26 | 4.1 | 8 | 2.1 |
| 200-399 .................... | 1,113 | 9.8 | 464 | 9.3 | 307 | 13.5 | 190 | 10.5 | 98 | 8.0 | 41 | 6.4 | 13 | 3.2 |
| 400-599 .................... | 1,523 | 13.5 | 730 | 14.7 | 342 | 15.0 | 232 | 12.8 | 137 | 11.3 | 56 | 8.9 | 25 | 6.3 |
| 600-799 | 2,888 | 25.5 | 2,033 | 40.8 | 353 | 15.5 | 270 | 14.9 | 133 | 10.9 | 63 | 10.0 | 36 | 9.3 |
| 800-999 | 1,273 | 11.3 | 390 | 7.8 | 394 | 17.3 | 241 | 13.3 | 143 | 11.7 | 67 | 10.6 | 38 | 9.8 |
| 1,000+ ..................... | 2,334 | 20.6 | 136 | 2.7 | 446 | 19.6 | 606 | 33.5 | 550 | 45.2 | 337 | 53.3 | 258 | 65.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-199 | 1,848 | 16.3 | 941 | 18.9 | 400 | 17.5 | 285 | 15.8 | 137 | 11.2 | 58 | 9.2 | 27 | 6.9 |
| 200-399 .................... | 1,984 | 17.5 | 1,057 | 21.2 | 366 | 16.1 | 287 | 15.9 | 160 | 13.1 | 71 | 11.3 | 43 | 10.9 |
| 400-599 ................... | 1,558 | 13.8 | 709 | 14.2 | 323 | 14.2 | 249 | 13.8 | 170 | 13.9 | 62 | 9.7 | 45 | 11.5 |
| 600-799 .................... | 898 | 7.9 | 193 | 3.9 | 239 | 10.5 | 212 | 11.7 | 135 | 11.1 | 82 | 12.9 | 37 | 9.4 |
| 800-999 .................... | 536 | 4.7 | 22 | 0.4 | 113 | 4.9 | 175 | 9.7 | 125 | 10.2 | 66 | 10.4 | 35 | 9.0 |
| 1,000+ ..................... | 743 | 6.6 | 16 | 0.3 | 66 | 2.9 | 122 | 6.7 | 217 | 17.8 | 167 | 26.4 | 155 | 39.5 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$......... | 268 | 2.4 | 241 | 4.8 | 11 | 0.5 | 8 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.6 |
| Countable Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-500 ................................................ | 2,406 | 21.3 | -959 | 19.3 | 1,609 | 20.8 | 420 | 23.2 | 302 | 24.8 | 158 | 25.0 | 92 | 23.4 |
| 501-1,000 ................. | 567 | 5.0 | 258 | 5.2 | 118 | 5.2 | 82 | 4.5 | 51 | 4.2 | 28 | 4.4 | 30 | 7.6 |
| 1,001-1,500 .............. | 222 | 2.0 | 105 | 2.1 | 42 | 1.8 | 28 | 1.6 | 22 | 1.8 | 12 | 2.0 | 12 | 3.1 |
| 1,501-1,750 .............. | 73 | 0.6 | 31 | 0.6 | 15 | 0.6 | 10 | 0.6 | 10 | 0.9 | 3 | 0.5 | 4 | 1.1 |
| 1,751-2,000 .............. | 58 | 0.5 | 26 | 0.5 | 6 | 0.3 | 10 | 0.5 | 9 | 0.8 | 4 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.4 |
| 2,001-3,000 ............... | 61 | 0.5 | 38 | 0.8 | 13 | 0.6 | 5 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.1 |
| 3,001 + .................... | 22 | 0.2 | 11 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.2 |
| Gross Countable <br> Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Gross Income ....... | 1,581 | 14.0 | 931 | 18.7 | 297 | 13.0 | 183 | 10.1 | 115 | 9.4 | 42 | 6.6 | 14 | 3.6 |
| >0-25\% .................... | 1,081 | 9.6 | 329 | 6.6 | 254 | 11.1 | 222 | 12.3 | 148 | 12.2 | 82 | 13.0 | 45 | 11.6 |
| 26-50 ....................... | 1,790 | 15.8 | 477 | 9.6 | 385 | 16.9 | 398 | 22.0 | 274 | 22.5 | 155 | 24.5 | 102 | 26.0 |
| 51-75 ....................... | 2,157 | 19.1 | 715 | 14.4 | 520 | 22.8 | 413 | 22.9 | 269 | 22.1 | 137 | 21.6 | 102 | 25.9 |
| 76-100 ...................... | 3,272 | 28.9 | 2,019 | 40.5 | 484 | 21.2 | 330 | 18.2 | 239 | 19.7 | 128 | 20.2 | 72 | 18.3 |
| 101-125 ................... | 1,093 | 9.7 | 379 | 7.6 | 233 | 10.2 | 217 | 12.0 | 147 | 12.0 | 71 | 11.2 | 47 | 11.9 |
| 126-130 .................... | 113 | 1.0 | 31 | 0.6 | 30 | 1.3 | 24 | 1.3 | 15 | 1.2 | 8 | 1.2 | 6 | 1.6 |
| 131-150 ................... | 143 | 1.3 | 59 | 1.2 | 45 | 2.0 | 16 | 0.9 | 9 | 0.8 | 10 | 1.6 | 4 | 1.1 |
| 151+ ........................ | 85 | 0.8 | 44 | 0.9 | 34 | 1.5 | 6 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Net Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No Net Income .......... | 3,480 | 30.8 | 1,803 | 36.2 | 762 | 33.4 | 470 | 26.0 | 271 | 22.3 | 125 | 19.8 | 48 | 12.2 |
| >0-25\% .................... | 2,567 | 22.7 | 963 | 19.3 | 532 | 23.3 | 494 | 27.3 | 310 | 25.4 | 157 | 24.8 | 111 | 28.3 |
| 26-50 ....................... | 2,517 | 22.2 | 1,052 | 21.1 | 481 | 21.1 | 414 | 22.9 | 303 | 24.8 | 162 | 25.6 | 105 | 26.8 |
| 51-75 ....................... | 1,759 | 15.5 | 698 | 14.0 | 324 | 14.2 | 304 | 16.8 | 220 | 18.0 | 133 | 21.0 | 80 | 20.5 |
| 76-100 ..................... | 641 | 5.7 | 188 | 3.8 | 133 | 5.8 | 116 | 6.4 | 111 | 9.1 | 50 | 7.9 | 43 | 10.9 |
| 101-125 .................... | 54 | 0.5 | 22 | 0.4 | 25 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.7 |
| 126-130 .................... | 4 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 131-150 .................... | 13 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 151+ ........................ | 13 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {a }}$........ | 268 | 2.4 | 241 | 4.8 | 11 | 0.5 | 8 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.6 |

[^15]- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-5. Average Gross and Net Countable Income, Average Gross and Net Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline, Average Countable Resources, and Average Benefit of Participating Households by Household Composition and Size

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Average Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number(000) | Percent | Gross <br> Countable <br> Income <br> (Dollars) | Net <br> Countable Income (Dollars) $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Gross <br> Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline (Percent) | Net <br> Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline (Percent) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Countable Resources (Dollars) |  | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Over All <br> Households | Over <br> Households With Countable Resources |  |
| Total ......................................... | 11,315 | 100.0 | 673 | 328 | 59.2 | 27.3 | 137 | 455 | 208 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 5,906 | 52.2 | 803 | 411 | 55.6 | 27.4 | 118 | 400 | 303 |
| School Age ............................ | 4,513 | 39.9 | 860 | 454 | 57.3 | 29.3 | 127 | 417 | 317 |
| Preschool Age ......................... | 3,115 | 27.5 | 796 | 401 | 53.2 | 25.6 | 98 | 350 | 326 |
| No Children ............................. | 5,409 | 47.8 | 531 | 232 | 63.0 | 27.2 | 158 | 513 | 105 |
| Elderly Individuals .................... | 2,024 | 17.9 | 715 | 364 | 81.6 | 40.3 | 258 | 584 | 91 |
| No Elderly Individuals .............. | 9,291 | 82.1 | 664 | 320 | 54.3 | 24.7 | 111 | 409 | 234 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {b }}$ No Disabled Nonelderly | 2,619 | 23.1 | 828 | 440 | 79.6 | 39.6 | 168 | 501 | 144 |
| Individuals ............................ | 8,696 | 76.9 | 626 | 294 | 53.0 | 23.6 | 128 | 439 | 228 |
| Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 .............................................. | 4,983 | 44.0 | 482 | 195 | 60.3 | 24.4 | 144 | 503 | 100 |
| 2 ............................................. | 2,281 | 20.2 | 630 | 289 | 58.8 | 27.0 | 130 | 442 | 195 |
| 3 ........................................... | 1,807 | 16.0 | 771 | 378 | 57.4 | 28.2 | 110 | 356 | 287 |
| 4 | 1,219 | 10.8 | 931 | 511 | 57.6 | 31.7 | 160 | 486 | 354 |
| 5 | 633 | 5.6 | 1,103 | 632 | 58.5 | 33.5 | 127 | 385 | 413 |
| 6 ............................................. | 259 | 2.3 | 1,314 | 791 | 60.9 | 36.6 | 141 | 389 | 489 |
| 7 ............................................. | 77 | 0.7 | 1,537 | 975 | 63.2 | 40.1 | 210 | 549 | 513 |
| 8+ | 56 | 0.5 | 1,609 | 1056 | 55.0 | 35.9 | 180 | 565 | 701 |

[^16]Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-6. Distribution of Participating Households With Children, Elderly Individuals, and Disabled Nonelderly Individuals by Type of Countable Income

| Type of Income | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total | 11,315 | 100.0 | 5,906 | 100.0 | 2,024 | 100.0 | 2,619 | 100.0 |
| Countable Earned Income | 3,364 | 29.7 | 2,771 | 46.9 | 88 | 4.4 | 272 | 10.4 |
| Wages and Salaries | 3,010 | 26.6 | 2,514 | 42.6 | 67 | 3.3 | 241 | 9.2 |
| Self-Employment | 353 | 3.1 | 266 | 4.5 | 22 | 1.1 | 31 | 1.2 |
| Other Earned Income ................................... | 58 | 0.5 | 39 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.2 |
| Countable Unearned Income | 7,666 | 67.8 | 3,601 | 61.0 | 1,939 | 95.8 | 2,616 | 99.9 |
| TANF | 1,472 | 13.0 | 1,427 | 24.2 | 39 | 1.9 | 283 | 10.8 |
| General Assistance | 656 | 5.8 | 154 | 2.6 | 110 | 5.5 | 139 | 5.3 |
| Supplemental Security Income | 3,029 | 26.8 | 778 | 13.2 | 1,111 | 54.9 | 1,964 | 75.0 |
| Social Security .......................................... | 2,733 | 24.2 | 570 | 9.6 | 1,385 | 68.5 | 1,189 | 45.4 |
| Unemployment Income ................................. | 191 | 1.7 | 144 | 2.4 | 5 | 0.2 | 12 | 0.5 |
| Veterans' Benefits ........................................ | 103 | 0.9 | 21 | 0.3 | 44 | 2.2 | 42 | 1.6 |
| Workers' Compensation | 36 | 0.3 | 23 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.1 | 19 | 0.7 |
| Other Government Benefits ${ }^{\text {c }}$.......................... | 178 | 1.6 | 59 | 1.0 | 59 | 2.9 | 96 | 3.7 |
| Household Contributions | 443 | 3.9 | 309 | 5.2 | 30 | 1.5 | 29 | 1.1 |
| Household Deemed Income | 7 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Educational Loans | 5 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Child Support Enforcement Payments ............. | 1,122 | 9.9 | 1,100 | 18.6 | 13 | 0.6 | 185 | 7.1 |
| State Diversion Payments ............................. | 14 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Energy Assistance Income ............................. | 5 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Wage Supplementation | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Other Unearned Income ${ }^{\text {d }}$.............................. | 333 | 2.9 | 166 | 2.8 | 126 | 6.2 | 48 | 1.8 |
| TANF or GA Income | 2,116 | 18.7 | 1,570 | 26.6 | 149 | 7.3 | 413 | 15.8 |
| TANF and Earnings ..................................... | 319 | 2.8 | 316 | 5.4 | 4 | 0.2 | 20 | 0.8 |
| TANF and SSI | 283 | 2.5 | 276 | 4.7 | 22 | 1.1 | 265 | 10.1 |
| TANF or SSI or GA | 4,683 | 41.4 | 2,028 | 34.3 | 1,171 | 57.9 | 1,996 | 76.2 |
| (TANF or SSI or GA) and Earnings ................ | 561 | 5.0 | 459 | 7.8 | 27 | 1.4 | 213 | 8.1 |
| TANF and Child Support .............................. | 96 | 0.8 | 95 | 1.6 | 3 | 0.1 | 28 | 1.1 |
| SSI and Social Security ................................. | 1,168 | 10.3 | 187 | 3.2 | 630 | 31.1 | 571 | 21.8 |
| SSI or Social Security .................................. | 4,593 | 40.6 | 1,161 | 19.7 | 1,866 | 92.2 | 2,582 | 98.6 |
| SSI and Earnings ........................................... | 228 | 2.0 | 139 | 2.3 | 20 | 1.0 | 211 | 8.1 |
| GA and Earnings ......................................... | 45 | 0.4 | 30 | 0.5 | 5 | 0.3 | 11 | 0.4 |
| Earnings and Child Support ........................... | 498 | 4.4 | 490 | 8.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 32 | 1.2 |
| No Countable Income ................................... | 1,581 | 14.0 | 636 | 10.8 | 61 | 3.0 | 0 | 0.0 |

[^17] a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Examples of other government benefits include Black Lung Benefits, Railroad Retirement payments, and USDA payments to farmers.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Examples of other unearned income include alimony, foster care payments, and dividends and interest payments.
Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-7. Average Income, Total Deduction, Food Stamp Benefit, and Household Size of Participating Households by Type of Countable Income

| Type of Income | Total Households |  | Average Values |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Percent | Gross Countable Income (Dollars) | Net <br> Countable Income (Dollars) $^{\text {b }}$ | Income Source (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total Deduction (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Household } \\ & \text { Size } \\ & \text { (Individuals) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Total | 11,315 | 100.0 | 673 | 328 | - | 411 | 208 | 2.3 |
| Countable Earned Income ............................. | 3,364 | 29.7 | 1,058 | 529 | 894 | 558 | 247 | 3.1 |
| Wages and Salaries ...................................... | 3,010 | 26.6 | 1,110 | 564 | 942 | 569 | 242 | 3.2 |
| Self-Employment ........................................ | 353 | 3.1 | 754 | 321 | 443 | 502 | 296 | 3.0 |
| Other Earned Income ................................... | 58 | 0.5 | 449 | 138 | 274 | 383 | 251 | 2.2 |
| Countable Unearned Income | 7,666 | 67.8 | 728 | 365 | 601 | 399 | 187 | 2.2 |
| TANF | 1,472 | 13.0 | 703 | 353 | 391 | 380 | 296 | 3.1 |
| General Assistance | 656 | 5.8 | 487 | 195 | 224 | 360 | 167 | 1.6 |
| Supplemental Security Income | 3,029 | 26.8 | 762 | 403 | 470 | 382 | 132 | 1.8 |
| Social Security ........................................... | 2,733 | 24.2 | 809 | 428 | 627 | 404 | 108 | 1.6 |
| Unemployment Income ................................. | 191 | 1.7 | 952 | 556 | 687 | 410 | 223 | 3.0 |
| Veterans' Benefits | 103 | 0.9 | 832 | 474 | 338 | 370 | 105 | 1.7 |
| Workers' Compensation | 36 | 0.3 | 997 | 585 | 763 | 453 | 205 | 2.9 |
|  | 178 | 1.6 | 871 | 429 | 172 | 482 | 149 | 2.0 |
| Household Contributions .... | 443 | 3.9 | 535 | 229 | 209 | 378 | 275 | 2.6 |
| Household Deemed Income | 7 | 0.1 | 705 | 380 | 521 | 362 | 181 | 2.2 |
| Educational Loans | 5 | 0.0 | 1,488 | 1041 | 340 | 465 | 277 | 4.8 |
| Child Support Enforcement Payments ............. | 1,122 | 9.9 | 903 | 500 | 294 | 441 | 297 | 3.5 |
| State Diversion Payments ............................. | 14 | 0.1 | 565 | 242 | 157 | 396 | 166 | 1.7 |
| Energy Assistance Income ............................. | 5 | 0.0 | 531 | 284 | 291 | 294 | 214 | 2.1 |
| Wage Supplementation | 0 | 0.0 | 1,856 | 1331 | 1856 | 525 | 665 | 6.0 |
| Other Unearned Income ${ }^{\text {f }}$............................... | 333 | 2.9 | 831 | 429 | 274 | 439 | 188 | 2.3 |
| TANF or GA Income | 2,116 | 18.7 | 634 | 303 | 341 | 374 | 256 | 2.6 |
| TANF and Earnings ....... | 319 | 2.8 | 1,037 | 566 | 971 | 480 | 278 | 3.5 |
| TANF and SSI . | 283 | 2.5 | 1,051 | 679 | 883 | 376 | 240 | 3.5 |
| TANF or SSI or GA ..................................... | 4,683 | 41.4 | 686 | 337 | 458 | 379 | 182 | 2.1 |
| (TANF or SSI or GA) and Earnings .............. | 561 | 5.0 | 1,135 | 645 | 1050 | 500 | 230 | 3.2 |
| TANF and Child Support .............................. | 96 | 0.8 | 870 | 540 | 460 | 345 | 294 | 3.6 |
| SSI and Social Security ................................. | 1,168 | 10.3 | 746 | 399 | 688 | 367 | 101 | 1.5 |
| SSI or Social Security .................................. | 4,593 | 40.6 | 794 | 419 | 683 | 399 | 125 | 1.7 |
| SSI and Earnings ........................................ | 228 | 2.0 | 1,344 | 834 | 1148 | 521 | 166 | 3.1 |
| GA and Earnings | 45 | 0.4 | 1,025 | 536 | 810 | 512 | 196 | 2.5 |
| Earnings and Child Support .......................... | 498 | 4.4 | 1,288 | 731 | 1208 | 567 | 251 | 3.7 |
| No Countable Income | 1,581 | 14.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 247 | 1.8 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The sum of individual income sources does not add to the total because households can receive income from more than one source.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, 31,582 MFIP households and 236,241 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Average value of specified source over households with income from source.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Because deductions are not used in their benefit determination, 236,241 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Examples of other government benefits include Black Lung Benefits, Railroad Retirement payments, and USDA payments to farmers.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Examples of other unearned income include alimony, foster care payments, and dividends and interest payments.
Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-8. Distribution of Participating Households With Children, Elderly Individuals, and Disabled Nonelderly Individuals by Countable Earned and Unearned Income Amounts

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ............................... | 11,315 | 100.0 | 5,906 | 100.0 | 2,024 | 100.0 | 2,619 | 100.0 |
| Countable Earned Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 | 7,951 | 70.3 | 3,135 | 53.1 | 1,935 | 95.6 | 2,346 | 89.6 |
| 1-199 | 332 | 2.9 | 171 | 2.9 | 23 | 1.1 | 67 | 2.5 |
| 200-399 | 389 | 3.4 | 284 | 4.8 | 15 | 0.7 | 43 | 1.6 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 407 | 3.6 | 299 | 5.1 | 17 | 0.9 | 34 | 1.3 |
| 600-799 | 470 | 4.2 | 385 | 6.5 | 12 | 0.6 | 37 | 1.4 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 464 | 4.1 | 392 | 6.6 | 7 | 0.3 | 34 | 1.3 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 1,302 | 11.5 | 1,240 | 21.0 | 14 | 0.7 | 58 | 2.2 |
| Countable Unearned Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 .................. | 3,649 | 32.2 | 2,305 | 39.0 | 85 | 4.2 | 3 | 0.1 |
| 1-199 | 772 | 6.8 | 540 | 9.1 | 25 | 1.2 | 11 | 0.4 |
| 200-399 | 1,238 | 10.9 | 894 | 15.1 | 60 | 3.0 | 38 | 1.4 |
| 400-599 | 1,427 | 12.6 | 741 | 12.5 | 288 | 14.2 | 452 | 17.3 |
| 600-799 | 2,674 | 23.6 | 593 | 10.0 | 1,053 | 52.0 | 1,309 | 50.0 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 845 | 7.5 | 357 | 6.0 | 318 | 15.7 | 387 | 14.8 |
| 1,000+ .................. | 711 | 6.3 | 477 | 8.1 | 195 | 9.6 | 418 | 15.9 |
| Countable TANF Income \$0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9,843 | 87.0 | 4,480 | 75.8 | 1,985 | 98.1 | 2,336 | 89.2 |
| 1-199 ........................... | 290 | 2.6 | 276 | 4.7 | 17 | 0.8 | 94 | 3.6 |
| 200-399 | 568 | 5.0 | 547 | 9.3 | 15 | 0.8 | 120 | 4.6 |
| 400-599 | 411 | 3.6 | 405 | 6.8 | 3 | 0.2 | 53 | 2.0 |
| 600-799 | 133 | 1.2 | 132 | 2.2 | 3 | 0.1 | 9 | 0.4 |
| 800-999 | 60 | 0.5 | 58 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.3 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 10 | 0.1 | 9 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Countable GA Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ................... | 10,659 | 94.2 | 5,753 | 97.4 | 1,913 | 94.5 | 2,480 | 94.7 |
| 1-199 | 296 | 2.6 | 48 | 0.8 | 74 | 3.7 | 104 | 4.0 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 281 | 2.5 | 51 | 0.9 | 30 | 1.5 | 26 | 1.0 |
| 400-599 ....... | 48 | 0.4 | 30 | 0.5 | 5 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.2 |
| 600-799 ... | 19 | 0.2 | 16 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 |
| 800-999 ........................... | 10 | 0.1 | 9 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 1,000+ ........................... | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Countable TANF or GA Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ............................... | 9,199 | 81.3 | 4,337 | 73.4 | 1,875 | 92.7 | 2,205 | 84.2 |
| 1-199 ............................ | 575 | 5.1 | 313 | 5.3 | 90 | 4.5 | 189 | 7.2 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 847 | 7.5 | 596 | 10.1 | 45 | 2.2 | 146 | 5.6 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 459 | 4.1 | 434 | 7.4 | 8 | 0.4 | 56 | 2.1 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 151 | 1.3 | 148 | 2.5 | 4 | 0.2 | 12 | 0.5 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 71 | 0.6 | 68 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.3 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 12 | 0.1 | 10 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-8. Distribution of Participating Households With Children, Elderly Individuals, and Disabled Nonelderly Individuals by Countable Earned and Unearned Income Amounts - Continued

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number(000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Countable SSI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ................................. | 8,286 | 73.2 | 5,129 | 86.8 | 913 | 45.1 | 654 | 25.0 |
| 1-199 .............................. | 685 | 6.1 | 78 | 1.3 | 384 | 19.0 | 312 | 11.9 |
| 200-399 ......................... | 367 | 3.2 | 65 | 1.1 | 175 | 8.7 | 196 | 7.5 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 639 | 5.6 | 214 | 3.6 | 160 | 7.9 | 486 | 18.5 |
| 600-799 ......................... | 1,152 | 10.2 | 326 | 5.5 | 324 | 16.0 | 837 | 32.0 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 83 | 0.7 | 24 | 0.4 | 47 | 2.3 | 45 | 1.7 |
| $1,000+\ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . ~$ | 102 | 0.9 | 71 | 1.2 | 20 | 1.0 | 89 | 3.4 |
| Maximum for 1 Person ${ }^{\text {b }}$... | 704 | 6.2 | 225 | 3.8 | 161 | 8.0 | 548 | 20.9 |
| Maximum for 2 Persons ${ }^{\text {c }}$.. | 33 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.1 | 23 | 1.1 | 13 | 0.5 |
| Countable Social Security |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ................................. | 8,582 | 75.8 | 5,337 | 90.4 | 638 | 31.5 | 1,430 | 54.6 |
| 1-199 | 113 | 1.0 | 55 | 0.9 | 39 | 1.9 | 39 | 1.5 |
| 200-399 ......................... | 413 | 3.7 | 107 | 1.8 | 194 | 9.6 | 175 | 6.7 |
| 400-599 ... | 803 | 7.1 | 133 | 2.3 | 438 | 21.6 | 327 | 12.5 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 789 | 7.0 | 107 | 1.8 | 410 | 20.2 | 361 | 13.8 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 395 | 3.5 | 73 | 1.2 | 207 | 10.2 | 173 | 6.6 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 219 | 1.9 | 95 | 1.6 | 98 | 4.8 | 114 | 4.3 |
| Other Countable <br> Unearned Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0 ................................. | 9,019 | 79.7 | 4,193 | 71.0 | 1,753 | 86.6 | 2,209 | 84.3 |
| 1-199 ............................. | 954 | 8.4 | 614 | 10.4 | 170 | 8.4 | 212 | 8.1 |
| 200-399 .......................... | 663 | 5.9 | 543 | 9.2 | 57 | 2.8 | 102 | 3.9 |
| 400-599 .......................... | 316 | 2.8 | 261 | 4.4 | 19 | 0.9 | 43 | 1.6 |
| 600-799 .......................... | 165 | 1.5 | 133 | 2.3 | 9 | 0.4 | 23 | 0.9 |
| 800-999 .......................... | 95 | 0.8 | 69 | 1.2 | 11 | 0.6 | 17 | 0.6 |
| 1,000+ ............................ | 102 | 0.9 | 91 | 1.5 | 5 | 0.3 | 12 | 0.4 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
b The fiscal year 2006 maximum monthly SSI benefit for one person is $\$ 603$. The number shown is the number of households where one person receives an SSI benefit of this amount. (The household may contain more than one person.)
c The fiscal year 2006 maximum monthly SSI benefit for two persons is $\$ 904$. The number shown is the number of households where two persons receive an SSI benefit of this amount. (The household may contain more than two persons.)

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-9. Distribution of Participating Households by Type of Deduction and Household Composition, Countable Income Source, and Food Stamp Benefit Amount

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Type of Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number(000) | Percent | Earned Income |  | Dependent Care |  |  | Excess Shelter |  |  | Medical |  | Child Support |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Percent With Maximum $^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Percent With Maximum $^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ...... | 11,315 | 100.0 | 3,356 | 29.7 | 522 | 4.6 | 14.2 | 7,879 | 69.6 | 21.1 | 509 | 4.5 | 184 | 1.6 |
| Household Composition | 5.906 | 100.0 |  | 46.9 | 521 | 8.8 |  |  | 72.2 | 31.0 | 80 | 1.4 | 120 | 2.0 |
| School Age ............................................... | 5,906 | 100.0 | 2,107 | 46.9 46.7 | 368 | 8.8 8.1 | 14.2 7.0 | 4,264 3,293 | 72.2 73.0 | 31.0 30.9 | 80 71 | 1.4 1.6 | 120 97 | 2.0 2.1 |
| Preschool Age .................. | 3,115 | 100.0 | 1,585 | 50.9 | 379 | 12.2 | 14.6 | 2,188 | 70.2 | 31.3 | 22 | 0.7 | 69 | 2.2 |
| No Children ........................ | 5,409 | 100.0 | 587 | 10.8 | 1 | 0.0 | 15.6 | 3,615 | 66.8 | 9.5 | 429 | 7.9 | 64 | 1.2 |
| Elderly Individuals | 2,024 | 100.0 | 87 | 4.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 71.2 | 1,443 | 71.3 | 0.3 | 287 | 14.2 | 7 | 0.3 |
| No Elderly Individuals ......... | 9,291 | 100.0 | 3,270 | 35.2 | 522 | 5.6 | 14.1 | 6,436 | 69.3 | 25.8 | 222 | 2.4 | 177 | 1.9 |
| Disabled Nonelderly <br> Individuals ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2,619 | 100.0 | 267 | 10.2 | 23 | 0.9 | 5.5 | 2,049 | 78.2 | 0.2 | 232 | 8.9 | 63 | 2.4 |
| No Disabled Nonelderly Individuals $\qquad$ | 8,696 | 100.0 | 3,089 | 35.5 | 499 | 5.7 | 14.6 | 5,830 | 67.0 | 28.5 | 277 | 3.2 | 121 | 1.4 |
| Countable Income Source Gross Income | 9,734 | 100.0 | 3,356 | 34.5 | 515 | 5.3 | 13.9 | 7,317 | 75.2 | 18.8 | 509 | 5.2 | 180 | 1.8 |
| No Gross Income ................. | 1,581 | 100.0 | - | - | 7 | 0.4 | 31.8 | 561 | 35.5 | 51.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.3 |
| Net Income | 7,567 | 100.0 | 2,774 | 36.7 | 442 | 5.8 | 13.1 | 5,612 | 74.2 | 13.4 | 440 | 5.8 | 144 | 1.9 |
| No Net Income .................... | 3,480 | 100.0 | 576 | 16.5 | 80 | 2.3 | 19.9 | 2,267 | 65.1 | 40.4 | 69 | 2.0 | 40 | 1.2 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {c }}$.................. | 268 | 100.0 | 7 | 2.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Earned Income ..................... | 3,364 | 100.0 | 3,356 | 99.8 | 476 | 14.2 | 13.9 | 2,519 | 74.9 | 31.7 | 37 | 1.1 | 110 | 3.3 |
| No Earned Income ............... | 7,951 | 100.0 | - | - | 46 | 0.6 | 16.4 | 5,359 | 67.4 | 16.2 | 472 | 5.9 | 74 | 0.9 |
| Unearned Income ..... | 7,666 | 100.0 | 1,288 | 16.8 | 227 | 3.0 | 10.2 | 5,731 | 74.8 | 14.3 | 505 | 6.6 | 110 | 1.4 |
| No Unearned Income .......... | 3,649 | 100.0 | 2,068 | 56.7 | 295 | 8.1 | 17.2 | 2,148 | 58.9 | 39.5 | 4 | 0.1 | 74 | 2.0 |
| TANF Income | 1,472 | 100.0 | 319 | 21.7 | 35 | 2.4 | 6.3 | 1,093 | 74.3 | 28.8 | 10 | 0.7 | 10 | 0.7 |
| No TANF Income ................ | 9,843 | 100.0 | 3,038 | 30.9 | 487 | 5.0 | 14.7 | 6,785 | 68.9 | 19.9 | 499 | 5.1 | 174 | 1.8 |
| GA Income ......................... | 656 | 100.0 | 45 | 6.8 | 2 | 0.4 | 27.6 | 495 | 75.4 | 22.7 | 10 | 1.6 | 4 | 0.6 |
| No GA Income ................... | 10,659 | 100.0 | 3,312 | 31.1 | 520 | 4.9 | 14.1 | 7,384 | 69.3 | 21.0 | 499 | 4.7 | 180 | 1.7 |
| SSI .................................... | 3,029 | 100.0 | 223 | 7.3 | 21 | 0.7 | 6.0 | 2,253 | 74.4 | 0.3 | 103 | 3.4 | 32 | 1.1 |
| No SSI .............................. | 8,286 | 100.0 | 3,134 | 37.8 | 501 | 6.0 | 14.5 | 5,626 | 67.9 | 29.5 | 406 | 4.9 | 152 | 1.8 |
| Social Security Income ......... | 2,733 | 100.0 | 210 | 7.7 | 15 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 2,097 | 76.7 | 2.5 | 458 | 16.8 | 52 | 1.9 |
| No Social Security Income ... | 8,582 | 100.0 | 3,146 | 36.7 | 507 | 5.9 | 14.5 | 5,781 | 67.4 | 27.9 | 51 | 0.6 | 132 | 1.5 |
| Food Stamp Benefit <br> Minimum Benefit | 700 | 100.0 | 135 | 19.2 | 9 | 1.3 | 66.2 | 306 | 43.8 | 1.3 | 95 | 13.6 | 4 | 0.6 |
| Maximum Benefit ............... | 3,627 | 100.0 | 578 | 15.9 | 81 | 2.2 | 19.8 | 2,271 | 62.6 | 40.4 | 69 | 1.9 | 41 | 1.1 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Percent of households with deduction that receive the maximum.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.

[^18]- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-10. Average Values of Deductions of Participating Households by Household Composition, Countable Income Source, and Food Stamp Benefit Amount

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 350,591 SSI-CAP households in Florida, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, Texas, and Washington are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
b Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 31,582 MFIP households and 350,591 SSI-CAP households in Florida, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, Texas, and Washington are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
c Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 31,582 MFIP households and 236,241 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Net income is not used in the benefit determination of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-11. Distribution of Participating Households by Selected Household Characteristics and Amount of Deduction

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number(000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly <br> Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Countable Earned Income |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total | 11,315 | 100.0 | 5,906 | 100.0 | 2,024 | 100.0 | 2,619 | 100.0 | 3,364 | 100.0 |
| Total Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$0-117 | 25 | 0.2 | 25 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | 1 | 0.0 |
| 118-133 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 134 | 2,052 | 18.1 | 757 | 12.8 | 323 | 16.0 | 331 | 12.7 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 135-150 | 155 | 1.4 | 52 | 0.9 | 43 | 2.1 | 49 | 1.9 | 43 | 1.3 |
| 151-200 | 649 | 5.7 | 326 | 5.5 | 132 | 6.5 | 217 | 8.3 | 108 | 3.2 |
| 201-250 | 569 | 5.0 | 240 | 4.1 | 148 | 7.3 | 196 | 7.5 | 109 | 3.2 |
| 251-300 | 752 | 6.6 | 336 | 5.7 | 185 | 9.1 | 228 | 8.7 | 173 | 5.1 |
| 301-350 | 770 | 6.8 | 378 | 6.4 | 167 | 8.3 | 228 | 8.7 | 215 | 6.4 |
| 351-400 | 739 | 6.5 | 397 | 6.7 | 123 | 6.1 | 194 | 7.4 | 236 | 7.0 |
| 401-450 | 659 | 5.8 | 369 | 6.3 | 108 | 5.4 | 159 | 6.1 | 235 | 7.0 |
| 451-500 | 682 | 6.0 | 357 | 6.0 | 127 | 6.3 | 197 | 7.5 | 247 | 7.4 |
| 501+ | 4,025 | 35.6 | 2,667 | 45.2 | 491 | 24.3 | 758 | 29.0 | 1,993 | 59.2 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {b }}$. | 236 | 2.1 | - | - | 176 | 8.7 | 60 | 2.3 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Earned Income Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1-50 | 442 | 3.9 | 249 | 4.2 | 1, 27 | 1.3 | 2,233 | 2.8 | 442 | 13.2 |
| 51-100 | 475 | 4.2 | 356 | 6.0 | 20 | 1.0 | 47 | 1.8 | 475 | 14.1 |
| 101-150 | 564 | 5.0 | 437 | 7.4 | 15 | 0.8 | 45 | 1.7 | 564 | 16.8 |
| 151-200 | 582 | 5.1 | 495 | 8.4 | 10 | 0.5 | 44 | 1.7 | 582 | 17.3 |
| 201-250 | 462 | 4.1 | 425 | 7.2 | 7 | 0.3 | 29 | 1.1 | 462 | 13.7 |
| 251-300 | 343 | 3.0 | 328 | 5.6 | 3 | 0.2 | 10 | 0.4 | 343 | 10.2 |
| 301+ | 488 | 4.3 | 479 | 8.1 | 3 | 0.2 | 18 | 0.7 | 488 | 14.5 |
|  | 351 | 3.1 | - | - | 232 | 11.5 | 118 | 4.5 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Dependent Care Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$1-50 | r 101 | 0.9 | 5,301 | 1.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 2, 6 | 0.2 | 2,878 | 2.4 |
| 51-100 | 100 | 0.9 | 100 | 1.7 | - | - | 5 | 0.2 | 93 | 2.8 |
| 101-150 | 81 | 0.7 | 80 | 1.4 | - | - | 2 | 0.1 | 76 | 2.3 |
| 151-200 | 140 | 1.2 | 140 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 | 128 | 3.8 |
| 201+ | 100 | 0.9 | 99 | 1.7 | - | - | 7 | 0.3 | 97 | 2.9 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {d }}$.................. | 382 | 3.4 | 32 | 0.5 | 232 | 11.5 | 118 | 4.5 | 10 | 0.3 |
| Medical Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 10,424 | 92.1 | 5,794 | 98.1 | 1,505 | 74.4 | 2,268 | 86.6 | 3,318 | 98.6 |
| \$1-25 | 71 | 0.6 | 12 | 0.2 | 35 | 1.7 | 38 | 1.5 | 3 | 0.1 |
| 26-50 | 65 | 0.6 | 14 | 0.2 | 35 | 1.7 | 31 | 1.2 | 6 | 0.2 |
| 51-75 | 113 | 1.0 | 24 | 0.4 | 56 | 2.8 | 60 | 2.3 | 6 | 0.2 |
| 76-100 | 38 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.1 | 20 | 1.0 | 19 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.1 |
| 101-150 | 103 | 0.9 | 15 | 0.3 | 64 | 3.2 | 41 | 1.6 | 8 | 0.2 |
| 151-200 | 33 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.0 | 23 | 1.1 | 11 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.0 |
| 201-300 | 37 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.1 | 26 | 1.3 | 11 | 0.4 | 3 | 0.1 |
| 301+ | 48 | 0.4 | 4 | 0.1 | 28 | 1.4 | 21 | 0.8 | 6 | 0.2 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {d }}$.................... | 382 | 3.4 | 32 | 0.5 | 232 | 11.5 | 118 | 4.5 | 10 | 0.3 |
| Child Support Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 10,749 | 95.0 | 5,755 | 97.4 | 1,785 | 88.2 | 2,437 | 93.1 | 3,245 | 96.5 |
| \$1-50 | 34 | 0.3 | 19 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.1 | 16 | 0.6 | 16 | 0.5 |
| 51-100 | 27 | 0.2 | 16 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 13 | 0.5 | 11 | 0.3 |
| 101-150 | 20 | 0.2 | 12 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.3 | 10 | 0.3 |
| 151-200 ............................. | 35 | 0.3 | 25 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.0 | 11 | 0.4 | 23 | 0.7 |
| 201-250 | 18 | 0.2 | 13 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 | 14 | 0.4 |
| 251-300 ............................. | 13 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.2 | 8 | 0.2 |
| 301+ ........ | 36 | 0.3 | 29 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 0.3 | 28 | 0.8 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {e }}$..................... | 382 | 3.4 | 32 | 0.5 | 232 | 11.5 | 118 | 4.5 | 10 | 0.3 |

See footnotes at end of table.

Table A-11. Distribution of Participating Households by Selected Household Characteristics and Amount of Deduction - Continued

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Countable Earned Income |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Excess Shelter Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 3,169 | 28.0 | 1,611 | 27.3 | 405 | 20.0 | 510 | 19.5 | 835 | 24.8 |
| \$1-50 | 559 | 4.9 | 291 | 4.9 | 124 | 6.1 | 163 | 6.2 | 162 | 4.8 |
| 51-100 | 640 | 5.7 | 315 | 5.3 | 142 | 7.0 | 186 | 7.1 | 198 | 5.9 |
| 101-150 | 733 | 6.5 | 375 | 6.4 | 169 | 8.4 | 205 | 7.8 | 231 | 6.9 |
| 151-200 | 836 | 7.4 | 401 | 6.8 | 190 | 9.4 | 236 | 9.0 | 244 | 7.3 |
| 201-250 | 731 | 6.5 | 392 | 6.6 | 129 | 6.4 | 191 | 7.3 | 218 | 6.5 |
| 251-300 | 661 | 5.8 | 359 | 6.1 | 101 | 5.0 | 173 | 6.6 | 218 | 6.5 |
| 301-350 | 640 | 5.7 | 318 | 5.4 | 121 | 6.0 | 195 | 7.4 | 197 | 5.9 |
| 351-399 | 511 | 4.5 | 266 | 4.5 | 99 | 4.9 | 144 | 5.5 | 168 | 5.0 |
| 400. | 1,663 | 14.7 | 1,319 | 22.3 | 4 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.1 | 798 | 23.7 |
| 401+ | 906 | 8.0 | 226 | 3.8 | 363 | 17.9 | 552 | 21.1 | 86 | 2.6 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$. | 268 | 2.4 | 32 | 0.5 | 176 | 8.7 | 60 | 2.3 | 10 | 0.3 |
| No Deduction ................. | 3,169 | 28.0 | 1,611 | 27.3 | 405 | 20.0 | 510 | 19.5 | 835 | 24.8 |
| Deduction Less Than Cap ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 5,314 | 47.0 | 2,720 | 46.0 | 1,076 | 53.2 | 1,494 | 57.0 | 1,638 | 48.7 |
| Deduction Equal to Cap ........ | 1,666 | 14.7 | 1,322 | 22.4 | 4 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.1 | 799 | 23.8 |
| Benefit Less than Maximum Benefit $\qquad$ | 748 | 6.6 | 679 | 11.5 | 3 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.1 | 526 | 15.6 |
| Benefit Equal to Maximum Benefit $\qquad$ | 917 | 8.1 | 643 | 10.9 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 273 | 8.1 |
| Deduction Greater Than Cap .. | 899 | 7.9 | 222 | 3.8 | 362 | 17.9 | 552 | 21.1 | 82 | 2.5 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {e ..................... }}$ | 268 | 2.4 | 32 | 0.5 | 176 | 8.7 | 60 | 2.3 | 10 | 0.3 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
b Deductions are not used in the benefit determination of SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas.
c This deduction is not used in the benefit determination of SSI-CAP households in Florida, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, Texas, and Washington.
${ }^{d}$ This deduction is not used in the benefit determination of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Florida, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, Texas, and Washington.
e This deduction is not used in the benefit determination of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas.
f Households without elderly or disabled members are subject to a cap on their excess shelter deduction.

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.
Table A-12. Distribution of Participating Households by Selected Household Characteristics and Food Stamp Benefit Amount, Food Stamp Benefit as a Percentage of the Maximum Benefit, and Certification Period

|  | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Countable Earned Income |  | Countable TANF Income |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent |
| Total | 11,315 | 100.0 | 5,906 | 100.0 | 2,024 | 100.0 | 2,619 | 100.0 | 3,364 | 100.0 | 1,472 | 100.0 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10 or less ......... | 708 | 6.3 | 72 | 1.2 | 348 | 17.2 | 277 | 10.6 | 138 | 4.1 | 137 | 0.90.5 |
| 11-25 | $\begin{aligned} & 305 \\ & 592 \end{aligned}$ | 2.7 | 29 | 0.5 | 133 | $\begin{array}{r} 6.6 \\ 14.6 \end{array}$ | 148 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.7 \\ & 8.6 \end{aligned}$ | 3693 | 1.12.8 |  |  |
| 26-50. |  | 5.25.8 | 84 | 1.4 | 295 |  | 225 |  |  |  | 14 | 1.0 |
| 51-75 | 651 |  | 113 | 1.9 | 254 | 12.5 | 288 | 11.0 | 112 | 3.3 | 27 | 1.92.2 |
| 76-100 | $\begin{array}{r}578 \\ 1,306 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 5.1 | 145 | 2.5 | 180 | 8.9 | 255 | 9.717.0 | 133 | 4.0 | 32 |  |
| 101-150 |  | 11.5 | 462 | 7.8 | 327 | 16.1 | 445 |  | 409 | 12.1 | 118 | 2.2 8.0 |
| 151-200 ........ | $\begin{aligned} & 2,572 \\ & 2,044 \end{aligned}$ | 22.7 | 645 | 7.8 10.9 | 359 | 17.7 | 380 | $\begin{aligned} & 14.5 \\ & 10.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 568 \\ & 839 \end{aligned}$ | 16.9 | 182 | 12.431.8 |
| 201-300 .................................................... |  | 18.1 | 1,821 | 30.8 | 90 | 4.4 | 277 |  |  | 24.9 | 469 |  |
| 301 or more ............................................ | 2,559 | 22.6 | 2,537 | 42.9 | 39 | 1.9 | 323 | 12.3 | 1,034 | 30.7 | 610 | 41.4 |
| Benefit as a Percentage of the Maximum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum .. | $\begin{aligned} & 700 \\ & 935 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.2 \\ & 8.3 \end{aligned}$ | 67 | 1.1 | 346 | 17.1 | $\begin{aligned} & 273 \\ & 363 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.4 \\ & 13.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 135 \\ & 299 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \\ & 8.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & 55 \end{aligned}$ | 0.83.7 |
| <25\%b |  |  | 344 | 5.8 | 335 | $\begin{aligned} & 16.5 \\ & 23.0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25-50.. | $\begin{aligned} & 1,856 \\ & 2,079 \\ & 2,118 \\ & 3,627 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.4 \\ & 18.4 \\ & 18.7 \\ & 32.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 960 \\ 1,341 \\ 1,513 \\ 1,682 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16.3 \\ & 22.7 \\ & 25.6 \\ & 28.5 \end{aligned}$ | 466 |  | $666$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.9 \\ & 25.4 \end{aligned}$ | $749$ | 22.3 | 183 12.4 |  |
| 51-75 ...... |  |  |  |  | 332 | 16.4 | 633 | 24.2 | 749 880 | 26.2 | 367 | 12.4 24.9 |
| 76-99 .......................................................... |  |  |  |  | 213 | 10.5 | 387 | 14.8 | 721 | 21.4 | 536 | 21.7 |
| Maximum ............................................... |  |  |  |  | 332 | 16.4 | 296 | 11.3 | 580 | 17.2 | 319 |  |
| Months in Certification Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average ${ }^{c}$ | 12 | - | 9 | - | 19 | - | 15 | - | 9 | - | 10 | - |
| 1. | 23311 | 0.20.3 | 1315 | 0.2 | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | 3 | 0.1 | 59 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \\ & 0.3 \end{aligned}$ | 221 | 0.20.2 |
| 2. |  |  |  | 0.3 |  |  | 2 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 3. | $\begin{array}{r} 143 \\ 84 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \\ & 0.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \\ & 37 \end{aligned}$ | 0.9 | 4 | 0.2 | 9 | 0.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.9 \\ & 0.5 \end{aligned}$ | 116 | 0.70.4 |
| 4. |  |  |  | 0.6 | 1 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 ... | $\begin{array}{r} 120 \\ 3,957 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1.1 \\ 35.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 83 \\ 2,887 \end{array}$ | 1.4 | 4 | 0.2 | 16 | 0.6 | 41 | 1.2 | 17 | 1.2 |
| 6. |  |  |  | 48.9 | 186 | 9.2 | 564 | 21.5 | 1,777 | 52.8 | 488 | 33.2 |
| 7 .......................................................... | 122 | 1.1 | 78 | 1.3 | 5 | 0.3 | 13 | 0.5 | 40 | 1.2 | 19 | 1.3 |
| 8 ... | 38 | 0.3 | 24 | 0.4 | 4 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.1 | 11 | 0.3 | 7 | 0.4 |
| 9 | 31 | 0.3 | 21 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.2 | 9 | 0.3 | 10 | 0.7 |
| 10 | 45 | 0.4 | 28 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.2 | 9 | 0.3 | 11 | 0.3 | 8 | 0.6 |
| 11 | 99 | 0.9 | 46 | 0.8 | 19 | 0.9 | 24 | 0.9 | 23 | 0.7 | 14 | 0.9 |
| 12 | 5,003 | 44.2 | 2,489 | 42.1 | 947 | 46.8 | 1,270 | 48.5 | 1,324 | 39.4 | 841 | 57.2 |
| $13+$................................................... | 1,600 | 14.1 | 122 | 2.1 | 840 | 41.5 | 688 | 26.3 | 63 | 1.9 | 42 | 2.8 |
| Unknown ................................................. | 19 | 0.2 | 7 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.3 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.1 | 5 | 0.3 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
b Does not include households with the minimum benefit.
c Average number of months in certification period. Percent not applicable in this row.
Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-13. Distribution of Participating Households by Type of Most Recent Action and Expedited Service

| Most Recent Action and Expedited Service | Total Households |  | Entrants |  | Other Households |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ............................................. | 11,315 | 100.0 | 550 | 100.0 | 10,765 | 100.0 |
| Initial Certification .. | 4,158 | 36.7 | 550 | 100.0 | 3,607 | 33.5 |
| Eligible For and Receiving <br> Expedited Service | 1,061 | 9.4 | 228 | 41.5 | 833 | 7.7 |
| Eligible For But Did Not Receive Expedited Service $\qquad$ | 269 | 2.4 | 38 | 7.0 | 231 | 2.1 |
| Not Eligible For Expedited Service | 2,827 | 25.0 | 284 | 51.5 | 2,544 | 23.6 |
| Recertification ................................ | 7,158 | 63.3 | - | - | 7,158 | 66.5 |
| Eligible For and Receiving Expedited Service | 105 | 0.9 | - | - | 105 | 1.0 |
| Eligible For But Did Not Receive Expedited Service $\qquad$ | 51 | 0.5 | - | - | 51 | 0.5 |
| Not Eligible For Expedited Service | 7,001 | 61.9 | - | - | 7,001 | 65.0 |

[^19]Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-14. Distribution of Participating Households, Individuals, and Benefits by Household Composition

| Household Composition | Food Stamp Households |  | Participants in Households With Household Characteristic |  | Monthly Food Stamp Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dollars } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 11,315 | 100.0 | 25,595 | 100.0 | 2,358,293 | 100.0 |
| Children ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5,906 | 52.2 | 19,480 | 76.1 | 1,789,310 | 75.9 |
| Single-Adult Householdc ${ }^{\text {c ............... }}$ | 3,717 | 32.9 | 11,342 | 44.3 | 1,095,459 | 46.5 |
| Male Adult | 191 | 1.7 | 499 | 2.0 | 49,792 | 2.1 |
| Female Adult | 3,526 | 31.2 | 10,843 | 42.4 | 1,045,667 | 44.3 |
| Multiple-Adult Household | 1,559 | 13.8 | 6,812 | 26.6 | 548,252 | 23.2 |
| Married Head Household ............ | 1,009 | 8.9 | 4,476 | 17.5 | 349,138 | 14.8 |
| Other Multiple-Adult Household | 550 | 4.9 | 2,335 | 9.1 | 199,114 | 8.4 |
| Children Only .............................. | 630 | 5.6 | 1,327 | 5.2 | 145,599 | 6.2 |
| Elderly Individuals ....................... | 2,024 | 17.9 | 2,611 | 10.2 | 184,220 | 7.8 |
| Living Alone ............................... | 1,613 | 14.3 | 1,613 | 6.3 | 119,720 | 5.1 |
| Not Living Alone ......................... | 411 | 3.6 | 998 | 3.9 | 64,500 | 2.7 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {d }}$.. | 2,619 | 23.1 | 5,216 | 20.4 | 378,363 | 16.0 |
| Living Alone ............................... | 1,484 | 13.1 | 1,484 | 5.8 | 112,072 | 4.8 |
| Not Living Alone ......................... | 1,134 | 10.0 | 3,732 | 14.6 | 266,291 | 11.3 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 1,827 | 16.1 | 2,009 | 7.9 | 270,258 | 11.5 |
| Single-Person Household ............... | 1,666 | 14.7 | 1,666 | 6.5 | 233,999 | 9.9 |
| Multi-Person Household ................ | 162 | 1.4 | 344 | 1.3 | 36,259 | 1.5 |
| Nonelderly, Nondisabled, Childless Adults ${ }^{f}$ | 899 | 7.9 | 1,514 | 5.9 | 165,907 | 7.0 |
| Single-Person Household ............... | 602 | 5.3 | 602 | 2.4 | 85,260 | 3.6 |
| Multi-Person Household .. | 297 | 2.6 | 912 | 3.6 | 80,647 | 3.4 |
| Single-Person Households ............. | 4,983 | 44.0 | 4,983 | 19.5 | 495,875 | 21.0 |

${ }^{a}$ The sum of individual categories does not match the table total because a household can have more than one of the characteristics in the table.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Individuals with missing age were assigned child or adult status based on their relationship to the household head
c Because gender is missing for some individuals in the FSPQC sample, the sum of single-adult households headed by males plus the number headed by females may not add up to the total number of single-adult households.
${ }^{d}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
e Households not containing children, elderly individuals, or disabled individuals.
f These participants, age 18-49, are subject to work registration and, with some exceptions (for example, those in waiver areas or receiving state exemptions), must meet work requirements or face time limits on benefit receipt.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-15. Average Gross and Net Countable Income, Total Deduction, Countable Resources, Food Stamp Benefit, Household Size, and Certification Period of Participating Households by Household Composition

| Household Composition | Total Households |  | Average Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Gross <br> Countable <br> Income <br> (Dollars) | Net Countable Income (Dollars) $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Total Deduction (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Countable Resources (Dollars) | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) | Household Size <br> (Individuals) | Certification Period (Months) |
| Total ${ }^{\text {c }}$................................ | 11,315 | 100.0 | 673 | 328 | 411 | 137 | 208 | 2.3 | 11.8 |
| Children ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 5,906 | 52.2 | 803 | 411 | 455 | 118 | 303 | 3.3 | 8.8 |
| Single-Adult Householde ${ }^{\text {..... }}$ | 3,717 | 32.9 | 715 | 352 | 428 | 94 | 295 | 3.1 | 8.9 |
| Male Adult ....................... | 191 | 1.7 | 632 | 303 | 410 | 85 | 261 | 2.6 | 9.4 |
| Female Adult .................... | 3,526 | 31.2 | 720 | 354 | 429 | 95 | 297 | 3.1 | 8.9 |
| Multiple-Adult Household ... | 1,559 | 13.8 | 1121 | 642 | 533 | 197 | 352 | 4.4 | 8.6 |
| Household | 550 | 4.9 | 1004 | 563 | 494 | 110 | 362 | 4.2 | 8.7 |
| Children Only ..................... | 630 | 5.6 | 533 | 189 | 422 | 60 | 231 | 2.1 | 9.0 |
| Elderly Individuals .............. | 2,024 | 17.9 | 715 | 364 | 385 | 258 | 91 | 1.3 | 18.8 |
| Living Alone ...................... | 1,613 | 14.3 | 647 | 301 | 372 | 249 | 74 | 1.0 | 20.1 |
| Not Living Alone ................ | 411 | 3.6 | 985 | 584 | 430 | 297 | 157 | 2.4 | 13.5 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{f}$ | 2,619 | 23.1 | 828 | 440 | 410 | 168 | 144 | 2.0 | 14.6 |
| Living Alone ...................... | 1,484 | 13.1 | 667 | 284 | 404 | 152 | 76 | 1.0 | 18.0 |
| Not Living Alone ................ | 1,134 | 10.0 | 1038 | 636 | 417 | 190 | 235 | 3.3 | 10.2 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {g .............. }}$ | 1,827 | 16.1 | 212 | 62 | 298 | 58 | 148 | 1.1 | 8.6 |
| Single-Person Household ..... | 1,666 | 14.7 | 177 | 45 | 283 | 47 | 140 | 1.0 | 8.6 |
| Multi-Person Household ...... | 162 | 1.4 | 571 | 239 | 452 | 172 | 224 | 2.1 | 8.7 |
| Nonelderly, Nondisabled, Childless Adults ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 899 | 7.9 | 365 | 167 | 320 | 56 | 185 | 1.7 | 8.6 |
| Single-Person Household ..... | 602 | 5.3 | 151 | 38 | 264 | 39 | 142 | 1.0 | 8.0 |
| Multi-Person Household ...... | 297 | 2.6 | 799 | 429 | 432 | 92 | 272 | 3.1 | 9.7 |
| Single-Person Households .... | 4,983 | 44.0 | 482 | 195 | 351 | 144 | 100 | 1.0 | 15.1 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, 31,582 MFIP households and 236,241 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
b Because deductions are not used in their benefit determination, 236,241 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
c The sum of individual categories does not match the table total because a household can have more than one of the characteristics in the table.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Individuals with missing age were assigned child or adult status based on their relationship to the household head
e Because gender is missing for some individuals in the FSPQC sample, the sum of single-adult households headed by males plus the number headed by females may not add up to the total number of single-adult households.
f Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
g Households not containing children, elderly individuals, or disabled individuals.
${ }^{h}$ These participants, age 18-49, are subject to work registration and, with some exceptions (for example, those in waiver areas or receiving state exemptions), must meet work requirements or face time limits on benefit receipt.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-16. Distribution of Participating Households by Countable Income Type and Household Composition

| Household Composition | Total Households |  | Countable Income Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Earned Income |  | Zero Gross Income |  | TANF Income |  | GA Income |  | SSI |  | Social Security Income |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$..................... | 11,315 | 100.0 | 3,364 | 100.0 | 1,581 | 100.0 | 1,472 | 100.0 | 656 | 100.0 | 3,029 | 100.0 | 2,733 | 100.0 |
| Children ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 5,906 | 52.2 | 2,771 | 82.4 | 636 | 40.2 | 1,427 | 96.9 | 154 | 23.4 | 778 | 25.7 | 570 | 20.8 |
| Single-Adult Household ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 3,717 | 32.9 | 1,488 | 44.2 | 443 | 28.0 | 968 | 65.8 | 107 | 16.3 | 500 | 16.5 | 339 | 12.4 |
| Male Adult ......... | 191 | 1.7 | 51 | 1.5 | 37 | 2.3 | 52 | 3.6 | 6 | 0.9 | 26 | 0.9 | 34 | 1.2 |
| Female Adult ....... | 3,526 | 31.2 | 1,437 | 42.7 | 406 | 25.7 | 915 | 62.2 | 101 | 15.4 | 473 | 15.6 | 306 | 11.2 |
| Multiple-Adult <br> Household $\qquad$ <br> Married Head | 1,559 | 13.8 | 964 | 28.6 | 124 | 7.8 | 243 | 16.5 | 35 | 5.3 | 260 | 8.6 | 209 | 7.7 |
| Household | 1,009 | 8.9 | 680 | 20.2 | 87 | 5.5 | 120 | 8.1 | 16 | 2.4 | 136 | 4.5 | 115 | 4.2 |
| Multiple-Adult Household | 550 | 4.9 | 284 | 8.4 | 37 | 2.3 | 123 | 8.4 | 19 | 2.9 | 124 | 4.1 | 94 | 3.4 |
| Children Only ......... | 630 | 5.6 | 319 | 9.5 | 69 | 4.4 | 216 | 14.7 | 12 | 1.8 | 18 | 0.6 | 21 | 0.8 |
| Elderly Individuals | 2,024 | 17.9 | 88 | 2.6 | 61 | 3.8 | 39 | 2.7 | 110 | 16.8 | 1,111 | 36.7 | 1,385 | 50.7 |
| Living Alone .......... | 1,613 | 14.3 | 44 | 1.3 | 53 | 3.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 84 | 12.8 | 888 | 29.3 | 1,112 | 40.7 |
| Not Living Alone ... | 411 | 3.6 | 45 | 1.3 | 7 | 0.5 | 39 | 2.6 | 26 | 4.0 | 223 | 7.4 | 273 | 10.0 |
| Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2,619 | 23.1 | 272 | 8.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 283 | 19.2 | 139 | 21.2 | 1,964 | 64.9 | 1,189 | 43.5 |
| Living Alone .......... | 1,484 | 13.1 | 78 | 2.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.1 | 75 | 11.4 | 1,054 | 34.8 | 749 | 27.4 |
| Not Living Alone ... | 1,134 | 10.0 | 195 | 5.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 281 | 19.1 | 65 | 9.8 | 911 | 30.1 | 440 | 16.1 |
| Other Households ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 1,827 | 16.1 | 419 | 12.4 | 886 | 56.0 | 36 | 2.5 | 315 | 48.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 16 | 0.6 |
| Single-Person ......... | 1,666 | 14.7 | 329 | 9.8 | 847 | 53.5 | 28 | 1.9 | 306 | 46.6 | 1 | 0.0 | 13 | 0.5 |
| Multi-Person .......... | 162 | 1.4 | 90 | 2.7 | 39 | 2.5 | 8 | 0.6 | 9 | 1.4 | - | - | 2 | 0.1 |
| Nonelderly, Nondisabled, Childless Adults ${ }^{f}$ $\qquad$ | 899 | 7.9 | 264 | 7.9 | 401 | 25.3 | 34 | 2.3 | 54 | 8.2 | 84 | 2.8 | 63 | 2.3 |
| Single-Person <br> Household | 602 | 5.3 | 135 | 4.0 | 363 | 22.9 | 6 | 0.4 | 41 | 6.3 | 8 | 0.3 | 6 | 0.2 |
| Multi-Person <br> Household | 297 | 2.6 | 129 | 3.8 | 38 | 2.4 | 28 | 1.9 | 13 | 1.9 | 75 | 2.5 | 57 | 2.1 |
| Single-Person <br> Households | 4,983 | 44.0 | 560 | 16.7 | 931 | 58.9 | 108 | 7.4 | 468 | 71.3 | 1,943 | 64.1 | 1,880 | 68.8 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The sum of individual categories does not match the table total because a household can have more than one of the characteristics in the table.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Individuals with missing age were assigned child or adult status based on their relationship to the household head
c Because gender is missing for some individuals in the FSPQC sample, the sum of single-adult households headed by males plus the number headed by females may not add up to the total number of single-adult households.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
e Households not containing children, elderly individuals, or disabled individuals.
f These participants, age 18-49, are subject to work registration and, with some exceptions (for example, those in waiver areas or receiving state exemptions), must meet work requirements or face time limits on benefit receipt.

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-17. Distribution of Participating Households With Children, Elderly Individuals, and Disabled Nonelderly Individuals by Selected Characteristics

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow{3}{*}{Household Characteristic} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Total Households} \& \multicolumn{10}{|c|}{Household With:} \\
\hline \& \multirow{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Number \\
(000)
\end{tabular}} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Percent} \& \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Children} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{School Age Children} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Preschool Age Children} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Elderly Individuals} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Disabled Nonelderly Individuals \({ }^{\text {a }}\)} \\
\hline \& \& \& Number (000) \& Percent \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Number } \\
(000)
\end{gathered}
\] \& Percent \& Number (000) \& Percent \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Number } \\
(000)
\end{gathered}
\] \& Percent \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Number } \\
(000)
\end{gathered}
\] \& Percent \\
\hline Total ................................... \& 11,315 \& 100.0 \& 5,906 \& 100.0 \& 4,513 \& 100.0 \& 3,115 \& 100.0 \& 2,024 \& 100.0 \& 2,619 \& 100.0 \\
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Household Composition} \\
\hline School Age \& 4,513 \& 39.9 \& 4,513 \& 76.4 \& 4,513 \& 100.0 \& 1,722 \& 55.3 \& 105 \& 5.2 \& 796 \& 30.4 \\
\hline Preschool Age ................... \& 3,115 \& 27.5 \& 3,115 \& 52.7 \& 1,722 \& 38.2 \& 3,115 \& 100.0 \& 23 \& 1.1 \& 329 \& 12.5 \\
\hline Elderly Individuals \& 2,024 \& 17.9 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{115

903} \& 1.9 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{105} \& 2.3 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{23} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.7} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2,024} \& 100.0 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$\begin{array}{r}61 \\ \hline\end{array}$} \& 2.3 <br>

\hline | Disabled Nonelderly |
| :--- |
| Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ $\qquad$ | \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2,619} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{23.1} \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{15.3} \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{17.6} \& \& \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{3.0} \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{100.0} <br>

\hline Countable Income Source and Resources \& \& \& 903 \& \& 796 \& \& 329 \& 10.5 \& 61 \& \& 2,619 \& <br>
\hline Gross Income ...... \& 9,734 \& 86.0 \& 5,271 \& 89.2 \& 4,083 \& 90.5 \& 2,759 \& 88.6 \& 1,963 \& 97.0 \& 2,618 \& 100.0 <br>
\hline No Gross Income .............. \& 1,581 \& 14.0 \& 636 \& 10.8 \& 430 \& 9.5 \& 356 \& 11.4 \& 61 \& 3.0 \& 0 \& 0.0 <br>
\hline Net Income . \& 7,567 \& 66.9 \& 4,196 \& 71.0 \& 3,343 \& 74.1 \& 2,172 \& 69.7 \& 1,619 \& 80.0 \& 2,303 \& 87.9 <br>
\hline No Net Income \& 3,480 \& 30.8 \& 1,679 \& 28.4 \& 1,149 \& 25.4 \& 926 \& 29.7 \& 229 \& 11.3 \& 256 \& 9.8 <br>
\hline Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {b .................. }}$ \& 268 \& 2.4 \& 32 \& 0.5 \& 22 \& 0.5 \& 17 \& 0.5 \& 176 \& 8.7 \& 60 \& 2.3 <br>
\hline Earned Income .. \& 3,364 \& 29.7 \& 2,771 \& 46.9 \& 2,109 \& 46.7 \& 1,585 \& 50.9 \& 88 \& 4.4 \& 272 \& 10.4 <br>
\hline Unearned Income . \& 7,666 \& 67.8 \& 3,601 \& 61.0 \& 2,911 \& 64.5 \& 1,735 \& 55.7 \& 1,939 \& 95.8 \& 2,616 \& 99.9 <br>
\hline TANF Income .................... \& 1,472 \& 13.0 \& 1,427 \& 24.2 \& 1,049 \& 23.3 \& 768 \& 24.7 \& 39 \& 1.9 \& 283 \& 10.8 <br>
\hline GA Income \& 656 \& 5.8 \& 154 \& 2.6 \& 126 \& 2.8 \& 65 \& 2.1 \& 110 \& 5.5 \& 139 \& 5.3 <br>
\hline SSI .................................... \& 3,029 \& 26.8 \& 778 \& 13.2 \& 686 \& 15.2 \& 291 \& 9.3 \& 1,111 \& 54.9 \& 1,964 \& 75.0 <br>
\hline Social Security Income ......... \& 2,733 \& 24.2 \& 570 \& 9.6 \& 516 \& 11.4 \& 172 \& 5.5 \& 1,385 \& 68.5 \& 1,189 \& 45.4 <br>
\hline Countable Resources ........... \& 3,408 \& 30.1 \& 1,739 \& 29.4 \& 1,376 \& 30.5 \& 871 \& 28.0 \& 896 \& 44.3 \& 878 \& 33.5 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Deductions} <br>
\hline Total Deduction .................. \& 11,054 \& 97.7 \& 5,882 \& 99.6 \& 4,495 \& 99.6 \& 3,103 \& 99.6 \& 1,848 \& 91.3 \& 2,558 \& 97.7 <br>

\hline Earned Income Deduction .... \& 3,356 \& 29.7 \& 2,770 \& 46.9 \& 2,107 \& 46.7 \& 1,585 \& 50.9 \& 87 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4.3 \\
& 0.0
\end{aligned}
$$} \& 267 \& 10.2 <br>

\hline Dependent Care Deduction .. \& 522 \& 4.6 \& 521 \& 8.8 \& 368 \& 8.1 \& 379 \& 12.2 \& 0 \& \& 23 \& 0.9 <br>

\hline Excess Shelter Deduction ..... \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{$$
7,879
$$} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
69.6 \\
4.5 \\
1.6
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

4,264
\]

$$
80
$$

$$
120
$$} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
72.2 \\
1.4 \\
2.0
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
3,293 \\
71 \\
97
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
73.0 \\
1.6 \\
2.1
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2,188 \\
22 \\
69
\end{array}
$$
\]} \& 70.2 \& 1,443 \& 71.3 \& 2,049 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{78.2

8.9} <br>
\hline Medical Deduction .............. \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 0.7 \& 287 \& 14.2 \& 232 \& <br>
\hline Child Support Deduction ...... \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 2.2 \& 7 \& 0.3 \& 63 \& 2.4 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Food Stamp Benefit} <br>

\hline 11-100 \& \multirow[t]{4}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
708 \\
2,126 \\
3,878 \\
2,044 \\
2,559
\end{array}
$$} \& 18.8 \& 370 \& 6.3 \& 284 \& 6.3 \& 145 \& 4.7 \& 862 \& 42.6 \& 916 \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10.6 \\
& 35.0
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline 101-200 \& \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{aligned}
& 34.3 \\
& 18.1
\end{aligned}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1,107 \\
& 1,821 \\
& 2,537
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 18.7 \\
& 30.8 \\
& 42.9
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
784 \\
1,226 \\
2,168
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 17.4 \\
& 27.2 \\
& 48.0
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
511 \\
916 \\
1,519
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 16.4 \\
& 29.4 \\
& 48.8
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
686 \\
90 \\
39
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
33.9 \\
4.4 \\
1.9
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 825 \\
& 277 \\
& 323
\end{aligned}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 31.5 \\
& 10.6 \\
& 12.3
\end{aligned}
$$
\]} <br>

\hline 201-300 .............................. \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>
\hline 301 or More ........................ \& \& 22.6 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& <br>

\hline Minimum Benefit ................ \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
700 \\
3,627
\end{array}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
6.2 \\
32.1
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
67 \\
1,682
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1.1 \\
28.5
\end{array}
$$
\]} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{47

1,151} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1.0
25.5} \& 20 \& 0.7 \& 346 \& 17.1 \& 273 \& 10.4 <br>
\hline Maximum Benefit ............... \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 928 \& 29.8 \& 332 \& 16.4 \& 296 \& 11.3 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Household Size} <br>
\hline 1 ........................................ \& 4,983 \& 44.0 \& 225 \& 3.8 \& 108 \& 2.4 \& 117 \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3.8 1,613} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{79.7} \& 1,484 \& 56.7 <br>
\hline 2 \& 2,281 \& 20.2 \& 1,679 \& 28.4 \& 1,006 \& 22.3 \& 752 \& 24.1 \& 320 \& \& 433 \& 16.5 <br>

\hline 3 ....................................... \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1,807 \\
& 1,219
\end{aligned}
$$} \& 16.0 \& 1,764 \& 29.9 \& 1,344 \& 29.8 \& 885 \& 28.4 \& 44 \& 2.2 \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{$290 \quad 11.1$} <br>

\hline 4 ........................................ \& \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
10.8 \\
5.6 \\
3.5
\end{array}
$$} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1,214 \\
632 \\
392
\end{array}
$$

\]} \& \[

20.6
\] \& 1,062 \& 23.5 \& 688 \& 22.1 \& 25 \& 1.3 \& 206 \& 7.9 <br>

\hline 5 ........................................ \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{r}
1,219 \\
633 \\
392
\end{array}
$$} \& \& \& 10.7 \& 605 \& 13.4 \& 401 \& 12.9 \& 12 \& 0.6 \& 121 \& 4.6 <br>

\hline 6+ ..................................... \& \& \& \& 6.6 \& 389 \& 8.6 \& 273 \& 8.8 \& 10 \& 0.5 \& 85 \& 3.3 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

[^20]b Net income is not used in the benefit determination of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-18. Average Values of Selected Characteristics for Participating Households With Children, Elderly Individuals, and Disabled Nonelderly Individuals

| Household Characteristic | Average Values for Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Children | School Age Children | Preschool Age Children | Elderly Individuals | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Countable Income and Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income | 673 | 803 | 860 | 796 | 715 | 828 |
| Net Income ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 328 | 411 | 454 | 401 | 364 | 440 |
| Earned Income | 266 | 459 | 475 | 507 | 24 | 66 |
| Unearned Income | 407 | 344 | 385 | 290 | 692 | 762 |
| TANF Income ................................... | 51 | 95 | 95 | 99 | 5 | 33 |
| GA Income ........................................ | 13 | 9 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 7 |
| SSI .................................................. | 126 | 76 | 89 | 55 | 217 | 387 |
| Social Security Income ...................... | 151 | 62 | 74 | 34 | 431 | 294 |
| Countable Resources ......................... | 137 | 118 | 127 | 98 | 258 | 168 |
| Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guildeline |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income ..................................... | 59.2 | 55.6 | 57.3 | 53.2 | 81.6 | 79.6 |
| Net Income ${ }^{\text {b }}$...................................... | 26.7 | 27.3 | 29.2 | 25.5 | 36.8 | 38.7 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Deduction ${ }^{\text {c }}$................................ | 411 | 455 | 464 | 460 | 385 | 410 |
| Earned Income Deduction ${ }^{\text {d }}$................... | 55 | 92 | 95 | 101 | 5 | 14 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 179 | 196 | 204 | 199 | 110 | 129 |
| Dependent Care Deduction ${ }^{\text {e }}$................. | 7 | 13 | 13 | 19 | 0 | 1 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 151 | 150 | 156 | 155 | 125 | 154 |
| Excess Shelter Deduction ${ }^{\text {f }}$................... | 203 | 206 | 210 | 194 | 223 | 241 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 284 | 284 | 286 | 275 | 286 | 301 |
| Medical Deductione .................... | 6 |  | 2 | 1 | 21 | 13 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 137 | 96 | 97 | 85 | 134 | 138 |
| Child Support Deduction ${ }^{\text {f }}$.................... | 3 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 4 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 205 | 230 | 238 | 251 | 129 | 162 |
| Food Stamp Benefit ................................ | 208 | 303 | 317 | 326 | 91 | 144 |
| Household Size ....................................... | 2.3 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 1.3 | 2.0 |
| Certification Period ............................... | 11.8 | 8.8 | 8.9 | 8.6 | 18.8 | 14.6 |

[^21]Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-19. Distribution of Participating Households With Countable Earned and Unearned Income by Selected Characteristics

| Household Characteristic | Total Households |  | Countable Income Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | Earned Income |  | Unearned Income |  | TANF Income |  | GA Income |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent |
| Total | 11,315 | 100.0 | 3,364 | 100.0 | 7,666 | 100.0 | 1,472 | 100.0 | 656 | 100.0 |
| Household Composition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Children | 5,906 | 52.2 | 2,771 | 82.4 | 3,601 | 47.0 | 1,427 | 96.9 | 154 | 23.4 |
| School Age | 4,513 | 39.9 | 2,109 | 62.7 | 2,911 | 38.0 | 1,049 | 71.3 | 126 | 19.3 |
| Preschool Age .................. | 3,115 | 27.5 | 1,585 | 47.1 | 1,735 | 22.6 | 768 | 52.2 | 65 | 9.9 |
| Elderly Individuals ............... | 2,024 | 17.9 | 88 | 2.6 | 1,939 | 25.3 | 39 | 2.7 | 110 | 16.8 |
| Disabled Nonelderly <br> Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2,619 | 23.1 | 272 | 8.1 | 2,616 | 34.1 | 283 | 19.2 | 139 | 21.2 |
| Countable Income Source and Resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income . | 9,734 | 86.0 | 3,364 | 100.0 | 7,666 | 100.0 | 1,472 | 100.0 | 656 | 100.0 |
| No Gross Income ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1,581 | 14.0 | - | - | 1 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Net Income | 7,567 | 66.9 | 2,778 | 82.6 | 5,970 | 77.9 | 1,152 | 78.3 | 380 | 58.0 |
| No Net Income | 3,480 | 30.8 | 577 | 17.1 | 1,453 | 19.0 | 319 | 21.7 | 273 | 41.6 |
| Not Applicable ${ }^{\text {c .................. }}$ | 268 | 2.4 | 10 | 0.3 | 243 | 3.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.4 |
| Earned Income | 3,364 | 29.7 | 3,364 | 100.0 | 1,296 | 16.9 | 319 | 21.7 | 45 | 6.8 |
| Unearned Income ... | 7,666 | 67.8 | 1,296 | 38.5 | 7,666 | 100.0 | 1,472 | 100.0 | 656 | 100.0 |
| TANF Income | 1,472 | 13.0 | 319 | 9.5 | 1,472 | 19.2 | 1,472 | 100.0 | 11 | 1.7 |
| GA Income .... | 656 | 5.8 | 45 | 1.3 | 656 | 8.6 | 11 | 0.8 | 656 | 100.0 |
| SSI Income .. | 3,029 | 26.8 | 228 | 6.8 | 3,029 | 39.5 | 283 | 19.2 | 187 | 28.6 |
| Social Security Income ......... | 2,733 | 24.2 | 217 | 6.4 | 2,733 | 35.6 | 116 | 7.9 | 85 | 13.0 |
| Countable Resources ........... | 3,408 | 30.1 | 1,216 | 36.2 | 2,466 | 32.2 | 242 | 16.4 | 88 | 13.4 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Deduction .................. | 11,054 | 97.7 | 3,361 | 99.9 | 7,425 | 96.8 | 1,472 | 100.0 | 653 | 99.6 |
| Earned Income Deduction .... | 3,356 | 29.7 | 3,356 | 99.8 | 1,288 | 16.8 | 319 | 21.7 | 45 | 6.8 |
| Dependent Care Deduction .. | 522 | 4.6 | 476 | 14.2 | 227 | 3.0 | 35 | 2.4 | 2 | 0.4 |
| Excess Shelter Deduction ..... | 7,879 | 69.6 | 2,519 | 74.9 | 5,731 | 74.8 | 1,093 | 74.3 | 495 | 75.4 |
| Medical Deduction .............. | 509 | 4.5 | 37 | 1.1 | 505 | 6.6 | 10 | 0.7 | 10 | 1.6 |
| Child Support Deduction ...... | 184 | 1.6 | 110 | 3.3 | 110 | 1.4 | 10 | 0.7 | 4 | 0.6 |
| Food Stamp Benefit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$10 or Less ......... | 708 | 6.3 | 138 | 4.1 | 666 | 8.7 | 13 | 0.9 | 33 | 5.0 |
| 11-100. | 2,126 | 18.8 | 376 | 11.2 | 1,928 | 25.1 | 81 | 5.5 | 110 | 16.7 |
| 101-200 | 3,878 | 34.3 | 977 | 29.0 | 2,309 | 30.1 | 300 | 20.4 | 373 | 56.8 |
| 201-300 ............................. | 2,044 | 18.1 | 839 | 24.9 | 1,214 | 15.8 | 469 | 31.8 | 79 | 12.0 |
| 301 or More ....................... | 2,559 | 22.6 | 1,034 | 30.7 | 1,550 | 20.2 | 610 | 41.4 | 62 | 9.5 |
| Minimum Benefit ................ | 700 | 6.2 | 135 | 4.0 | 658 | 8.6 | 12 | 0.8 | 31 | 4.8 |
| Maximum Benefit ............... | 3,627 | 32.1 | 580 | 17.2 | 1,600 | 20.9 | 319 | 21.7 | 276 | 42.0 |
| Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 4,983 | 44.0 | 560 | 16.7 | 3,655 | 47.7 | 108 | 7.4 | 468 | 71.3 |
| 2 ....................................... | 2,281 | 20.2 | 725 | 21.5 | 1,519 | 19.8 | 482 | 32.8 | 90 | 13.8 |
| 3 ...................................... | 1,807 | 16.0 | 843 | 25.1 | 1,122 | 14.6 | 417 | 28.4 | 45 | 6.9 |
| 4 ....................................... | 1,219 | 10.8 | 627 | 18.6 | 733 | 9.6 | 243 | 16.5 | 33 | 5.0 |
| 5 ...................................... | 633 | 5.6 | 362 | 10.8 | 385 | 5.0 | 135 | 9.2 | 10 | 1.5 |
| 6+ .................................... | 392 | 3.5 | 246 | 7.3 | 252 | 3.3 | 86 | 5.9 | 10 | 1.6 |

[^22]${ }^{\text {b }}$ Some states allow child support expenses to be subtracted before gross income is calculated. As a result, it is possible to have countable income but no gross income.
c Net income is not used in the benefit determination of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas.

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-20. Average Values of Selected Characteristics for Participating Households With Countable Earned and Unearned Income

| Household Characteristic | Average Values for Households With: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Countable <br> Earned Income | Countable Unearned Income | Countable TANF Income | Countable GA Income |
| Countable Income and Resources |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income | 673 | 1,058 | 728 | 703 | 487 |
| Net Income ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 328 | 529 | 365 | 353 | 195 |
| Earned Income | 266 | 894 | 128 | 138 | 37 |
| Unearned Income | 407 | 164 | 601 | 565 | 450 |
| TANF Income | 51 | 32 | 75 | 391 | 5 |
| GA Income . | 13 | 4 | 19 | 1 | 224 |
| SSI .... | 126 | 32 | 186 | 112 | 140 |
| Social Security Income ....................... | 151 | 36 | 223 | 39 | 69 |
| Countable Resources ........................... | 137 | 146 | 154 | 52 | 47 |
| Countable Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Income .................................... | 59.2 | 76.9 | 67.8 | 51.1 | 50.0 |
| Net Income ${ }^{\text {a }}$..................................... | 26.7 | 36.5 | 31.1 | 24.4 | 18.4 |
| Deductions |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 411 | 558 | 399 | 380 | 360 |
| Earned Income Deduction ${ }^{\text {c ................... }}$ | 55 | 179 | 27 | 28 | 7 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 179 | 179 | 152 | 127 | 107 |
| Dependent Care Deduction ${ }^{\text {d }}$................ | 7 | 22 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 151 | 155 | 147 | 87 | 94 |
| Excess Shelter Deductione ................... | 203 | 208 | 218 | 208 | 209 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 284 | 277 | 283 | 281 | 276 |
| Medical Deduction ${ }^{\text {d }}$............................. | 6 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 2 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 137 | 161 | 137 | 110 | 151 |
| Child Support Deduction ${ }^{\text {e }}$.................... | 3 | 8 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Over Households With Deduction ......... | 205 | 240 | 179 | 126 | 69 |
| Food Stamp Benefit ................................. | 208 | 247 | 187 | 296 | 167 |
| Household Size ...................................... | 2.3 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 1.6 |
| Certification Period ................................ | 11.8 | 8.7 | 13.5 | 9.9 | 11.6 |

[^23]b Because deductions are not used in their benefit determination, 236,241 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this category.
${ }^{c}$ Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 350,591 SSI-CAP households in Florida, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, Texas, and Washington are excluded from this category.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 31,582 MFIP households and 350,591 SSI-CAP households in Florida, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, Texas, and Washington are excluded from this category.
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 31,582 MFIP households and 236,241 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-21. Distribution of Participating Households With Selected Household Characteristics by the Race of the Household Head

| Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Countable Earned Income |  | Countable TANF Income |  |
|  |  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total | 11,315 | 100.0 | 5,906 | 100.0 | 2,024 | 100.0 | 2,619 | 100.0 | 3,364 | 100.0 | 1,472 | 100.0 |
| Race of Household Head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 5,178 | 45.8 | 2,316 | 39.2 | 1,018 | 50.3 | 1,456 | 55.6 | 1,441 | 42.8 | 425 | 28.9 |
| African-American | 3,496 | 30.9 | 1,882 | 31.9 | 478 | 23.6 | 827 | 31.6 | 958 | 28.5 | 511 | 34.7 |
| Hispanic ......................................... | 1,502 | 13.3 | 853 | 14.4 | 361 | 17.9 | 241 | 9.2 | 494 | 14.7 | 239 | 16.3 |
| Asian ............................................. | 273 | 2.4 | 96 | 1.6 | 131 | 6.5 | 36 | 1.4 | 66 | 1.9 | 38 | 2.6 |
| Native American ............................. | 159 | 1.4 | 88 | 1.5 | 19 | 1.0 | 30 | 1.1 | 49 | 1.5 | 22 | 1.5 |
| Race Unknown ................................ | 42 | 0.4 | 16 | 0.3 | 15 | 0.7 | 10 | 0.4 | 10 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.3 |
| Nonparticipating Household Head ${ }^{\text {b }}$.. | 665 | 5.9 | 656 | 11.1 | 1 | 0.0 | 19 | 0.7 | 347 | 10.3 | 232 | 15.8 |

[^24] contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
b This category includes some households with no household head and no adult listed on the file.
Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-22 Distribution of Participating Households By Presence of a Household Member With Selected Characteristics

| Characteristic | Total Households |  | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Countable Earned Income |  | Countable TANF Income |  |
|  |  |  | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total ...................................................... | 11,315 | 100.0 | 5,906 | 100.0 | 2,024 | 100.0 | 2,619 | 100.0 | 3,364 | 100.0 | 1,472 | 100.0 |
| Citizenship |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U. S. Born Citizen .................................. | 10,558 | 93.3 | 5,844 | 98.9 | 1,503 | 74.2 | 2,524 | 96.4 | 3,287 | 97.7 | 1,448 | 98.4 |
| Naturalized Citizen ............................... | 581 | 5.1 | 168 | 2.8 | 334 | 16.5 | 82 | 3.1 | 127 | 3.8 | 39 | 2.7 |
| Refugee | 81 | 0.7 | 46 | 0.8 | 17 | 0.8 | 6 | 0.2 | 28 | 0.8 | 18 | 1.2 |
| Other Noncitizen .................................... | 609 | 5.4 | 315 | 5.3 | 220 | 10.9 | 76 | 2.9 | 218 | 6.5 | 86 | 5.9 |
| Unknown .............................................. | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Citizen Children Living with Participating Noncitizen Adults $\qquad$ | 293 | 2.6 | 293 | 5.0 | 9 | 0.4 | 32 | 1.2 | 177 | 5.2 | 82 | 5.6 |
| Citizen Children Living with Nonparticipating Noncitizen Adults ....... | 557 | 4.9 | 557 | 9.4 | 2 | 0.1 | 9 | 0.3 | 355 | 10.5 | 176 | 11.9 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.

- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-23. Gender and Food Stamp Benefits of Participants by Selected Demographic Characteristic

| Participant Characteristic | Total Participants |  | Female Participants |  | Male Participants |  | Pro-rated Benefits ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Number $(000)$ | Percent ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dollars } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total | 25,595 | 100.0 | 15,014 | 58.7 | 10,580 | 41.3 | 2,358,293 | 100.0 |
| Citizenship |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| U. S. Born Citizen | 23,886 | 93.3 | 13,930 | 54.4 | 9,955 | 38.9 | 2,203,865 | 93.5 |
| Naturalized Citizen . | 718 | 2.8 | 463 | 1.8 | 255 | 1.0 | 64,329 | 2.7 |
| Refugee .. | 195 | 0.8 | 104 | 0.4 | 91 | 0.4 | 17,893 | 0.8 |
| Other Noncitizen .............................. | 795 | 3.1 | 516 | 2.0 | 279 | 1.1 | 72,196 | 3.1 |
| Unknown . | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | 10 | 0.0 |
| Citizen Children Living with <br> Noncitizen Adults ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1,815 | 7.1 | 906 | 3.5 | 909 | 3.6 | 176,864 | 7.5 |
| Nonelderly, Nondisabled, Childless Adults ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 989 | 3.9 | 458 | 1.8 | 531 | 2.1 | 121,524 | 5.2 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Child | 12,603 | 49.2 | 6,211 | 24.3 | 6,392 | 25.0 | 1,165,784 | 49.4 |
| Preschool (4 or Less) ........................ | 4,243 | 16.6 | 2,058 | 8.0 | 2,184 | 8.5 | 414,927 | 17.6 |
| School Age (5-17) ........................... | 8,361 | 32.7 | 4,153 | 16.2 | 4,207 | 16.4 | 750,857 | 31.8 |
| Nonelderly Adult ............................... | 10,763 | 42.1 | 7,278 | 28.4 | 3,485 | 13.6 | 1,034,963 | 43.9 |
| 18-35.. | 5,560 | 21.7 | 4,053 | 15.8 | 1,507 | 5.9 | 538,327 | 22.8 |
| 36-59 | 5,203 | 20.3 | 3,224 | 12.6 | 1,978 | 7.7 | 496,635 | 21.1 |
| Elderly Individual (60 or More) .......... | 2,229 | 8.7 | 1,526 | 6.0 | 703 | 2.7 | 157,543 | 6.7 |
| Unknown Age .................................. | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | 4 | 0.0 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White ............................................ | 10,966 | 42.8 | 6,370 | 24.9 | 4,596 | 18.0 | 983,759 | 41.7 |
| African-American | 8,468 | 33.1 | 5,116 | 20.0 | 3,352 | 13.1 | 794,405 | 33.7 |
| Hispanic | 5,006 | 19.6 | 2,863 | 11.2 | 2,142 | 8.4 | 468,234 | 19.9 |
| Asian ..... | 611 | 2.4 | 357 | 1.4 | 254 | 1.0 | 60,547 | 2.6 |
| Native American ............................. | 426 | 1.7 | 244 | 1.0 | 182 | 0.7 | 40,797 | 1.7 |
| Unknown Race ................................ | 118 | 0.5 | 64 | 0.2 | 54 | 0.2 | 10,552 | 0.4 |

[^25]- No sample households in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table A-24. Distribution of Participants by Thrifty Food Plan Sex-Age Groups and Household Size

| Participant Characteristic | Household Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8+ |
| Total ........ | 25,595 | 4,983 | 4,562 | 5,421 | 4,875 | 3,165 | 1,553 | 540 | 495 |
| Children Under Age 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-2 years ..... | 2,569 | 80 | 529 | 715 | 586 | 365 | 179 | 61 | 54 |
| 3-5 years ........ | 2,536 | 51 | 401 | 697 | 634 | 434 | 194 | 66 | 60 |
| 6-8 years ....... | 2,186 | 22 | 242 | 538 | 611 | 421 | 219 | 70 | 63 |
| 9-11 years ...................... | 1,930 | 24 | 225 | 480 | 507 | 367 | 192 | 66 | 68 |
| Females | 15,014 | 2,932 | 2,924 | 3,281 | 2,740 | 1,767 | 837 | 279 | 254 |
| 0-2 years... | 1,248 | 42 | 250 | 350 | 282 | 186 | 83 | 28 | 28 |
| $3-5$ years .... | 1,245 | 29 | 195 | 337 | 296 | 216 | 99 | 33 | 39 |
| 6-8 years... | 1,089 | 12 | 121 | 260 | 298 | 230 | 109 | 34 | 25 |
| 9-11 years | 952 | 14 | 117 | 236 | 244 | 179 | 100 | 33 | 29 |
| 12-14 years .... | 901 | 10 | 125 | 203 | 229 | 171 | 92 | 33 | 37 |
| 15-19 years .... | 1,132 | 58 | 229 | 285 | 240 | 157 | 92 | 35 | 37 |
| 20-50 years... | 5,968 | 970 | 1,397 | 1,506 | 1,101 | 605 | 253 | 78 | 57 |
| $51+$ years ........ | 2,479 | 1,797 | 490 | 103 | 51 | 22 | 10 | 4 | 2 |
| Males | 10,580 | 2,051 | 1,639 | 2,141 | 2,134 | 1,398 | 715 | 261 | 241 |
| 0-2 years .... | 1,320 | 38 | 279 | 364 | 304 | 179 | 97 | 33 | 26 |
| 3-5 years... | 1,291 | 22 | 206 | 360 | 337 | 217 | 95 | 33 | 21 |
| 6-8 years | 1,096 | 11 | 121 | 278 | 313 | 191 | 109 | 36 | 38 |
| 9-11 years ..................... | 978 | 10 | 108 | 244 | 263 | 188 | 92 | 33 | 39 |
| 12-14 years .................... | 944 | 15 | 118 | 223 | 249 | 167 | 99 | 37 | 38 |
| 15-19 years ................... | 994 | 28 | 171 | 246 | 233 | 158 | 82 | 39 | 36 |
| 20-50 years ..................... | 2,662 | 1,128 | 286 | 359 | 399 | 274 | 132 | 46 | 39 |
| $51+$ years ........................ | 1,294 | 800 | 349 | 66 | 36 | 23 | 10 | 4 | 5 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.
Table A-25. Comparison of Participating Households With Key Food Stamp Household Characteristics for Fiscal Years 1989 to $2006{ }^{\text {a }}$

| Time Period | Total Households (000) | Percentage of Households with |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Zero Gross Income | Zero Net Income ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Minimum Benefit | Elderly People | Children | Disabled People ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFDC/ } \\ & \text { TANF } \end{aligned}$ | Earnings | SSI | Any <br> Noncitizen |
| Fiscal Year 1989 | 7,217 | 7.1 | 18.3 | 7.5 | 19.3 | 60.4 | 9.1 | 41.9 | 19.6 | 20.6 | 9.8 |
| Fiscal Year 1990 | 7,811 | 7.4 | 19.3 | 5.0 | 18.1 | 60.3 | 8.9 | 42.0 | 19.0 | 19.6 | 10.3 |
| Fiscal Year 1991 | 8,863 | 8.3 | 20.5 | 4.1 | 16.5 | 60.4 | 9.0 | 40.5 | 19.8 | 18.6 | 11.8 |
| Fiscal Year 1992 | 10,059 | 9.6 | 21.9 | 3.6 | 15.4 | 62.2 | 9.5 | 39.5 | 20.2 | 18.4 | 10.4 |
| Fiscal Year 1993 | 10,791 | 9.7 | 23.7 | 4.0 | 15.5 | 62.1 | 10.7 | 39.4 | 20.6 | 19.4 | 11.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1994 | 11,091 | 10.2 | 23.8 | 4.5 | 15.8 | 61.1 | 12.5 | 38.1 | 21.4 | 21.4 | 10.7 |
| Fiscal Year 1995 | 10,883 | 9.7 | 25.0 | 4.3 | 16.0 | 59.7 | 18.9 | 38.3 | 21.4 | 22.6 | 10.7 |
| Fiscal Year 1996 | 10,552 | 10.2 | 24.9 | 4.5 | 16.2 | 59.5 | 20.2 | 36.6 | 22.5 | 24.1 | 10.5 |
| Fiscal Year 1997 | 9,452 | 9.2 | 22.7 | 6.6 | 17.6 | 58.3 | 22.3 | 34.6 | 24.2 | 26.5 | 8.4 |
| Fiscal Year 1998 | 8,246 | 8.8 | 20.8 | 8.3 | 18.2 | 58.3 | 24.4 | 31.4 | 26.3 | 28.1 | 4.3 |
| Fiscal Year 1999 | 7,670 | 8.5 | 20.6 | 9.7 | 20.1 | 55.7 | 26.4 | 27.3 | 26.8 | 30.2 | 6.0 |
| Fiscal Year 2000 | 7,335 | 8.4 | 20.1 | 10.9 | 21.0 | 53.9 | 27.5 | 25.8 | 27.2 | 31.7 | 6.4 |
| Fiscal Year 2001 | 7,450 | 9.4 | 22.2 | 11.2 | 20.4 | 53.6 | 27.7 | 23.1 | 27.0 | 31.8 | 5.4 |
| Fiscal Year 2002 | 8,201 | 10.5 | 24.3 | 10.7 | 18.7 | 54.1 | 27.0 | 20.9 | 28.0 | 29.5 | 5.2 |
| Fiscal Year 2003 | 8,971 | 11.7 | 25.8 | 8.4 | 18.0 | 54.7 | 23.3 | 17.0 | 28.2 | 28.1 | 5.5 |
| Fiscal Year 2004 | 10,070 | 13.0 | 29.4 | 6.1 | 17.3 | 54.3 | 22.9 | 16.1 | 28.8 | 26.9 | 6.2 |
| Fiscal Year 2005 | 10,854 | 13.6 | 29.8 | 5.3 | 17.1 | 53.8 | 23.1 | 14.5 | 29.3 | 26.5 | 6.2 |
| Fiscal Year 2006 | 11,315 | 14.0 | 30.8 | 6.2 | 17.9 | 52.2 | 23.1 | 13.0 | 29.7 | 26.8 | 6.1 |

[^26]Table A-26. Comparison of Average Nominal and Real Values of Key Food Stamp Household Characteristics for Fiscal Years 1989 to 2006

| Time Period | Gross Income (Dollars) |  | Net Income (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Total Deduction (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Countable Resources (Dollars) |  | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) |  | Gross Income as a Percentage of Poverty Guidelines (Percent) | Household Size <br> (Persons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nominal Value | Real Value ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Nominal Value | Real Value ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Nominal Value | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Real } \\ & \text { Value }^{c} \end{aligned}$ | Nominal Value | Real Value $^{\text {c }}$ | Nominal Value | $\begin{gathered} \text { Real } \\ \text { Value }^{\mathrm{d}} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Fiscal Year 1989 | 442 | 696 | 247 | 389 | 216 | 340 | 79 | 124 | 132 | 202 | 60 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1990 | 453 | 677 | 251 | 375 | 225 | 336 | 79 | 118 | 150 | 215 | 59 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1991 | 464 | 665 | 253 | 363 | 235 | 337 | 78 | 112 | 162 | 226 | 58 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1992 | 478 | 665 | 258 | 359 | 250 | 348 | 78 | 109 | 170 | 236 | 57 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1993 | 490 | 662 | 258 | 349 | 262 | 354 | 77 | 104 | 170 | 230 | 56 | 2.6 |
| Fiscal Year 1994 | 507 | 668 | 268 | 353 | 272 | 358 | 81 | 107 | 168 | 221 | 57 | 2.5 |
| Fiscal Year 1995 | 514 | 659 | 265 | 340 | 283 | 363 | 83 | 106 | 172 | 219 | 56 | 2.5 |
| Fiscal Year 1996 | 528 | 657 | 275 | 342 | 287 | 357 | 93 | 116 | 174 | 214 | 57 | 2.5 |
| Fiscal Year 1997 | 558 | 679 | 299 | 364 | 291 | 354 | 92 | 112 | 169 | 203 | 58 | 2.4 |
| Fiscal Year 1998 | 584 | 700 | 321 | 385 | 294 | 352 | 118 | 141 | 165 | 194 | 60 | 2.4 |
| Fiscal Year 1999 | 603 | 707 | 338 | 396 | 299 | 351 | 142 | 166 | 162 | 187 | 62 | 2.4 |
| Fiscal Year 2000 | 620 | 703 | 355 | 403 | 298 | 338 | 156 | 177 | 158 | 179 | 63 | 2.3 |
| Fiscal Year 2001 | 624 | 688 | 353 | 389 | 311 | 343 | 148 | 163 | 163 | 178 | 62 | 2.3 |
| Fiscal Year 2002 | 633 | 687 | 355 | 385 | 324 | 352 | 134 | 145 | 173 | 187 | 61 | 2.3 |
| Fiscal Year 2003 | 640 | 679 | 348 | 369 | 343 | 364 | 154 | 163 | 185 | 196 | 60 | 2.3 |
| Fiscal Year 2004 | 643 | 665 | 321 | 332 | 381 | 394 | 143 | 148 | 196 | 200 | 59 | 2.3 |
| Fiscal Year 2005 | 648 | 669 | 319 | 329 | 390 | 403 | 137 | 141 | 209 | 213 | 58 | 2.3 |
| Fiscal Year 2006 | 673 | 673 | 328 | 328 | 411 | 411 | 137 | 137 | 208 | 208 | 59 | 2.3 |

[^27]Table A-27. Comparison of Number of Food Stamp Participants by Gender and Age for Fiscal Years 1989 to 2006

|  | Total Participants (000) | Female Participants (000) |  |  |  | Male Participants (000) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0-17 | 18-59 | 60+ | Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0-17 | 18-59 | $60+$ | Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Fiscal Year 1989 .................. | 18,956 | 4,681 | 5,359 | 1,132 | 11,334 | 4,761 | 2,262 | 429 | 7,612 |
| Fiscal Year 1990 .................. | 20,440 | 4,998 | 5,802 | 1,139 | 12,169 | 5,141 | 2,442 | 435 | 8,265 |
| Fiscal Year 1991 .................. | 22,988 | 5,952 | 6,556 | 1,171 | 13,679 | 6,008 | 2,840 | 452 | 9,300 |
| Fiscal Year 1992 .................. | 25,775 | 6,618 | 7,348 | 1,235 | 15,204 | 6,746 | 3,350 | 468 | 10,566 |
| Fiscal Year 1993 .................. | 27,595 | 7,080 | 7,855 | 1,334 | 16,276 | 7,131 | 3,643 | 536 | 11,316 |
| Fiscal Year 1994 .................. | 28,009 | 7,102 | 7,949 | 1,389 | 16,453 | 7,305 | 3,666 | 566 | 11,552 |
| Fiscal Year 1995 ................... | 26,955 | 6,927 | 7,714 | 1,369 | 16,025 | 6,952 | 3,403 | 554 | 10,926 |
| Fiscal Year 1996 .................. | 25,926 | 6,573 | 7,427 | 1,354 | 15,373 | 6,639 | 3,355 | 541 | 10,549 |
| Fiscal Year 1997 ................... | 23,117 | 5,950 | 6,588 | 1,328 | 13,880 | 5,918 | 2,796 | 506 | 9,233 |
| Fiscal Year 1998 .................. | 19,969 | 5,258 | 5,505 | 1,197 | 11,967 | 5,258 | 2,236 | 430 | 7,926 |
| Fiscal Year 1999 .................. | 18,149 | 4,654 | 5,006 | 1,217 | 10,878 | 4,676 | 2,066 | 482 | 7,226 |
| Fiscal Year 2000 .................. | 17,091 | 4,313 | 4,667 | 1,216 | 10,198 | 4,451 | 1,954 | 485 | 6,891 |
| Fiscal Year 2001 .................. | 17,297 | 4,404 | 4,751 | 1,189 | 10,347 | 4,437 | 2,037 | 471 | 6,949 |
| Fiscal Year 2002 .................. | 19,041 | 4,821 | 5,260 | 1,187 | 11,269 | 4,891 | 2,375 | 501 | 7,769 |
| Fiscal Year 2003 .................. | 20,934 | 5,269 | 5,813 | 1,243 | 12,327 | 5,359 | 2,700 | 544 | 8,605 |
| Fiscal Year 2004 ................... | 23,486 | 5,852 | 6,643 | 1,313 | 13,809 | 5,944 | 3,119 | 605 | 9,668 |
| Fiscal Year 2005 .................. | 24,881 | 6,228 | 7,065 | 1,408 | 14,701 | 6,198 | 3,337 | 639 | 10,174 |
| Fiscal Year 2006 .................. | 25,595 | 6,211 | 7,278 | 1,526 | 15,014 | 6,392 | 3,485 | 703 | 10,580 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Total participants may not equal the sum of male and female participants if there are persons whose sex was not coded on the file.
Note: Beginning with 2003, the weighting of the FSPQC data reflects adjustments to FNS' Program Operations counts of households to account for receipt of benefits in error or individuals and benefit amounts.
Source: Fiscal Year 1989 to 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control samples.
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## APPENDIX B

DETAILED TABLES OF FOOD STAMP HOUSEHOLDS BY STATE
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Table B-1. Distribution of Participating Households, Individuals, and Benefits by State

| State | Food Stamp Households |  | Participants in Households |  | Monthly Food Stamp Benefits |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dollars } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 11,315 | 100.0 | 25,595 | 100.0 | 2,358,293 | 100.0 |
| Alabama | 214 | 1.9 | 532 | 2.1 | 47,111 | 2.0 |
| Alaska ................... | 21 | 0.2 | 55 | 0.2 | 6,837 | 0.3 |
| Arizona ................... | 211 | 1.9 | 520 | 2.0 | 49,339 | 2.1 |
| Arkansas ................. | 152 | 1.3 | 370 | 1.4 | 31,999 | 1.4 |
| California ................ | 790 | 7.0 | 1,977 | 7.7 | 191,655 | 8.1 |
| Colorado .................. | 105 | 0.9 | 245 | 1.0 | 25,923 | 1.1 |
| Connecticut ............. | 110 | 1.0 | 204 | 0.8 | 19,505 | 0.8 |
| Delaware ................. | 27 | 0.2 | 64 | 0.3 | 5,543 | 0.2 |
| District of Columbia | 44 | 0.4 | 85 | 0.3 | 8,145 | 0.3 |
| Florida .................... | 592 | 5.2 | 1,184 | 4.6 | 106,240 | 4.5 |
| Georgia ................... | 370 | 3.3 | 910 | 3.6 | 84,896 | 3.6 |
| Guam ...................... | 8 | 0.1 | 27 | 0.1 | 4,409 | 0.2 |
| Hawaii .................... | 44 | 0.4 | 87 | 0.3 | 12,227 | 0.5 |
| Idaho ...................... | 36 | 0.3 | 89 | 0.3 | 8,145 | 0.3 |
| Illinois .................... | 546 | 4.8 | 1,198 | 4.7 | 121,568 | 5.2 |
| Indiana .................... | 244 | 2.2 | 561 | 2.2 | 52,225 | 2.2 |
| Iowa ........................ | 98 | 0.9 | 220 | 0.9 | 19,831 | 0.8 |
| Kansas .................... | 79 | 0.7 | 178 | 0.7 | 15,000 | 0.6 |
| Kentucky ................. | 252 | 2.2 | 576 | 2.2 | 51,517 | 2.2 |
| Louisiana ................. | 253 | 2.2 | 625 | 2.4 | 56,678 | 2.4 |
| Maine ..................... | 79 | 0.7 | 155 | 0.6 | 13,228 | 0.6 |
| Maryland ................. | 138 | 1.2 | 299 | 1.2 | 27,075 | 1.1 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 226 | 2.0 | 428 | 1.7 | 34,891 | 1.5 |
| Michigan ................. | 507 | 4.5 | 1,114 | 4.4 | 99,599 | 4.2 |
| Minnesota ................ | 123 | 1.1 | 257 | 1.0 | 22,701 | 1.0 |
| Mississippi .............. | 165 | 1.5 | 404 | 1.6 | 34,378 | 1.5 |
| Missouri ................. | 298 | 2.6 | 788 | 3.1 | 61,210 | 2.6 |
| Montana ................. | 34 | 0.3 | 78 | 0.3 | 7,142 | 0.3 |
| Nebraska ................. | 51 | 0.4 | 118 | 0.5 | 10,131 | 0.4 |
| Nevada ...... | 54 | 0.5 | 116 | 0.5 | 10,354 | 0.4 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 27 | 0.2 | 55 | 0.2 | 4,604 | 0.2 |
| New Jersey .............. | 193 | 1.7 | 397 | 1.6 | 37,295 | 1.6 |
| New Mexico ............ | 93 | 0.8 | 238 | 0.9 | 20,646 | 0.9 |
| New York ................ | 918 | 8.1 | 1,753 | 6.8 | 181,125 | 7.7 |
| North Carolina ......... | 373 | 3.3 | 844 | 3.3 | 75,529 | 3.2 |
| North Dakota ............ | 19 | 0.2 | 42 | 0.2 | 3,797 | 0.2 |
| Ohio ........................ | 465 | 4.1 | 1,028 | 4.0 | 102,348 | 4.3 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 175 | 1.5 | 422 | 1.6 | 37,227 | 1.6 |
| Oregon .................... | 219 | 1.9 | 424 | 1.7 | 37,411 | 1.6 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 489 | 4.3 | 1,069 | 4.2 | 96,080 | 4.1 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 34 | 0.3 | 72 | 0.3 | 6,637 | 0.3 |
| South Carolina ......... | 222 | 2.0 | 522 | 2.0 | 46,916 | 2.0 |
| South Dakota ............ | 24 | 0.2 | 58 | 0.2 | 5,458 | 0.2 |
| Tennessee ................ | 376 | 3.3 | 841 | 3.3 | 76,895 | 3.3 |
| Texas ...................... | 977 | 8.6 | 2,512 | 9.8 | 227,982 | 9.7 |
| Utah ........................ | 53 | 0.5 | 130 | 0.5 | 11,505 | 0.5 |
| Vermont ................. | 23 | 0.2 | 46 | 0.2 | 4,082 | 0.2 |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 5 | 0.0 | 13 | 0.1 | 1,696 | 0.1 |
| Virginia .................. | 219 | 1.9 | 492 | 1.9 | 41,489 | 1.8 |
| Washington ............. | 267 | 2.4 | 527 | 2.1 | 48,599 | 2.1 |
| West Virginia ........... | 114 | 1.0 | 257 | 1.0 | 21,103 | 0.9 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 152 | 1.3 | 363 | 1.4 | 28,231 | 1.2 |
| Wyoming ................. | 10 | 0.1 | 24 | 0.1 | 2,132 | 0.1 |

[^28]Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-2. Average Values of Selected Characteristics by State

| State | Average Values |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross Countable Income (Dollars) | Net <br> Countable Income (Dollars) $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Total Deduction (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Countable Resources (Dollars) | Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) | Household Size (Persons) | Certification Period (Months) |
| Total ................. | 673 | 328 | 411 | 137 | 208 | 2.3 | 11.8 |
| Alabama ................ | 655 | 368 | 345 | 53 | 220 | 2.5 | 11.9 |
| Alaska ................... | 924 | 532 | 511 | 163 | 332 | 2.7 | 6.9 |
| Arizona ................... | 652 | 309 | 408 | 120 | 234 | 2.5 | 7.1 |
| Arkansas .................. | 647 | 378 | 333 | 120 | 210 | 2.4 | 14.0 |
| California ............. | 631 | 295 | 401 | 83 | 242 | 2.5 | 12.0 |
| Colorado ............. | 557 | 220 | 433 | 126 | 247 | 2.3 | 12.2 |
| Connecticut ............. | 615 | 272 | 417 | 78 | 178 | 1.9 | 12.2 |
| Delaware ................. | 789 | 381 | 470 | 0 | 202 | 2.3 | 7.5 |
| District of Columbia | 456 | 274 | 236 | 14 | 187 | 2.0 | 9.2 |
| Florida ................ | 644 | 313 | 388 | 261 | 179 | 2.0 | 11.3 |
| Georgia ................... | 655 | 320 | 400 | 138 | 230 | 2.5 | 7.4 |
| Guam ...................... | 576 | 224 | 453 | 106 | 571 | 3.4 | 9.0 |
| Hawaii .................... | 726 | 424 | 338 | 172 | 276 | 2.0 | 11.8 |
| Idaho ...................... | 748 | 342 | 480 | 189 | 225 | 2.5 | 7.9 |
| Illinois .................... | 560 | 242 | 391 | 96 | 223 | 2.2 | 11.5 |
| Indiana .................... | 675 | 317 | 430 | 178 | 214 | 2.3 | 8.0 |
| Iowa ........................ | 692 | 334 | 416 | 299 | 202 | 2.2 | 11.6 |
| Kansas .................... | 704 | 376 | 382 | 195 | 190 | 2.2 | 12.9 |
| Kentucky ................ | 644 | 342 | 362 | 169 | 205 | 2.3 | 11.6 |
| Louisiana ................. | 677 | 344 | 392 | 144 | 224 | 2.5 | 13.7 |
| Maine ..................... | 754 | 340 | 468 | 311 | 167 | 2.0 | 11.9 |
| Maryland ................. | 645 | 328 | 386 | 63 | 197 | 2.2 | 8.0 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 773 | 357 | 462 | 174 | 155 | 1.9 | 15.7 |
| Michigan ................ | 776 | 341 | 512 | 146 | 196 | 2.2 | 14.3 |
| Minnesota ................ | 560 | 385 | 267 | 184 | 185 | 2.1 | 11.7 |
| Mississippi .............. | 673 | 392 | 337 | 92 | 208 | 2.4 | 10.9 |
| Missouri ................. | 842 | 480 | 407 | 120 | 205 | 2.6 | 11.7 |
| Montana | 652 | 322 | 401 | 293 | 209 | 2.3 | 14.6 |
| Nebraska ................. | 676 | 374 | 380 | 151 | 199 | 2.3 | 8.7 |
| Nevada | 661 | 333 | 394 | 66 | 192 | 2.2 | 8.3 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 786 | 375 | 491 | 171 | 171 | 2.0 | 7.7 |
| New Jersey .............. | 654 | 288 | 405 | 63 | 194 | 2.1 | 8.6 |
| New Mexico ............ | 715 | 392 | 378 | 76 | 222 | 2.6 | 9.1 |
| New York ................ | 719 | 260 | 535 | 133 | 197 | 1.9 | 19.7 |
| North Carolina ......... | 656 | 342 | 382 | 117 | 203 | 2.3 | 8.9 |
| North Dakota ............ | 800 | 333 | 526 | 1 | 199 | 2.2 | 8.4 |
| Ohio ........................ | 652 | 260 | 465 | 149 | 220 | 2.2 | 7.9 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 646 | 364 | 348 | 120 | 213 | 2.4 | 13.9 |
| Oregon .................... | 677 | 330 | 419 | 5 | 171 | 1.9 | 10.9 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 705 | 327 | 446 | 232 | 197 | 2.2 | 12.1 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 675 | 310 | 408 | 88 | 196 | 2.1 | 10.1 |
| South Carolina ......... | 612 | 341 | 334 | 5 | 212 | 2.4 | 12.5 |
| South Dakota ............ | 698 | 315 | 480 | 170 | 231 | 2.5 | 14.4 |
| Tennessee ................ | 607 | 328 | 341 | 111 | 205 | 2.2 | 7.8 |
| Texas ...................... | 677 | 359 | 391 | 207 | 233 | 2.6 | 11.3 |
| Utah ........................ | 692 | 365 | 399 | 150 | 216 | 2.4 | 7.0 |
| Vermont .................. | 836 | 329 | 561 | 259 | 176 | 2.0 | 9.5 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 621 | 352 | 297 | 154 | 369 | 2.9 | 7.8 |
| Virginia .................. | 680 | 382 | 350 | 156 | 190 | 2.2 | 12.9 |
| Washington ............. | 638 | 295 | 417 | 5 | 182 | 2.0 | 13.5 |
| West Virginia .......... | 663 | 392 | 334 | 219 | 184 | 2.2 | 12.2 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 851 | 471 | 445 | 0 | 185 | 2.4 | 11.8 |
| Wyoming ................. | 709 | 351 | 443 | 244 | 214 | 2.4 | 5.9 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, 31,582 MFIP households and 236,241 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this category.
b Because deductions are not used in their benefit determination, 236,241 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this column.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-3. Distribution of Participating Households by Poverty Status and by State

| State | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Gross Countable Income as a Percentage of the Poverty Guideline |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 50\% or Less |  | 51\%-100\% |  | 101\% or More |  |
|  |  | Number <br> (000) | Row Percent | Number (000) | Row Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Row Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 11,315 | 4,452 | 39.3 | 5,429 | 48.0 | 1,434 | 12.7 |
| Alabama ................. | 214 | 87 | 40.5 | 106 | 49.5 | 21 | 10.0 |
| Alaska .................... | 21 | 9 | 41.8 | 9 | 42.8 | 3 | 15.4 |
| Arizona ................... | 211 | 100 | 47.5 | 87 | 41.4 | 24 | 11.2 |
| Arkansas ............. | 152 | 65 | 42.9 | 70 | 46.0 | 17 | 11.1 |
| California ................ | 790 | 373 | 47.1 | 372 | 47.1 | 46 | 5.8 |
| Colorado .................. | 105 | 53 | 50.2 | 43 | 40.8 | 10 | 9.1 |
| Connecticut ............. | 110 | 44 | 40.0 | 51 | 46.4 | 15 | 13.6 |
| Delaware ................. | 27 | 10 | 35.2 | 12 | 42.6 | 6 | 22.2 |
| District of Columbia | 44 | 27 | 62.9 | 13 | 30.8 | 3 | 6.4 |
| Florida ..................... | 592 | 210 | 35.5 | 313 | 52.8 | 69 | 11.7 |
| Georgia ................... | 370 | 160 | 43.3 | 163 | 44.1 | 46 | 12.5 |
| Guam ...................... | 8 | 5 | 62.6 | 2 | 31.9 | 0 | 5.5 |
| Hawaii .................... | 44 | 16 | 37.1 | 25 | 55.6 | 3 | 7.4 |
| Idaho ...................... | 36 | 12 | 34.6 | 18 | 51.2 | 5 | 14.3 |
| Illinois ..................... | 546 | 264 | 48.4 | 226 | 41.4 | 56 | 10.2 |
| Indiana .................... | 244 | 95 | 38.8 | 114 | 46.8 | 35 | 14.4 |
| Iowa ........................ | 98 | 35 | 35.6 | 50 | 50.6 | 14 | 13.9 |
| Kansas .................... | 79 | 29 | 36.4 | 38 | 48.5 | 12 | 15.1 |
| Kentucky ................. | 252 | 95 | 37.9 | 136 | 54.0 | 20 | 8.1 |
| Louisiana ................. | 253 | 104 | 41.0 | 127 | 50.2 | 22 | 8.8 |
| Maine ..................... | 79 | 22 | 27.7 | 41 | 51.7 | 16 | 20.7 |
| Maryland ................. | 138 | 61 | 44.2 | 60 | 43.3 | 17 | 12.5 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 226 | 56 | 25.0 | 136 | 60.4 | 33 | 14.6 |
| Michigan ................. | 507 | 178 | 35.2 | 215 | 42.3 | 114 | 22.5 |
| Minnesota ................ | 123 | 57 | 46.5 | 52 | 42.5 | 14 | 11.0 |
| Mississippi .............. | 165 | 59 | 35.8 | 92 | 55.8 | 14 | 8.4 |
| Missouri ................. | 298 | 90 | 30.1 | 148 | 49.8 | 60 | 20.1 |
| Montana ................. | 34 | 13 | 38.8 | 17 | 50.5 | 4 | 10.7 |
| Nebraska ................. | 51 | 20 | 39.1 | 24 | 46.9 | 7 | 14.0 |
| Nevada ................... | 54 | 21 | 38.3 | 26 | 47.5 | 8 | 14.2 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 27 | 6 | 23.3 | 15 | 56.5 | 5 | 20.3 |
| New Jersey .............. | 193 | 76 | 39.6 | 98 | 50.7 | 19 | 9.7 |
| New Mexico ............ | 93 | 40 | 42.5 | 42 | 45.1 | 12 | 12.4 |
| New York ................ | 918 | 271 | 29.5 | 541 | 59.0 | 106 | 11.5 |
| North Carolina ......... | 373 | 139 | 37.2 | 192 | 51.4 | 42 | 11.3 |
| North Dakota ........... | 19 | 6 | 32.3 | 8 | 43.5 | 5 | 24.2 |
| Ohio ........................ | 465 | 169 | 36.3 | 232 | 49.9 | 64 | 13.8 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 175 | 68 | 39.0 | 89 | 51.1 | 17 | 9.9 |
| Oregon ..................... | 219 | 88 | 40.3 | 85 | 38.7 | 46 | 21.0 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 489 | 183 | 37.5 | 237 | 48.5 | 68 | 14.0 |
| Rhode Island ........... | 34 | 14 | 41.4 | 16 | 48.1 | 4 | 10.5 |
| South Carolina ......... | 222 | 100 | 44.9 | 103 | 46.5 | 19 | 8.6 |
| South Dakota ............ | 24 | 10 | 41.1 | 10 | 43.7 | 4 | 15.1 |
| Tennessee ................ | 376 | 163 | 43.5 | 163 | 43.3 | 50 | 13.2 |
| Texas ...................... | 977 | 442 | 45.2 | 398 | 40.7 | 137 | 14.0 |
| Utah ........................ | 53 | 24 | 44.4 | 23 | 42.9 | 7 | 12.7 |
| Vermont ................. | 23 | 5 | 21.2 | 13 | 54.8 | 6 | 24.0 |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 5 | 3 | 59.6 | 1 | 30.9 | 0 | 9.5 |
| Virginia ................... | 219 | 76 | 34.8 | 115 | 52.4 | 28 | 12.7 |
| Washington ............. | 267 | 110 | 41.2 | 126 | 47.0 | 32 | 11.8 |
| West Virginia .......... | 114 | 40 | 34.8 | 63 | 55.5 | 11 | 9.7 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 152 | 47 | 30.9 | 66 | 43.5 | 39 | 25.6 |
| Wyoming ................. | 10 | 3 | 33.4 | 5 | 51.4 | 2 | 15.2 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.
Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-4. Distribution of Participating Households by Shelter-Related Characteristics and by State

| State | Households With Shelter Deduction |  | Households at the Shelter Cap |  | Average <br> Monthly Shelter Expense (Dollars) | Average <br> Monthly Shelter Expense Among Households With Expense (Dollars) | Average Shelter Deduction ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Dollars) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |  |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 7,879 | 69.6 | 1,666 | 14.7 | 458 | 547 | 284 |
| Alabama ............... | 142 | 66.6 | 12 | 5.7 | 346 | 410 | 199 |
| Alaska ..................... | 12 | 58.4 | 2 | 8.0 | 458 | 558 | 321 |
| Arizona ................... | 143 | 67.9 | 32 | 15.4 | 426 | 535 | 277 |
| Arkansas .................. | 88 | 57.6 | 9 | 6.0 | 324 | 429 | 206 |
| California ................ | 587 | 74.2 | 213 | 26.9 | 489 | 572 | 278 |
| Colorado .................. | 80 | 76.5 | 23 | 21.8 | 478 | 565 | 309 |
| Connecticut ............. | 79 | 72.4 | 20 | 18.4 | 503 | 617 | 331 |
| Delaware ................. | 21 | 76.3 | 5 | 17.8 | 530 | 610 | 306 |
| District of Columbia | 16 | 37.0 | 1 | 2.9 | 204 | 352 | 202 |
| Florida .................... | 441 | 74.4 | 56 | 9.4 | 433 | 506 | 264 |
| Georgia ................... | 242 | 65.5 | 36 | 9.8 | 411 | 540 | 274 |
| Guam ...................... | 4 | 45.7 | 0 | 1.6 | 168 | 260 | 152 |
| Hawaii .................... | 21 | 46.6 | 1 | 2.1 | 281 | 378 | 208 |
| Idaho ...................... | 29 | 81.6 | 6 | 17.5 | 519 | 577 | 292 |
| Illinois .................... | 375 | 68.7 | 61 | 11.2 | 403 | 541 | 283 |
| Indiana .................... | 176 | 72.1 | 35 | 14.6 | 466 | 565 | 298 |
| Iowa ........................ | 74 | 75.0 | 17 | 17.2 | 467 | 537 | 276 |
| Kansas .................... | 57 | 72.5 | 10 | 12.1 | 411 | 462 | 230 |
| Kentucky ................ | 188 | 74.5 | 22 | 8.7 | 403 | 463 | 232 |
| Louisiana ................. | 182 | 71.9 | 21 | 8.4 | 413 | 515 | 242 |
| Maine ..................... | 63 | 79.6 | 13 | 16.8 | 573 | 661 | 333 |
| Maryland ................. | 95 | 68.8 | 17 | 12.1 | 423 | 507 | 263 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 189 | 83.7 | 32 | 14.0 | 623 | 659 | 334 |
| Michigan ................ | 377 | 74.3 | 116 | 22.9 | 614 | 748 | 378 |
| Minnesota ................ | 60 | 49.1 | 7 | 5.6 | 290 | 458 | 229 |
| Mississippi .............. | 87 | 52.4 | 9 | 5.7 | 340 | 423 | 211 |
| Missouri .................. | 203 | 68.1 | 30 | 10.0 | 436 | 500 | 218 |
| Montana .................. | 24 | 70.4 | 4 | 11.5 | 425 | 504 | 272 |
| Nebraska ................. | 37 | 72.1 | 8 | 16.0 | 434 | 477 | 243 |
| Nevada .................... | 40 | 74.3 | 6 | 11.6 | 446 | 534 | 262 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 22 | 82.3 | 7 | 26.1 | 668 | 694 | 349 |
| New Jersey .............. | 142 | 73.8 | 28 | 14.3 | 460 | 530 | 296 |
| New Mexico ............ | 61 | 65.5 | 11 | 11.4 | 391 | 469 | 234 |
| New York ................ | 647 | 70.5 | 255 | 27.7 | 639 | 673 | 402 |
| North Carolina ......... | 270 | 72.3 | 40 | 10.7 | 414 | 495 | 243 |
| North Dakota ............ | 15 | 79.6 | 4 | 21.6 | 551 | 596 | 334 |
| Ohio ........................ | 353 | 75.9 | 97 | 20.9 | 531 | 622 | 352 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 115 | 65.6 | 16 | 9.0 | 366 | 441 | 217 |
| Oregon .................... | 153 | 70.1 | 34 | 15.3 | 464 | 594 | 284 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 390 | 79.8 | 79 | 16.1 | 541 | 590 | 316 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 23 | 67.7 | 5 | 15.2 | 522 | 601 | 356 |
| South Carolina ......... | 107 | 48.2 | 17 | 7.6 | 312 | 419 | 225 |
| South Dakota ............ | 18 | 75.5 | 6 | 26.8 | 535 | 618 | 334 |
| Tennessee ................ | 233 | 62.2 | 34 | 9.1 | 360 | 481 | 236 |
| Texas ...................... | 581 | 59.5 | 86 | 8.8 | 360 | 450 | 229 |
| Utah ........................ | 36 | 68.0 | 8 | 14.6 | 424 | 516 | 255 |
| Vermont .................. | 21 | 89.9 | 5 | 23.6 | 723 | 758 | 394 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 2 | 43.0 | 0 | 3.9 | 203 | 271 | 129 |
| Virginia .................. | 143 | 65.5 | 20 | 9.3 | 383 | 449 | 225 |
| Washington ............. | 216 | 80.9 | 54 | 20.2 | 498 | 573 | 279 |
| West Virginia .......... | 80 | 69.8 | 8 | 7.2 | 372 | 419 | 204 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 112 | 73.5 | 25 | 16.4 | 537 | 613 | 285 |
| Wyoming ................. | 8 | 77.7 | 2 | 16.6 | 492 | 563 | 283 |

[^29]Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-5. Distribution of Participating Households by Household Composition and by State

| State | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Children |  | Elderly Individuals |  | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Single Adults with Children |  | Nonelderly, Nondisabled, Childless Adults ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 5,906 | 52.2 | 2,024 | 17.9 | 2,619 | 23.1 | 3,717 | 32.9 | 899 | 7.9 |
| Alabama | 129 | 60.3 | 31 | 14.7 | 60 | 28.1 | 96 | 44.7 | 18 | 8.6 |
| Alaska .. | 11 | 54.4 | 2 | 11.2 | 4 | 18.8 | 6 | 28.6 | 2 | 11.7 |
| Arizona ................... | 129 | 61.3 | 24 | 11.3 | 33 | 15.9 | 66 | 31.1 | 18 | 8.7 |
| Arkansas ..... | 85 | 56.0 | 21 | 13.5 | 38 | 25.0 | 53 | 34.8 | 72 | 47.4 |
| California ................ | 589 | 74.6 | 36 | 4.6 | 9 | 1.1 | 281 | 35.5 | 47 | 5.9 |
| Colorado ................. | 60 | 57.3 | 15 | 14.2 | 20 | 19.5 | 41 | 39.0 | 4 | 3.4 |
| Connecticut ............. | 41 | 37.4 | 20 | 18.7 | 29 | 26.6 | 32 | 29.6 | 17 | 15.4 |
| Delaware ................. | 16 | 57.5 | 4 | 14.3 | 6 | 22.4 | 12 | 43.2 | 1 | 3.5 |
| District of Columbia | 18 | 41.2 | 6 | 14.7 | 8 | 19.2 | 15 | 33.9 | 10 | 23.3 |
| Florida .................... | 250 | 42.1 | 177 | 29.8 | 144 | 24.4 | 138 | 23.3 | 43 | 7.2 |
| Georgia ................... | 210 | 56.9 | 62 | 16.8 | 70 | 19.0 | 149 | 40.4 | 25 | 6.7 |
| Guam ...................... | 6 | 75.7 | 1 | 17.4 | 0 | 2.3 | 2 | 28.6 | 0 | 2.5 |
| Hawaii .................... | 17 | 38.1 | 13 | 28.2 | 10 | 23.5 | 10 | 23.2 | 2 | 4.0 |
| Idaho | 22 | 60.5 | 5 | 12.6 | 10 | 27.6 | 12 | 34.2 | 2 | 4.8 |
| Illinois .................... | 273 | 50.1 | 81 | 14.9 | 123 | 22.6 | 194 | 35.5 | 97 | 17.7 |
| Indiana .................... | 128 | 52.5 | 35 | 14.5 | 68 | 27.9 | 89 | 36.6 | 18 | 7.2 |
| Iowa ........................ | 52 | 52.9 | 15 | 15.0 | 24 | 24.0 | 33 | 34.1 | 2 | 1.9 |
| Kansas .................... | 38 | 48.6 | 14 | 17.1 | 20 | 25.6 | 24 | 30.2 | 4 | 4.6 |
| Kentucky ................. | 124 | 49.1 | 46 | 18.1 | 84 | 33.3 | 72 | 28.7 | 25 | 9.9 |
| Louisiana ................ | 141 | 55.7 | 43 | 17.0 | 66 | 26.2 | 102 | 40.3 | 50 | 19.6 |
| Maine ... | 32 | 40.3 | 15 | 18.8 | 26 | 32.8 | 19 | 24.1 | 10 | 13.2 |
| Maryland ................. | 67 | 48.9 | 23 | 16.6 | 34 | 24.4 | 55 | 39.7 | 4 | 3.0 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 88 | 39.1 | 53 | 23.3 | 87 | 38.7 | 68 | 30.2 | 4 | 1.9 |
| Michigan ................ | 236 | 46.6 | 70 | 13.8 | 146 | 28.9 | 157 | 31.0 | - | - |
| Minnesota ................ | 62 | 50.3 | 19 | 15.8 | 33 | 26.5 | 37 | 30.0 | 3 | 2.7 |
| Mississippi .............. | 95 | 57.6 | 31 | 18.8 | 42 | 25.4 | 66 | 39.7 | 15 | 9.1 |
| Missouri ................. | 176 | 59.1 | 44 | 14.7 | 84 | 28.2 | 97 | 32.7 | 24 | 8.0 |
| Montana ................. | 17 | 50.5 | 5 | 14.3 | 9 | 27.7 | 11 | 32.0 | 6 | 16.1 |
| Nebraska ................. | 27 | 53.0 | 9 | 17.4 | 12 | 23.5 | 19 | 36.8 | 2 | 4.5 |
| Nevada ................... | 24 | 45.5 | 12 | 21.6 | 15 | 27.2 | 15 | 27.5 | 2 | 3.3 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 12 | 44.9 | 5 | 20.0 | 9 | 34.4 | 8 | 30.3 | 2 | 6.4 |
| New Jersey .............. | 98 | 51.1 | 41 | 21.1 | 41 | 21.2 | 65 | 33.7 | 8 | 4.2 |
| New Mexico ............ | 58 | 62.7 | 12 | 13.1 | 18 | 19.5 | 34 | 36.3 | 6 | 6.6 |
| New York ................ | 357 | 38.9 | 271 | 29.5 | 238 | 26.0 | 232 | 25.3 | 45 | 4.9 |
| North Carolina ......... | 197 | 52.9 | 80 | 21.5 | 89 | 23.8 | 141 | 37.8 | 27 | 7.1 |
| North Dakota ............ | 9 | 49.8 | 4 | 18.5 | 5 | 24.5 | 7 | 34.5 | 1 | 4.9 |
| Ohio ........................ | 228 | 49.0 | 81 | 17.5 | 138 | 29.6 | 157 | 33.8 | 22 | 4.7 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 98 | 55.8 | 29 | 16.4 | 43 | 24.5 | 64 | 36.4 | 7 | 4.1 |
| Oregon .................... | 88 | 40.4 | 40 | 18.4 | 46 | 21.1 | 52 | 23.9 | 45 | 20.8 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 226 | 46.3 | 83 | 17.1 | 149 | 30.6 | 153 | 31.3 | 50 | 10.2 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 18 | 52.6 | 6 | 18.7 | 9 | 27.8 | 13 | 39.7 | 1 | 3.1 |
| South Carolina ......... | 116 | 52.5 | 35 | 16.0 | 44 | 19.8 | 83 | 37.3 | 23 | 10.5 |
| South Dakota ............ | 13 | 54.1 | 4 | 16.2 | 5 | 22.7 | 8 | 34.8 | 2 | 7.6 |
| Tennessee ................ | 189 | 50.3 | 68 | 18.2 | 87 | 23.1 | 125 | 33.2 | 31 | 8.2 |
| Texas ...................... | 619 | 63.4 | 191 | 19.5 | 155 | 15.9 | 339 | 34.7 | 35 | 3.6 |
| Utah ........................ | 30 | 55.5 | 7 | 12.6 | 10 | 19.3 | 18 | 33.6 | 2 | 4.6 |
| Vermont .................. | 10 | 41.3 | 6 | 24.1 | 8 | 33.7 | 6 | 26.1 | 0 | 2.1 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 3 | 62.3 | 1 | 21.6 | 0 | 3.8 | 2 | 42.5 | 0 | 5.1 |
| Virginia .................. | 111 | 50.9 | 47 | 21.3 | 58 | 26.3 | 82 | 37.6 | 14 | 6.6 |
| Washington ............. | 113 | 42.3 | 39 | 14.7 | 68 | 25.4 | 67 | 24.9 | 32 | 12.1 |
| West Virginia .......... | 53 | 46.7 | 19 | 16.5 | 43 | 37.3 | 28 | 24.3 | 12 | 10.3 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 89 | 58.1 | 22 | 14.4 | 37 | 24.2 | 60 | 39.7 | 6 | 4.1 |
| Wyoming ................. | 5 | 54.8 | 2 | 16.8 | 2 | 22.6 | 4 | 35.2 | 0 | 2.7 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
b These participants, age 18-49, are subject to work registration and, with some exceptions (for example, those in waiver areas or receiving
state exemptions), must meet work requirements or face time limits on benefit receipt.
${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-6. Distribution of Participating Households by Selected Countable Income Sources and by State

| State | Households With Countable: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TANFa |  | GA |  | SSI |  | Social Security |  | Earned Income |  |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1,472 | 13.0 | 656 | 5.8 | 3,029 | 26.8 | 2,733 | 24.2 | 3,364 | 29.7 |
| Alabama .................. | 16 | 7.5 | 0 | 0.2 | 64 | 29.8 | 58 | 27.2 | 61 | 28.4 |
| Alaska .................... | 4 | 19.6 | 5 | 25.6 | 4 | 17.2 | 4 | 17.1 | 7 | 33.9 |
| Arizona ................... | 36 | 17.2 | - | - | 36 | 17.1 | 32 | 15.4 | 87 | 41.4 |
| Arkansas .................. | 8 | 5.2 | 0 | 0.1 | 38 | 24.8 | 38 | 24.7 | 47 | 30.9 |
| California ................ | 403 | 51.0 | 86 | 10.8 | - | - | 39 | 4.9 | 247 | 31.3 |
| Colorado .................. | 0 | 0.2 | 25 | 24.1 | 21 | 20.1 | 20 | 19.4 | 29 | 28.1 |
| Connecticut ............. | 18 | 16.0 | 14 | 12.7 | 33 | 29.7 | 28 | 25.4 | 22 | 19.9 |
| Delaware ................. | 3 | 10.9 | 3 | 9.2 | 6 | 20.1 | 7 | 25.3 | 10 | 37.3 |
| District of Columbia | 14 | 31.7 | 2 | 5.3 | 9 | 21.6 | 7 | 15.1 | 4 | 10.1 |
| Florida .................... | 26 | 4.5 | 14 | 2.4 | 198 | 33.4 | 190 | 32.1 | 140 | 23.6 |
| Georgia .................... | 15 | 4.1 | 1 | 0.3 | 81 | 22.0 | 84 | 22.7 | 125 | 33.8 |
| Guam ...................... | 1 | 10.8 | 0 | 4.2 | - | - | 1 | 14.4 | 3 | 38.7 |
| Hawaii .................... | 8 | 17.4 | 4 | 10.1 | 15 | 32.9 | 11 | 25.7 | 12 | 26.0 |
| Idaho ...................... | 1 | 2.6 | 6 | 17.3 | 9 | 26.2 | 9 | 25.9 | 14 | 39.6 |
| Illinois .................... | 23 | 4.3 | 42 | 7.7 | 141 | 25.9 | 111 | 20.4 | 160 | 29.4 |
| Indiana .................... | 33 | 13.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 59 | 24.3 | 72 | 29.5 | 77 | 31.8 |
| Iowa ........................ | 18 | 18.4 | 0 | 0.1 | 23 | 23.8 | 25 | 25.6 | 37 | 37.1 |
| Kansas ..................... | 13 | 16.3 | 5 | 6.6 | 20 | 25.4 | 21 | 27.2 | 26 | 33.0 |
| Kentucky ................ | 25 | 10.1 | 1 | 0.5 | 94 | 37.3 | 72 | 28.4 | 68 | 27.2 |
| Louisiana ................. | 7 | 2.9 | 1 | 0.4 | 81 | 32.1 | 59 | 23.3 | 83 | 32.9 |
| Maine ..................... | 12 | 15.3 | 18 | 22.8 | 23 | 28.7 | 28 | 35.0 | 22 | 28.3 |
| Maryland ................. | 16 | 11.4 | 11 | 8.3 | 37 | 26.9 | 30 | 22.1 | 35 | 25.5 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 43 | 19.0 | 13 | 5.6 | 111 | 49.3 | 70 | 30.8 | 34 | 15.2 |
| Michigan ................. | 76 | 15.0 | 11 | 2.1 | 132 | 26.1 | 137 | 27.1 | 166 | 32.8 |
| Minnesota ................ | 0 | 0.2 | 12 | 9.7 | 38 | 30.8 | 30 | 24.0 | 28 | 22.4 |
| Mississippi .............. | 15 | 9.0 | - | - | 54 | 32.7 | 45 | 27.4 | 50 | 30.1 |
| Missouri ................. | 31 | 10.5 | 2 | 0.6 | 76 | 25.5 | 91 | 30.5 | 118 | 39.7 |
| Montana .................. | 4 | 12.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 9 | 26.0 | 9 | 26.5 | 12 | 34.7 |
| Nebraska ................. | 9 | 18.1 | 3 | 5.1 | 12 | 24.3 | 14 | 28.1 | 16 | 31.5 |
| Nevada .................... | 5 | 8.8 | 0 | 0.5 | 16 | 29.8 | 16 | 28.9 | 14 | 25.8 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 4 | 14.7 | 6 | 22.7 | 8 | 28.2 | 10 | 36.0 | 6 | 23.3 |
| New Jersey .............. | 38 | 19.5 | 29 | 15.2 | 56 | 29.3 | 42 | 21.5 | 45 | 23.6 |
| New Mexico ............. | 17 | 18.2 | 2 | 2.1 | 19 | 20.5 | 19 | 20.5 | 36 | 39.2 |
| New York ................ | 102 | 11.1 | 149 | 16.2 | 396 | 43.2 | 221 | 24.1 | 207 | 22.6 |
| North Carolina ......... | 17 | 4.5 | 4 | 1.1 | 102 | 27.4 | 117 | 31.4 | 103 | 27.7 |
| North Dakota ........... | 2 | 12.7 | 0 | 0.5 | 4 | 19.0 | 6 | 33.6 | 7 | 39.1 |
| Ohio ....................... | 57 | 12.4 | 11 | 2.4 | 147 | 31.6 | 127 | 27.2 | 137 | 29.5 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 8 | 4.5 | 49 | 27.8 | 47 | 26.7 | 45 | 25.5 | 56 | 31.8 |
| Oregon .................... | 13 | 5.9 | 27 | 12.4 | 45 | 20.7 | 56 | 25.4 | 70 | 31.8 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 84 | 17.2 | 39 | 8.1 | 157 | 32.1 | 114 | 23.4 | 121 | 24.8 |
| Rhode Island ........... | 12 | 36.8 | 0 | 1.4 | 12 | 34.6 | 9 | 25.7 | 5 | 14.7 |
| South Carolina ......... | 16 | 7.1 | 0 | 0.1 | 51 | 22.8 | 54 | 24.3 | 68 | 30.6 |
| South Dakota ........... | 1 | 6.2 | 0 | 0.6 | 5 | 20.0 | 7 | 28.0 | 9 | 38.9 |
| Tennessee ................ | 56 | 14.8 | - | - | 82 | 21.7 | 110 | 29.4 | 99 | 26.3 |
| Texas ...................... | 67 | 6.9 | - | - | 226 | 23.1 | 221 | 22.7 | 389 | 39.8 |
| Utah ........................ | 7 | 12.5 | 1 | 2.2 | 11 | 20.5 | 10 | 19.5 | 19 | 35.1 |
| Vermont .................. | 4 | 19.0 | 3 | 11.7 | 8 | 34.2 | 9 | 40.4 | 6 | 27.7 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 0 | 7.2 | 1 | 19.6 | - | - | 1 | 18.6 | 2 | 39.0 |
| Virginia .................. | 25 | 11.6 | 3 | 1.2 | 65 | 29.5 | 69 | 31.4 | 61 | 27.8 |
| Washington ............. | 33 | 12.3 | 47 | 17.5 | 70 | 26.3 | 56 | 20.8 | 68 | 25.4 |
| West Virginia .......... | 10 | 8.6 | - | - | 44 | 38.6 | 32 | 27.6 | 29 | 25.3 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 13 | 8.5 | 2 | 1.6 | 33 | 21.6 | 39 | 25.3 | 59 | 38.9 |
| Wyoming ................ | 0 | 4.1 | 0 | 0.5 | 3 | 25.4 | 3 | 28.9 | 4 | 37.5 |

[^30]${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-7. Average Values of Selected Countable Income Sources by State

| State | Average Countable Values ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TANFb | GA | SSI | Social Security | Earned Income |
| Total ...................... | 391 | 224 | 470 | 627 | 894 |
| Alabama ................. | 198 | 176 | 473 | 593 | 941 |
| Alaska .................... | 625 | 308 | 437 | 732 | 1,135 |
| Arizona ................... | 282 | - | 477 | 633 | 890 |
| Arkansas .................. | 158 | 162 | 448 | 630 | 981 |
| California ................ | 553 | 246 | - | 595 | 786 |
| Colorado .................. | 272 | 240 | 430 | 609 | 815 |
| Connecticut ............. | 445 | 206 | 474 | 592 | 819 |
| Delaware ................. | 267 | 125 | 442 | 678 | 1,090 |
| District of Columbia | 358 | 260 | 528 | 571 | 969 |
| Florida .................... | 227 | 245 | 438 | 601 | 952 |
| Georgia ................... | 230 | 168 | 447 | 628 | 922 |
| Guam ...................... | 267 | 199 | - | 519 | 1,018 |
| Hawaii .................... | 501 | 389 | 483 | 610 | 929 |
| Idaho ...................... | 288 | 54 | 400 | 624 | 945 |
| Illinois .................... | 262 | 139 | 480 | 614 | 782 |
| Indiana .................... | 214 | 198 | 454 | 648 | 872 |
| Iowa ........................ | 335 | 247 | 423 | 650 | 789 |
| Kansas .................... | 319 | 157 | 430 | 619 | 940 |
| Kentucky ................. | 259 | 225 | 481 | 595 | 761 |
| Louisiana ............... | 290 | 396 | 468 | 593 | 855 |
| Maine ..................... | 378 | 14 | 430 | 669 | 931 |
| Maryland ................ | 378 | 180 | 492 | 597 | 960 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 474 | 289 | 508 | 603 | 1,114 |
| Michigan ................. | 430 | 254 | 473 | 713 | 954 |
| Minnesota ................ | 306 | 224 | 466 | 617 | 792 |
| Mississippi .............. | 132 | - | 458 | 584 | 934 |
| Missouri ................. | 256 | 234 | 434 | 640 | 1,085 |
| Montana .................. | 402 | 353 | 432 | 618 | 774 |
| Nebraska ................. | 315 | 213 | 402 | 621 | 857 |
| Nevada ................... | 316 | 315 | 433 | 636 | 991 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 523 | 156 | 484 | 657 | 972 |
| New Jersey .............. | 340 | 181 | 509 | 599 | 903 |
| New Mexico ............ | 337 | 211 | 426 | 628 | 947 |
| New York ................ | 461 | 365 | 552 | 601 | 810 |
| North Carolina ......... | 238 | 247 | 402 | 634 | 893 |
| North Dakota ............ | 353 | 365 | 405 | 651 | 942 |
| Ohio ....................... | 382 | 115 | 453 | 608 | 801 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 198 | 45 | 434 | 601 | 911 |
| Oregon .................... | 374 | 69 | 470 | 707 | 966 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 362 | 204 | 544 | 640 | 913 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 450 | 129 | 488 | 627 | 923 |
| South Carolina ......... | 185 | 163 | 428 | 594 | 911 |
| South Dakota ............ | 359 | 386 | 360 | 654 | 884 |
| Tennessee ................ | 172 | - | 415 | 674 | 884 |
| Texas ...................... | 161 | - | 386 | 617 | 920 |
| Utah ........................ | 400 | 258 | 429 | 593 | 1,003 |
| Vermont .................. | 514 | 101 | 416 | 676 | 931 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 258 | 169 | - | 582 | 988 |
| Virginia .................. | 292 | 288 | 463 | 636 | 897 |
| Washington ............. | 419 | 225 | 465 | 629 | 899 |
| West Virginia .......... | 289 | - | 511 | 635 | 856 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 475 | 468 | 570 | 714 | 1,011 |
| Wyoming ................. | 287 | 340 | 368 | 672 | 938 |

[^31]- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-8. Distribution of Participating Households by Earnings-Related Characteristics and by State

| State | Households with Earnings |  |  | Average Earned Income Deduction (Dollars) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Average Earnings (Dollars) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | All Households ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Households with Deduction |
| Total ..................... | 3,364 | 29.7 | 894 | 55 | 179 |
| Alabama ................. | 61 | 28.4 | 941 | 53 | 188 |
| Alaska ................... | 7 | 33.9 | 1,135 | 77 | 227 |
| Arizona ................... | 87 | 41.4 | 890 | 73 | 178 |
| Arkansas .................. | 47 | 30.9 | 981 | 60 | 196 |
| California ............... | 247 | 31.3 | 786 | 49 | 157 |
| Colorado ................ | 29 | 28.1 | 815 | 46 | 163 |
| Connecticut ............. | 22 | 19.9 | 819 | 33 | 164 |
| Delaware ................. | 10 | 37.3 | 1,090 | 81 | 218 |
| District of Columbia | 4 | 10.1 | 969 | 20 | 193 |
| Florida .................... | 140 | 23.6 | 952 | 51 | 190 |
| Georgia ................... | 125 | 33.8 | 922 | 62 | 185 |
| Guam ...................... | 3 | 38.7 | 1,018 | 79 | 203 |
| Hawaii .................... | 12 | 26.0 | 929 | 48 | 185 |
| Idaho ...................... | 14 | 39.6 | 945 | 75 | 189 |
| Illinois .................... | 160 | 29.4 | 782 | 46 | 156 |
| Indiana .................... | 77 | 31.8 | 872 | 55 | 174 |
| Iowa ........................ | 37 | 37.1 | 789 | 58 | 159 |
| Kansas .................... | 26 | 33.0 | 940 | 62 | 188 |
| Kentucky ................ | 68 | 27.2 | 761 | 41 | 152 |
| Louisiana ................. | 83 | 32.9 | 855 | 56 | 171 |
| Maine ..................... | 22 | 28.3 | 931 | 53 | 186 |
| Maryland ................. | 35 | 25.5 | 960 | 49 | 192 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 34 | 15.2 | 1,114 | 34 | 222 |
| Michigan ................ | 166 | 32.8 | 954 | 63 | 193 |
| Minnesota ................ | 28 | 22.4 | 792 | 43 | 190 |
| Mississippi .............. | 50 | 30.1 | 934 | 63 | 186 |
| Missouri .................. | 118 | 39.7 | 1,085 | 86 | 217 |
| Montana .................. | 12 | 34.7 | 774 | 54 | 156 |
| Nebraska ................. | 16 | 31.5 | 857 | 54 | 171 |
| Nevada ................... | 14 | 25.8 | 991 | 51 | 198 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 6 | 23.3 | 972 | 45 | 194 |
| New Jersey .............. | 45 | 23.6 | 903 | 42 | 180 |
| New Mexico ............ | 36 | 39.2 | 947 | 74 | 189 |
| New York ................ | 207 | 22.6 | 810 | 44 | 163 |
| North Carolina ......... | 103 | 27.7 | 893 | 50 | 178 |
| North Dakota ........... | 7 | 39.1 | 942 | 74 | 189 |
| Ohio ........................ | 137 | 29.5 | 801 | 47 | 161 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 56 | 31.8 | 911 | 58 | 182 |
| Oregon .................... | 70 | 31.8 | 966 | 61 | 194 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 121 | 24.8 | 913 | 45 | 182 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 5 | 14.7 | 923 | 27 | 184 |
| South Carolina ......... | 68 | 30.6 | 911 | 61 | 182 |
| South Dakota ............ | 9 | 38.9 | 884 | 69 | 176 |
| Tennessee ................ | 99 | 26.3 | 884 | 46 | 176 |
| Texas ...................... | 389 | 39.8 | 920 | 77 | 184 |
| Utah ........................ | 19 | 35.1 | 1,003 | 70 | 201 |
| Vermont .................. | 6 | 27.7 | 931 | 52 | 186 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 2 | 39.0 | 988 | 77 | 197 |
| Virginia .................. | 61 | 27.8 | 897 | 50 | 179 |
| Washington ............. | 68 | 25.4 | 899 | 54 | 180 |
| West Virginia ........... | 29 | 25.3 | 856 | 43 | 171 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 59 | 38.9 | 1,011 | 79 | 202 |
| Wyoming ................ | 4 | 37.5 | 938 | 70 | 187 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because the earnings deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 350,591 SSI-CAP households in Florida, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, Texas, and Washington are excluded from this column.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-9. Distribution of Entrant Households With and Without Expedited Service by State

| State | Total Entrant Households (000) | Entrant Households Eligible For and Receiving Expedited Service |  | Entrant Households Eligible For But Not Receiving Expedited Service |  | Entrant Households Not Eligible For Expedited Service |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$...... | 550 | 228 | 41.5 | 38 | 7.0 | 284 | 51.5 |
| Alabama ................ | 9 | 3 | 39.1 | 1 | 10.5 | 4 | 50.4 |
| Alaska .................... | 1 | 0 | 31.3 | 0 | 16.3 | 1 | 52.5 |
| Arizona ................... | 8 | 3 | 37.0 | 1 | 13.9 | 4 | 49.1 |
| Arkansas .................. | 9 | 3 | 32.5 | 1 | 11.7 | 5 | 55.8 |
| California ............ | 38 | 20 | 52.5 | - | - | 18 | 47.5 |
| Colorado .................. | 5 | 2 | 48.3 | 1 | 21.5 | 2 | 30.2 |
| Connecticut ............. | 5 | 2 | 41.9 | 1 | 16.0 | 2 | 42.0 |
| Delaware ................. | 1 | 0 | 39.9 | - | - | 1 | 60.1 |
| District of Columbia | 3 | 1 | 57.0 | 0 | 2.3 | 1 | 40.7 |
| Florida .................... | 35 | 9 | 26.5 | 3 | 8.0 | 23 | 65.6 |
| Georgia .................... | 24 | 7 | 28.6 | 3 | 13.1 | 14 | 58.3 |
| Guam ...................... | 0 | 0 | 72.6 | - | - | 0 | 27.4 |
| Hawaii .................... | 2 | 1 | 39.2 | 0 | 12.2 | 1 | 48.6 |
| Idaho ...................... | 2 | 1 | 40.6 | 0 | 13.3 | 1 | 46.1 |
| Illinois .................... | 24 | 14 | 56.8 | 2 | 7.8 | 9 | 35.4 |
| Indiana .................... | 12 | 4 | 30.3 | 2 | 13.3 | 7 | 56.4 |
| Iowa ........................ | 8 | 5 | 66.6 | 0 | 3.7 | 2 | 29.7 |
| Kansas .................... | 3 | 1 | 30.8 | 0 | 8.8 | 2 | 60.4 |
| Kentucky ................ | 12 | 5 | 39.9 | 0 | 3.9 | 7 | 56.2 |
| Louisiana ................. | 16 | 4 | 28.0 | 0 | 2.5 | 11 | 69.5 |
| Maine ..................... | 3 | 2 | 51.0 | 0 | 4.5 | 1 | 44.5 |
| Maryland ................. | 8 | 4 | 50.6 | 1 | 9.8 | 3 | 39.6 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 9 | 3 | 38.1 | - | - | 6 | 61.9 |
| Michigan ................. | 21 | 9 | 40.3 | 2 | 7.2 | 11 | 52.5 |
| Minnesota ................ | 5 | 3 | 59.0 | 0 | 3.0 | 2 | 38.0 |
| Mississippi .............. | 3 | 1 | 36.9 | 0 | 11.8 | 2 | 51.3 |
| Missouri ................. | 22 | 8 | 37.9 | 1 | 4.9 | 13 | 57.2 |
| Montana ................. | 2 | 1 | 40.8 | 0 | 7.0 | 1 | 52.2 |
| Nebraska ................. | 3 | 2 | 47.1 | 0 | 3.4 | 2 | 49.5 |
| Nevada ................... | 3 | 2 | 50.4 | 0 | 7.3 | 1 | 42.3 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 2 | 1 | 55.5 | - | - | 1 | 44.5 |
| New Jersey .............. | 6 | 2 | 35.9 | 0 | 5.3 | 4 | 58.8 |
| New Mexico ............ | 8 | 4 | 53.7 | 0 | 1.9 | 3 | 44.4 |
| New York ................ | 30 | 12 | 40.4 | 3 | 10.9 | 15 | 48.7 |
| North Carolina ......... | 20 | 10 | 52.3 | - | 7 | 9 | 47.7 |
| North Dakota ........... | 1 | 0 | 33.8 | 0 | 17.2 | 0 | 49.0 |
| Ohio ....................... | 18 | 7 | 42.5 | 2 | 9.6 | 8 | 48.0 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 9 | 4 | 42.8 | 0 | 4.9 | 5 | 52.4 |
| Oregon .................... | 11 | 6 | 52.2 | 1 | 5.9 | 5 | 41.8 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 23 | 7 | 28.9 | 2 | 7.5 | 14 | 63.6 |
| Rhode Island ........... | 2 | 1 | 37.7 | 0 | 11.0 | 1 | 51.2 |
| South Carolina ......... | 9 | 2 | 26.8 | 0 | 2.3 | 6 | 70.8 |
| South Dakota ........... | 1 | 1 | 61.3 | - | - | 0 | 38.7 |
| Tennessee ................ | 20 | 10 | 51.5 | 1 | 7.4 | 8 | 41.1 |
| Texas ...................... | 58 | 22 | 38.3 | 6 | 9.8 | 30 | 51.9 |
| Utah ........................ | 4 | 2 | 66.5 | 0 | 3.5 | 1 | 29.9 |
| Vermont .................. | 1 | 0 | 13.3 | 0 | 14.6 | 1 | 72.1 |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 0 | 0 | 48.0 | - | - | 0 | 52.0 |
| Virginia .................. | 7 | 3 | 49.3 | 0 | 3.0 | 3 | 47.7 |
| Washington ............. | 11 | 7 | 59.0 | 0 | 2.3 | 4 | 38.8 |
| West Virginia .......... | 4 | 2 | 44.7 | - | - | 2 | 55.3 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 8 | 2 | 30.0 | 0 | 3.3 | 5 | 66.7 |
| Wyoming ................ | 1 | 0 | 42.4 | 0 | 14.4 | 0 | 43.2 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-10. Distribution of Participating Households by Race/Ethnic Origin of Household Head and by State

| State | Race/Ethnic Origin of Household Head |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Nonparticipating Household Head ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | White |  | African-American |  | Hispanic |  | Other ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 5,178 | 45.8 | 3,496 | 30.9 | 1,502 | 13.3 | 473 | 4.2 | 665 | 5.9 |
| Alabama ................. | 83 | 39.0 | 127 | 59.5 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 2 | 1.1 |
| Alaska .................... | 9 | 45.6 | 1 | 6.0 | 0 | 2.1 | 9 | 45.6 | 0 | 0.8 |
| Arizona ................... | 81 | 38.2 | 13 | 6.0 | 55 | 25.9 | 30 | 14.4 | 33 | 15.5 |
| Arkansas .................. | 82 | 53.6 | 65 | 42.5 | 2 | 1.0 | 2 | 1.6 | 2 | 1.3 |
| California ................ | 145 | 18.3 | 149 | 18.9 | 219 | 27.7 | 57 | 7.2 | 221 | 27.9 |
| Colorado .................. | 51 | 48.9 | 13 | 12.7 | 31 | 29.4 | 3 | 2.9 | 6 | 6.0 |
| Connecticut ............. | 40 | 36.6 | 30 | 27.5 | 37 | 33.7 | 1 | 1.1 | 1 | 1.0 |
| Delaware ................. | 10 | 36.7 | 14 | 51.5 | 2 | 5.7 | 1 | 2.4 | 1 | 3.7 |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 2.9 | 41 | 94.0 | 1 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 1.0 |
| Florida .................... | 199 | 33.5 | 162 | 27.4 | 176 | 29.6 | 7 | 1.2 | 49 | 8.2 |
| Georgia ................... | 117 | 31.6 | 235 | 63.6 | 4 | 1.2 | 2 | 0.4 | 12 | 3.2 |
| Guam ...................... | 0 | 1.6 | - | - | - | - | 6 | 78.4 | 2 | 20.0 |
| Hawaii .................... | 11 | 25.0 | 1 | 1.3 | 1 | 1.2 | 31 | 69.0 | 2 | 3.5 |
| Idaho ...................... | 30 | 81.7 | 0 | 0.4 | 3 | 8.7 | 1 | 1.4 | 3 | 7.8 |
| Illinois .................... | 193 | 35.3 | 270 | 49.5 | 45 | 8.3 | 13 | 2.3 | 25 | 4.5 |
| Indiana .................... | 166 | 68.0 | 66 | 27.2 | 6 | 2.5 | 2 | 0.9 | 4 | 1.5 |
| Iowa ........................ | 74 | 75.5 | 8 | 8.6 | 2 | 2.5 | 11 | 11.2 | 2 | 2.2 |
| Kansas .................... | 56 | 70.7 | 15 | 18.6 | 4 | 4.7 | 1 | 1.9 | 3 | 4.1 |
| Kentucky ................. | 206 | 81.9 | 38 | 15.0 | 2 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.9 | 4 | 1.4 |
| Louisiana ................. | 92 | 36.3 | 159 | 62.8 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.4 | - | - |
| Maine ..................... | 75 | 95.2 | 1 | 1.0 | - | - | 2 | 2.4 | 1 | 1.4 |
| Maryland ................. | 44 | 31.8 | 83 | 60.6 | 3 | 2.4 | 6 | 4.4 | 1 | 0.8 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 121 | 53.6 | 31 | 13.6 | 57 | 25.1 | 13 | 6.0 | 4 | 1.8 |
| Michigan ................ | 282 | 55.5 | 196 | 38.7 | 12 | 2.4 | 9 | 1.9 | 8 | 1.5 |
| Minnesota ................ | 70 | 56.7 | 31 | 25.5 | 2 | 2.0 | 18 | 15.0 | 1 | 0.8 |
| Mississippi .............. | 42 | 25.4 | 115 | 69.9 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.2 | 7 | 4.3 |
| Missouri ................. | 200 | 67.0 | 85 | 28.5 | 5 | 1.6 | 2 | 0.8 | 6 | 2.1 |
| Montana .................. | 25 | 72.4 | 1 | 1.5 | 1 | 1.8 | 8 | 24.1 | 0 | 0.2 |
| Nebraska ................. | 33 | 65.1 | 11 | 21.0 | 3 | 6.2 | 3 | 5.1 | 1 | 2.7 |
| Nevada ................... | 26 | 48.0 | 15 | 27.3 | 8 | 14.0 | 3 | 5.6 | 3 | 5.0 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 24 | 90.6 | 1 | 2.5 | 1 | 4.6 | 0 | 1.0 | 0 | 1.3 |
| New Jersey .............. | 49 | 25.4 | 66 | 34.3 | 52 | 27.0 | 6 | 3.1 | 20 | 10.2 |
| New Mexico ............ | 21 | 22.5 | 3 | 3.2 | 48 | 51.2 | 14 | 15.3 | 7 | 7.9 |
| New York ................ | 338 | 36.9 | 261 | 28.4 | 213 | 23.2 | 58 | 6.4 | 47 | 5.2 |
| North Carolina ......... | 152 | 40.9 | 189 | 50.6 | 4 | 1.1 | 11 | 3.0 | 16 | 4.4 |
| North Dakota ........... | 14 | 72.2 | 0 | 2.1 | 0 | 1.2 | 4 | 23.1 | 0 | 1.4 |
| Ohio ....................... | 302 | 65.0 | 142 | 30.6 | 9 | 2.0 | 3 | 0.7 | 8 | 1.7 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 116 | 66.4 | 34 | 19.4 | 7 | 4.0 | 16 | 8.9 | 2 | 1.2 |
| Oregon .................... | 179 | 81.9 | 8 | 3.5 | 9 | 4.3 | 11 | 5.0 | 12 | 5.3 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 262 | 53.5 | 153 | 31.3 | 50 | 10.1 | 21 | 4.3 | 3 | 0.6 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 19 | 57.3 | 4 | 12.4 | 6 | 18.9 | 2 | 7.2 | 1 | 4.1 |
| South Carolina ......... | 81 | 36.5 | 135 | 60.7 | 2 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 3 | 1.4 |
| South Dakota ............ | 14 | 60.3 | 1 | 2.2 | 0 | 1.3 | 8 | 35.3 | 0 | 0.9 |
| Tennessee ................ | 250 | 66.7 | 109 | 29.1 | 3 | 0.9 | 4 | 1.2 | 8 | 2.2 |
| Texas ...................... | 249 | 25.5 | 226 | 23.1 | 379 | 38.8 | 20 | 2.0 | 103 | 10.5 |
| Utah ........................ | 39 | 73.5 | 2 | 4.6 | 5 | 8.5 | 4 | 7.5 | 3 | 5.9 |
| Vermont .................. | 21 | 88.8 | 0 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.5 | 2 | 8.9 | - | - |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 0 | 0.4 | 3 | 74.2 | 1 | 22.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 2.7 |
| Virginia .................. | 100 | 45.8 | 105 | 47.9 | 4 | 1.9 | 6 | 2.9 | 3 | 1.5 |
| Washington ............. | 183 | 68.3 | 26 | 9.8 | 18 | 6.9 | 26 | 9.7 | 14 | 5.3 |
| West Virginia ........... | 107 | 93.2 | 6 | 5.4 | - | - | 0 | 0.2 | 1 | 1.2 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 87 | 57.4 | 44 | 29.1 | 7 | 4.4 | 6 | 3.9 | 8 | 5.1 |
| Wyoming ................. | 7 | 75.1 | 0 | 1.4 | 1 | 11.6 | 1 | 10.0 | 0 | 1.8 |

[^32]- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-11. Distribution of Participants by Age and by State

| State | Preschool <br> Age Child |  | School Age Child |  | Total Children |  | Nonelderly Adult |  | Elderly <br> Adult |  | People in Nonelderly, Nondisabled, Childless Households |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 4,243 | 16.6 | 8,361 | 32.7 | 12,603 | 49.2 | 10,763 | 42.1 | 2,229 | 8.7 | 2,009 | 7.9 |
| Alabama ... | 83 | 15.5 | 189 | 35.5 | 271 | 51.0 | 226 | 42.5 | 35 | 6.5 | 30 | 5.7 |
| Alaska .................... | 8 | 14.6 | 18 | 33.3 | 26 | 47.9 | 26 | 47.6 | 3 | 4.6 | 6 | 10.3 |
| Arizona ................... | 109 | 21.0 | 182 | 35.0 | 291 | 56.1 | 201 | 38.7 | 27 | 5.3 | 44 | 8.5 |
| Arkansas .................. | 60 | 16.1 | 115 | 31.2 | 175 | 47.3 | 172 | 46.4 | 23 | 6.3 | 32 | 8.6 |
| California | 386 | 19.5 | 891 | 45.1 | 1,277 | 64.6 | 660 | 33.4 | 40 | 2.0 | 172 | 8.7 |
| Colorado .................. | 45 | 18.4 | 83 | 34.0 | 129 | 52.4 | 101 | 41.2 | 16 | 6.4 | 18 | 7.2 |
| Connecticut ............. | 28 | 13.6 | 54 | 26.6 | 82 | 40.2 | 100 | 48.8 | 22 | 11.0 | 28 | 13.8 |
| Delaware ................. | 12 | 19.3 | 21 | 32.7 | 33 | 51.9 | 27 | 41.6 | 4 | 6.5 | 4 | 6.9 |
| District of Columbia | 11 | 13.0 | 26 | 31.0 | 38 | 44.0 | 41 | 48.2 | 7 | 7.9 | 16 | 18.7 |
| Florida .................... | 184 | 15.5 | 344 | 29.0 | 528 | 44.6 | 455 | 38.4 | 201 | 17.0 | 83 | 7.0 |
| Georgia .................... | 151 | 16.6 | 323 | 35.5 | 474 | 52.1 | 372 | 40.8 | 64 | 7.1 | 65 | 7.2 |
| Guam ...................... | 6 | 23.0 | 11 | 42.8 | 17 | 65.8 | 7 | 28.2 | 2 | 6.0 | 1 | 2.5 |
| Hawaii .................... | 13 | 14.8 | 23 | 26.1 | 36 | 40.9 | 37 | 42.6 | 14 | 16.5 | 8 | 9.7 |
| Idaho ...................... | 19 | 20.9 | 29 | 32.3 | 47 | 53.2 | 37 | 41.3 | 5 | 5.5 | 4 | 4.3 |
| Illinois ..................... | 223 | 18.6 | 366 | 30.6 | 589 | 49.2 | 517 | 43.1 | 93 | 7.7 | 129 | 10.8 |
| Indiana .................... | 97 | 17.3 | 173 | 30.8 | 270 | 48.1 | 254 | 45.2 | 38 | 6.7 | 39 | 6.9 |
| Iowa ........................ | 39 | 17.8 | 63 | 28.9 | 103 | 46.7 | 101 | 46.0 | 16 | 7.3 | 18 | 8.2 |
| Kansas .................... | 33 | 18.5 | 53 | 30.0 | 86 | 48.5 | 77 | 43.3 | 15 | 8.2 | 15 | 8.3 |
| Kentucky ................. | 82 | 14.2 | 158 | 27.4 | 240 | 41.6 | 285 | 49.6 | 51 | 8.8 | 42 | 7.3 |
| Louisiana ................. | 86 | 13.8 | 219 | 35.0 | 305 | 48.8 | 272 | 43.5 | 48 | 7.7 | 52 | 8.3 |
| Maine ..................... | 18 | 11.8 | 41 | 26.5 | 59 | 38.3 | 80 | 51.3 | 16 | 10.4 | 16 | 10.0 |
| Maryland ................. | 43 | 14.3 | 100 | 33.4 | 143 | 47.7 | 131 | 43.8 | 25 | 8.5 | 29 | 9.8 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 57 | 13.3 | 122 | 28.5 | 179 | 41.8 | 194 | 45.3 | 55 | 12.9 | 28 | 6.5 |
| Michigan ................. | 161 | 14.5 | 341 | 30.6 | 502 | 45.0 | 534 | 47.9 | 79 | 7.1 | 117 | 10.5 |
| Minnesota ................ | 41 | 16.1 | 90 | 34.9 | 131 | 51.0 | 104 | 40.6 | 21 | 8.4 | 17 | 6.6 |
| Mississippi .............. | 65 | 16.1 | 138 | 34.2 | 204 | 50.3 | 168 | 41.5 | 33 | 8.1 | 25 | 6.1 |
| Missouri ................. | 144 | 18.3 | 254 | 32.3 | 398 | 50.5 | 341 | 43.3 | 49 | 6.2 | 38 | 4.8 |
| Montana .................. | 12 | 15.5 | 23 | 29.8 | 35 | 45.3 | 38 | 48.1 | 5 | 6.6 | 6 | 7.8 |
| Nebraska ................. | 23 | 19.2 | 36 | 30.9 | 59 | 50.1 | 49 | 41.8 | 10 | 8.1 | 7 | 6.0 |
| Nevada ................... | 19 | 16.6 | 37 | 31.5 | 56 | 48.2 | 48 | 41.2 | 12 | 10.7 | 8 | 6.9 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 8 | 15.2 | 15 | 27.8 | 24 | 43.0 | 26 | 46.7 | 6 | 10.3 | 3 | 5.7 |
| New Jersey .............. | 69 | 17.3 | 134 | 33.7 | 203 | 51.1 | 150 | 37.8 | 44 | 11.2 | 31 | 7.8 |
| New Mexico ............ | 44 | 18.6 | 83 | 34.9 | 128 | 53.5 | 97 | 40.9 | 13 | 5.6 | 14 | 5.9 |
| New York ................ | 206 | 11.8 | 518 | 29.5 | 724 | 41.3 | 730 | 41.7 | 299 | 17.0 | 150 | 8.6 |
| North Carolina ......... | 149 | 17.7 | 269 | 31.9 | 419 | 49.6 | 341 | 40.4 | 85 | 10.0 | 56 | 6.7 |
| North Dakota ............ | 7 | 16.4 | 12 | 29.1 | 19 | 45.5 | 19 | 45.4 | 4 | 9.1 | 3 | 7.0 |
| Ohio ............... | 170 | 16.6 | 317 | 30.9 | 487 | 47.4 | 455 | 44.3 | 86 | 8.3 | 66 | 6.4 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 73 | 17.3 | 132 | 31.3 | 205 | 48.7 | 185 | 44.0 | 31 | 7.4 | 26 | 6.2 |
| Oregon .................... | 58 | 13.7 | 122 | 28.7 | 180 | 42.4 | 200 | 47.1 | 44 | 10.4 | 64 | 15.1 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 161 | 15.1 | 308 | 28.8 | 469 | 43.9 | 508 | 47.5 | 92 | 8.6 | 96 | 9.0 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 11 | 15.4 | 24 | 32.8 | 35 | 48.1 | 30 | 42.4 | 7 | 9.4 | 4 | 6.0 |
| South Carolina ......... | 69 | 13.3 | 174 | 33.4 | 244 | 46.7 | 241 | 46.1 | 37 | 7.1 | 58 | 11.2 |
| South Dakota ............ | 11 | 19.0 | 18 | 30.5 | 29 | 49.5 | 25 | 43.0 | 4 | 7.5 | 4 | 7.1 |
| Tennessee ................ | 126 | 15.0 | 257 | 30.6 | 384 | 45.6 | 384 | 45.6 | 73 | 8.7 | 72 | 8.5 |
| Texas ...................... | 526 | 20.9 | 892 | 35.5 | 1,418 | 56.5 | 876 | 34.9 | 218 | 8.7 | 104 | 4.1 |
| Utah ........................ | 25 | 19.4 | 44 | 33.5 | 69 | 52.9 | 54 | 41.5 | 7 | 5.7 | 11 | 8.4 |
| Vermont .................. | 8 | 17.2 | 10 | 22.1 | 18 | 39.3 | 22 | 47.4 | 6 | 13.3 | 3 | 6.2 |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 3 | 20.2 | 5 | 36.5 | 7 | 56.7 | 5 | 34.9 | 1 | 8.4 | 1 | 6.0 |
| Virginia .................. | 75 | 15.2 | 158 | 32.1 | 233 | 47.3 | 208 | 42.3 | 52 | 10.5 | 30 | 6.2 |
| Washington ............. | 77 | 14.7 | 147 | 27.8 | 224 | 42.5 | 260 | 49.2 | 44 | 8.3 | 70 | 13.3 |
| West Virginia .......... | 35 | 13.6 | 70 | 27.1 | 105 | 40.7 | 132 | 51.3 | 20 | 7.9 | 21 | 8.1 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 66 | 18.2 | 120 | 33.0 | 186 | 51.3 | 152 | 42.0 | 25 | 6.8 | 23 | 6.3 |
| Wyoming ................ | 4 | 17.9 | 7 | 30.3 | 11 | 48.2 | 10 | 43.9 | 2 | 7.8 | 2 | 6.9 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.
Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-12. Distribution of Participants by Citizenship Status and by State

| State | All Participants |  | U. S. Born Citizen |  | Naturalized Citizen |  | Refugee |  | Other Noncitizen |  | Citizen Children Living with a Noncitizen ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{array}$ | Percent | $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ (000) \end{gathered}$ | Percent |
| Total ...................... | 25,595 | 100.0 | 23,886 | 100.0 | 718 | 100.0 | 195 | 100.0 | 795 | 100.0 | 1,815 | 100.0 |
| Alabama ................. | 532 | 2.1 | 531 | 2.2 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Alaska .................... | 55 | 0.2 | 52 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Arizona ................... | 520 | 2.0 | 488 | 2.0 | 8 | 1.1 | 3 | 1.4 | 21 | 2.7 | 102 | 5.6 |
| Arkansas .................. | 370 | 1.4 | 368 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - | 1 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.3 |
| California ................ | 1,977 | 7.7 | 1,743 | 7.3 | 70 | 9.7 | 35 | 18.1 | 129 | 16.3 | 566 | 31.2 |
| Colorado .................. | 245 | 1.0 | 232 | 1.0 | 5 | 0.7 | 2 | 1.2 | 6 | 0.8 | 18 | 1.0 |
| Connecticut ............. | 204 | 0.8 | 191 | 0.8 | 7 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.4 | 6 | 0.8 | 5 | 0.3 |
| Delaware ................. | 64 | 0.3 | 63 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - | 1 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 |
| District of Columbia | 85 | 0.3 | 83 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.2 | - | - | 1 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Florida .................... | 1,184 | 4.6 | 958 | 4.0 | 106 | 14.8 | 21 | 10.5 | 99 | 12.5 | 92 | 5.1 |
| Georgia ................... | 910 | 3.6 | 890 | 3.7 | 5 | 0.7 | 5 | 2.5 | 10 | 1.3 | 26 | 1.4 |
| Guam ...................... | 27 | 0.1 | 24 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.2 | - | - | 1 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.3 |
| Hawaii .................... | 87 | 0.3 | 74 | 0.3 | 9 | 1.2 | - | - | 4 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.2 |
| Idaho ...................... | 89 | 0.3 | 86 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.2 | 6 | 0.3 |
| Illinois ..................... | 1,198 | 4.7 | 1,145 | 4.8 | 32 | 4.4 | 2 | 0.8 | 20 | 2.5 | 67 | 3.7 |
| Indiana .................... | 561 | 2.2 | 554 | 2.3 | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.8 | 3 | 0.4 | 7 | 0.4 |
| Iowa ........................ | 220 | 0.9 | 213 | 0.9 | 2 | 0.3 | 2 | 1.1 | 2 | 0.3 | 6 | 0.3 |
| Kansas .................... | 178 | 0.7 | 173 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.2 | 8 | 0.4 |
| Kentucky ................. | 576 | 2.2 | 567 | 2.4 | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 1.5 | 5 | 0.6 | 4 | 0.2 |
| Louisiana ................. | 625 | 2.4 | 623 | 2.6 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - | 1 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Maine ..................... | 155 | 0.6 | 150 | 0.6 | 2 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Maryland ................. | 299 | 1.2 | 279 | 1.2 | 12 | 1.7 | 2 | 1.1 | 5 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.2 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 428 | 1.7 | 374 | 1.6 | 28 | 3.9 | 4 | 2.1 | 22 | 2.8 | 25 | 1.4 |
| Michigan ................. | 1,114 | 4.4 | 1,073 | 4.5 | 23 | 3.2 | 6 | 3.1 | 12 | 1.5 | 25 | 1.4 |
| Minnesota ................ | 257 | 1.0 | 219 | 0.9 | 11 | 1.5 | 24 | 12.5 | 3 | 0.4 | 12 | 0.7 |
| Mississippi .............. | 404 | 1.6 | 403 | 1.7 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - | 0 | 0.0 | - | - |
| Missouri .................. | 788 | 3.1 | 772 | 3.2 | 2 | 0.3 | 4 | 2.0 | 10 | 1.2 | 13 | 0.7 |
| Montana .................. | 78 | 0.3 | 77 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - | 0 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Nebraska ................. | 118 | 0.5 | 113 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.2 | 2 | 1.1 | 1 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.3 |
| Nevada ................... | 116 | 0.5 | 108 | 0.5 | 3 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.3 | 5 | 0.6 | 11 | 0.6 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 55 | 0.2 | 53 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.3 | 2 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.0 |
| New Jersey .............. | 397 | 1.6 | 351 | 1.5 | 21 | 2.9 | 2 | 0.9 | 23 | 2.9 | 40 | 2.2 |
| New Mexico ............ | 238 | 0.9 | 228 | 1.0 | 2 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.1 | 8 | 1.0 | 27 | 1.5 |
| New York ................ | 1,753 | 6.8 | 1,363 | 5.7 | 210 | 29.2 | 14 | 7.2 | 166 | 20.9 | 138 | 7.6 |
| North Carolina ......... | 844 | 3.3 | 831 | 3.5 | 4 | 0.6 | 4 | 2.0 | 5 | 0.7 | 36 | 2.0 |
| North Dakota ............ | 42 | 0.2 | 41 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Ohio ........................ | 1,028 | 4.0 | 1,007 | 4.2 | 11 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 7 | 0.9 | 5 | 0.3 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 422 | 1.6 | 415 | 1.7 | 3 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.4 | 7 | 0.4 |
| Oregon .................... | 424 | 1.7 | 400 | 1.7 | 7 | 0.9 | 7 | 3.6 | 11 | 1.3 | 34 | 1.9 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 1,069 | 4.2 | 1,029 | 4.3 | 17 | 2.3 | 7 | 3.8 | 17 | 2.1 | 21 | 1.1 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 72 | 0.3 | 63 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.6 | 7 | 0.4 |
| South Carolina ......... | 522 | 2.0 | 517 | 2.2 | 3 | 0.4 | - | - | 2 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.2 |
| South Dakota ............ | 58 | 0.2 | 57 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Tennessee ................ | 841 | 3.3 | 828 | 3.5 | 3 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.9 | 9 | 1.1 | 17 | 1.0 |
| Texas ...................... | 2,512 | 9.8 | 2,324 | 9.7 | 60 | 8.4 | 5 | 2.4 | 123 | 15.5 | 375 | 20.6 |
| Utah ........................ | 130 | 0.5 | 125 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.3 | 10 | 0.6 |
| Vermont .................. | 46 | 0.2 | 45 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 13 | 0.1 | 11 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.1 | - | - | 1 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.1 |
| Virginia .................. | 492 | 1.9 | 475 | 2.0 | 10 | 1.4 | - | - | 8 | 0.9 | 11 | 0.6 |
| Washington ............. | 527 | 2.1 | 468 | 2.0 | 17 | 2.4 | 22 | 11.2 | 20 | 2.5 | 44 | 2.4 |
| West Virginia ........... | 257 | 1.0 | 257 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Wisconsin ................ | 363 | 1.4 | 351 | 1.5 | 5 | 0.7 | 2 | 1.1 | 4 | 0.5 | 13 | 0.7 |
| Wyoming ................. | 24 | 0.1 | 24 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Noncitizens may be inside or outside the food stamp unit.

- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-13. Distribution of Noncitizen ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Participants by Age and by State

| State | Total | Children |  | Nonelderly Adult |  | Elderly Adult |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent |
| Total | 990 | 194 | 19.6 | 533 | 53.8 | 263 | 26.6 |
| Alabama ................................. | 1 | 0 | 30.4 | 0 | 34.8 | 0 | 34.8 |
| Alaska ................................ | 1 | 0 | 32.8 | 1 | 46.2 | 0 | 21.1 |
| Arizona ............................... | 24 | 2 | 9.1 | 16 | 66.9 | 6 | 24.0 |
| Arkansas ................................ | 1 | - | - | 1 | 45.2 | 1 | 54.8 |
| California ............................... | 164 | 30 | 18.2 | 114 | 69.5 | 20 | 12.3 |
| Colorado ................................ | 8 | 2 | 18.0 | 5 | 61.2 | 2 | 20.9 |
| Connecticut ............................ | 7 | 0 | 4.7 | 5 | 67.0 | 2 | 28.3 |
| Delaware .... | 1 | 0 | 29.8 | 0 | 37.3 | 0 | 32.8 |
| District of Columbia ............... | 1 | 0 | 27.8 | 0 | 38.6 | 0 | 33.5 |
| Florida ................................... | 120 | 16 | 13.5 | 54 | 45.5 | 49 | 41.0 |
| Georgia .................................. | 15 | 6 | 38.5 | 6 | 42.4 | 3 | 19.1 |
| Guam ..................................... | 1 | 0 | 4.0 | 0 | 34.8 | 0 | 61.2 |
| Hawaii ................................ | 4 | 0 | 8.0 | 2 | 36.8 | 2 | 55.3 |
| Idaho ................................. | 3 | 1 | 28.4 | 2 | 59.3 | 0 | 12.3 |
| Illinois | 22 | 2 | 10.7 | 11 | 52.8 | 8 | 36.6 |
| Indiana .................................... | 5 | 1 | 13.9 | 3 | 56.7 | 1 | 29.4 |
| Iowa ...................................... | 4 | 2 | 35.5 | 2 | 46.2 | 1 | 18.3 |
| Kansas .................................... | 2 | 0 | 20.6 | 1 | 34.0 | 1 | 45.4 |
| Kentucky ..... | 8 | 2 | 25.6 | 4 | 54.0 | 2 | 20.4 |
| Louisiana ................................ | 1 | 1 | 46.5 | 1 | 53.5 | - | - |
| Maine | 4 | 2 | 48.1 | 2 | 42.0 | 0 | 9.9 |
| Maryland | 7 | 2 | 26.0 | 3 | 46.5 | 2 | 27.5 |
| Massachusetts | 26 | 5 | 20.7 | 14 | 53.7 | 7 | 25.6 |
| Michigan ....... | 18 | 3 | 18.8 | 12 | 63.4 | 3 | 17.8 |
| Minnesota | 28 | 12 | 44.9 | 12 | 43.2 | 3 | 11.9 |
| Mississippi ............................. | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | 100.0 |
| Missouri | 14 | 5 | 33.6 | 8 | 55.5 | 1 | 10.9 |
| Montana | 0 | - | - | 0 | 60.9 | 0 | 39.1 |
| Nebraska .... | 3 | 1 | 32.9 | 2 | 53.4 | 0 | 13.7 |
| Nevada .................................. | 6 | 0 | 7.8 | 3 | 51.6 | 2 | 40.6 |
| New Hampshire ...................... | 2 | 0 | 15.3 | 1 | 60.8 | 1 | 23.9 |
| New Jersey . | 25 | 4 | 17.0 | 12 | 46.2 | 9 | 36.8 |
| New Mexico | 8 | 0 | 3.3 | 6 | 78.1 | 1 | 18.6 |
| New York | 180 | 45 | 25.0 | 80 | 44.5 | 55 | 30.5 |
| North Carolina | 9 | 2 | 16.9 | 7 | 72.1 | 1 | 11.0 |
| North Dakota .......................... | 1 | 1 | 56.6 | 1 | 40.4 | 0 | 3.0 |
| Ohio | 10 | 3 | 33.6 | 4 | 42.0 | 2 | 24.4 |
| Oklahoma | 4 | 1 | 14.4 | 2 | 50.5 | 1 | 35.1 |
| Oregon ................................... | 18 | 6 | 35.1 | 8 | 43.8 | 4 | 21.1 |
| Pennsylvania ........................... | 24 | 3 | 11.4 | 15 | 60.5 | 7 | 28.1 |
| Rhode Island .......................... | 5 | 1 | 10.7 | 4 | 71.0 | 1 | 18.4 |
| South Carolina | 2 | 1 | 43.4 | 1 | 45.9 | 0 | 10.7 |
| South Dakota | 1 | 1 | 49.0 | 1 | 42.2 | 0 | 8.8 |
| Tennessee ............................... | 11 | 5 | 44.1 | 5 | 48.6 | 1 | 7.3 |
| Texas ...................................... | 128 | 9 | 7.0 | 72 | 56.4 | 47 | 36.5 |
| Utah . | 4 | 1 | 20.7 | 2 | 53.3 | 1 | 26.0 |
| Vermont | 0 | 0 | 36.9 | 0 | 36.9 | 0 | 26.1 |
| Virgin Islands .......................... | 1 | 0 | 17.9 | 1 | 69.3 | 0 | 12.9 |
| Virginia ................................. | 8 | 2 | 21.7 | 4 | 54.6 | 2 | 23.6 |
| Washington ............................ | 42 | 12 | 28.8 | 20 | 47.7 | 10 | 23.5 |
| Wisconsin ............................... | 6 | 1 | 22.6 | 4 | 68.2 | 1 | 9.2 |

${ }^{a}$ Because of an administrative change in how citizenship data were collected in the FSPQC, individuals in citizenship subcategories (such as permanent resident aliens and refugees) cannot be identified reliably at the state level. State citizenship tables in this report contain information only for citizens and noncitizens and therefore are not directly comparable with tables in Characteristics of Food Stamp Households reports prior to the Fiscal Year 1999 edition.

- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-14: Distribution of Participating Households by Use of Standard Utility Allowance and by State

| State | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Standard Utility Allowance (SUA) - Usage and Entitlement ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Households with Heating/Cooling SUA |  | Households with Another SUA |  | Households with No SUA |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & (000) \end{aligned}$ | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 11,315 | 6,187 | 54.7 | 1,164 | 10.3 | 3,696 | 32.7 |
| Alabama ................. | 214 | 164 | 76.9 | 2 | 1.1 | 47 | 22.0 |
| Alaska .................... | 21 | 5 | 25.4 | 8 | 40.9 | 7 | 33.7 |
| Arizona ................... | 211 | 120 | 57.0 | 13 | 6.3 | 77 | 36.7 |
| Arkansas ............. | 152 | 94 | 61.6 | 3 | 1.8 | 56 | 36.6 |
| California ................ | 790 | 299 | 37.9 | 28 | 3.6 | 463 | 58.5 |
| Colorado .................. | 105 | 29 | 27.5 | 7 | 6.7 | 69 | 65.8 |
| Connecticut ............. | 110 | 17 | 15.9 | 11 | 10.0 | 81 | 74.1 |
| Delaware ................. | 27 | 19 | 68.3 | 1 | 3.8 | 8 | 27.9 |
| District of Columbia | 44 | 9 | 21.2 | 3 | 7.7 | 31 | 71.0 |
| Florida ..................... | 592 | 340 | 57.4 | 91 | 15.3 | 162 | 27.3 |
| Georgia ................... | 370 | 247 | 66.8 | 6 | 1.7 | 116 | 31.4 |
| Guam ...................... | 8 | 0 | 0.9 | 3 | 43.7 | 4 | 55.4 |
| Hawaii .................... | 44 | 0 | 0.2 | 21 | 47.5 | 23 | 52.3 |
| Idaho ...................... | 36 | 28 | 77.2 | 2 | 6.9 | 6 | 16.0 |
| Illinois .................... | 546 | 285 | 52.3 | 45 | 8.2 | 216 | 39.5 |
| Indiana . | 244 | 142 | 58.3 | 15 | 6.0 | 87 | 35.7 |
| Iowa ........................ | 98 | 69 | 69.8 | 8 | 8.0 | 22 | 22.2 |
| Kansas .................... | 79 | 57 | 72.5 | 6 | 7.0 | 16 | 20.5 |
| Kentucky ................ | 252 | 196 | 77.8 | 5 | 2.2 | 51 | 20.1 |
| Louisiana ................. | 253 | 185 | 73.1 | 7 | 2.6 | 61 | 24.3 |
| Maine ..................... | 79 | 52 | 65.0 | 12 | 15.0 | 16 | 19.9 |
| Maryland ................. | 138 | 66 | 48.0 | 15 | 11.0 | 57 | 41.0 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 226 | 102 | 45.2 | 23 | 10.2 | 101 | 44.6 |
| Michigan ................ | 507 | 123 | 24.2 | 226 | 44.6 | 158 | 31.1 |
| Minnesota ................ | 123 | 23 | 19.0 | 11 | 9.1 | 57 | 46.2 |
| Mississippi .............. | 165 | 104 | 62.9 | 1 | 0.6 | 42 | 25.4 |
| Missouri ................. | 298 | 229 | 77.0 | 14 | 4.8 | 54 | 18.3 |
| Montana ................. | 34 | 6 | 16.2 | 2 | 5.6 | 27 | 78.2 |
| Nebraska ................. | 51 | 35 | 68.3 | 5 | 10.0 | 11 | 21.7 |
| Nevada ................... | 54 | 32 | 59.4 | 1 | 2.0 | 21 | 38.6 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 27 | 16 | 58.1 | 7 | 25.8 | 4 | 16.1 |
| New Jersey .............. | 193 | 106 | 55.0 | 18 | 9.6 | 68 | 35.4 |
| New Mexico ............ | 93 | 4 | 3.9 | 6 | 6.5 | 83 | 89.7 |
| New York ................ | 918 | 542 | 59.1 | 163 | 17.8 | 60 | 6.6 |
| North Carolina ......... | 373 | 276 | 73.9 | 7 | 1.8 | 88 | 23.5 |
| North Dakota ........... | 19 | 14 | 74.1 | 2 | 10.9 | 3 | 15.1 |
| Ohio ........................ | 465 | 299 | 64.3 | 30 | 6.4 | 136 | 29.3 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 175 | 122 | 69.8 | 8 | 4.5 | 45 | 25.8 |
| Oregon ..................... | 219 | 142 | 64.7 | 12 | 5.6 | 65 | 29.6 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 489 | 324 | 66.3 | 65 | 13.2 | 100 | 20.4 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 34 | 5 | 16.1 | 3 | 8.2 | 26 | 75.7 |
| South Carolina ......... | 222 | 126 | 56.9 | 2 | 1.1 | 74 | 33.3 |
| South Dakota ........... | 24 | 16 | 69.1 | 2 | 7.7 | 5 | 23.2 |
| Tennessee ................ | 376 | 173 | 46.1 | 18 | 4.8 | 184 | 49.1 |
| Texas ...................... | 977 | 535 | 54.7 | 90 | 9.3 | 308 | 31.5 |
| Utah ........................ | 53 | 34 | 63.4 | 5 | 9.6 | 14 | 27.1 |
| Vermont ................. | 23 | 18 | 76.8 | 3 | 11.4 | 3 | 11.9 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 5 | - | - | - | - | 5 | 100.0 |
| Virginia ................... | 219 | 51 | 23.5 | 19 | 8.8 | 148 | 67.7 |
| Washington ............. | 267 | 149 | 55.6 | 55 | 20.5 | 64 | 23.8 |
| West Virginia .......... | 114 | 83 | 72.1 | - | - | 32 | 27.9 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 152 | 68 | 44.7 | 50 | 32.9 | 34 | 22.3 |
| Wyoming ................. | 10 | 7 | 69.1 | 1 | 6.9 | 2 | 24.0 |

[^33] households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this category.
b Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table B-15. Distribution of Participating Categorically Eligible Households by Public Assistance Status and by State

| State | Total FSP Households (000) | Categorically Eligible Households |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Households |  | Pure Cash PA Households ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Other Categorically Eligible Households |  |
|  |  | Number (000) | Percent | Number (000) | Percent | Number <br> (000) | Percent |
| Total ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 11,315 | 5,915 | 52.3 | 3,795 | 33.5 | 2,120 | 18.7 |
| Alabama ............... | 214 | 58 | 27.0 | 48 | 22.5 | 10 | 4.5 |
| Alaska .................... | 21 | 8 | 38.6 | 7 | 32.5 | 1 | 6.2 |
| Arizona | 211 | 61 | 28.8 | 56 | 26.4 | 5 | 2.4 |
| Arkansas .................. | 152 | 31 | 20.1 | 27 | 17.7 | 4 | 2.4 |
| California ................ | 790 | 500 | 63.2 | 458 | 58.0 | 42 | 5.3 |
| Colorado .................. | 105 | 36 | 34.2 | 24 | 23.2 | 12 | 11.0 |
| Connecticut ............. | 110 | 55 | 49.8 | 47 | 42.5 | 8 | 7.3 |
| Delaware ................. | 27 | 27 | 100.0 | 8 | 30.6 | 19 | 69.4 |
| District of Columbia | 44 | 22 | 50.1 | 20 | 45.3 | 2 | 4.8 |
| Florida ................... | 592 | 192 | 32.4 | 176 | 29.7 | 16 | 2.7 |
| Georgia ................... | 370 | 67 | 18.1 | 64 | 17.2 | 3 | 0.9 |
| Guam ...................... | 8 | 1 | 18.2 | 1 | 13.1 | 0 | 5.0 |
| Hawaii .................... | 44 | 24 | 54.5 | 22 | 50.3 | 2 | 4.1 |
| Idaho ...................... | 36 | 8 | 22.0 | 8 | 21.4 | 0 | 0.7 |
| Illinois .................... | 546 | 132 | 24.1 | 122 | 22.3 | 10 | 1.8 |
| Indiana .................... | 244 | 72 | 29.6 | 66 | 27.2 | 6 | 2.4 |
| Iowa ........................ | 98 | 38 | 38.4 | 33 | 34.0 | 4 | 4.4 |
| Kansas .................... | 79 | 35 | 43.8 | 30 | 38.2 | 4 | 5.6 |
| Kentucky ................. | 252 | 89 | 35.5 | 78 | 31.0 | 11 | 4.5 |
| Louisiana ................. | 253 | 57 | 22.4 | 53 | 21.0 | 4 | 1.5 |
| Maine ..................... | 79 | 52 | 65.1 | 28 | 35.7 | 23 | 29.4 |
| Maryland ................. | 138 | 108 | 78.4 | 49 | 35.5 | 59 | 42.8 |
| Massachusetts .......... | 226 | 198 | 87.7 | 139 | 61.8 | 58 | 25.9 |
| Michigan ................. | 507 | 507 | 100.0 | 160 | 31.5 | 347 | 68.5 |
| Minnesota ................ | 123 | 85 | 69.5 | 42 | 34.5 | 43 | 35.0 |
| Mississippi .............. | 165 | 46 | 27.7 | 45 | 27.0 | 1 | 0.7 |
| Missouri ................. | 298 | 108 | 36.3 | 76 | 25.5 | 32 | 10.8 |
| Montana .................. | 34 | 11 | 33.2 | 10 | 29.3 | 1 | 3.9 |
| Nebraska ................. | 51 | 22 | 42.5 | 19 | 37.2 | 3 | 5.3 |
| Nevada ................... | 54 | 19 | 34.8 | 17 | 31.1 | 2 | 3.7 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 27 | 12 | 44.5 | 10 | 38.8 | 2 | 5.7 |
| New Jersey .............. | 193 | 112 | 58.1 | 105 | 54.6 | 7 | 3.5 |
| New Mexico ............ | 93 | 31 | 33.6 | 30 | 31.7 | 2 | 1.9 |
| New York ................ | 918 | 567 | 61.8 | 506 | 55.1 | 61 | 6.7 |
| North Carolina ......... | 373 | 91 | 24.3 | 80 | 21.3 | 11 | 2.9 |
| North Dakota ............ | 19 | 19 | 99.6 | 5 | 25.7 | 14 | 73.9 |
| Ohio ....................... | 465 | 172 | 37.0 | 167 | 36.0 | 5 | 1.1 |
| Oklahoma ................ | 175 | 45 | 25.5 | 43 | 24.4 | 2 | 1.2 |
| Oregon .................... | 219 | 219 | 100.0 | 44 | 20.1 | 175 | 79.9 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 489 | 223 | 45.6 | 214 | 43.8 | 9 | 1.8 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 34 | 21 | 61.2 | 20 | 59.0 | 1 | 2.2 |
| South Carolina ......... | 222 | 70 | 31.6 | 45 | 20.2 | 25 | 11.4 |
| South Dakota ............ | 24 | 7 | 30.2 | 5 | 19.2 | 3 | 11.0 |
| Tennessee ................ | 376 | 115 | 30.6 | 103 | 27.4 | 12 | 3.2 |
| Texas ...................... | 977 | 977 | 100.0 | 220 | 22.6 | 756 | 77.4 |
| Utah ........................ | 53 | 21 | 39.5 | 15 | 27.4 | 6 | 12.1 |
| Vermont ................. | 23 | 13 | 55.7 | 10 | 44.6 | 3 | 11.1 |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 5 | 1 | 24.6 | 1 | 23.1 | 0 | 1.5 |
| Virginia .................. | 219 | 73 | 33.1 | 68 | 31.0 | 5 | 2.2 |
| Washington ............. | 267 | 267 | 99.7 | 103 | 38.7 | 163 | 61.0 |
| West Virginia .......... | 114 | 39 | 34.5 | 36 | 31.1 | 4 | 3.4 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 152 | 152 | 100.0 | 31 | 20.4 | 121 | 79.6 |
| Wyoming ................. | 10 | 2 | 21.3 | 2 | 21.3 | - | - |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Pure cash PA households are those in which every member 1) received SSI income, or 2) was covered by a cash TANF benefit, or 3) received either SSI income, GA income, or was covered by a cash TANF benefit.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

- No sample data in this category.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.
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Table C-1. Fiscal Year 2005 HHS Poverty Income Guidelines ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Household Size | Continental <br> United States, <br> Guam, and the <br> Virgin Islands | Alaska | Hawaii |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 9,570$ | $\$ 11,950$ | $\$ 11,010$ |
| 2 | 12,830 | 16,030 | 14,760 |
| 3 | 16,090 | 20,110 | 18,510 |
| 4 | 19,350 | 24,190 | 22,260 |
| 5 | 22,610 | 28,270 | 26,010 |
| 6 | 25,870 | 32,350 | 29,760 |
| 7 | 29,130 | 36,430 | 33,510 |
| 8 | 32,390 | 40,510 | 37,260 |
| Each Additional Member | $+3,260$ | $+4,080$ | $+3,750$ |

${ }^{a}$ These numbers, upon which the fiscal year 2006 FSP gross and net monthly income eligibility standards are based, were issued by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). The Bureau of the Census establishes different poverty thresholds that are used primarily for statistical purposes.

Source: 70 Federal Register 33, February 18, 2005.

Table C-2. FSP Maximum Allowable Gross Monthly Income Eligibility Standards in Fiscal Year 2006

| Household Size | Continental <br> United States, <br> Guam, and the <br> Virgin Islands | Alaska | Hawaii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 1,037$ | $\$ 1,295$ | $\$ 1,193$ |
| 2 | 1,390 | 1,737 | 1,599 |
| 3 | 1,744 | 2,179 | 2,006 |
| 4 | 2,097 | 2,621 | 2,412 |
| 5 | 2,450 | 3,063 | 2,818 |
| 6 | 2,803 | 3,505 | 3,224 |
| 7 | 3,156 | 3,947 | 3,631 |
| 8 | 3,509 | 4,389 | 4,037 |
| Each Additional Member | +354 | +442 | +407 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The fiscal year 2006 FSP gross monthly income limits are based on the 2005 poverty guidelines issued by HHS. (See Table C-1.) FNS derived the fiscal year 2006 gross income limits by multiplying the 2005 poverty guidelines by 130 percent, dividing the results by 12 and then rounding up to the nearest dollar. The 2005 poverty guidelines were developed on the basis of the 2004 Census poverty thresholds, so the gross income limits applied to food stamp households in fiscal year 2006 are based on 2004 poverty measures.

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Table C-3. FSP Maximum Allowable Net Monthly Income Eligibility Standards in Fiscal Year 2006

| Household Size | Continental <br> United States, <br> Guam, and the <br> Virgin Islands | Alaska | Hawaii |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 798$ | $\$ 996$ | $\$ 918$ |
| 2 | 1,070 | 1,336 | 1,230 |
| 3 | 1,341 | 1,676 | 1,543 |
| 4 | 1,613 | 2,016 | 1,855 |
| 5 | 1,885 | 2,356 | 2,168 |
| 6 | 2,156 | 2,696 | 2,480 |
| 7 | 2,428 | 3,036 | 2,793 |
| 8 | 2,700 | 3,376 | 3,105 |
| Each Additional Member | +272 | +340 | +313 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The fiscal year 2006 FSP net monthly income limits are based on the 2005 poverty guidelines issued by HHS. (See Table C-1.) FNS derived the fiscal year 2006 net income limits by dividing the 2005 poverty guidelines by 12 and rounding up to the nearest dollar. The 2005 poverty guidelines were developed on the basis of the 2004 Census poverty thresholds, so the net income limits applied to food stamp households in fiscal year 2006 are based on 2004 poverty measures.

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Table C-4. Value of Standard, Maximum Dependent-Care, and Excess Shelter Expense Deductions in the Continental United States and Outlying Areas in Fiscal Year 2006

| Deduction | Continental <br> U.S. | Alaska | Hawaii | Guam | Virgin <br> Islands |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard Deduction |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-3 people | $\$ 134$ | $\$ 229$ | $\$ 189$ | $\$ 269$ | $\$ 118$ |
| 4 people | 134 | 229 | 189 | 269 | 134 |
| 5 people | 157 | 229 | 189 | 313 | 157 |
| 6 or more people | 179 | 229 | 206 | 358 | 179 |
| Maximum Excess <br> Shelter Expense <br> Deduction | 400 | 640 | 539 | 470 | 315 |

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture.
The Homeless Household Shelter Estimate is $\$ 143$.
The Maximum Dependent Care Deduction is $\$ 200$ for each dependent under age 2 and $\$ 175$ for each dependent age 2 or older.

Note: The benefit calculation procedures of certain state-specific programs do not apply all the deductions that are used in the federal FSP. The Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) only uses the earnings deduction. SSI Combined Application Projects (SSI-CAP) in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas do not use any deductions. The SSI Combined Application Projects in Florida, Massachusetts, and Washington only use the standard deduction and the excess shelter deduction.

Table C-5. Value of Maximum Food Stamp Benefit in the Continental United States and Outlying Areas in Fiscal Year 2006 ${ }^{\text {b }}$

| Household <br> Size | Continental <br> U.S. | Alaska <br> Urban | Alaska <br> Rural I | Alaska <br> Rural II | Hawaii | Guam | Virgin <br> Islands |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\$ 152$ | $\$ 181$ | $\$ 231$ | $\$ 282$ | $\$ 229$ | $\$ 224$ | $\$ 195$ |
| 2 | 278 | 333 | 425 | 517 | 421 | 410 | 358 |
| 3 | 399 | 477 | 608 | 741 | 602 | 588 | 513 |
| 4 | 506 | 606 | 773 | 941 | 765 | 746 | 651 |
| 5 | 601 | 720 | 918 | 1,117 | 909 | 886 | 773 |
| 6 | 722 | 864 | 1,101 | 1,341 | 1,090 | 1,064 | 928 |
| 7 | 798 | 955 | 1,217 | 1,482 | 1,205 | 1,176 | 1,026 |
| 8 | 912 | 1,091 | 1,391 | 1,694 | 1,378 | 1,344 | 1,172 |
| Each Additional | +114 | +136 | +174 | +212 | +172 | +168 | +147 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The maximum benefit values are effective from October 1, 2005 to September 30, 2006 and are based on 100 percent of the cost of the Thrifty Food Plan in the preceding June for a reference family of four, rounded to the lowest dollar increment.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Due to the unusual nature of Alaskan terrain and climate, areas outside major urban centers are less accessible to food distributors. Therefore the value of the maximum benefit is adjusted to account for differences in the estimated cost of the Thrifty Food Plan in various regions. All regions of the state are classified as Rural I, Rural II, or Urban for this purpose.

Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture.
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## SOURCE AND RELIABILITY OF ESTIMATES

The estimates in this report are derived from a sample of households selected for review as part of the FSP Quality Control System (FSPQC), an ongoing review of food stamp household circumstances. The Quality Control System is designed to determine (1) if households are eligible to participate and are receiving the correct benefit amount or (2) if household participation is correctly denied or terminated. The Quality Control System is based on a national sample of approximately 55,000 participating food stamp households and a somewhat smaller number of denials and terminations. The sample is stratified by month and by the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. Annual required state samples range from a minimum of 300 to 2,400 reviews, depending on the size of the state's caseload. State agencies select an independent sample each month that is generally proportional to the size of the monthly participating caseload.

## Target Universe

The target universe of this study included all participating households (active cases) subject to quality control review in the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the Virgin Islands. ${ }^{1}$

While almost all participating food stamp households are included in the target universe, certain types of households not amenable to review are not included. Specifically, the universe includes all households receiving food stamps during the review period except those in which the participants (1) died or moved outside the state; (2) received benefits through a disaster certification authorized by FNS; (3) were under investigation for FSP fraud (including those with pending fraud hearings) and/or were appealing a notice of adverse action; or (4) received restored benefits in accordance with the state manual, but were otherwise ineligible. The sampling unit within the universe each month is the active food stamp household as specified in FNS regulations.

## Data Editing

The estimates presented in this report are derived from the fiscal year 2006 FSPQC datafile, an edited version of the raw datafile generated by the Quality Control System. The raw fiscal year 2006 data are made up of monthly samples from October 2005 through September 2006.

Households that have an incomplete Quality Control review or that were found to be ineligible for food stamps were dropped from the edited datafile. Of the 54,599 sample cases on the raw datafile, 3,214 were determined to not be subject to review. Sixty-nine were deselected to correct for oversampling (Table D-1). Of those cases subject to review, 4,389 were not

[^34]completed because the household failed to cooperate, could not be located, or all members had died or moved. An additional 1,024 households were found to be ineligible for a positive benefit. These 1,024 households were dropped from the datafile because data on their characteristics are not collected. An additional 169 households were dropped from the file due to internal inconsistencies that could not be resolved, as discussed below. The final unweighted number of households on the final fiscal year 2006 FSPQC file is 45,734 . The distribution of these unweighted households by state is shown in Table D-2.

Table D-1. Number of Cases Sampled, Dropped From the Edited File, and Included on the Edited File, Fiscal Year 2006

|  | Fiscal Year 2006 <br> QC Sample |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of cases sampled | 54,599 |
| Cases not subject to review | 3,214 |
| Cases deselected to correct for oversampling | 69 |
| Cases subject to review | 51,316 |
| Incomplete cases | 4,389 |
| Cases completed | 46,927 |
| Households not eligible for a positive benefit | 1,024 |
| Households eligible for a positive benefit | 45,903 |
| Households dropped due to |  |
| inconsistencies | 169 |
| Households on the final file | 45,734 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Failure to complete reviews for all cases subject to review can bias the sample results if the characteristics of unreviewed households are significantly different from those of reviewed households. While there are no direct measures of such differences, the ratio of completed reviews to total cases subject to review provides an indication of the magnitude of any potential bias. For fiscal year 2006, the completion rate is 91 percent.

Consistent measures of unit size, income, and benefit level are very important to any analysis of food stamp households. Inconsistencies can occur in the initial case record information, the transcription and data entry process, or the extraction of the food stamp information for the selected months.

To obtain the highest degree of consistency between related variables in the data, while at the same time maintaining the integrity of the database, the reported raw data are edited, as described in the Technical Documentation for the FY 2006 FSPQC Database and QC Minimodel. For instance, in most cases, a household's net countable income should always equal the household's gross countable income minus the total deductions for which the household is eligible, and the food stamp benefit level should always equal the household's maximum benefit minus 30 percent of the household's net countable income (except for households participating in the MFIP or in SSI-CAP in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina,

South Carolina, or Texas). Households participating in MFIP or SSI-CAP are subject to different eligibility and benefit determination rules and have been edited accordingly.

Although most inconsistencies in these basic relationships were resolved in the editing process, the measures could not be reconciled for a small number of records on the raw datafile (169 records). These 169 records were therefore dropped from the edited datafile.

Table D-2. Unweighted Distribution of Participating Households by State

| State | Food Stamp Households |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percent |
| Total | 45,734 | 100.0 |
| Alabama .............. | 939 | 2.1 |
| Alaska ... | 375 | 0.8 |
| Arizona . | 925 | 2.0 |
| Arkansas | 1,212 | 2.7 |
| California | 874 | 1.9 |
| Colorado ................. | 995 | 2.2 |
| Connecticut ..... | 903 | 2.0 |
| Delaware .............. | 488 | 1.1 |
| District of Columbia | 680 | 1.5 |
| Florida | 1,538 | 3.4 |
| Georgia ................... | 968 | 2.1 |
| Guam ...................... | 281 | 0.6 |
| Hawaii | 781 | 1.7 |
| Idaho | 832 | 1.8 |
| Illinois | 937 | 2.0 |
| Indiana .. | 1,033 | 2.3 |
| Iowa . | 955 | 2.1 |
| Kansas | 1,027 | 2.2 |
| Kentucky ................ | 1,101 | 2.4 |
| Louisiana ................ | 654 | 1.4 |
| Maine | 980 | 2.1 |
| Maryland ................. | 1,144 | 2.5 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 984 | 2.2 |
| Michigan ................ | 926 | 2.0 |
| Minnesota ................ | 916 | 2.0 |
| Mississippi .............. | 995 | 2.2 |
| Missouri ................. | 847 | 1.9 |
| Montana | 540 | 1.2 |
| Nebraska | 803 | 1.8 |
| Nevada ...... | 759 | 1.7 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 472 | 1.0 |
| New Jersey .............. | 934 | 2.0 |
| New Mexico | 1,049 | 2.3 |
| New York ........ | 903 | 2.0 |
| North Carolina ... | 1,103 | 2.4 |
| North Dakota . | 685 | 1.5 |
| Ohio ....... | 1,038 | 2.3 |
| Oklahoma | 1,229 | 2.7 |
| Oregon .................... | 1,005 | 2.2 |
| Pennsylvania ........... | 985 | 2.2 |
| Rhode Island ........... | 541 | 1.2 |
| South Carolina ....... | 995 | 2.2 |
| South Dakota ...... | 458 | 1.0 |
| Tennessee ................ | 986 | 2.2 |
| Texas ........ | 1,099 | 2.4 |
| Utah ........................ | 835 | 1.8 |
| Vermont .................. | 407 | 0.9 |
| Virgin Islands .......... | 312 | 0.7 |
| Virginia .................. | 1,011 | 2.2 |
| Washington ............. | 974 | 2.1 |
| West Virginia .......... | 1,027 | 2.2 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 966 | 2.1 |
| Wyoming ................. | 328 | 0.7 |

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

## Weighting

The estimates for fiscal year 2006 in this report are based on a sample of 45,734 valid observations. The sample records have been weighted to match FSP Program Operations totals after adjustment to remove households ineligible for benefits as well as households receiving benefits issued through the FSP disaster assistance program, which are not included in the FSPQC datafile. The weighting procedure matches to FSP Program Operation totals for (1) the monthly number of participating households by state and stratum, (2) the monthly number of participants by state, and (3) the monthly total benefits issued by state.

The weights developed for FY 2006 are similar to the weights developed for the FY 2005 FSPQC datafile. However, the FY 2006 weights differ from versions of the FSPQC datafile prior to FY 2005, which only matched to Program Operation totals for households and not to individuals or benefits. The FY 2003 and FY 2004 FSPQC datafiles are weighted to match the disaster- and error-adjusted monthly numbers of FSP households by state and stratum. FSPQC datafiles before FY 2003 are weighted to the monthly numbers of FSP households by state and stratum, unadjusted for ineligible households or the disaster assistance program.

## Comparison to Participation Data

Table D-3 compares the Quality Control System sample-based estimates to aggregate program participation data for fiscal year 2006. ${ }^{2}$ Table D-4 compares the reported and calculated values for selected variables for fiscal year 2006.

Table D-3. Comparison of Program Data to Edited FSPQC Datafile, Fiscal Year 2006

|  | Fiscal Year 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average Monthly Value | Program Data |  |  |  |  |  | Disaster <br> Assistance | Ineligible <br> Households | Adjusted <br> Program Data | Edited FSPQC <br> Datafile |
| Number of households | $11,756,050$ | 199,306 | 241,718 | $11,315,026$ | $11,315,026$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of participants | $26,735,518$ | 544,921 | 595,952 | $25,594,645$ | $25,594,645$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value of benefits | $\$ 2,528,734,845$ | $\$ 85,506,777^{3}$ | $\$ 84,934,912$ | $\$ 2,358,293,156$ | $\$ 2,358,293,156$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average household size | 2.27 | 2.73 | 2.47 | 2.26 | 2.26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average benefit per person | $\$ 94.58$ | $\$ 156.92$ | $\$ 142,52$ | $\$ 92.14$ | $\$ 92.14$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Sources: Fiscal Year 2006 Program Data and FSPQC datafile.

[^35]Table D-4. Comparison of Calculated and Reported Values for Selected Variables of Participating Households, Fiscal Year 2006

| Variable | All <br> Households | Households With: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Earned Income | Elderly Individuals | Children | Disabled Nonelderly Adults |
| Average Gross Income (Dollars) <br> Calculated $\qquad$ <br> Reported $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 673 \\ & 674 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,058 \\ & 1,060 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 715 \\ & 715 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 803 \\ & 804 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 828 \\ & 831 \end{aligned}$ |
| Average Net Income (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> Calculated $\qquad$ <br> Reported $\qquad$ | 328 325 | 529 529 | 364 359 | 411 | $\begin{aligned} & 440 \\ & 433 \end{aligned}$ |
| Average Total Deduction (Dollars) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> Calculated $\qquad$ <br> Reported $\qquad$ | 411 410 | 558 563 | $\begin{aligned} & 385 \\ & 381 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 455 \\ & 458 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 410 \\ & 407 \end{aligned}$ |
| Average Food Stamp Benefit (Dollars) <br> Calculated $\qquad$ <br> Reported ${ }^{\text {c }}$ $\qquad$ | 208 210 | 247 249 | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \\ & 93 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 303 \\ & 304 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 144 \\ & 145 \end{aligned}$ |
| Percent With Zero Gross Income <br> Calculated $\qquad$ <br> Reported. $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.0 \\ & 14.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \\ & 3.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.8 \\ & 10.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Percent With Zero Net Income <br> Calculated $\qquad$ <br> Reported. $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.8 \\ & 32.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.1 \\ & 17.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.3 \\ & 15.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28.4 \\ & 28.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.8 \\ 12.4 \end{gathered}$ |
| Percent With Minimum Benefit <br> Calculated $\qquad$ <br> Reported $\qquad$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \\ 5.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.0 \\ & 3.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17.1 \\ & 15.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.4 \\ 9.1 \end{gathered}$ |

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 FSPQC datafile.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, 31,582 households participating in the Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) and 236,241 households participating in an SSI Combined Application Project (SSI-CAP) in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, or Texas are excluded from this comparison.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Because deductions are not used in their benefit determination, 236,241 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this comparison.
${ }^{c}$ Reported benefit adjusted for reported overissuance errors, underissuance errors, and prorated benefits.
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## SAMPLING ERROR OF ESTIMATES

The estimates of the characteristics of food stamp households in this report are based on a sample of households and, consequently, are subject to statistical sampling error. One indicator of the magnitude of the sampling error associated with a given estimate is its standard error. Standard errors measure the variation in estimated values that would be observed if multiple replications of the sample were drawn. The magnitude of the standard errors depends on: (1) the degree of variation in the variable within the population from which the sample is drawn; (2) the design of the sample, including such issues as stratification and sampling probabilities; and (3) the size of the sample on which the estimate is based. This appendix presents estimates of the standard errors associated with key statistics and outlines methods for estimating the standard errors of other statistics for which standard errors have not been directly calculated.

## Standard Errors

The standard error of an estimated proportion of households, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{p}}$, based on a simple random sample is:

$$
\text { (1) } s_{p}=\sqrt{[p(1-p)(N-n)] /[(n-1) N]}
$$

where p is the weighted estimate of the proportion, N is the number of households in the population, and $n$ is the sample size. ${ }^{4}$ The standard error of an estimated number of households, $s_{N}$, based on a simple random sample is:
(2) $S_{N}=N S_{p}$

These formulas for the standard errors of estimates based on a simple random sample do not necessarily apply to estimates derived from more complex samples, such as the stratified sample of the FSPQC. In this appendix, standard errors calculated using equations (1) and (2) are referred to as "naive standard errors." Standard errors can be estimated more accurately using a bootstrap method.

[^36]The bootstrap method requires the computation of 500 sets of replicate household weights. Each set is calculated using a nonlinear programming method based on a random sample of the FSPQC data file. These replicate weights are then used to calculate standard errors. The following discussion presents standard errors of selected estimates that were computed using the bootstrap method. It then presents a simple method for approximating standard errors of estimates for which individual standard errors have not been computed.

## Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers of Households

The standard errors of selected estimates of food stamp households in fiscal year 2006 are shown in Table E-1. These standard errors can be used to compute confidence intervals for the estimated number of households with a particular characteristic. ${ }^{5}$ For example, the estimated number of food stamp households that receive the minimum benefit is 700,000 (Table A-1), and the corresponding standard error is 16,330 (Table E-1). Therefore, the 95 percent confidence interval extends from 667,340 to $732,660 .{ }^{6}$

For standard errors not shown in Table E-1, the approximate standard error, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}}$, of an estimated number of households for fiscal year 2006 can be calculated using equation (3):

$$
\text { (3) } S_{E}=S_{N} x d
$$

where $S_{N}$ is the naive standard error from equation (2) above, and $d$ is the square root of the design effect for the population subgroup and characteristic of interest, from Table E-2. The design effect reflects the loss of precision due to the different sampling rates in different strata of the FSPQC sample. It is the ratio of the variance computed by the bootstrap method (Table E-1) to the naive variance. ${ }^{7}$ When the population subgroup (for example, households with elderly) is listed in Table E-2, but the characteristic of interest (for example, zero net income) is not, use the average square root of the design effect for the subgroup, from the rightmost column of Table E2. When neither the subgroup nor the characteristic is listed, use the average square root of the design effect for all FSP households, 1.43.

For example, to estimate the standard error of the number of households containing an elderly person with zero net income, the first step is to obtain the size of the estimate. As shown in appendix Table A-17, 229,000 elderly households have zero net income. The next step is to

[^37]calculate the naive standard error. Using equation (2), the value is $7,156 .{ }^{8}$ Multiplying 7,156 by the square root of the design effect (d), 1.60, from Table E-2 yields an estimated standard error of 11,450 (due to rounding in Table A-17, the estimated standard error matches the bootstrap standard error from Table E-1).

## Standard Errors of Estimated Percentages

Comparing equations (1) and (2), it is apparent that the standard error of an estimated percentage of households, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{p}}$, is equal to the standard error of the corresponding count of households, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}}$, divided by the number of households in the population that forms the base of the percentage. That is:

$$
\text { (4) } S_{p}=S_{N} / N
$$

For example, appendix Table A-17 shows that, of the 5.9 million households with children, 636 thousand ( 10.8 percent) have no gross income. The standard error $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ of the number of households with children with no gross income is 16,510 (Table E-1). To calculate $S_{p}$ the standard error of the corresponding percentage estimate, simply divide $S_{N}$ by the number of households in the population that forms the base of the percentage-in this case, 5.9 million households with children. The resulting standard error of the percentage estimate is 0.3 percentage points, and the corresponding 95 percent confidence interval extends from 10.2 to 11.4 percent, around the point estimate of 10.8 percent.

Equation (4) can also be applied to standard errors that are not shown in Table E-1. First, calculate the adjusted naive standard error of the number of households using equation (3). Then divide the resulting standard error by the size of the population that forms the base of the percentage. Returning to an earlier example-of the 2.0 million households with elderly individuals, 229 thousand (11.3 percent) have zero net income. Dividing the adjusted naive standard error (calculated above as 11,450 ) by 2.0 million yields an adjusted naive standard error of the percentage estimate of 0.6 percentage points.

## Standard Errors of Estimated Means

The standard errors for selected estimated means for fiscal year 2006 are provided in Table E-3. For example, the standard error of the mean gross income for all food stamp households in

[^38]where $2,019,000$ is the estimated population of elderly households, 229,000 is the estimated population of elderly households with zero net income, 7,974 is the sample size of elderly households (Table E-1), and 7,156 is the standard error.
fiscal year 2006 is $\$ 1.90$ (Table E-3), and the mean itself is $\$ 673$ (Table A-20). Therefore, a 95 percent confidence interval extends from approximately $\$ 669$ to $\$ 677$.

Generalized approximation methods such as the one used in equation (3) work well for standard errors of estimated numbers and percentages, because the standard errors depend only on the sample size, the estimated proportion, and the design effects. Generalized methods are less appropriate for standard errors of means because the standard error depends on the variance as well as the sample size and design effects. Nevertheless, a rough approximation of the magnitude of standard errors of means not included in Table E-3 can be obtained from Table E4. Table E-4 shows for each variable in Table E-3 the average, minimum, and maximum value of that variable's standard error as a percentage of the variable's mean value. These 3 values are shown for all food stamp households and for selected subgroups. The standard errors in Table E4 include design effects.
Table E-1. Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers of Food Stamp Households, Fiscal Year 2006

|  | Households (000) With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sample Size | Estimated Population (000) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Zero Gross <br> Income |  | Minimum Benefits | Earned Income | Elderly Individuals | Children | School Age Children | Disabled Nonelderly Individuals |  |  |
| All FSP Households | 27.41 | 42.88 | 16.33 | 36.79 | 26.12 | 41.46 | 32.43 | 27.37 | 45,734 | 11,290 |
| With Elderly Individuals. | 6.01 | 11.45 | 11.74 | 6.38 | 26.12 | 7.31 | 7.06 | 5.54 | 7,974 | 2,019 |
| Without Elderly Individuals | 27.37 | 41.66 | 12.14 | 36.88 | NA | 41.13 | 32.67 | 26.99 | 37,760 | 9,270 |
| With Children | 16.51 | 25.61 | 5.45 | 35.55 | 7.31 | 41.46 | 32.43 | 19.39 | 23,288 | 5,892 |
| With School Age Children | 14.24 | 22.54 | 4.69 | 28.05 | 7.06 | 32.43 | 32.43 | 17.64 | 17,555 | 4,502 |
| Without Children | 22.34 | 32.18 | 15.57 | 16.18 | 25.58 | NA | NA | 24.41 | 22,446 | 5,397 |
| With Earnings ....... | NA | 17.94 | 7.72 | 36.79 | 6.38 | 35.55 | 28.05 | 10.53 | 13,514 | 3,356 |
| With Disabled Nonelderly Individuals ..... | 0.19 | 11.51 | 10.13 | 10.53 | 5.54 | 19.39 | 17.64 | 27.37 | 11,278 | 2,612 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Standard errors were estimated using the bootstrap method.
Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.
Table E-2. Square Root of Design Effects (d) for Standard Errors of Estimated Numbers or Percentages of Food Stamp Households, Fiscal Year 2006


${ }^{a}$ The design effect is the ratio of the variance computed by the bootstrap method to the naive variance for the specific cell of the table. The average square root of design effect for each row is a simple arithmetic average of the values for each cell in the row.
$\mathrm{NA}=$ not applicable.
Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.
Table E-3. Standard Errors of Estimated Means, Fiscal Year 2006 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Base of Estimated Mean | Households With: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gross <br> Income | Net Income | Benefits | All <br> Deductions | Total <br> Resources | Household Size | Certification Period | Earnings ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | TANF ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SSI ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Shelter Deduction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| All FSP Households.. | 1.90 | 0.59 | 0.03 | 1.49 | 4.91 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 5.86 | 3.84 | 3.24 | 1.34 |
| With Elderly <br> Individuals | 4.55 | 4.49 | 1.33 | 4.04 | 8.94 | 0.01 | 0.19 | 34.02 | 23.63 | 5.75 | 4.21 |
| Without Elderly Individuals | 2.43 | 1.12 | 0.46 | 1.70 | 5.57 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 5.99 | 3.90 | 3.76 | 1.36 |
| With Children ........... | 3.34 | 2.35 | 1.42 | 2.18 | 7.85 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 7.29 | 3.87 | 6.22 | 1.77 |
| With School Age Children $\qquad$ | 4.64 | 3.61 | 1.75 | 2.49 | 10.09 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 9.37 | 4.87 | 6.81 | 2.07 |
| Without Children ...... | 3.42 | 2.52 | 0.55 | 2.24 | 5.91 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 10.73 | 30.49 | 3.67 | 2.17 |
| With Earnings ........... | 6.04 | 5.30 | 1.64 | 2.76 | 5.93 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 5.86 | 8.82 | 9.80 | 2.08 |
| With Disabled <br> Nonelderly <br> Individuals ................ | 4.34 | 4.32 | 1.61 | 4.01 | 18.05 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 20.32 | 7.49 | 3.79 | 3.21 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Standard errors were estimated using the bootstrap method.
Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.

Table E-4. Range of Standard Errors of Mean Amounts Expressed as a Percentage of the Mean Amount, Fiscal Year 2006 ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Number of Households in Base of Mean (Thousands) | Standard Error as Percent of Mean Amount |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Lowest ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Highest ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 11,290 (All FSP Households). | 0.7 | 0.0 | 3.6 |
| 2,019 (Households With Elderly Individuals) | 2.5 | 0.6 | 8.3 |
| 5,892 (Households With Children). | 1.2 | 0.3 | 6.7 |
| 3,356 (Households With Earnings)... | 1.3 | 0.5 | 4.1 |
| 2,612 (Households With Disabled Nonelderly Individuals)..... | 2.1 | 0.5 | 10.7 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Standard errors from table E-3 and mean amounts from applicable text tables.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Average standard error across all 11 variables in table E-3 expressed as a percentage of the mean amount.
${ }^{c}$ Lowest of the standard errors across all 11 variables in table E-3 expressed as a percentage of the mean amount.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Highest of the standard errors across all 11 variables in table E-3 expressed as a percentage of the mean amount.

Source: Fiscal Year 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control sample.
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PRIVACY ACT/PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is $0584-0299$. The time required to complete this collection is estimated to average 1.05 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the eligibility. This information is used to determine State compliance, and failure to report may result in a finding of non-compliance.

Section 1 - Review Summary


Section 2- Detailed Error Findings
14. Cause 15. Error Finding 16. Error Amount 17. Discovery


FNS-380-1 (10-01-2003) Previous editions obsolete.



## Section 5 - Income Identified by Household Member



| ${ }^{2}$                     <br> $2_{2}$                     <br> 2                     <br> ${ }_{3}$                     <br> 3                     <br>                      <br> 4                     |
| :--- |

FNS-380-1 (10-01-2003) Previous editions obsolete.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The figures of 26.7 million people and 11.7 million households are based on FNS administrative records. The participant counts of 25.6 million people and 11.3 million households cited later in this report and other figures provided throughout the report are estimates from the Food Stamp Program Quality Control (FSPQC) sample. For an explanation of the difference in the counts see Appendix D.
    ${ }^{2}$ FNS coordinates with State, local, and voluntary organizations to provide food to those affected by storms, earthquakes, floods, or other disaster emergencies. More than 4.7 million people who were affected by the Gulf Coast hurricanes received disaster assistance at some point during October 2005 through December 2005.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The total cost of the FSP in fiscal year 2006 also included $\$ 2.7$ billion in other costs, including the federal share of State administrative costs and employment and training programs, printing and processing, anti-fraud funding, and program evaluation.
    ${ }^{2}$ The FSP eligibility requirements include nonfinancial categorical criteria for certain groups. Specifically, some nonelderly nondisabled childless adults and some noncitizens were ineligible for food stamps in fiscal year 2006.
    ${ }^{3}$ FNS coordinates with State, local, and voluntary organizations to provide food to those affected by storms, earthquakes, floods, or other disaster emergencies. More than 4.7 million people received disaster assistance in October 2005 through December 2005 due to Gulf Coast hurricanes.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Generally, a person is considered to be disabled for food stamp purposes if he or she receives Federal or State disability or blindness payments or other disability retirement benefits from a governmental agency under the Social Security Act, including Supplemental Security Income (SSI) or Social Security disability or blindness payments; receives an annuity under the Railroad Retirement Act and is eligible for Medicare or is considered to be disabled based on the SSI rules; is a veteran who is totally disabled, permanently housebound, or in need of regular aid and attendance; or is a surviving spouse or child of a veteran who is receiving VA benefits and is considered to be permanently disabled.
    ${ }^{2}$ Federal poverty guidelines for many assistance programs are established annually by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. See Appendix C for a listing of the fiscal year 2006 FSP poverty guidelines and a description of how they are determined.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ Benefits for these categorically eligible households are determined according to the same rules used for other eligible households.
    ${ }^{4}$ Individuals participating in the Minnesota Family Investment Program (MFIP) or an SSI Combined Application Project (SSI-CAP) are subject to different eligibility and benefit determination rules, as described later in this chapter.
    ${ }^{5}$ There is a distinction between a household's deduction entitlement and the amount actually used to compute food stamp benefits. The entitlement is the deduction that a household receives if the total of allowable deductions is less than the household's gross income. Because net income cannot be less than zero, households with total deductions greater than their gross income can only claim a portion of their deduction entitlement.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ Some States have implemented higher asset limits by matching the eligibility rules of other assistance programs, such as TANF.
    ${ }^{7}$ Vehicles used as a home, to produce income, to transport fuel or water, or to transport disabled people are exempt from the asset test.
    ${ }^{8}$ The equity test counts all equity--fair market value minus remaining liens--of the vehicle.
    ${ }^{9}$ In 6 of these States, almost all low-income households are eligible for a TANF/MOE-funded benefit that confers categorical eligibility.

[^5]:    ${ }^{10}$ These nondisabled nonelderly adults living in households without children may be exempt from the work requirements if they live in a waiver area (e.g., due to a high unemployment rate) or have been granted a discretionary exemption (limited to 15 percent of the caseload) by the State.

[^6]:    ${ }^{11}$ In Florida, Massachusetts and Washington, a household must have no earned income to enter the program, but once enrolled can have earned income for up to 3 months and remain eligible. In New York and Texas, a household can have earned income and still be eligible for SSI-CAP benefits.

[^7]:    ${ }^{12}$ In May 2007, Wyoming implemented an on-line EBT system. Ohio used an offline system until March 2005, when it converted to an on-line system.
    ${ }^{13}$ See Food and Nutrition Service, USDA, The Decline in Food Stamp Participation: A Report to Congress, July 2001.
    ${ }^{14}$ See Trends in Food Stamp Program Participation Rates: 1999 to 2005. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, Office of Analysis, Nutrition and Evaluation; 2007. Participation rates for FY 2006 will be available in spring 2008.

[^8]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Percent change from preceding year.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Percent change from preceding year in output per hour, business sector.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Unemployment rate for all civilian workers.
    ${ }^{d}$ Percentage change from preceding year in the implicit price deflator for Gross Domestic Product.
    First line of data: Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, National Income and Product Accounts. Second line of data: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics. "Major Sector Productivity and Costs Index." Third line of data: Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

    Fourth line of data: Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, National Income and Product Accounts. Fifth line of data: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

    Sixth and seventh lines of data: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Poverty in the United States.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ The information in this chapter and the estimates in Appendices A and B are based on a sample of 45,734 households that participated in the FSP in fiscal year 2006. The sample was drawn from food stamp households in the 50 States, the District of Columbia, Guam, and the U.S. Virgin Islands. Households in Puerto Rico and the Northern Mariana Islands were not included in the sample because Puerto Rico has its own Nutritional Assistance Program, which replaced the FSP there in July 1982, and the Northern Mariana Islands participate in another block grant program instead of the FSP.
    ${ }^{2}$ The estimates of 25.6 million participants and 11.3 million households differ slightly from the numbers of food stamp participants and households according to FNS administrative records ( 26.7 million people and 11.7 million households) because the sample estimate is adjusted to exclude receipt of benefits by ineligible households and for disaster assistance. These adjustments to the sample estimates also affect household average monthly benefits, which are $\$ 208$ in the FSPQC data compared with $\$ 214$ in the FNS administrative records. (See Appendix D for more information.)
    ${ }^{3}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, the average monthly net income estimate excludes households participating in MFIP and households participating in SSI-CAP in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, or Texas.
    ${ }^{4}$ The information on asset holdings reflects only countable assets. Many of the households eligible for the FSP are categorically eligible and thus not subject to the asset test, or have zero countable assets. Other non-categorically eligible households may live in States that do not count some or all of the value of vehicles as assets.
    ${ }^{5}$ The average total deduction estimate reflects the entire deduction to which households are entitled. Because households cannot deduct more than their gross income, this figure is greater than the average deduction actually received by households. Since deductions are not used in their benefit determination, SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this estimate.

[^10]:    ${ }^{6}$ For more detailed information on the economic status of food stamp households, see Appendix Tables A-3 through A-8.
    ${ }^{7}$ See Appendix Table C-1 for the poverty guidelines.
    ${ }^{8}$ This comparison assumes that program participants value their food stamp benefits at face value.
    ${ }^{9}$ See Appendix Tables A-3, A-6, A-8, A-11, A-12, A-14, A-15, A-16, A-17, A-18, A-19, A-21, A-22, and A23 for more details concerning these households.

[^11]:    ${ }^{10}$ The FSPQC data do not include information to identify elderly persons who are disabled. In addition, while we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person in FSPQC datafile, we cannot identify which household member is disabled. In this report, we identify households containing a disabled nonelderly person as households with either 1) nonelderly SSI recipients, or 2) a medical expense deduction and no elderly individuals, or 3) nonelderly adults who do not appear to be working and who are receiving Social Security, Veteran's benefits, or Worker's compensation.

[^12]:    ${ }^{11}$ These individuals apply for food stamps alone. Other people may be living in the household.

[^13]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, 31,582 MFIP households and 236,241 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
    b Because deductions are not used in their benefit determination, 236,241 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
    c Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
    ${ }^{d}$ These participants, age 18-49, are subject to work registration and, with some exceptions (for example, those in waiver areas or receiving state exemptions), must meet work requirements or face time limits on benefit receipt.
    e Net income is not used in the benefit determination of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas.

    - Not Applicable.

[^14]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
    b Net income is not used in the benefit determination of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas.

[^15]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Net income is not used in the benefit determination of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas.

[^16]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, 31,582 MFIP households and 236,241 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this column. Thus, the average values are based on fewer households than the number shown in the Total Households column.
    b Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.

[^17]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The sum of individual income sources does not add to the total because households can receive income from more than one source.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain

[^18]:    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Net income is not used in the benefit determination of MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas.

[^19]:    - By definition these are mutually exclusive categories; therefore, no households will be found in these categories.

[^20]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.

[^21]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.
    b Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, 31,582 MFIP households and 236,241 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this category.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Because deductions are not used in their benefit determination, 236,241 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this category.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 350,591 SSI-CAP households in Florida, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, Texas, and Washington are excluded from this category.
    e Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 31,582 MFIP households and 350,591 SSI-CAP households in Florida, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, Texas, and Washington are excluded from this category.
    f Because this deduction is not used in their benefit determination, 31,582 MFIP households and 236,241 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this category.

[^22]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that contain a disabled person. In previous reports, we had additional information that helped to identify which household member was disabled.

[^23]:    ${ }^{a}$ Because net income is not used in their benefit determination, 31,582 MFIP households and 236,241 SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from this category.

[^24]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to changes in the FSPQC data, the definition of disabled changed in 2003. Beginning with the 2003 report, we are able to identify households that

[^25]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Percent of all participants.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Pro-rated benefits equal the benefits paid to households multiplied by the ratio of participants with selected characteristic to total household size.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Noncitizens may be inside or outside the food stamp unit.
    ${ }^{d}$ These participants, age 18-49, are subject to work registration and, with some exceptions (for example, those in waiver areas or receiving state exemptions), must meet work requirements or face time limits on benefit receipt.

[^26]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Fiscal year analysis files were not developed for the years prior to 1989.
    
    
    
     adult who does not appear to be working and who is receiving Social Security, Veteran's benefits, or Worker's compensation.
     disaster assistance. Beginning with 2005, the weighting process was revised so that weighted FSPQC data matches adjusted Program Operations counts of households, individuals and benefit amounts.

    Source: Fiscal Year 1989 to 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control samples.

[^27]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Beginning in 2004, net income is not calculated for MFIP households or SSI-CAP households in Mississippi, New York, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Texas.
    
     SSI-CAP participants in Mississippi, New York, South Carolina, and Texas are excluded from the average total deduction calculation beginning in 2004.
    ${ }^{c}$ Real values are in constant 2006 dollars adjusted by changes in the CPI-U for all items.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Real values are in constant 2006 dollars adjusted by changes in the CPI-U for food at home.
     individuals and benefit amounts.

    Source of CPI-U values: U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.
    Source of nominal values: Fiscal Year 1989 to 2006 Food Stamp Program Quality Control samples.

[^28]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

[^29]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Over households with a shelter deduction.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

[^30]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ This does not include households receiving a noncash benefit or a noncountable cash benefit (e.g. households participating in Minnesota's Family Investment Program who may not receive a cash TANF benefit.)

[^31]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Average values are over households with income source.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ This does not include households receiving a noncash benefit or a noncountable cash benefit (e.g. households participating in Minnesota's Family Investment Program who may not receive a cash TANF benefit.)

[^32]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Other includes Asian, American Indian and Unknown.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ This category includes some households with no household head and no adult listed on the file.
    ${ }^{c}$ Due to rounding, the sum of individual categories may not match the table total.

[^33]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Because deductions are not used in their benefit determination, 31,582 MFIP households and 236,241 SSI-CAP

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ Participating households in Guam and the U.S. Virgin Islands have been included in the target universe since fiscal year 1993. Prior to that year the universe excluded households in those areas.

[^35]:    ${ }^{2}$ The Program Data are adjusted downward before the FSPQC sample is weighted to account for ineligible households receiving benefits or households receiving disaster assistance. These households are not represented in the FSPQC sample because data are not collected for them. The adjusted total number of households and benefits is lower than Program Data figures by about four percent and seven percent, respectively.
    ${ }^{3}$ These figures include the value of benefits issued in error to eligible households, or disaster benefits to participating households.

[^36]:    ${ }^{4}$ More precisely, $n$ is the sample size corresponding to the population that forms the denominator or "base" of the proportion being estimated. When the base is all food stamp households in fiscal year 2006, $n=45,734$. Sample sizes for selected demographic subgroups for fiscal year 2006 are shown in the sample size column of Table E-1. For subgroups not shown in Table E-1, the sample size can be approximated by multiplying the total sample size $(45,734)$ by the ratio of the subgroup population size to the total population size $(N)$. For fiscal year $2006, \boldsymbol{N}=$ 11,290 thousand and there are 2,019 thousand elderly households. Hence the approximate sample size for elderly households in fiscal year 2006 would be calculated as $(2,019 / 11,290) \times(45,734)=8,179$. In this case the approximation can be compared to the true elderly sample size of 7,974 , as shown in Table E-1.

[^37]:    ${ }^{5}$ A confidence interval is a range of values that will contain the true value of an estimated characteristic with a known probability. For instance, a 95 percent confidence interval extends approximately 2 standard errors above and below the estimated value for a characteristic, and 95 percent of all confidence intervals will contain the true value.
    ${ }^{6}$ Calculated as: $(700-(2 \times 16.33))=667.34$ and $(700+(2 \times 16.33))=732.66$.
    ${ }^{7}$ The variance and naive variance are the standard error and naive standard error squared, respectively.

[^38]:    ${ }^{8}$ Calculated as:
    $2,019,000 \times \sqrt{[(229,000 / 2,019,000) \times(1-(229,000 / 2,019,000)) \times(2,019,000-7,974)] /[(7,974-1) \times 2,019,000]}=7,156$

